

# MINUTES OF THE METRO COUNCIL MEETING

November 5, 1998

Council Chamber

Councilors Present: Jon Kvistad (Presiding Officer) Ruth McFarland, Ed Washington, Don Morissette, Patricia McCaig, Susan McLain, Rod Monroe

Councilors Absent:

Presiding Officer Kvistad convened the Regular Council Meeting at 2:02 p.m.

## 1. INTRODUCTIONS

None.

## 2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATION

None.

## 3. EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS

None.

## 4. AUDITOR COMMUNICATIONS

**Alexis Dow, Metro Auditor,** briefed the Council on issues related to the Year 2000 Compliance and Metro's Financial Statements. She said Y2K was the familiar term given to the coming of January 1, 2000 and its effect on everything dependent upon computers. Deloitte and Touche had prepared a letter summarizing the assertions of Metro's management regarding activities Metro had taken with regard to Y2K and also disclaimed any assurances provided by them related to this issue because it was outside the scope of their audit. She noted that a letter had been submitted to the Council concerning this matter (a copy of which may be found in the permanent record of this meeting).

Deloitte and Touche had also discussed an accounting issue that became effective two weeks ago with Ms. Dow and Metro's management. The pronouncement was issued by the Government Accounting Standards Board requiring information regarding Metro, the effects of Y2K on Metro, what steps management was taking with regard to that issue and how far along was Metro in that process. She noted a problem arose because the auditors, under the auspices of AICPA, had taken the position that this information was unauditible because no one really knew what would happen until the passage of January 1, 2000. This was because computers and their technology were nearly invisible and pandemic in the environment so it was very difficult to assess. As a result, Deloitte and Touche would be qualifying their report on Metro. This was different than in the past where Metro had received an unqualified report. Their qualification would be limited to the Y2K issue. It was likely that all auditors would be qualifying their reports for government entities during this period. It was not expected to have any effect on Metro's eligibility to receive the Achievement in Excellence in Financial Reporting Award (Metro had received this award the past several years because of the caliber of its financial statements.) It was likely that all governments would be receiving this qualification, there was the expectation that it

would have a negligible effect on anything else that was dependent upon Metro's financial statements.

## 5. MPAC COMMUNICATION

**Councilor McLain** said that there was a subcommittee from MPAC meeting at 4:00 p.m. which was dealing with the Boundary Commission transition that was supposed to start in January of 1999. Councilor Monroe and herself had sat on this committee and had been the liaison from the council. She anticipated action by the chair of the subcommittee finishing up a product that could be reviewed at MPAC and recommendation made to Council. Secondly, she announced that there was a meeting of MPAC tonight to discuss land use issues that would be coming up before Council over the next month.

## 6. PRESIDING OFFICER COMMUNICATION

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** called for a personal point of privilege and said

“Over the last three years, I have been honored to have been elected by you as the Presiding Officer of the Metro Council. They have been rewarding years where we faced many challenges and have, as a group, made forward thinking, and innovative decisions about our regions future.

The successes we have had are due in no small part to the hard work and efforts of our staff. I am proud to say they are some of the best, brightest and most loyal people it has ever been my honor to work with. They are great partners.

This Council has worked diligently to accomplish what no other government in America has ever done. It has not been easy and it has not been, nor do I believe it can ever be, without disagreement. We have completed the 2040 growth concept, the future vision, the regional framework and developed regional urban reserves. We have lowered garbage fees saving millions. We have brought the Smithsonian Exhibit to Oregon and set a tour attendance record. We have grown and enhanced our Zoo and saved thousands of acres for future generations through our regional greenspaces program. Real results.

As for the politics of our regional government, it has been difficult to balance the needs of local government, regional priorities and state law within Metro's flawed governmental structure. It will come as no surprise to anyone here that I believe that members of the executive department have under-managed this agency. They have articulated policy positions that are out of step with the people of our community and with those of this council. I also believe we are in large part captive of special interest groups whose politics are too far to the left of center. In my view policies based on these narrow interests are costing people the opportunity to ever afford a home. These policy decisions are not representative of the community we serve and are presenting a great danger to Metro's existence.

As your Presiding Officer I have had a responsibility to this council to do my best to place the council's positions first, even at times before those in my district and the cities that I represent. I believe I have served you well by doing this over the last three years yet this has created for me, a frustration, which has now become uncomfortable.

During my race last year, I met hundreds of people who said to me how, with your philosophy, can you support Metro? Why can't you stop Metro? I believe in a regional approach to problem

solving in this community. I have not stepped away from my commitment to create a better region. But I continue to oppose the creation of a bigger, more intrusive regional government.

With Tuesday night's election the political tide will turn at Metro. It is time for those who represent a new majority to set their own political table. I have worked very hard to serve you with distinction and hopefully you feel I have served you well even when we have disagreed. I've tried never to place my personal priorities ahead of that of my fellow councilors during the time you have elected me to hold this gavel. It is also my hope that I have moved forward the public work of regional policy development with tolerance and good humor and have served the 1.5 million people of this region well from this chair.

With the changes in the Metro Charter in 1992 the council has become more powerful within the Metro system. With this stronger council the job of Presiding Officer has become a more controversial position. No one knows this better than me. It is a job that must protect the councils' authority, as well as create public policy and get that policy implemented. This has been a real challenge and while it has been difficult I thank you so much for having given me this opportunity.

I have made a decision. One which will free my fellow and future council partners to put a different stamp on this agency if they so choose. This decision will also allow me to actively pursue the things I believe in, and vigorously oppose the policies that I feel are damaging this community, without representing my views as that of the entire Metro Council. I can no longer do that as the Presiding Officer of the Metro Council.

So, before the annual battle even begins, before the first vote is promised, I want to announce that at the end of my tenure I will not pursue the position of Presiding Officer for a fourth consecutive term. So let the games begin.

Thank you for the wonderful honor and for the opportunity to serve you in this chair but come January I'm planning on taking a well deserved leadership vacation. Thank you for allowing me to take this moment of personal privilege."

## **7. CONSENT AGENDA**

7.1 Consideration meeting minutes of the October 22, 1998 Regular Council Meeting.

**Motion:** **Councilor Washington** moved to adopt the meeting minutes of October 22, 1998 Regular Council Meeting.

**Seconded:** **Councilor McFarland** seconded the motion.

**Vote:** The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

## **8. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING**

8.1 **Ordinance No. 98-779A**, For the Purpose of Amending Metro Urban Growth Boundary and the 2040 Growth Concept Map in Ordinance 95-625A in Urban Reserve Areas 43 and 47 of Washington County.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** assigned Ordinance No. 98-779A to Council.

8.2 **Ordinance No. 98-788A**, For the Purpose of Amending the Metro Urban Growth Boundary and the 2040 Growth Concept Map in Ordinance 95-625A in Urban Reserve Area 55 of Washington County.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** assigned Ordinance No. 98-788A to Council.

8.3 **Ordinance No. 98-786A**, For the Purpose of Amending Metro Urban Growth Boundary and the 2040 Growth Concept Map in Ordinance 95-625A in the Sunnyside Area of Clackamas County.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** assigned Ordinance No. 98-786A to Council.

8.4 **Ordinance No. 98-781A**, For the Purpose of Amending Metro Urban Growth Boundary and the 2040 Growth Concept Map in Ordinance 95-625A in the Pleasant Valley Area of Clackamas County.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** assigned Ordinance No. 98-781A to Council.

8.5 **Ordinance No. 98-782**, For the Purpose of Amending Metro Urban Growth Boundary and the 2040 Growth Concept Map in Ordinance 95-625A in the Stafford Area of Clackamas County.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** assigned Ordinance No. 98-782 to Council.

8.6 **Ordinance No. 98-789**, For the Purpose of Amending the Regional Framework Plan in Ordinance No. 97-715B for Consistency with the UGB Procedures in Ordinance No. 98-772B and Declaring an Emergency.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** assigned Ordinance No. 98-789 to Council.

## 9. **ORDINANCES - SECOND READING - QUASI-JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS**

9.1 **Ordinance No. 98-778**, Approving Urban Growth Boundary Locational Adjustment Case 98-9 C.G.C. Persimmon, and adopting hearings officer's report including findings and conclusions.

**Motion:** **Councilor Morissette** moved to adopt Ordinance No. 98-778.

**Seconded:** **Councilor McFarland** seconded the motion.

**Councilor Morissette** said the council had dealt with this ordinance and there was unanimous support to move this property into the Urban Growth Boundary. It met all of the standards and criteria. The hearings officer supported inclusion of the Persimmon property as well.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** asked Legal Counsel to review the Quasi-Judicial Procedures for this ordinance and the opening of a public hearing.

**Mr. Dan Cooper**, Legal Counsel, said that the Council allowed the public to speak on this issue at the last Metro Council meeting. It was now before Council to vote and make a decision.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** clarified that this ordinance did not require a public hearing at this point.

**Mr. Cooper** reiterated that the Council had held a public hearing, the record was closed and the argument time was over.

**Vote:** The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

**Councilor Morissette** said that he would like to speak to the Urban Growth Boundary ordinances and resolutions after 9.4 was considered on the agenda in order to go over some of the issues on the urban reserves.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** suggested that discussion occur after the legislation had been read into the record.

**Councilor Morissette** said he wanted to have an opportunity for discussion on UGB legislation and asked that the Presiding Officer allow him that opportunity after 9.4 was considered.

## 10. RESOLUTIONS

10.1 **Resolution No. 98-2698**, For the Purpose of Updating the Greenspaces Technical Advisory Committee.

**Motion:** **Councilor McFarland** moved to adopt Resolution No. 98-2698.

**Seconded:** **Councilor Washington** seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** **Councilor McFarland** said that this resolution came before the Regional Facilities Committee. There was testimony by Mr. Ciecko. This committee that served the function of advisory committee was formed in 1990 and there was considerable belief that the committee had changed to the point that the committee needed to be revamped. She reviewed the exhibits and noted that the committee would now be called GTAC and included the Regional Parks and Greenspaces Advisory Committee, the Metro Policy Advisory Committee, and Metro. She noted the representation on the committee and that it would be chaired by the Director of the Regional Parks and Greenspaces Department and staff would be furnished by that department as well. This resolution was an effort to update this committee and make it responsive to what the needs were now. She noted that at the end of four years this committee sunsets, the Council would have an opportunity to review the structure of the committee again in four years. She urged support of this resolution.

**Vote:** The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

10.2 **Resolution No. 98-2705**, For the Purpose of Amending the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program to Include the TEA-21 High Priority Projects.

**Motion:** **Councilor Washington** moved to adopt Resolution No. 98-2705.

**Seconded:** **Councilor McLain** seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** **Councilor Washington** said that this legislation was what used to be the old ISTEA. These were the MTIP funds and projects for the next six years of the ISTEA funding. This resolution allowed allocation of funding for the next six years on 10 projects. This resolution

had been recommended and approved by both TPAC and JPACT with a do pass recommendation from each committee. He urged Council's support of this resolution.

**Vote:** The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

10.3 **Resolution No. 98-2707**, For the Purpose of Amending the 1995 Interim Federal Regional Transportation Plan to Redesignate the National Highway System Link Through Forest Grove from Highway 8 to the Forest Grove Bypass.

**Motion:** **Councilor McLain** moved to adopt Resolution No. 98-2707.

**Seconded:** **Councilor McFarland** seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** **Councilor McLain** said that the title explained this resolution, it updated the Regional Transportation Plan to indicate a new designated route. This was a project that had been on the books for 19 years that would start construction in the spring. This resolution allowed recognition of the new bypass through Forest Grove. This would allow that particular designation to receive national highway system funds if they became available if there was a project along that particular route. JPACT and Transportation Committee had reviewed this resolution.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** noted that Councilor McLain had been waiting a long time for this resolution to come forward. He supported the bypass resolution as well.

**Vote:** The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

10.4 **Resolution No. 98-2719A**, For the Purpose of Authorizing Issuance of the Request for Proposals for Design Services for the M. James Gleason Boat Ramp and Broughton Beach Improvement.

**Motion:** **Councilor McCaig** moved to adopt Resolution No. 98-2719A.

**Seconded:** **Councilor Washington** seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** **Councilor McCaig** reviewed that the Council had gone through a lengthy process to approve the M. James Gleason Boat Ramp Master Plan. Included in the master plan were three improvements, 1) to improve the day use beach area, 2) to improve the marine facility, and 3) to improve boat access to the existing facility. This resolution would authorize issuance of a request for proposal for the design and engineering services for the master plan. Then it would authorize the Executive, after the Port of Portland had entered into the land agreement that was part of the master plan, to sign the contract and go forward with implementation. She noted the amended version of the resolution and explained that at the time the resolution came before the committee, they believed that the Port would have acted on the land transfer. The Port met once a month and they wanted to make sure that the land transfer occurred prior to any contract being signed with the design review and engineering services. She supported the amended resolution.

**Vote:** The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** noted that the next three resolution had come forward from the Growth Management Committee. These were for discussion only and no action would taken on these items today. Action would be taken on December 3rd.

**Councilor Morissette** said he felt that Councilor Kvistad had done a great job as Presiding Officer. He was sorry to see that he would not be running for the position again. He felt that Metro would not be the same place without Jon Kvistad in leadership.

**Councilor Morissette** continued by saying that the resolutions being read into the record today were the proposals for the Urban Growth Boundary expansion. He wanted to step back a minute because he had voted against a fair number of those pieces of legislation in the Growth Management Committee. Earlier in the year it didn't seem like the Council was moving along in a process that would allow, his major goals to happen, which was to move the boundary. He said that there was a real reason why it was important that this action happen because, as we move through this process, if it was not as it was designed to be, based on what the needs were in the political as well as human realities in this region, we could get ourselves into a pickle. Some of the proposals that would be coming forward did not meet all of the standards that he would have wanted but this was a real world that he was dealing with for the balance of the month and a half that he would serve as a Metro Councilor. The goal was to move the boundary this year as well as meet the state law and hopefully create a reasonable process for the future. He thought a lot of people in the region lived under the concept that if the boundary move didn't happen maybe it would just go away. If there was consequences to people's actions sometime they had a different perspective on how they put things together. Councilors McLain, McFarland and he had proposed a certain set of resolutions and ordinances, based on whether they were in their jurisdiction or not, that represented a lot of compromise and a lot of effort to try and keep an eye on the ball and move the boundary forward so that there was as much local support as possible. He was very proud of the ordinances and resolutions that Councilors McLain, McFarland and he had put forward. He added that Councilor Washington had supported all but one of the resolutions as well.

The reality, as the Council started out, was that it was important for MPAC to be part of the process because MPAC was the local partner that would actually implement the plan that the Council was proposing. There was a lot of work that went into implementation before the first home was built. He also adhered to the concept that 16,000 to 17,000 housing units met the concept of compromise. He said they could argue the need but the reality was if there was no compromise as the Council move forward, the Council may not accomplish its goals. It was his hope as the Council went through this process that they kept this concept of compromise in mind. If a local government was not in support of the Council they could mess stuff up. He thought local governments needed to be with the Council or the process won't happen.

He could debate the density, the growth direction or the housing unit numbers but the reality was that Metro had a Code. He had a goal to get something done. He took that into account with the compromises they had made with these proposals. It was not easy to compromise but the proposals represented that compromise. Master planning was important, even if he believed the densities were different, without that master planning a hodge podge of growth would occur. The goal of master planning was important to Councilor Morissette to what ever reasonable amount the Code required. Fairness to all parts of the region was important. He felt there was many times where he thought most of that growth would end up down in his district where the roads were at capacity and they would have a very hard time getting people to commute to where the jobs were in Washington County and in Wilsonville. This package took that fairness into account. They could argue that Stafford and Site 65 in northern Washington County were great places to grow into but he did not just get to have the process where all his goals were met. The proposals that these councilors had put forward took a lot of time and energy and were a reflection of balanced proposals. He could still argue his points about density and the amount needed for land in

Washington County but choices had to be made. Current plans were causing sprawl, in Clark County there was 42% of the housing growth and 18% of the jobs. Estacada, Sandy and even Salem were becoming suburbs to Portland because people would drive to get what they wanted and could afford.

He was disappointed at the last Growth Management Committee because he felt that he was put in a position of having to be against some areas but he had to, to keep the coalition that he had been working with together to come up with some kind of a conclusion because he did not want to try and go for it all and end up with nothing. He believed that Stafford, Lake Oswego and West Linn needed to do their fair share of growth but was there really a need for 10 units an acre in these areas? He felt that this density was too high. Governance was not there yet but Metro didn't need to deal with the governance issues yet, Metro didn't need to be big brother so that they were in a situation forcing someone to do something they were not prepared to do. As the Council moves forward, there was enough land to make it all work and still have fair distribution in the proposals that had been made. So, he had opted for what made sense.

In summary, the goal was to get something done, bringing along as many people as possible, including local partnerships and interests groups. No one was perfectly happy with all of the proposals but it was a balanced approach to the proposals being made and to working with local governments. MPAC did matter, local government support was critical, master planning was important, the need, whether right or wrong, was what the majority had determined, the need was being met with proposals that included fairness in distribution. He felt each of these councilors had done a good job of considering all of the factors. As the Council went forward to consider each on of these resolutions and ordinances it was his hope that the Council would take what he had said into account.

He then spoke to the region and said, and for those out there that wonder why he had not supported their individual pieces of property, it was difficult to say no but the reality was if he had tried to get more land he did not think he would have been successful so he was trying to compromise.

**Councilor McLain** suggested to the Council that they utilized several aids to have a better understanding of what materials had come out of the Growth Management Committee. One, was the spreadsheet prepared by Council Analyst, Michael Morrissey. It had the site number, the resolution or ordinance number, whether it was first tier, if it was in the Metro jurisdictional boundary and how many acres, the number of dwelling units from the productivity study and the job productivity found in that particular area per the staff report. She noted the binder that Ms. Wilkerson of Growth Management Services had submitted to Council which reviewed Goals 2 and 14 for each site. This information was part of the councilors review as they put forward the resolutions and ordinances at the Growth Management Committee. There was also governance and planning issues, narrations and testimony that had been heard at the Growth Committee and would continue to be heard at the Council public hearings. All of these items would be part of the record for development of the findings. She complimented Mr. Morissette on the processes he had gone through, starting with the goals he had already mentioned 1) good partnering with the local jurisdictions and whether they would be ready to govern and serve, 2) making sure we met state law and the Metro Code as those issues had been prioritized and 3) good planning had to come first as far as what land was first considered to be brought into the UGB. It was her hope that the public understood that half of the need would be decided in 1998 and there would still be properties back at the committee level for consideration in 1999, she reinforced that the decisions in 1998 were not the end of the process but the first half of the process.



10.5 **Resolution No. 98-2726A**, For the Purpose of Expressing Council Intent to Amend the Urban Growth Boundary to Add Urban Reserve Areas 62, 63 and 65 in Washington County. (*For Discussion Only - No final action*)

William Cox, Attorney representing Heritage Homes, 0244 SW California, Portland, OR 97219 submitted a public testimony card asking to testify on this resolution. He was informed that there was no public hearing being held. He asked that his request be placed into the record.

10.6 **Resolution No. 98-2728A**, For the Purpose of Expressing Council Intent to Amend the Urban Growth Boundary to Add Urban Reserve Areas 53, 54, and 55 to the Hillsboro Regional Center Area. (*For Discussion Only - No final action*)

10.7 **Resolution No. 98-2729A**, For the Purpose of Expressing Council Intent to Amend the Urban Growth Boundary to Add Urban Reserve Areas 39, 41, and 42 in the Vicinity of Wilsonville. (*For Discussion Only - No final action*)

## 11. CONTRACT REVIEW BOARD

11.1 **Resolution No. 98-2716**, For the Purpose of Amending the Contract Between Metro and EcoNorthwest for the Technical Portion of the Traffic Relief Options Study.

**Motion:** **Councilor Washington** moved to adopt Resolution No. 98-2716.

**Seconded:** **Councilor Kvistad** seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** **Councilor Washington** called **Ms. Bridget Wieghart**, Congestion Pricing Program Supervisor, to review the amendment to the contract on the traffic demand study.

**Ms. Wieghart** said that this study was looking at congestion pricing for the region as part of a federal pilot program. This resolution would add money to the EcoNorthwest contract, the technical consultant on the study. She reviewed the background, the study also involved the development travel forecasting model. As a result to doing significant work to this project, the travel forecasting department had received significant funding as part of a pilot program to take it even further. This project required major changes to the travel forecasting model in order to evaluate pricing because you needed to look at both the response to pricing as well as changes in terms of modes, time of day, destination, etc. There was rather substantial changes to the model that have been involved. The reason why they were requesting this change in the EcoNorthwest contract now was due to an amendment to add funds, \$24,500 because the main modeler on the study passed away suddenly and because some of the work needed to be redone because it was inaccessible. This work was completed but the money had to be added to the contract. This resolution requested a smaller amount of money, \$19,656 for EcoNorthwest to complete the technical work. The reason for the increase, as a result for the need to have an extensive public outreach process, they had developed a task force of citizens to direct the study. Through the course of the work they had looked at a lot more options than they had originally anticipated. They started out thinking they would do a cursory review of range of different types of options and they ended up doing a more detailed review. They looked at over 40 different locations and types of pricing options. At the time they made that decision to do this more extensive review, they didn't think it would have a budget impact because the consultants were anticipating doing a fairly cursory review of most of the options to get down to a smaller set of 8 to 10 options which were anticipated to be modeled. However, the technical advisory committee which represented all of the jurisdictions, had a hard time agreeing on some assumptions as to how different types of

options would perform without modeling. So they ended up modeling about thirty of the options in a preliminary way. At that time they thought they could make some other cuts, Ms. Wieghart took on some more project management work from the consultant. The technical advisory committee reviewed some of the options themselves, however, because of the changes in the demand model, the travel forecasting model, the consultants had to revise their post-processing model substantially as well. So a lot of the savings were not able to be achieved.

This resolution asked that \$19,656 be shifted within the study budget. They were not asking for new money.

**Councilor McFarland** asked where the \$19,656 was coming from.

**Ms. Wieghart** said the jurisdictions that were participating in the technical advisory committee each had an intergovernmental agreement with Metro. They had estimated at the beginning that each jurisdiction would need about \$25,000 but they didn't need as much so they agreed to let Metro have some of this money for the consultants.

**Councilor Washington** asked if this was the third amendment to the program.

**Ms. Wieghart** said yes, this was the third amendment, but the second with a monetary request.

**Councilor Washington** added that these were funds from the \$1.2 million joint ODOT Metro Federal Grant.

**Ms. Wieghart** concurred.

**Vote:** The vote was 7 aye/ 0 nay/ 0 abstain. The motion passed unanimously.

## 12. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATION

**Councilor McLain** invited any councilors to the WRPAC meeting next Monday at 1:30 p.m., they would be starting on the Goal 5 work.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** said there were three new members of the council elected. Councilor Monroe's position would be certified and he would be immediately sworn in, the other three councilors would be sworn in on January 7, 1999. He said the last Metro Council meeting for the year would be on December 17, 1998. On that date he invited everyone to a reception for those councilors who would be leaving.

**Councilor McLain** said in connection with that particular event they were hoping to have a volunteer recognition and celebration as well for those who had been serving on advisory committees.

**Presiding Officer Kvistad** said that this had not been finalized but it may in fact be the case.

**Councilor Washington** advised the Council that the Affordable Housing Task Force had been working hard, meeting twice a month with subcommittees meeting more often. They had chosen a permanent chair, Commissioner Diane Linn of Multnomah County.

**Councilor McCaig** reviewed the outcome of the light rail measure. She had been involved in that campaign. The preliminary results from the absentees had it continuing to lose in Washington

Metro Council Meeting

November 5, 1998

Page 11

County. This was a big surprise. The original hope started out at about 68% turnout. The final turnout was about 57%. The vote was currently dead even. By law the vote had to be counted by Friday at 4:00 p.m. She was hopeful the results would be positive.

### 13. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Metro Council, Presiding Officer Kvistad adjourned the meeting at 2:50 p.m.

Prepared by,

Chris Billington  
Clerk of the Council

Document Number	Document Date	Document Title	TO/FROM
110598c-01	11/5/98	Letter and attachments from Alexis Dow, Auditor concerning Deloitte and Touche letter summarizing management's assertions and disclaiming assurances regarding Metro's Year 2000 activities	TO: Metro Council FROM: Alexis Dow, Auditor