

MINUTES OF THE METRO COUNCIL MEETING

Thursday, June 9, 2005
Metro Council Chamber

Councilors Present: David Bragdon (Council President), Susan McLain, Robert Liberty, Rex Burkholder, Rod Park, Brian Newman

Councilors Absent: Carl Hosticka (excused)

Council President Bragdon convened the Regular Council Meeting at 5:03 p.m.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

There were none.

2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS

There were none.

3. TRANSPORTATION AND GROWTH MANAGEMENT GRANT CORRIDORS/CENTERS RESEARCH

Tim O'Brien, Planning Department, introduced Terry Moore and Becky Teckler of EcoNorthwest. Mr. Moore provided an overview of the Transportation and Growth Management Grant Corridors/Centers Research (a copy of the power point presentation is included in the record). He said originally the corridors were thought of bus routes. He spoke to the development reality, the benefits and problems of the corridors. He provided an example of the Beaverton-Hillsdale area. He then provided a summary of the corridor policy including goals and policy direction. He noted problems and options with the corridor policy. He also suggested policy recommendations for state, regional and local areas. He provided some design solutions for corridors. He then reviewed recommendations on land use, transportation, design, streetscape, coordination and integration, funding and focus. He presented next steps.

Councilor Liberty commented on the corridor project. Mr. Moore concurred with Councilor Liberty's comments. Councilor Newman talked about the issue of declining or dying corridors. He suggested that this was happening all over the country. He wondered if there was any place in the country that was successful. Mr. Moore said there were models for redeveloping corridors. He provided an example of Cathedral City near Palm Springs. Councilor Newman asked whether this was urban renewal? Mr. Moore said public monies were provided as well as private partnerships. Councilor Burkholder asked Mr. O'Brien about direction that staff needed as to what Metro could do as a regional government. He asked what was the next step? Mr. O'Brien suggested Councilors work with staff to develop priority issues between corridors and centers. He noted this project was part of increasing residential areas. Councilor Burkholder talked about site value taxation. They had done several research projects, one of which was looking at corridors. He suggested this might be a tool to help incent the right behavior. Councilor McLain talked about mixed used, rural and urban issues. She felt they needed to address the local issue as well as issues for moving people from one urban area to another urban area. She provided an example of the round about in Washington County. Mr. Moore said they had addressed some her issues in their report.

4. CONSENT AGENDA

4.1 Consideration of minutes of the June 2, 2005 Regular Council Meetings.

4.2 Resolution No. 05-3583, Authorizing the Chief Operating Officer to Issue A Non-System License Jointly to Willamette Resources, Inc. and United Disposal Services, Inc. for Delivery of Solid Waste, Include Putrescible Waste, to the Covanta Waste-to-Energy Facility.

Motion:	Councilor Burkholder moved to adopt the meeting minutes of the June 2, 2005 Regular Metro Council and Resolution No. 05-3583.
---------	---

Vote:	Councilors Burkholder, McLain, Liberty, Park, Newman and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
-------	---

5. ORDINANCES – FIRST READING

5.1 Ordinance No. 05-1085, For the Purpose of Amending the FY 2004-05 Budget and Appropriations Schedule for Funding Costs Associated with The Voluntary Separation Program; and Declaring an Emergency.

Council President Bragdon assigned Ordinance No. 05-1085 to Council.

6. RESOLUTIONS RELATED TO FY 2005-06 BUDGET

6.1 Resolution No. 05-3580A, Transferring \$1,250,000 From the Balance of the FY 2004-05 Recovery Rate Stabilization Reserve to a General Fund Reserve for Nature in Neighborhoods Restoration Projects.

Motion:	Councilor Park moved to substitute Resolution No. 05-3580A.
Seconded:	Councilor Newman seconded the motion

Councilor Park introduced the resolution. He provided a background for the resolution. He spoke to the Council’s commitment to restore habitat. They were trying to protect the public assets though the Nature in Neighborhoods initiative. They wanted to see a successful start up of the program. He talked about the grant program, which would help ensuring the restoration of sites. He spoke to establishing partnerships with the solid waste industry, homebuilders, and environmental groups. He urged support.

Council President Bragdon opened a public hearing.

Ray Phelps, WRI, 10295 SW Ridder Rd., Wilsonville, OR 97070 said he had passed out to Council a summary of the recovery rate stabilization fund (a copy of which is included in the meeting record). He believed this resolution was solid waste related and he felt that the Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) would embrace this idea. He felt this resolution was timely. He was excited about this effort. Lastly, he encouraged the Council to amend the resolution to provide for funding levels of \$750,000 over the next two years.

Sue Marshall, Tualatin RiverKeepers, 16507 SW Roy Rodgers Rd Sherwood, OR 97140 said they were in support of the resolution and encouraged additional monies for restoration. They felt this was good use of the funds. This signaled Metro's intent to put money into the program. It was good direction at the right time. It had been their experience that there was nexus with the program when people got engage. They took ownership of the sites. She provided some suggestions for the grants. She spoke to Tualatin Riverkeepers efforts and the positive effects. Councilor Liberty asked for ideas of the kind of range of projects that should be funded out of this money. Ms. Marshall said the funds could be applied in urban and rural areas. A lot of projects got stymied because of the lack of technical assistance. Their preference would be to look at highest value upland areas. She felt engaging people in the community was an important element. Councilor Liberty talked about storm water management. Ms. Marshall said she felt storm water management could easily be a part of this program. Councilor Newman said when they go through developing this criterion, they wanted to make sure that much of the money was spent on restoration. They wanted to keep as low an overhead as possible. He wanted to know if the partners would keep their administrative costs low as well? Should that be part of the criterion? Ms. Marshall said their organization had very low administrative costs. She urged support of the resolution.

Mitch Cruzan, Portland State University, Department of Biology, PO Box 751 Portland OR 97007 said one of the things that struck him when he moved here was the amazing network of parks and openspaces. He talked about his students' interest in getting involved in restoration. He developed a capstone project to get students involved in restoration projects. He explained last year's summer project. He talked about community partnering. He was in favor of this resolution.

David White, Oregon Refuse and Recycling Association, 1739 NW 156th Ave Beaverton OR 97006 said they supported what Council was trying to do. He felt this was excess excise tax. The idea was to put the money back into recovery. He asked how would the money be spent? He said this sounded as if this was an attempt to pay for good programs but not for recovery. This had never been back to SWAC. They supported this but it seemed like there was a disconnect for what the money was for. They wanted to work with Metro. Councilor Park said they were discussing this with the industry. He talked about the budget note, which addressed where the program tied back into the industry. He said the expenditures for the money would be part of the public process. Mr. White's point was well taken. They thought this was a unique opportunity to partner the solid waste industry with environmental organizations. What this did was team the hauler with the environmental community for restoration efforts.

Council President Bragdon provided his comments on the reserve rate stabilization reserve and noted his support.

Kathy Henton, 2129 SE Douglas Place Gresham, R 97080 said she was a member of Metro Committee for Citizen Involvement. She spoke in favor of the resolution. She felt Metro was working cooperatively and resourcefully with the citizens of the region. She had experienced unlicensed garbage haulers dumping on private property. She felt it was a good use of reserve funds. It was a win-win resolution. She supported the idea and the resolution.

Melissa Rowe Soll, Three River Conservancy, 1675 S. Shore Blvd. Lake Oswego, OR 97035 explained what the conservancy did. They strongly supported the resolution. She provided a letter from their executive director, which she summarized (a copy of which is included in the meeting

record). She added that Metro Council could be confident that there were many organizations that could be used competently. She recommended limiting administrative costs to no more than 10%.

Council President Bragdon closed the public hearing.

Councilor McLain said she would be supporting this resolution. She spoke to how she tried to live her life. She felt most everything was connected. She spoke to the history of the recovery rate stabilization reserve. This was not the first time that they had put money into these kinds of effort. They had agreed that this Council would be very involved in what kind of projects the money would go to. This group of seven councilors would be working on the criteria and projects. She acknowledged SWAC's need to be part of the conversation. The Council had a big responsibility and obligation to work with staff to create a model for the nation.

Councilor Newman thanked Councilor Park for his leadership in bringing the industry together. There had been a lot of work done. He said there was a nexus between illegal dumping and restoration. He said this money belonged to the citizens of the region. All stakeholders had something important to say. This resolution continued the work that the Council had begun. He talked about the budget amendment for next year. He said \$250,000 provided funds for conservation and education efforts at the Oregon Zoo. He asked Dan Cooper, Metro Attorney, if there was a necessity for an amendment to make sure a portion of this money would go to the Oregon Zoo. Mr. Cooper responded to his question. Councilor Newman talked about the mission of the Oregon Zoo, which led the industry in focusing on conservation and education. He talked about the different conservation programs that the Oregon Zoo was leading. He said they educated thousands of children every year about conservation. He urged Council's support.

Councilor Burkholder said the holistic approach was that these efforts would raise the general level of awareness of conservation. He was hopeful this would help change behavior. He spoke to an email he received today about an area near Forest Park that was being used as a dumping site. He was hopeful this would help achieve other environmental goals of Metro.

Councilor Liberty appreciated Councilor Park's leadership. This was an exciting effort on a regional scale. He wanted to make sure that some of these grants would provide money for storm water management. He asked Councilor Park, would this provide seed money for these types of projects? Councilor Park felt that everything was on the table. The Council had to develop the criteria. Councilor McLain added her comments about federal funds and clarifying what had been considered regionally significant. Council President Bragdon added his comments about a site near Southeast Belmont. Councilor Liberty asked Mr. Cooper about the resolution. Mr. Cooper said the third phase would describe the program. The language in the resolution expressed intent but would not legally bind the council to expanding the program. Councilor Liberty said he would support the resolution with the understanding that the funds could be broader than laid out in the resolution.

Council President Bragdon acknowledged Councilor Park's leadership. He acknowledged staff's prior work and noted that he too would be supporting the resolution.

Councilor Park thanked staff for their efforts. He specifically thanked Kathryn Schutte, Council Support Specialist and Karen Feher, CIP Coordinator, for their extraordinary efforts. He acknowledged Councilors Hosticka's and McLain's work in laying the groundwork. He thanked the Council as well.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Burkholder, Newman, McLain, Liberty, and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
--

- 6.2 **Resolution No. 05-3594A**, Transferring \$250,000 from the Balance of the FY 2004-05 Recovery Rate Stabilization Reserve to a General Fund Reserve For Renewal and Replacement.

Motion:	Council President Bragdon moved to substitute Resolution No. 05-3594A.
Seconded:	Councilor Park seconded the motion

Council President Bragdon said this resolution helped take care of the assets that Metro currently had. This helped closed the gap. He urged an aye vote.

Councilor McLain talked about the history of the Replacement and Renewal Task Force and the needs of the agency throughout the programs and departments. She was happy to support this resolution and knew that this Council would have to make choices about how this money would be spent.

Councilor Newman said he would be supporting this resolution. He noted Council President Bragdon had recognized this need and put it in his proposed budget. He spoke to the need to invest in our assets. He commented that we increased the revenue for the Parks Department and for Metropolitan Exposition Recreation Commission last year. He wanted to make sure the needs for this building were met. He trusted the managers would make good decisions with this money.

Councilor Park talked about the need to find more stable funding sources on an ongoing basis for the agency.

Council President Bragdon said they had recognized the need to protect our assets. He talked about the strategic planning sessions and the need to recognize protection of the agency's assets.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Burkholder, Newman, McLain, Liberty, and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
--

- 6.3 **Resolution No. 05-3595**, Transferring \$1,500,000 from the Balance of the FY 2004-05 Recovery Rate Stabilization Reserve to a General Fund Reserve.

The resolution was withdrawn.

7. **ORDINANCES – SECOND READING**

- 7.1 **Ordinance No. 05-1074B**, For the Purpose of Adopting the Annual Budget For Fiscal Year 2005-06, Making Appropriations, and Levying Ad Valorem Taxes, and Declaring an Emergency.

Council President Bragdon said this motion was already on the table and called for a motion to consider the technical amendments as a package.

Councilor Liberty asked that Technical Amendment Planning #10 be removed for the technical amendment package and be voted on separately. There was no objection to the removal of Technical Amendment Planning #10.

Motion to amend:	Councilor Park moved to amend Ordinance No. 05-1074B with the technical amendments excluding Technical Amendment Planning #10.
Seconded:	Councilor Burkholder seconded the motion

Vote to amend:	Councilors Park, Burkholder, McLain, Newman, Liberty and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
----------------	---

Motion to amend:	Councilor Park moved to amend Ordinance No. 05-1074B with Technical Amendment Planning #10.
Seconded:	Councilor Burkholder seconded the motion

Vote to amend:	Councilors Park, Burkholder, McLain, Newman, and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 5 aye/1 abstain, the motion passed with Councilor Liberty abstaining from the vote.
----------------	---

Motion to amend:	Council President Bragdon moved to amend Ordinance No. 05-1074B with General Amendment #8.
Seconded:	Councilor Park seconded the motion

Council President Bragdon spoke to the amendment and the need for coordination of the Nature in Neighborhoods program.

Councilor McLain spoke to the amendment. She reviewed what she had said at the previous budget meeting. She wanted to make sense of her amendment because her amendment really did relate to the program. She supported the structure, the goals, the program direction, and the integration. With her analysis, whether it was macro or mini, they didn't have enough there. The places she identified were things she thought the majority of this council really valued. That was the promoting of green practices, accomplishing outreach, technical assistance, bolstering implementation of incentive programs and trying to make sure we worked closely with our local partners. She wanted to honor some of the other councilors who had said 'I don't know if it's an FTE. They were short on resources but she didn't know if it was an FTE.' So she amended general #1 by adding \$80,000 worth of resources so they could have further discussion as a council with staff on where best to use that resource that she thought was lacking. She had put it in there as a resource tag. If someone preferred more specificity and detail she would then add the bullets that she had on her amendment that talked about what it would buy. She summarized those bullets and again urged further conversation. She explained why she could not support the proposed amendment.

Councilor Liberty asked about the 3.75 FTE planned for this program. He asked Michael Jordan, COO, to explain the additional FTE. Mr. Jordan responded to his question about the different elements of the program. They had 3.75 FTE in the original budget. The management staff felt that in order to get the program up and running, it was important to bring on coordination management help. The position was a limited duration position. Councilor Liberty said originally Councilor McLain had proposed an FTE. He was interested in knowing how she would spend the

\$80,000. She said staff had helped her with the original package. She explained her previous amendment. She said the Council had said three weeks ago, they wanted the conversation first before they determined the need for an FTE. Councilor Newman said this was not about the merits of the management or the individual hired into the limited duration position. He still wanted to have that conversation. He would be voting no.

Councilor Park asked about which pot of money they were talking about. His understanding was that management was asking for the budget authority to create the position. He understood that the Chief Operating Officer (COO) did not need the authorization of the fund to hire the person. He explained the debate as he saw it. Mr. Jordan said that was correct. Councilor Park wondered to whom you turned over the coordination to? Councilor McLain followed up by saying they had hired another high level manager hired recently. Mr. Jordan had included the Council in that hire. She felt it was backwards. She felt it was poorly done. She urged Council to look at the transcript. They had not had the conversation about the \$80,000. It was important to understand how Council worked. Councilor Liberty said he had mixed feelings because he wanted an FTE in the first place and also assumed they would have a conversation about this. Councilor McLain said the point was that the Council laid out a process and passed an amendment. Councilor Liberty suggested amending the amendment to provide further direction to staff to deal with the \$80,000. Council President Bragdon and Councilor Park accepted this as a friendly amendment. Councilor McLain asked for clarification from Mr. Cooper. Mr. Cooper responded to her question and said this would be in the form of a budget note. Mr. Jordan said the current position was temporary. He explained what the current amendment did. There was budget authority to support the position. Councilor Newman talked about Councilor McLain's original amendment. He would be voting no. He wanted to have the whole Council have a conversation.

Motion to amend:	Councilor Park moved to table the amendment until the next Council meeting.
Seconded:	Councilor McLain seconded the motion

Vote to amend: Councilors Park, Burkholder, McLain, Newman, Liberty and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.

Mr. Jordan said he felt that he owed the Council an apology and explained why.

Motion to amend:	Councilor Park moved to amend Ordinance No. 05-1074B with General Amendment #9A.
Seconded:	Councilor Newman seconded the motion

Councilor Park explained his amendment, which was a companion piece to the Nature in Neighborhoods resolution, Resolution No. 05-3580A.

Vote to amend: Councilors Park, Burkholder, McLain, Newman, Liberty and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.

Motion to amend:	Councilor Park moved to amend Ordinance No. 05-1074B with SW&R Amendment #7.
Seconded:	Councilor Burkholder seconded the motion

Councilor Park explained his amendment, which was a budget note. Councilor Burkholder support this amendment. He believed that they started out talking about what was the problem. Council President Bragdon added his comments. This would allow additional conversation with industry and solid waste department. Councilor Newman said he would be supporting the amendment.

Vote to amend:	Councilors Park, Burkholder, McLain, Newman, Liberty and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
----------------	---

Motion to amend:	Councilor Bragdon moved to amend Ordinance No. 05-1074B with General Amendment #11.
Seconded:	Councilor Park seconded the motion

Vote to amend:	Councilors Park, Burkholder, McLain, Newman, Liberty and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
----------------	---

Council President Bragdon opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 05-1074B.

Alexis Dow, Metro Auditor, said this budget process had been very different than those in the past. She said one of the disappointments she had was that at the last budget meeting her amendments were not addressed. She was disappointed with the difficulty that she had in getting her budget approved this year. She talked about the Council's message to the public. She explained the role of the Metro Auditor. She was disappointed that Councilor Hosticka was not here tonight because he was particularly articulate in voicing the role of the auditor and the need for the auditor. She said the Office of the Auditor did in fact support the Council goals and objectives. She addressed each of the different amendments.

Council President Bragdon closed the public hearing.

Councilor Burkholder noted that this had been a long process of developing a budget. This was now a 365-day process as noted by the Tax Supervision and Conservation Commission (TSCC). He spoke to the open public budgeting process. He said this was a long-term process. Those actions were reviewed by TSCC who had approved Metro's budget yesterday. Councilor McLain thanked Councilor Burkholder for his leadership in getting through the TSCC public hearing. She felt that the strategic plan helped them get to where they wanted to be.

Council President Bragdon announced that this ordinance would be held over for final consideration on Jun 23, 2005.

7.2 Ordinance No. 05-1082, Amending Metro Code Chapter 2.02, Regarding Personnel Rules, and Declaring an Emergency.

Motion:	Councilor McLain moved to adopt Ordinance No. 05-1082.
Seconded:	Councilor Burkholder seconded the motion

Councilor McLain explained the ordinance. She acknowledged the Human Resource Director, Ruth Scott. She said this would move much of the code language to an executive order. She noted

the different exhibits. She called out a few items concerning family leave, medical leave, affirmative action, and ethics. The basic policy was still in the Code. They didn't lose the language but moved it to an executive order. She said there would be quarterly reports. Council still had opportunity to review the policy. She urged support.

Council President Bragdon opened a public hearing on Ordinance No. 05-1082. No one came forward to testify. Council President Bragdon closed the public hearing.

Council President Bragdon said he was supportive of this ordinance. It was a reflection of their support for Mr. Jordan and Ms. Scott.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Burkholder, McLain, Newman, Liberty and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.

8. RESOLUTIONS

- 8.1 **Resolution No. 05-3588**, For the Purpose of Making Recommendations To the Oregon Transportation Commission and to the Washington State Transportation Commission Concerning High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes On Interstate 5 in the Vicinity of the Columbia River.

Motion:	Councilor Burkholder moved to substitute Resolution No. 05-3588A.
Seconded:	Councilor Newman seconded the motion

Councilor Burkholder addressed the resolution indicating that there were two components to the resolution: continuing the Pilot HOV lane in Vancouver Washington, from 99th Street to Mill Plain and the I-5 Delta Park to Lombard - if new lane added, should it be HOV?

The Bi-State Coordination Committee recommendation recognized that these two decisions were inter-related, the Bi-State recommended that the Pilot HOV project continue and that Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) should collaborate with Oregon on examining a managed lane. The I-5 Delta Park to Lombard project ought to continue with an HOV alternative, also collaboratively working with WSDOT on examination of a managed lane.

He reviewed the Regional Transportation Council (RTC) recommendation, the RTC Board considered the Bi-State Coordination Committee recommendations, but decided, by a 6 to 5 vote, to recommend ending the Pilot HOV project. They did not make recommendations for the I-5 Delta Park to Lombard project.

Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC)'s recommendation included extensive discussion of the differing concerns and after careful consideration recommended that the I-5 to Delta Park to Lombard Project continue with an HOV alternative and that Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) collaborate with WSDOT on further examination of a managed lane; and requested that WSDOT continue to work collaboratively with Oregon on the whole I-5 corridor from 99th Street in Vancouver to the Fremont Bridge - including the potential of a managed lane - especially in light of upcoming decisions related to the Columbia River Crossing.

Finally, JPACT agreed with the TPAC recommendation, with many members from both sides of the Columbia River stating their overriding interest in maintaining good relations and coordination and recommending the two resolves. They also had a few minor changes, which were reflected in the "A" version of the resolution, substituting the reference to the Washington State Transportation Commission to the Washington State Department of Transportation - reflecting new Washington State legislation, and

changing the northernmost corridor boundary from 99th Street to 134th Street. He spoke to the technical amendments made at Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) this morning. He spoke to continuing the use of the High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes. Councilor Liberty asked Councilor Burkholder about Washington State's position. Councilor Burkholder responded to his question and talked about the issues that Washington State was considering.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Burkholder, Newman, McLain, Liberty, and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
--

8.2 **Resolution No. 05-3589**, For the Purpose of Amending the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) to Move the I-205 Northbound on-ramp/Airport Way Interchange Improvement from the Illustrative List to Financially Constrained List.

Motion:	Councilor Burkholder moved to substitute Resolution No. 05-3589A.
Seconded:	Councilor Park seconded the motion

Councilor Burkholder said this was regarding projects around the exit from the airport on I-205. There was a public private partnership to help fund light rail to the airport. What had happened was that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) told the airport they needed to conduct an Environmental Impact Statement. They had found that there were traffic impacts. This was to satisfy the FAA and move this project into our financial constrained list. The City of Portland and Port of Portland had worked together to find the dollars for this effort. He urged support. Councilor Liberty supported the resolution and the objective. He asked about how you move things from the constrained list. Councilor Burkholder said they had discussed this at TPAC and JPACT. Councilor Liberty asked about the switching of the projects. Councilor Burkholder spoke to his question.

Vote:

Councilors Park, Burkholder, Newman, McLain, Liberty, and Council President Bragdon voted in support of the motion. The vote was 6 aye, the motion passed.
--

9. OREGON LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

Mr. Cooper updated the Council on SB 245 and Measure 37. Councilors commented on Measure 37 and SB 245.

10. CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER COMMUNICATION

Michael Jordon, COO, recognized Katie Poole's work on the Personnel Code.

11. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATION

Councilor Burkholder talked said on the front page of the Oregonian there was an article on the reduction in green house gas production in the region. A major component was the transportation and land use changes, which this agency had a role in, in partnership with local jurisdictions.

Councilor Newman informed the Council that he would be representing the Council at Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) tomorrow.

Councilor Burkholder said they had special visitor at JPACT, Jaine Lerner from Brazil. He explained what they had done in their city around transit and the success of the program. Councilor Liberty talked about Mr. Lerner's work.

Council President Bragdon said they would not meet on June 16th so they were adjourned until June 23rd.

12. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Metro Council, Council President Bragdon adjourned the meeting at 8:02 p.m.

Prepared by

Chris Billington
Clerk of the Council

ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR THE MEETING OF JUNE 9, 2005

Item	Topic	Doc Date	Document Description	Doc. Number
4.1	Minutes	06/02/05	Metro Council Meeting Minutes of June 2, 2005	060905c-01
8.1	Amended Resolution	6/9/05	Resolution No. 05-3588A, For the Purpose of Making Recommendations to the Oregon Transportation Commission and to the Washington State Department of Transportation Concerning High Occupancy Vehicle Lanes on Interstate 5 in the Vicinity of the Columbia River	060905c-02
3.0	Power point presentation and brochure	6/9/05	To: Metro Council From: Terry Moore, EcoNorthwest Re: Metro Corridor Project Power Point Presentation and informational brochure	060905c-03
6.1	Letter	6/9/05	To: Metro Council From: Mike Houck, Executive Director for Environmental Systems Re: Letter supporting Resolution No. 05-3580A	060905c-04
8.2	Amended Resolution	6/9/05	Resolution No. 05-3589A, For the Purpose of Amending the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) to Move the I-205 Northbound on-ramp/ Airport Way Interchange Improvement from the Illustrative List to Financially Constrained List.	060905c-05
6.1	Fact sheet	6/9/05	To: Metro Council From: Ray Phelps, WRI Re: Recovery Rate Stabilization Fund	060905c-06
7.1	Amendment package and draft amended resolutions	6/9/05	To: Metro Council From: Karen Feher, Financial Planning Department Re: FY 2005-06 Summary of Amendments and actions	060905c-07
6.1	Letter	6/7/05	To: Metro Council From: Jayne Cronlund, Executive Director, Three River Conservancy Re: supporting Resolution No. 05-3580	060905c-08