



600 NE Grand Ave.
Portland, OR 97232-2736

Council work session agenda

Tuesday, February 12, 2019

2:00 PM

Metro Regional Center, Council Chamber

2:00 Call to Order and Roll Call

2:10 Safety Briefing

Work Session Topics:

2:15 2030 Regional Waste Plan: Draft Plan and Oversight Framework [18-5158](#)

Presenter(s): Matt Korot, Metro
Marta McGuire, Metro

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan Executive Summary](#)
[Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan](#)
[Public Comment Report](#)

3:15 Regional Investment Strategy Bi-monthly Update **18-5159**

Presenter(s): Andy Shaw, Metro

3:45 Chief Operating Officer Communication

3:55 Councilor Communication

4:05 Adjourn

Metro respects civil rights

Metro fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes that ban discrimination. If any person believes they have been discriminated against regarding the receipt of benefits or services because of race, color, national origin, sex, age or disability, they have the right to file a complaint with Metro. For information on Metro’s civil rights program, or to obtain a discrimination complaint form, visit www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights or call 503-797-1536. Metro provides services or accommodations upon request to persons with disabilities and people who need an interpreter at public meetings. If you need a sign language interpreter, communication aid or language assistance, call 503-797-1700 or TDD/TTY 503-797-1804 (8 a.m. to 5 p.m. weekdays) 5 business days before the meeting. All Metro meetings are wheelchair accessible. For up-to-date public transportation information, visit TriMet’s website at www.trimet.org.

Thông báo về sự Metro không kỳ thị của

Metro tôn trọng dân quyền. Muốn biết thêm thông tin về chương trình dân quyền của Metro, hoặc muốn lấy đơn khiếu nại về sự kỳ thị, xin xem trong www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Nếu quý vị cần thông dịch viên ra dấu bằng tay, trợ giúp về tiếp xúc hay ngôn ngữ, xin gọi số 503-797-1700 (từ 8 giờ sáng đến 5 giờ chiều vào những ngày thường) trước buổi họp 5 ngày làm việc.

Повідомлення Metro про заборону дискримінації

Metro з повагою ставиться до громадянських прав. Для отримання інформації про програму Metro із захисту громадянських прав або форми скарги про дискримінацію відвідайте сайт www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. або Якщо вам потрібен перекладач на зборах, для задоволення вашого запиту зателефонуйте за номером 503-797-1700 з 8.00 до 17.00 у робочі дні за п'ять робочих днів до зборів.

Metro 的不歧視公告

尊重民權。欲瞭解Metro民權計畫的詳情，或獲取歧視投訴表，請瀏覽網站 www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights。如果您需要口譯方可參加公共會議，請在會議召開前5個營業日撥打503-797-1700（工作日上午8點至下午5點），以便我們滿足您的要求。

Ogeysiiska takooris la'aanta ee Metro

Metro waxay ixtiraamtaa xuquuqda madaniga. Si aad u heshid macluumaad ku saabsan barnaamijka xuquuqda madaniga ee Metro, ama aad u heshid warqadda ka cabashada takoorista, booqo www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Haddii aad u baahan tahay turjubaan si aad uga qaybqaadatid kullanka dadweynaha, wac 503-797-1700 (8 galka hore illaa 5 galka dambe maalmaha shaqada) shan maalmaha shaqo ka hor kullanka si loo tixgaliyo codsashadaada.

Metro의 차별 금지 관련 통지서

Metro의 시민권 프로그램에 대한 정보 또는 차별 항의서 양식을 얻으려면, 또는 차별에 대한 불만을 신고 할 수 www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. 당신의 언어 지원이 필요한 경우, 회의에 앞서 5 영업일 (오후 5시 주중에 오전 8시) 503-797-1700를 호출합니다.

Metro의差別禁止通知

Metroでは公民権を尊重しています。Metroの公民権プログラムに関する情報について、または差別苦情フォームを入手するには、www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights。までお電話ください。公開会議で言語通訳を必要とされる方は、Metroがご要請に対応できるよう、公開会議の5営業日前までに503-797-1700（平日午前8時～午後5時）までお電話ください。

សេចក្តីជូនដំណឹងអំពីការមិនរើសអើងរបស់ Metro

ការគោរពសិទ្ធិពលរដ្ឋរបស់ ។ សំរាប់ព័ត៌មានអំពីកម្មវិធីសិទ្ធិពលរដ្ឋរបស់ Metro ឬដើម្បីទទួលបានកម្មប្រតិបត្តិការរើសអើងសម្រាប់ទស្សនាគោលដៅ www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights។ បើលោកអ្នកត្រូវការអ្នកបកប្រែភាសានៅពេលអង្គប្រជុំសាធារណៈ សូមទូរស័ព្ទមកលេខ 503-797-1700 (ម៉ោង 8 ព្រឹកដល់ម៉ោង 5 ល្ងាច ថ្ងៃធ្វើការ) ប្រាំពីរថ្ងៃ មុនថ្ងៃប្រជុំដើម្បីអាចឲ្យគេសម្រួលតាមសំណើរបស់លោកអ្នក ។

إشعار بعدم التمييز من Metro

تحتزم Metro الحقوق المدنية. للمزيد من المعلومات حول برنامج Metro للحقوق المدنية أو لإيداع شكوى ضد التمييز، يُرجى زيارة الموقع الإلكتروني www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. إن كنت بحاجة إلى مساعدة في اللغة، يجب عليك الاتصال مقدماً برقم الهاتف 503-797-1700 (من الساعة 8 صباحاً حتى الساعة 5 مساءً، أيام الاثنين إلى الجمعة) قبل خمسة (5) أيام عمل من موعد الاجتماع.

Paunawa ng Metro sa kawalan ng diskriminasyon

Iginagalang ng Metro ang mga karapatang sibil. Para sa impormasyon tungkol sa programa ng Metro sa mga karapatang sibil, o upang makakuha ng porma ng reklamo sa diskriminasyon, bisitahin ang www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Kung kailangan ninyo ng interpreter ng wika sa isang pampublikong pulong, tumawag sa 503-797-1700 (8 a.m. hanggang 5 p.m. Lunes hanggang Biyernes) lima araw ng trabaho bago ang pulong upang mapagbigyan ang inyong kahilingan.

Notificación de no discriminación de Metro

Metro respeta los derechos civiles. Para obtener información sobre el programa de derechos civiles de Metro o para obtener un formulario de reclamo por discriminación, ingrese a www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Si necesita asistencia con el idioma, llame al 503-797-1700 (de 8:00 a. m. a 5:00 p. m. los días de semana) 5 días laborales antes de la asamblea.

Уведомление о недопущении дискриминации от Metro

Metro уважает гражданские права. Узнать о программе Metro по соблюдению гражданских прав и получить форму жалобы о дискриминации можно на веб-сайте www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Если вам нужен переводчик на общественном собрании, оставьте свой запрос, позвонив по номеру 503-797-1700 в рабочие дни с 8:00 до 17:00 и за пять рабочих дней до даты собрания.

Avizul Metro privind nediscriminarea

Metro respectă drepturile civile. Pentru informații cu privire la programul Metro pentru drepturi civile sau pentru a obține un formular de reclamație împotriva discriminării, vizitați www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Dacă aveți nevoie de un interpret de limbă la o ședință publică, sunați la 503-797-1700 (între orele 8 și 5, în timpul zilelor lucrătoare) cu cinci zile lucrătoare înainte de ședință, pentru a putea să vă răspunde în mod favorabil la cerere.

Metro txoj kev ntxub ntxaug daim ntawv ceeb toom

Metro tributes cai. Rau cov lus qhia txog Metro txoj cai kev pab, los yog kom sau ib daim ntawv tsis txaus siab, mus saib www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Yog hais tias koj xav tau lus kev pab, hu rau 503-797-1700 (8 teev sawv ntov txog 5 teev tsaus ntuj weekdays) 5 hnub ua hauj lwm ua ntej ntawm lub rooj sib tham.

2030 Regional Waste Plan: Draft Plan and Oversight

Work Session Topics

Metro Council Work Session
Tuesday, February 12, 2018
Metro Regional Center, Council Chamber

2030 REGIONAL WASTE PLAN

Date: January 29, 2019

Prepared by: Marta McGuire, ext. 1806,
marta.mcguire@oregonmetro.gov and Matt Korot,
ext. 1760, matt.korot@oregonmetro.gov

Department: Property and Environmental Services Presenters: Marta McGuire and Matt Korot

Meeting date: February 12, 2019

Length: 60 minutes

ISSUE STATEMENT

In March 2017, the Metro Council directed staff to develop the 2030 Regional Waste Plan to serve as greater Portland area's blueprint for investing in our garbage and recycling system, reducing the environmental and health impacts of products that end up in this system, and advancing progress towards Metro's racial equity objectives. The draft plan is now complete, following extensive community, local government and stakeholder engagement, four previous work session discussions with Council, multiple engagements with the Metro Policy Advisory Committee and Metro Solid Waste Alternatives Advisory Committee, and a formal public comment period.

ACTION REQUESTED

Staff requests final input and guidance from Council on the plan's content and oversight framework prior to bringing a plan adoption ordinance for consideration on the following dates:

- February 28, 2019 Council meeting: Public hearing and first reading of ordinance
- March 7, 2019 Council meeting: Second reading of ordinance

IDENTIFIED POLICY OUTCOMES

The Metro Council has previously endorsed the values, principles, vision, goals, actions and indicators in the plan that aim to achieve three sets of desired outcomes:

Racial equity outcomes including:

- Diversity in garbage and recycling system jobs
- Living wages and benefits
- Access and representation in decision-making for historically marginalized communities
- Inclusive, culturally relevant education services
- Greater share of economic benefits to people of color and historically marginalized communities

Health and environmental outcomes including:

- Toxic chemicals out of priority products
- Better purchasing choices
- More opportunities for reuse and repair
- Minimized impacts from system operations

Garbage and recycling system outcomes including:

- Improved collection services tailored to the needs of all residents
- More adaptable and resilient recycling system
- Prepared for disasters

POLICY QUESTION(S)

- Does Council wish to make any changes to the draft plan based on its review and on the public comment report?
- Does Council wish to make any revisions to the oversight framework for plan implementation?

POLICY OPTIONS FOR COUNCIL TO CONSIDER

1. Overall, direct staff to bring the plan forward for adoption as written or direct staff to make revisions to the plan.
2. Regarding the oversight framework (p. 119 of the plan):
 - a. Affirm the proposed framework or provide direction to staff for an alternative
 - b. If affirmed, determine whether the proposed implementation committee should be a formal advisory committee to Council.
 - c. If affirmed, determine whether the proposed implementation committee should be chaired by a Metro Councilor or Metro staff.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT & FRAMING COUNCIL DISCUSSION

The Metro Charter, the Oregon Constitution and Oregon Statutes grant Metro broad authority for planning, managing and overseeing the regional solid waste system to protect public health and safeguard the environment. As a part of these responsibilities, Metro is responsible for developing a regional plan that sets direction for programs, services and facilities.

How does this advance Metro's climate action goals?

The 2030 Regional Waste Plan reflects a paradigm shift regarding the impacts of waste. This shift is characterized as one from "solid waste management" to "materials management." Using life cycle analytical tools, materials management focuses on minimizing the overall environmental and human health impacts of the products and packaging that become waste, not just those impacts of the waste itself. This framework results in the identification of opportunities to reduce impacts throughout a product's life, from raw material acquisition to design to production to use to reuse, recycling or disposal. A significant impact that materials management addresses is greenhouse gas emissions.

There are two generally accepted ways of categorizing greenhouse gas emissions. The first is a sector-based approach that categorizes emissions generated within a defined geographical area by major sectors like transportation, residential, commercial, industrial and agricultural. The second way is a consumption-based approach, which categorizes emissions produced around the world that are associated with a given area's consumption of goods, services and energy. This approach gives the best view into the emissions that consumers "own." From that perspective, more than 35 percent of the Metro region's greenhouse gas emissions are associated with the goods we buy, use and get rid of. That's why this plan is important.

How does this advance Metro's racial equity goals?

Goal B of Metro's *Strategic plan to advance racial equity, diversity and inclusion* calls for Metro to meaningfully engage communities of color. From spring 2017 to fall 2018, Metro worked closely with eight community-based organizations and an equity work group to take a racial equity driven approach to developing the plan and writing its content. This process of building trust and co-creation advanced Metro's progress toward this goal and made the plan stronger than it would have otherwise been.

Goal E of the racial equity strategic plan calls for Metro to advance economic opportunities for communities of color. The plan, particularly with the actions in the Shared Prosperity goal area, has a strong focus on increasing the share of the system's economic impact (\$287 million per year) that goes to people of color and others from historically marginalized communities, increasing the pay and benefits of system jobs, and getting greater diversity in all garbage and recycling jobs. Additionally, the plan has a significant emphasis on equity of services within the solid waste system and an equitable distribution of both the benefits and burdens of this system.

Today's Council discussion

Since this is Council's fifth engagement on the plan, staff's presentation will focus on the plan's public comment report and oversight framework.

Public Comment

In addition to input from the Solid Waste Alternatives Advisory Committee, individuals and organizations provided more than 90 comments on the draft plan. Overall, the comments supported the direction and content of the plan. Based on the public comment, staff made some revisions to clarify language and terms and added two new actions. The revisions are listed on page 30 of the public comment report.

Staff also presented the draft plan and public comment report to the Metro Policy Advisory Committee in January 2019. Clackamas County commended Metro on the planning process and direction of the plan. City of Hillsboro expressed support for plan and identified the need to prioritize infrastructure development on the west side of the region in addition to providing for more equitable rates at facilities. City of Forest Grove also supported the plan, noting that it will likely make some people uncomfortable, but discomfort is good for driving positive change. Finally, City of Milwaukie expressed support for legislative actions within the plan that address product design, particularly as it relates to products designed for obsolescence.

Oversight

The draft plan includes an oversight framework with roles for Metro Council, the Metro Policy Advisory Committee and a new Regional Waste Plan Implementation Committee, as outlined on page 119. The Regional Waste Plan Implementation Committee would serve as a reconfigured Solid Waste Alternatives Advisory Committee, providing input:

- to staff on the development of Regional Waste Plan projects and programs
- to Council on proposed policies to implement the plan's actions
- to staff and Council on the effectiveness of Metro and local government implementation of the plan

Staff also proposes that the oversight framework include a role for Metro's Committee on Racial Equity in its role overseeing implementation of Metro's overall racial equity strategy.

Next Steps

Staff is proposing to bring the draft plan to Metro Council for adoption through ordinance on February 28 (first read and public hearing) and March 7 (second read), 2019. If Council adopts the plan, staff will begin work on an initial three-year work plan to prioritize the actions for implementation. Adoption will also prompt creation of the new Regional Waste Plan Implementation Committee, which would require amending the Metro Code and Council confirmation of members. Resource requests for plan implementation will go through the regular Metro budget process.

BACKGROUND

Metro initiated development of the 2030 Regional Waste Plan in spring 2017 to fulfill its responsibility to plan, manage and oversee the regional garbage and recycling system. The plan was developed in five phases of work, with Council, advisory groups, stakeholder and community involvement in each phase.

A key aspect of developing the plan was a focus on advancing racial equity. This has been accomplished in two ways. First, through inclusive engagement and relationship-building with historically underrepresented communities. Specifically, Metro partnered with eight community-based organizations to form a cohort of more than 100 people who participated in multiple discussions over more than a year about the future of garbage and recycling. From tours of local garbage and recycling facilities to gatherings at local community centers, participants shared their values and priorities related to garbage and recycling to help shape the goals and actions of the plan. These organizations were:

- Center for Diversity & the Environment
- Centro Cultural de Washington County
- Constructing Hope
- Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization
- Momentum Alliance
- North by Northeast Community Health Center
- The Rosewood Initiative
- Trash for Peace

The second way Metro integrated racial equity in the planning process was through the incorporation of an Equity Work Group to guide and inform the plan. At the very beginning of the process, Metro identified that it needed a group of individuals that had deep experience leading and advancing equity for communities of color and historically marginalized communities to help ensure the plan fully incorporated equity in the planning process and outcomes. The seven members of the Equity Work Group were selected because of their expertise and experience working with communities of color and historically marginalized communities. The work group has participated in each phase of the plan's development.

Name	Affiliation
Rob Nathan	Individual; Referred by Coalition of Communities of Color
Emma Brennan	Oregon Tradeswomen, Inc.
Pa Vue	Individual; Referred by Asian Pacific American Network of Oregon
Marilou Carrera	Individual; Referred by Oregon Health Equity Alliance
Juan Carlos Gonzalez	Individual; Referred by Centro Cultural
Andre Bealer	National Association of Minority Contractors of Oregon
Tommy Jay Larracas	Individual; Referred by OPAL Environmental Justice Oregon

Highlights of each of the phases of the plan's development are provided below.

Phase 1: Values March 2017 to July 2017	Phase 1 developed the plan's values and guiding principles. The values are informed by existing regional, state and federal policies and plans regarding waste management, recycling, toxics and other related environmental programs. The values are further shaped from public surveys, community discussions with culturally-specific organizations and review by the Equity Work Group. The Equity Work Group also developed the principles to provide guidance on advancing equity through plan development and implementation. Following review by the Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) and Solid Waste Alternatives Advisory Committee (SWAAC), Metro Council endorsed the values and principles in summer 2017.
Phase 2: Vision and Goal Setting July 2017 to Jan. 2018	Building on community discussions held in the first phase, Metro conducted a series of engagements to inform development of the vision and goals: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A planning workshop involving more than forty individuals, including Equity Work Group members and Metro and local government staff• An online survey that asked community members to help shape future priorities. More than 4,000 individuals took the survey. Metro compiled the survey results to share at the leadership forums (described below) and inform the plan's vision, goals and actions.• Three leadership forums co-led by Metro and local community-based organizations at which more than 120 individuals shared ideas and discussed future priorities for the garbage and recycling system. The forums were hosted by Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization, Rosewood Initiative and Centro Cultural.• A technical forum with more than 60 stakeholders active in some element of the garbage and recycling system provided input on the draft vision and goals.• The Equity Work Group, local government solid waste directors, SWAAC and MPAC reviewed the draft vision and goals. Metro Council endorsed the vision and goals in January 2018.
Phase 3: System Analysis Dec. 2017 to March 2018	Phase 3 established an understanding of where the region is today relative to the goals and vision generated during phase 2. To do this, Metro staff assessed data on different aspects of the current garbage and recycling system. This included writing descriptions about the system's existing programs, policies and infrastructure, as well as identifying opportunities and challenges facing the system. The information gathered in this phase served as a starting point for an overall measurement approach for the plan and to inform the development of actions and indicators.

<p>Phase 4: Building a Strategy March 2018 to Oct. 2018</p>	<p>In phase 4, Metro convened topic-specific technical work groups to develop the plan’s draft actions. The groups were made up of representatives from local governments, garbage and recycling facility operators, haulers, reuse organizations, community organizations and others with a particular interest in the system. More than 60 individuals participated in the work groups, which met four times during March to May 2018.</p> <p>Metro also solicited input on the draft actions from the individuals associated with the eight community-based organizations who had taken part in earlier phases of the plan’s development. During a four-hour session, more than 100 local residents reviewed the draft actions to see whether they reflected their previous input and to prioritize the changes they most want to see in the system. Following these engagements, Metro staff worked with the Equity Work Group and local government staff to further refine and organize the draft goals and actions and to develop the plan indicators.</p> <p>Following the review of MPAC and SWAAC, Metro Council reviewed the draft actions and indicators for incorporation into the draft plan in October 2019.</p>
<p>Phase 5: Plan Adoption Nov. 2018 to March 2019</p>	<p>The fifth and final phase is focused on finalizing and adopting the plan. Metro released the draft plan for public review and feedback from mid-November through mid-December. Engagements in the public comment period included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Letters to city managers about the release of the draft plan and public comment period • Four community briefings with community groups about the plan with an explanation about the Metro Council decision-making process • Two public forms for individuals interested in reviewing the draft plan and providing comments in-person • Making briefing materials and information about the plan available online for the general public. <p>Staff summarized all the comments received during the comment period in a public comment report, as well as noting the changes to the draft plan made in response to the comments. SWAAC and MPAC also reviewed the draft plan to provide input to the Metro Council. The Council will hold legislative hearings on the plan in February and March 2019.</p>

ATTACHMENTS

- 2030 Regional Waste Plan Executive Summary
- 2030 Regional Waste Plan final draft
- Public comment report

- Is legislation required for Council action? Yes
- If yes, is draft legislation attached? No



Metro



**Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan:
Equity, health and the environment**

Executive summary

Introduction

The garbage and recycling system is more than garbage trucks and recycling bins. It's about reducing waste and protecting the environment. It's about making sure everyone has access to high-quality services, information and economic opportunities. And it's about reducing the impacts of products throughout their lives.

The 2030 Regional Waste Plan connects those values—from jobs and services to health and the environment—to specific actions aimed at achieving them. It's the guide that Metro, cities, counties and others involved in managing the garbage and recycling system will use to determine what to focus on over the next 12 years.

From spring 2017 to fall 2018, Metro worked with communities around greater Portland to shape the future of the garbage and recycling system. This plan reflects those voices and continues our efforts to protect the environment, keep people healthy and ensure everyone has what they need to thrive.

What is the 2030 Regional Waste Plan?

Plan website: www.oregonmetro.gov/regionalwasteplan

The 2030 Regional Waste Plan is both a vision for greater Portland's garbage and recycling system and a blueprint for achieving that vision. Metro created the plan in partnership with cities, counties, businesses and community leaders in the greater Portland area. Metro also collaborated with members of culturally specific community-based organizations who historically have had the least influence in the decision-making process to shape the garbage and recycling system. This will help ensure the plan moves us toward a system that delivers benefits shared by all.



What problems and opportunities does the new plan address?

The 2030 Regional Waste Plan addresses challenges with our regional garbage and recycling system, including:

How it impacts the environment, both locally and globally, and throughout the life of the products we make, use and throw away.

How it impacts human health, including harmful emissions from facilities and chemicals in products that pose a danger.

The long history of exclusionary and discriminatory policies and practices that continue to harm communities in the region.

In addressing these challenges, the plan also seeks to take advantage of opportunities, such as:

Protecting our climate

Reducing pollution

Preventing harm to human health

Sharing the system's economic benefits equitably

Looking at the full life of products, from when they're designed and made until they're thrown away

Engaging local communities and community organizations throughout the process



PREVIOUS WASTE PLANS

Metro has adopted three solid waste system plans to date. These are updated about every 10 years to meet the needs of the changing region and to align with state and federal guidance.

Why Metro regulates the garbage and recycling system

The Metro Charter, the Oregon Constitution, and Oregon statutes grant Metro broad authority for planning, managing and overseeing the regional garbage and recycling system. Metro and local governments work together to manage the system for the region and this plan allows for flexibility over the 12 years it will be in effect. It's a living document that will guide us toward a healthier, more resilient and more equitable system.


There are a lot of complexities to managing a regional waste system that serves two dozen cities, portions of three counties, over a million residents, thousands of businesses, and many garbage and recycling service providers. In 1987, the Metro Council designated the garbage and recycling system as an area and activity appropriate for a functional plan (Metro Ordinance 87-740). A regional plan provides a unified blueprint to coordinate all parties and to bring the parts of the system together into a well-functioning whole.

Addressing the full life of products

This Regional Waste Plan addresses the entire life cycle of products. The goals and actions are designed to not only improve the way we manage materials at the end of their life, but also to reduce harmful impacts by intervening earlier. There's opportunity to improve how we design and produce products, extract raw materials from the earth, make purchasing decisions and use what we buy. The traditional garbage and recycling system, which handles products and packaging after we are done with them, is just one part of this larger system.

This life cycle approach can result in healthier people and a healthier planet. It can improve access to high-quality services and information, no matter where you live. It can help you decide what to buy or where to get rid of an item you are done using. And it can lessen the negative health and environmental impacts from the materials and products we use every day.

THE FULL LIFE CYCLE OF PRODUCTS



Metro's new approach

For the 2030 Regional Waste Plan, Metro embarked on a new approach to planning for the future. The plan includes a significant focus on racial equity. It also addresses impacts throughout the life of products and materials. These core ideas strongly influence the goals and actions that make up the plan.

Leading with equity

Our region is stronger when everyone has access to financial prosperity, a healthy environment and the range of opportunities that allow us to thrive. But unfortunately, a long history of exclusionary and discriminatory policies has harmed communities of color in the Portland metropolitan region. As a result, communities of color currently experience the worst economic and social outcomes of any demographic group.

Metro, cities and counties are committed to creating the conditions that allow everyone to enjoy the benefits of our growing region. With our programs, policies and services, we are working to make this a great place for everyone—today and for generations to come.


To address inequities and establish an inclusive process from the start, Metro convened an Equity Work Group, comprised of seven individuals with expertise and experience working with communities of color and historically marginalized communities, to engage in each phase of the process. The work group collaborated with staff to draft elements of the plan. Metro also partnered with eight community-based organizations to host a series of engagements about the future of garbage and recycling. Ideas and feedback gathered from the community informed many of the aspects of the plan.

WHAT IS RACIAL EQUITY?

Racial equity has been achieved when race can no longer be used to predict life outcomes, and outcomes for all groups are improved.

FROM COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT TO CONCRETE ACTIONS

The values, principles and vision inspire and inform the concrete actions that Metro and local governments will implement as part of this plan.



40 actions focus directly on advancing equity and reducing disparities.

E This symbol identifies actions with the greatest potential for advancing racial equity.

Find the actions in the Goals and Actions section of the plan.

Learn more about how community engagement led to concrete action in the Creating the Plan section of the plan.


Environmental impacts of products and materials

Metro and others involved in the garbage and recycling system have typically measured the environmental impact of what we produce, consume and throw away by looking at the amount and types of items that show up in the recycling, composting and garbage.

This focus on the end of a product's life provides an incomplete picture of how we're affecting the environment and how we can reduce our impact. In this plan, to align with a new focus on the full life cycle of products and materials, we are assessing our environmental impact in a more comprehensive way: by looking at the greenhouse gas emissions and other environmental and health impacts associated with products and materials throughout their life, from production to disposal.

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS FROM PRODUCTS, MATERIALS AND SERVICES

In 2015, the Metro region generated 41 million metric tons of greenhouse gas emissions from the products and materials we bought, used and threw away and the services we used.



- Design and manufacturing: 68%
- Consumption and use: 32%
- End-of-life management: 0.5%

*Note: The figures in this chart do not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (2018). 2015 Consumption-Based Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory for the Metro Region.*

FIND IT IN THE PLAN

How equity and engagement shaped the plan (p. 16)

More about the full life cycle of products (p. 12)

A look at the environmental impacts of what we make and consume (p. 18)

Narrative table of contents

These brief descriptions of the plan's content and organization are intended to help the reader more easily navigate the plan.

Introduction.....4

This section provides an overview of the plan by describing the key trends and challenges it addresses and the opportunities and outcomes it delivers. Also included is a brief guide to navigating the plan.

A new approach to managing waste 10

This section describes two ideas that are the foundation of Metro's approach: addressing the entire life of products and advancing equity. It outlines how the plan will reduce harmful impacts by intervening before a product reaches the end of its life. It also describes the importance of equity and introduces the community engagement process. Also included is a map of facilities, services and providers.

Environmental impacts of products and materials..... 18

This section explains how producing and consuming goods and services affects the environment--locally and beyond. It also shows why our choices about managing waste matter and describes ways to measure environmental impacts.

Economic footprint.....24

This section looks at the economic footprint of the garbage and recycling system. It details how the fees residents pay for garbage and recycling services support jobs and economic activity.

Legal foundation and policy guidance.....28

This section presents the history and existing policies that guide the plan.

Creating the plan34

This section summarizes the steps taken to develop the plan, with a focus on the wide range of individuals, groups and advisors that gave input and guidance.

Values, principles and vision42

This section describes essential concepts, or values, that guided creation of the plans vision and goals. It also describes the standard beliefs, or principles, that provide further direction for the plan’s development and implementation. And it shares the desired future, or vision, for the garbage and recycling system. Together, the values, principles and vision are the foundation on which the plan is built.

Goals and actions48

Goals and related actions in five areas will address the impacts of materials and products, from production to disposal. Metro, city and county governments will work to implement the actions in the coming years.

Measuring progress102

This section describes how Metro and local governments will monitor work at all levels. It also describes processes to evaluate, measure and report progress to the community and elected officials.

Plan implementation108

This section focuses on the roles of those responsible for carrying out the plan and the approach to completing actions, ensuring compliance with existing requirements and amending the plan.

Appendices

Acknowledgements

Waste Reduction Program

(list of plan items that meet the waste reduction requirements required by state law)

Key solid waste laws

Glossary of terms

Values, principles and vision

With equity considerations front and center, the values, principles and vision serve as the plan's foundation. Existing guidance—including regional, state and federal policies and plans regarding waste management, recycling, toxics and other related environmental programs—informed these core concepts.

Values

The values serve as a basis for the plan's goals and actions and will guide implementation.



PROTECT AND RESTORE THE ENVIRONMENT AND PROMOTE HEALTH FOR ALL

- Ensure that current and future generations enjoy clean air, water and land
- Lead efforts to reduce impacts of climate change and minimize release of toxins in the environment



CONSERVE NATURAL RESOURCES

- Reduce the amount of energy, water and raw materials needed to make products
- Manage materials to their highest and best use (reduce, reuse, recycle)



ADVANCE ENVIRONMENTAL LITERACY

- Facilitate life-long environmental learning for youth and adults
- Increase knowledge of natural systems, and the human impacts on them, in order to foster civic responsibility and community empowerment



FOSTER ECONOMIC WELL-BEING

- Promote inclusive prosperity and living well for all residents of the region
- Increase access to economic opportunities for all communities



ENSURE OPERATIONAL RESILIENCE, ADAPTABILITY AND SUSTAINABILITY

- Maintain a regional system that is safe and responsive to changing conditions to ensure long-term viability
- Prepare for recovery after natural disasters



PROVIDE EXCELLENT SERVICE AND EQUITABLE SYSTEM ACCESS

- Ensure that high-quality and good-value programs, services and facilities are equitably accessible to all

Principles

This plan provides Metro and local governments a powerful opportunity to advance racial equity, diversity and inclusion. The following principles were developed by the Equity Work Group in collaboration with Metro staff. Their purpose is to help address historical and disproportionate impacts of the waste system on marginalized communities and to define how the plan may advance racial equity.

Community restoration

Metro and local governments will act to repair past harms and disproportionate impacts caused by the regional solid waste system. In practice, this means:

- Acknowledging historical impacts passed from generation to generation within communities.
- Actively including communities that have been historically marginalized from decision-making processes.
- Equitably distributing costs and benefits, taking into account historical and system impacts.
- Valuing indigenous and cultural knowledge about using resources sustainably.
- Committing to building a greater awareness of equity among providers of garbage and recycling services.

Community partnerships

Metro and local governments will develop authentic partnerships and community trust to advance the plan's vision. In practice, this means:

- Prioritizing historically marginalized communities within the delivery of programs and services.
- Expanding voice and decision-making opportunities for communities of color.
- Supporting resilient community relationships by creating ongoing opportunities for leadership development.

Community investment

Metro and local governments will emphasize resource allocation to communities of color and historically marginalized communities. In practice, this means:

- Making investment decisions in partnership with communities.
- Investing in impacted communities and youth through education and financial resources.
- Eliminating barriers to services and employment.

Vision

The 2030 Vision identifies the desired future for the garbage and recycling system and specific outcomes for managing and reducing the impacts of products consumed in the region. The goals and actions are designed to close the gap between today and this desired future.

Economic prosperity

Innovation, investments and partnerships support a thriving garbage, recycling, reuse and repair economy that benefits local communities.

Good jobs

All garbage and recycling industry jobs pay living wages and provide opportunities for career advancement. All occupations in the industry reflect the diversity of our local communities.

Education and information

Everyone has the culturally relevant, age-appropriate information and educational resources needed to make purchasing and disposal decisions that will protect their health and the environment.

Healthy products

Companies and consumers share responsibility for reducing the harmful impacts of products and packaging on public health, climate, air quality, waterways and wildlife throughout the entire life cycle of products.

Reduce, reuse and repair

Reduce, reuse, repair and donation are mainstream practices accessible to all, creating economic opportunity and building community self-reliance.

Quality service

Garbage and recycling services meet the needs of all people and all communities.

Garbage and recycling operations

From trucks to facilities, our garbage and recycling system is safe for workers and the public, minimizes pollution of air, soils and water, and is financially sustainable.

Preparedness and resilience

The region's garbage and recycling system is resilient and prepared to recover quickly from disruptions like natural disasters, while minimizing harmful impacts to the most affected communities.

Goals and actions

The goals of the plan focus on addressing the impacts of materials—from production to disposal—and closing the gap between today’s reality and the region’s vision for the future. This involves taking action at every stage of the product life cycle and addressing community needs within the garbage and recycling system.

The plan identifies goals and actions in five areas of work:

- Shared prosperity
- Product design and manufacturing
- Product consumption and use
- Product end-of-life management
- Disaster resilience

The goals in each area identify what the region would like to achieve by 2030. Each goal has an associated set of actions to be undertaken by Metro and local governments.



Shared prosperity

This area of work aims to address barriers faced by communities of color and those who have been disproportionately impacted by the garbage and recycling system. Through the goals and actions in this section, Metro and local governments aim to make progress toward a future where all people have equitable access to the benefits of the garbage, recycling, reuse and repair economies.

- Goal 1:** Increase engagement of youth and adults historically underrepresented in garbage and recycling decision-making by enhancing civic engagement and leadership opportunities.
- Goal 2:** Increase the percentage of garbage and recycling system revenue that benefits local communities and companies owned by people of color and other underrepresented groups.
- Goal 3:** Ensure that all jobs in the garbage and recycling industry pay living wages and include good benefits.
- Goal 4:** Increase the diversity of the workforce in all occupations where people of color, women and other historically marginalized communities are underrepresented.

FIND IT IN THE PLAN

Learn more on p. 52 of the plan.



Product design and manufacturing

The goal of the highly collaborative actions in this new area is for manufacturers to become more responsible for the impacts of their products. There's potential to create healthier products every step of the way, from natural resource extraction to manufacturing processes to decisions about materials and packaging.

- Goal 5:** Reduce the environmental and human health impacts of products and packaging that are made, sold, used or disposed in Oregon.

FIND IT IN THE PLAN

Learn more on p. 60 of the plan.



Product consumption and use

Goals in this area focus on reducing the environmental and health impacts of what we buy and use. The actions emphasize education and policy efforts to reduce those impacts and support better purchasing choices. Education will prioritize culturally responsive efforts, with programs and services designed and delivered in partnership with community organizations to reach historically marginalized groups. Policy actions in this area aim to provide safer, lower-risk products and reduce the use of single-use items that harm the environment and create problems for the recycling system.

Goal 6: Reduce product environmental impacts and waste through educational and behavioral practices related to prevention and better purchasing choices.

Goal 7: Reduce product environmental impacts and waste through policies that support prevention practices and better purchasing choices.

FIND IT IN THE PLAN

Learn more on p. 64 of the plan.



Product end-of-life management

Every year, the greater Portland region disposes of well more than 1 million tons of garbage and recovers more than 1 million tons of food scraps, yard trimmings and recyclables. While the ultimate goal is to prevent waste to begin with, the Portland region still needs a system that safely and conveniently manages products at the end of their useful life. The goal of the actions in this area is to ensure that the programs and services not only protect human health and the environment, but that they do so in a way that meets the needs of all residents and all communities today and into the future.

- Goal 8:** Increase the reuse, repair and donation of materials and consumer products.
- Goal 9:** Increase knowledge among community members about garbage, recycling and reuse services.
- Goal 10:** Provide regionally consistent services for garbage, recyclables and other priority materials that meet the needs of all users.
- Goal 11:** Address and resolve community concerns and service issues.
- Goal 12:** Manage all garbage and recycling operations to reduce their nuisance, safety and environmental impacts on workers and the public.
- Goal 13:** Invest in communities that receive garbage and recyclables from the Metro region so that those communities regard solid waste facilities as assets.
- Goal 14:** Adopt rates for all services that are reasonable, responsive to user economic needs, regionally consistent and well understood.
- Goal 15:** Improve the systems for recovering recyclables, food scraps and yard debris to make them resilient to changing markets and evolving community needs.
- Goal 16:** Maintain a system of facilities, from smaller recycling drop-off depots to larger full-service stations, to ensure equitable distribution of and access to services.

FIND IT IN THE PLAN

Learn more on p. 70 of the plan.



Disaster resilience

The region's garbage and recycling system must be resilient and prepared to recover quickly after a disaster, and the recovery process should minimize harmful impacts to local communities. These actions focus on coordinating effectively with partners, preparing to restore routine garbage and recycling services following a disruption, and planning to expedite the removal of debris in a way that makes the best use of local services and maximizes recovery.

FIND IT IN THE PLAN

Learn more on p. 94 of the plan.



Goal 17: Effectively coordinate public and private partners in planning for the impact of disasters on the solid waste system.

Goal 18: Ensure routine garbage and recycling collection, processing, transport and disposal operations can be restored quickly following a system disruption.

Goal 19: Plan disaster debris response operations to expedite the clearance and removal of debris, making the best use of locally-based services and materials and maximizing recovery.



Plan implementation

Implementation

Responsibility for implementing the 2030 Regional Waste Plan is shared by Metro and city and county governments in the region. The actions in the plan will be carried out by these agencies in collaboration with the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, for-profit garbage and recycling companies, and non-profit organizations involved in different areas of the system.

Metro is responsible for coordinating implementation of the plan and assessing plan performance. Cities, counties and Metro are responsible for leading or participating in implementation of the actions. Several different approaches, described on page 116 of the plan, will be used to implement the actions. In the action tables in the Goals and actions section, one or more implementation approaches are identified for each action and Metro and/or cities and counties is indicated as the lead.







Implementation of the actions will be coordinated through regional and local work plans developed by Metro and local governments to prioritize actions.

Measuring progress

The plan includes a robust measurement framework to evaluate progress toward its vision and goals. This will allow Metro and local governments to demonstrate the positive impacts the plan's activities are having on the region, highlight opportunities for improvement and evaluate which programs and projects are helping the region achieve its desired outcomes.

Key indicators

Key indicators communicate the overall trajectory of progress to a broad audience. They draw from the plan's values and demonstrate overall performance. A number of the key indicators are new measures that would require investment to implement.

VALUE	KEY INDICATORS	LEAD AGENCY	STATUS
	Greenhouse gas emissions associated with the products and services consumed in the Metro region (Environment and Health value)	Metro	In progress
	Annual tons of waste generated (Resource Conservation value)	Metro	In progress
	Number, geographic location and demographics of youth reached through education programs (Environmental Literacy value)	Metro	In progress
	Share of multifamily communities with adequate collection services (Service Excellence and Equity value)	Metro Cities Counties	Investment needed
	Recycling contamination by sector (Operational Resilience value)	Metro	Investment needed
	Median wage in the waste management industry by race, ethnicity and gender (Economic Well-Being value)	Metro Cities Counties	Investment needed

Goal indicators

Indicators at the goal level are designed to measure the progress of specific programs, policies or investments that are linked to attaining the 2030 Regional Waste Plan’s goals. A number of the goal indicators will also inform the key indicators. The goal indicators are listed on page 104 of the plan.

Baseline and targets

As of the date of adoption of this plan, all of the indicators need additional work to develop baseline and evaluation methodologies. This work will be completed within the first two years of plan implementation, after which Metro, in consultation with local governments and community partners, will establish targets for each indicator.

Progress reports

On an annual basis, Metro will report on the status of each action and whether it has been implemented. Reporting on the key and goal indicators will occur at least every three years.

Plan oversight

Metro Council, the Metro Policy Advisory Committee and the Regional Waste Plan Implementation Committee will oversee implementation of the plan.

The Regional Waste Plan Implementation Committee will be newly formed following adoption of the Regional Waste Plan. The committee is expected to provide input on development of the programs and policies that implement the plan’s actions and advise the Metro Council and Metro Chief Operating Officer on legislative and administrative actions they will consider related to plan implementation.

If you picnic at Blue Lake or take your kids to the Oregon Zoo, enjoy symphonies at the Schnitz or auto shows at the Convention Center, put out your trash or drive your car – we've already crossed paths.

So, hello. We're Metro – nice to meet you.

In a metropolitan area as big as Portland, we can do a lot of things better together. Join us to help the region prepare for a happy, healthy future.

Stay in touch with news, stories and things to do.

oregonmetro.gov/news

Follow Oregon Metro:



METRO COUNCIL PRESIDENT

Lynn Peterson

METRO COUNCILORS

Shirley Craddick, District 1

Christine Lewis, District 2

Craig Dirksen, District 3

Juan Carlos Gonzalez, District 4

Sam Chase, District 5

Bob Stacey, District 6

AUDITOR

Brian Evans

600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, OR 97232-2736
503-797-1700



Art and events
Garbage and recycling
Land and transportation
Parks and nature
Oregon Zoo

oregonmetro.gov



Metro



Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan

Equity, health and the environment

If you picnic at Blue Lake or take your kids to the Oregon Zoo, enjoy symphonies at the Schnitz or auto shows at the convention center, put out your trash or drive your car – we've already crossed paths.

So, hello. We're Metro – nice to meet you.

In a metropolitan area as big as Portland, we can do a lot of things better together. Join us to help the region prepare for a happy, healthy future.

Stay in touch with news, stories and things to do.

oregonmetro.gov/news

Follow Oregon Metro:



METRO COUNCIL PRESIDENT

Lynn Peterson

METRO COUNCILORS

Shirley Craddick, District 1

Christine Lewis, District 2

Craig Dirksen, District 3

Juan Carlos Gonzalez, District 4

Sam Chase, District 5

Bob Stacey, District 6

AUDITOR

Brian Evans

600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, OR 97232-2736
503-797-1700

Table of contents

Introduction	4
Navigating the plan7
Definitions8
A new approach to managing waste	10
Addressing the full life cycle	11
The life cycle of products and materials	12
The garbage and recycling system	14
Leading with equity	16
Environmental impacts of products and materials	18
Measuring environmental impacts	19
Reducing our impact	22
Economic footprint	24
Economic impact	25
System revenue	26
Legal foundation and policy guidance	28
Overview	29
Legal foundation	29
Policy guidance	30
Creating the plan	34
Planning process	35

Values, principles and vision.....	42
Overview.....	43
Values.....	44
Principles.....	45
Vision.....	47
Goals and actions.....	48
Overview.....	49
Navigating the action tables.....	50
Shared prosperity.....	52
Product design and manufacturing.....	60
Product consumption and use.....	64
Product end-of-life management.....	70
Disaster resilience.....	94
Measuring progress.....	102
Plan Indicators.....	103
Implementation, compliance and amendments.....	108
Overview.....	109
Roles and responsibilities.....	110
Oregon statutory requirements.....	112
Requirements for local governments.....	113
Plan implementation.....	116
Plan oversight.....	119
Appendices.....	120
Acknowledgments.....	122
Waste reduction program.....	124
Key solid waste laws.....	138
Glossary of terms.....	142

Page intentionally left blank. Foreword will go here.



Introduction

We live in a place where people care—about protecting the environment, conserving resources, keeping people healthy and ensuring that everyone has what they need to thrive.

There's a strong connection between our ability to achieve these values and our decisions about managing waste, especially when we think beyond garbage trucks and recycling bins.

Our regional waste system is more than recycling services and garbage facilities. It encompasses the entire life of the products we use, from design to production to use, until they go to a recycler, landfill or thrift store. Garbage, recycling and related sectors make up a significant part of the Portland region's economy, employing thousands of people and generating more than \$537 million in economic activity each year. Garbage and recycling have a substantial impact on the environment, too: the products we purchase, use and throw away are responsible for more than 35 percent of the region's greenhouse gas emissions that come from consumption activities..

Over the years, a collaborative approach to planning for the future has helped make greater Portland one of the most livable areas of the country. The 2030 Regional Waste Plan continues that tradition—and builds on it, with a focus on equity, health and the environment.

Everyone should be able to enjoy the benefits of our growing region. Currently, not everyone can. A long history of discrimination in law, policy and practices has left communities of color out of the economic benefits of the garbage and recycling system while burdening them with disproportionate harmful impacts. In contrast to past planning efforts, this plan acknowledges racial equity as the backbone of good governance, addressing disparities that people of color experience related to Metro's policies, programs and services.


Equity shows up throughout this plan, from the community-driven process that created it to the goals and actions designed to correct past wrongs and build a more inclusive future.

At a time when climate change and pollution are threatening people and the environment, we must grapple with the fact that these global problems are linked to our patterns of consumption and our growing demands for materials. The products we produce, purchase, use and throw away have impacts locally and globally, and not just when we dispose of them.

The plan is a policy document that sets direction across the 12 years the plan will be in effect. The plan's goals and actions provide specific guidance for reducing the impacts of products throughout their lives, during design and manufacture, when we buy and use them and when we throw them away. The plan also provides direction for ensuring the region's garbage and recycling system is resilient and prepared to recover quickly after a disaster.

As the regional solid waste authority, Metro has the responsibility to ensure that all solid waste generated in the region is managed in a manner that protects public health and safety and safeguards the environment. All programs, services and facilities related to solid waste management and disposal are addressed by the plan, including waste reduction, collection, transfer and disposal. This plan is designed to address the changes and challenges we face and to provide opportunities to innovate, invest and continue our efforts to protect people and quality of life in the region.

In collaboration with city, county, state, community and business leaders, Metro will use the 2030 Regional Waste Plan as greater Portland's blueprint to respond to the complex and interrelated challenges we face.



Navigating the plan

Values, principles and vision (page 42)

The foundation of the plan, the values, principles and vision will guide how Metro and our partners manage and improve the garbage and recycling system.

Goals and actions (page 48)

The 19 specific goals and 108 related actions will enable the region to achieve its vision by 2030. The goals and actions are grouped into five categories:

- Shared prosperity
- Product design and manufacturing
- Product use and consumption
- Product end-of-life management
- Disaster resilience

Measuring progress (page 102)

Specific indicators will be used to measure progress over time.

Implementation (page 108)

Metro is responsible for coordinating and participating in various efforts to implement the plan and assess its performance. Several approaches will be used to implement the actions of the plan. Each action is assigned a lead agency—the primary entity responsible for implementation and reporting progress.

Definitions

The Plan: The entire 2030 Regional Waste Plan.

Life cycle system: The multiple interconnected stages of a product's life, from raw material extraction to design and production to recycling into something new, and the impacts the product has at each stage of its life.

Garbage and recycling system: All the programs, services and facilities that enable residents and businesses to safely, and with the highest environmental benefit, get rid of their discarded items when they no longer have use for them. Metro, cities and counties oversee and manage garbage, recycling and composting services. The system also includes organizations and businesses that provide donation, reuse and repair services to reduce the amount of materials going to the landfills.

From Metro's Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion:

Racial equity: When race can no longer be used to predict life outcomes, and outcomes for all groups are improved.

Historically marginalized: Groups that have been denied access and/or suffered past institutional discrimination in the United States.

Inclusion: The degree to which diverse individuals are able to participate fully in the decision-making process within an organization or group. While a truly "inclusive" group is necessarily diverse, a "diverse" group may or may not be "inclusive."

Diversity: The variance or difference among people. This variance includes race, ethnicity, gender, age, religion, nationality, language preference, socioeconomic status, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity and others. These differences are tied to a variety of other aspects of diversity such as experience, work styles, life experience, education, beliefs and ideas.

People of color and communities of color: For the purposes of this plan, communities of color are Native Americans, African Americans, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, Latinos or Hispanics and immigrants and refugees who do not speak English well, including African immigrants, Slavic and Russian-speaking communities and people from the Middle East.





A new approach to managing waste

Addressing the full life cycle

Our regional waste system is more than recycling services and garbage facilities. It encompasses the entire life of the products we use, from design to production to use, until they go to a recycler, landfill or thrift store.

This Regional Waste Plan addresses the entire life cycle of products. The goals and actions are designed to not only improve the way we manage materials at the end of their life, but also to reduce harmful impacts by intervening earlier. There's opportunity to improve how we design and produce products, extract raw materials from the earth, make purchasing decisions and use what we buy. The traditional garbage and recycling system, which handles products and packaging after we are done with them, is just one part of this larger system.

This life cycle approach can result in healthier people and a healthier planet. It can improve access to high-quality services and information, no matter where you live. It can help you decide what to buy or where to get rid of an item you are done using. It can also lessen the negative health and environmental impacts from the materials and products we use every day.

The life cycle of products and materials

1 Product design and manufacturing

What it involves:

- Extracting natural resources from the earth by mining, drilling, forest harvesting or other methods
- Designing products and selecting what goes into them
- Producing or manufacturing products
- Transporting and distributing products

Ways to reduce harmful impacts:

- Design products to use fewer newly extracted natural resources and more recycled materials
- Design products to include safer chemicals and materials
- Design products to be more durable, reusable and recyclable
- Use fewer materials when making products and packaging them
- Use less energy or cleaner energy sources throughout the process
- Share responsibility for reducing impacts among everyone involved with a product across its life cycle

2 Product consumption and use

What it involves:

- The purchasing decisions of people, companies and institutions
- The use of products by individuals and businesses

Ways to reduce harmful impacts:

- Provide consumers with the tools and education needed to make informed decisions
- Ensure better access to sustainable products
- Implement policies to restrict or limit the sale of, or access to, products with high impacts to human health and the environment.

3 Product end-of-life management

What it involves:

The people, facilities, companies, non-profits and government agencies that handle recyclables and garbage

The activities involved in waste management, including collection, recycling and processing, transfer, transportation and disposal

The reuse community, which adds life to reusable goods like clothes, appliances and lumber

Ways to reduce harmful impacts:

Promote and provide more opportunities for people to safely reuse and repair products


Provide options for donating reusable products before throwing them away

Support efforts to ensure that grocery stores and similar places can safely donate edible and nutritious surplus food to agencies serving people experiencing hunger


Improve options for people to reduce their garbage by providing services such as building deconstruction, recycling and composting for homes and businesses

Foster greater recycling by strengthening markets and collection programs

Ensure that facilities provide benefits to their communities, not just burdens



The garbage and recycling system



Our regional garbage and recycling system handles products and packaging at the end of their useful life. The system includes:

Repair and reuse

Hundreds of organizations in the Portland region help residents, businesses, schools and others extend the life of their products by repairing them or getting them reused, instead of thrown away.

Collection

Residents, businesses, schools and other institutions produce recyclables, food scraps, yard debris and garbage and separate these into different containers. More than 40 private haulers then collect these materials. City and county governments determine which haulers may serve their communities and, in most cases, the rates those haulers can charge their customers.

Transfer

The seven transfer stations serving the region accept garbage, yard debris and food scraps and consolidate these materials for transfer to landfills and composting and biogas facilities. Some transfer stations may pull out large recyclables, like scrap metal or cardboard, from the garbage and recycle it. Five of the region's transfer stations are privately owned and Metro owns the Metro Central facility in northwest Portland and the Metro South facility in Oregon City. The Metro facilities also accept household hazardous waste from the public and certain businesses.

Recycling

Haulers deliver the recyclable materials they collect to sorting facilities where workers and machines separate plastic, paper and metals. The sorted materials are then sold to other companies for recycling locally or for shipping to other parts of the country or overseas. Glass is taken to a separate facility in Portland for sorting and processing. In addition, different facilities will sort and process construction materials, like wood, metal and concrete, for recycling.

Composting and biogas production

Trucks from the transfer stations transport yard debris and food scraps to composting and biogas facilities, although in some cases haulers take yard debris directly from generators to composting facilities. These facilities turn materials into compost, a high value soil amendment for agricultural and individual customers. At biogas plants, food scraps are turned into biogas through a process called anaerobic digestion. The biogas is used to generate electricity or natural gas. Biogas plants also produce liquid fertilizer as a by-product and a solid material that can be composted.

Disposal at landfills

Seven landfills located across Oregon and Washington receive the Portland area's garbage. One of these landfills, which only accepts non-putrescible material, is located in Washington County. The rest are outside the region, with many located east of the Cascades.

Leading with equity

Our region is stronger when everyone has access to financial prosperity, a healthy environment and the range of opportunities that allow us to thrive.

But unfortunately, a long history of exclusionary and discriminatory policies has harmed communities of color in the Portland metropolitan region. As a result, communities of color currently experience the worst economic and social outcomes of any demographic group.

Within the garbage and recycling system, inequities appear in a variety of ways, including:

- The garbage and recycling industry tends to lack diversity in the workforce—except in the job categories that pay the lowest wages.
- Procurement processes for solid waste operations contracts often include barriers to participation for minority-owned and woman-owned small businesses.
- Communities of color experience barriers to accessing Metro's recycling information, education services and household hazardous waste services.
- People of color own few of the businesses that run our region's system.


Metro, cities and counties are committed to creating the conditions that allow everyone to enjoy the benefits of our growing region. With our programs, policies and services, we are working to make this a great place for everyone—today and for generations to come.

To ensure an inclusive process from the start, Metro convened an Equity Work Group to ensure that racial equity was incorporated into the plan. The work group participated in each phase of the process, working alongside staff in drafting elements of the plan. Metro and eight community-based organizations also organized discussions to learn how residents envision the future of the garbage and recycling system. These discussions informed many of the actions in this plan.



“My experience has been enlightening. I have been inspired by Metro’s willingness to take risks and promote transformational change.”

**Juan Carlos Gonzalez,
Equity Work Group member**



HOW EQUITY IS INCORPORATED IN THE 2030 REGIONAL WASTE PLAN

This plan includes a variety of elements to eliminate barriers and advance racial equity, diversity and inclusion.

- Equity is called out specifically in the set of values.
- The principles provide a framework for key equity considerations to guide plan implementation.
- 40 actions focus directly on advancing equity and reducing disparities.



Environmental impacts of products and materials

Measuring environmental impacts

When people think about reducing waste, they often think about what happens when they throw something away. Can I recycle this box? Are these food scraps compostable? It's important to manage waste at the end of a product's life by reusing, recycling or composting, but intervening earlier can have a larger impact on human health and the environment.

For most products and materials, we can achieve the most environmental benefit by producing them more sustainably, with fewer natural resources, less-toxic materials and less-polluting processes.

Metro and others involved in solid waste management have typically measured the environmental impact of what we produce, consume and throw away by looking at the amount and types of items that show up in the recycling, composting and garbage.

Historically, two main indicators have been used to help understand how much waste we are recycling and how much we are throwing away. The recovery rate is the percentage of all discarded materials and products that do not go to a landfill or incinerator. These materials are either recycled, anaerobically digested, composted or, in the case of wood waste, burned to produce energy. A higher recovery rate is associated with a reduction in environmental impacts due to the benefits of recycling, anaerobic digestion and composting, and, to a lesser extent, energy recovery.


In 2017, 50 percent of the materials disposed within the Metro region were recovered through methods such as recycling and composting.

Oregon and the Metro region also measure tons of waste generated. This is the total amount of materials and products thrown away in a given year. It includes all garbage, but also the materials sent to recycling, composting and energy recovery facilities. When this number decreases, it is associated with lower environmental impacts since it implies that, overall, the region is consuming fewer goods—at least by weight. It indicates that people and businesses may be wasting less food, reusing and repairing more goods or buying products that have less packaging.

These are not perfect measures of the environmental impact of materials and products because they only measure the weight of what we throw away, not the actual environmental impact. For example, the impact of one pound of discarded batteries, in terms of the greenhouse gas emissions and other toxics associated with making them, is likely to be much greater than the impact of one pound of yard debris.

THE METRO REGION'S WASTE, 1992-2016

Millions of tons of waste generated, disposed and recovered.




Note: Waste generated is equal to waste disposed at landfills and incinerators, plus waste recovered through recycling, composting and energy conversion methods.
Source: Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (multiple years). Oregon Material Recovery and Waste Generation Rates Report.

The focus on the end of a product's life provides an incomplete picture of how we're affecting the environment and how we can reduce our impact. In this plan, to align with a new focus on the full life cycle of products and materials, we are assessing our environmental impact in a more comprehensive way: by looking at the greenhouse gas emissions and other environmental and health impacts associated with products and materials throughout their life, from production to disposal.

Through this lens, a different picture emerges. In the Metro region, 99 percent of greenhouse gas emissions related to consumption are generated when we make, consume and use materials and products. End-of-life management, on the other hand, accounts for less than one percent.

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS FROM PRODUCTS, MATERIALS AND SERVICES

In 2015, the Metro region generated 41 million metric tons of greenhouse gas emissions from the products and materials we bought, used and threw away and the services we used.




Note: The figures in this chart do not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (2018). 2015 Consumption-Based Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory for the Metro Region.

When we produce and consume goods and services, our actions and decisions impact the environment, both locally and globally.

In 2015, the goods and services we consumed in the tri-county area (Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties) generated about 41 million metric tons of greenhouse gas emissions. Businesses making and selling products and providing services here in the Metro region generated 29 percent of these emissions. Of the remaining emissions, 48 percent were generated in other parts of the United States and 23 percent in other countries. These emissions contribute to climate change globally and affect everyone on the planet.

The region's emissions come from a variety of goods and services, from food to transportation, legal advice to construction. Services like haircuts involve materials and products that impact the environment, such as computers and hair products. Getting around in cars and other forms of transportation generates emissions, too, as does building houses and commercial structures and providing them with power, heat, cooling, water and other services.

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS FROM THINGS WE PURCHASE



Source: Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (2018). 2015 Consumption-Based Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory for the Metro Region.

Reducing our impact

Environmental benefits result from changes throughout a product's life cycle.

Making a new product or providing a service requires the use of materials and energy. Raw materials must be extracted from the earth and products must be made and then transported to wherever they will be sold and used. Some of the materials used to make products are toxic and can harm people and the environment.

By buying products that have less packaging, fewer toxic materials and an overall lower impact on the environment, we can make a big impact. However, our decisions as consumers are only part of the picture. Manufacturers must also contribute by making changes in product design and in the resources and processes used to make new products.

Reusing and repairing materials and products decreases impacts on the environment by preventing or delaying the purchase of new items.

Recycling and composting also reduce the environmental impacts of buying and using goods and food. By providing companies with materials to make new products, recycling lowers the need to extract and process virgin materials and lowers the energy expended, and the accompanying greenhouse gas emissions, when the products are manufactured.

RECYCLING BENEFITS

In 2016, the Metro region's recycling and composting efforts reduced greenhouse gas emissions by about 1.5 million tons.



A FOCUS ON FOOD

Food and food waste clearly illustrate the environmental and social implications of how we manage materials. Food production and preparation require significant resources, including farmland, clean water and air, labor, energy, fertilizers and pesticides. Yet millions of tons of food are wasted each year. In fact, about 40 percent of the food produced in the United States is never eaten.

In 2016, food made up a big portion of what the Metro region sent to landfills—about 16 percent of all garbage. At the same time, Oregon consistently ranks among the top states in the nation for “food insecurity,” which occurs when people have inconsistent access to safe, nutritious food.

One of the most effective ways to reduce the environmental impact of our food system is to prevent food waste. Strategies to reduce waste include purchasing only what we are likely to eat,

storing food properly and using up everything that we buy.

Even when we plan and prepare food carefully, there will still be surplus food. Food banks, pantries and meal sites that serve communities experiencing hunger can take some donations of edible and nutritious food from places like grocery stores. Metro can support their efforts by helping to ensure that surplus food is supplied safely and efficiently. If surplus food is not suitable for human consumption, it can sometimes be used to feed animals on farms.

When preventing food waste is not possible, other methods can help reduce some of the environmental impacts of food production. When food waste ends up at a landfill, it generates methane—a powerful greenhouse gas that contributes to climate change. Alternatives include composting food waste to create nutrient-rich soil amendments or processing food waste to generate energy.





Economic footprint

Economic impact

The garbage and recycling system creates jobs, supports families, spurs economic activity and strengthens industries. When residents and businesses in the Metro region pay for garbage and recycling services, their payment has direct and indirect impacts on our region's economy, totaling more than \$537 million in economic activity each year. This investment pays salaries, purchases goods and services and boosts local businesses.



DIRECTLY SUPPORTS

The workers, companies and government agencies that collect garbage and recyclables and transfer and transport garbage to landfills.


The facilities that separate and process materials for recycling and composting.

The staff in cities and counties that provide education and technical assistance to households and businesses on waste prevention, reuse, recycling and composting.

RESULTING IN

 1,800 jobs

 \$278 million in local economic activity, including \$91 million in wages and benefits



INDIRECTLY SUPPORTS

Local businesses that supply the services and materials the garbage and recycling system needs to operate, such as office paper, accounting services and truck repair.

Local businesses where workers employed by garbage and recycling companies and government agencies spend a portion of their wages.

RESULTING IN AN ADDITIONAL

 1,900 jobs

 \$258 million in local economic activity, including \$102 million in wages and benefits


System revenue

In general, investments in recycling leverage higher economic benefits than investments in disposal.

Recycling converts waste materials into valuable raw materials, creating additional manufacturing jobs and strengthening manufacturing industries. The money we each pay for garbage and recycling services keeps garbage trucks operating and supports a robust recycling system. Residents and businesses in the Portland metropolitan region pay about \$287 million a year for garbage and recycling services.

\$287 MILLION A YEAR

WHERE GARBAGE AND RECYCLING FEES GO



Source: Metro (2018). Solid Waste Economic Analysis.



Currently, the economic benefits of the garbage and recycling system are distributed inequitably, with few high-paying jobs going to people of color, women or others who've historically been left out. Metro is committed to reversing these inequities with actions inspired by the requests of local communities. Learn more in the Shared prosperity section on page 52.



Legal foundation and policy guidance

Overview

This plan is built on a strong foundation of values and principles, developed in partnership with communities throughout the region.

The plan framework, coupled with the broad authorities of Metro and local governments to manage the solid waste system, allow for significant action over the 12 years the plan will be in effect. The plan's goals and actions set policy direction throughout the life of the plan. It's a living document that will guide us toward a healthier, more resilient and more equitable system. The plan applies to all cities and counties within the Metro jurisdictional boundary.

Legal foundation

The Metro Charter, the Oregon Constitution and Oregon statutes grant Metro broad authority for planning, managing and overseeing the regional solid waste system.

Regional authority

In 1987, the Metro Council designated solid waste as an area and activity appropriate for a functional plan (Metro Ordinance 87-740). With this action, it recognized the complexity of managing a regional waste system that serves two dozen cities, portions of three counties, over a million residents, thousands of businesses and many solid waste service providers. A regional plan provides a unified blueprint to coordinate all parties and to bring the parts of the system together into a well-functioning whole.

Previous waste plans

Metro has adopted three solid waste system plans to date. The plan is updated about every 10 years to meet the needs of our changing region and align with state and federal guidance.

History of regional waste plans

Metro has adopted three regional solid waste management plans since the Metro Council's 1987 designation of solid waste as an area appropriate for a functional plan. The plans were adopted in 1988, 1995 and 2008.

Policy guidance

A range of local, state and federal policies, plans and strategies provided direction as we shaped this plan.

Protecting the environment and human health: The traditional solid waste hierarchy—reduce, reuse, recycle—recognizes that managing municipal solid waste is one part of a broader strategy for reducing the environmental and human health impacts associated with the production, use and end-of-life management of products.

A “life cycle” approach: Since adopting the 2008 Regional Solid Waste Management Plan, Metro and the federal and state governments have adopted new policy guidance that expands the approach for managing materials. Instead of focusing solely on the end of a product’s life, they focus on a product’s full life cycle, from production to disposal. This approach identifies impacts and actions across the life of materials and products as they move through the economy.

Advancing equity: To address the barriers experienced by people of color, the Metro Council adopted the Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion in June 2016. This plan sets five goals for advancing regional equity:

- Convene and support regional partners to advance racial equity
- Meaningfully engage communities of color
- Hire, train and promote a racially diverse workforce
- Create safe and welcoming services, programs and destinations
- Prioritize resource allocation that advances racial equity


To accomplish these goals, this plan concentrates on eliminating the disparities that people of color experience, especially in areas related to Metro’s policies, programs, services and destinations. This strategic direction allows Metro the opportunity to make a difference in the lives of disadvantaged communities while also improving the region’s quality of life.

INNESS

7 FARM

VERSE VEGETABLES

AND SUSTAINABLE



POLICY GUIDANCE

The development of the plan's values and principles was informed by a range of plans and guidance documents, including those listed below.

Regional Desired Outcomes

Metro's six desired outcomes for the region.

Equity Strategy

Metro's Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion.

National Materials Management Strategy

Environmental Protection Agency's Sustainable Materials Management Plan.

Oregon 2050 Vision

Oregon state vision and action framework for sustainable materials management.

Oregon Toxics Reduction Strategy

State strategy aimed at keeping toxics out of the environment.

Oregon Environmental Literacy Plan

Plan that ensures students become lifelong stewards of environment and community.

METRO'S SIX DESIRED OUTCOMES

Metro's six desired outcomes for the region:

Vibrant communities

People live and work in vibrant communities where they can choose to walk for pleasure and to meet their everyday needs.

Economic prosperity

Our children and their children benefit from the region's sustained economic competitiveness and prosperity.

Safe and reliable transportation

People have safe and reliable transportation choices that enhance their quality of life.

Environmental leadership

The region is a leader in sustainability and minimizing contributions to climate change.

Clean air and water

Current and future generations enjoy clean air, clean water and healthy ecosystems.

Fairness and equity

The benefits and burdens of growth and change are distributed fairly and equitably.





OREGON 2050 VISION


The 2050 Vision for Materials Management describes a future in which Oregonians live within the limits of their sustainable share of the world's natural resources. In 2050:

Recognizing that Earth's resources are finite, Oregonians live within the limits of our sustainable share of the world's natural resources.

We take into account the full impacts of materials throughout their life cycle.

We use renewable resources at levels that can be sustained in perpetuity while maintaining the resiliency of natural systems.

All Oregonians have access to the knowledge, capabilities, resources and services required to use materials responsibly.



Se Reflejan?

- ~~Si se reflejan, no añaden~~
- Metro toma la iniciativa más proactiva como reutilización
- Metro se involucra enseñar de ejemplo de reciclaje
- Promover la
- Integración con vs solamente comunic

Metro Valores

- Proteger la salud de humanos y el medio ambiente. (Miles de personas que participan) Iniciarse conscientemente
- Ser flexible y estar listos para cambio. - estar conciente para que gente es dispuesta con datos, información
- Promover el bienestar económico. - Oportunidad - mejorar del futuro
- Proveer servicios. - bajo costo
- Conservar incentivos
- Compartir


Creating the plan

Planning process

From spring 2017 to fall 2018, Metro collaborated with local communities to create a Regional Waste Plan that reflects the values and priorities of people across the region.

Work to create the draft plan involved many participants – including community groups, local governments, industry and non-profit organizations and Metro. The process occurred in five major phases.

5 PHASES OF PLAN DEVELOPMENT



EQUITY LENS

Applying an equity lens means asking questions or prescribing a process in order to counteract policies and practices that maintain inequities.

Phase 1: Values and principles

Developed and committed to a shared set of values and principles.

The first phase created the values and principles that are the foundation of this plan. Existing policy guidance influenced this process—as did public surveys, community discussions and the application of an equity lens.

In summer 2017, Metro started to work closely with culturally specific, community-based organizations and to start conversations with people Metro had not reached in the past. All were from communities in the three counties that had been negatively impacted by the garbage and recycling system but have had the least influence in shaping it—people of color, new immigrants and refugees, people with limited English proficiency, families on low incomes living close to garbage and recycling facilities, elders, youth and people with disabilities.

During hours of discussions and tours of facilities, people shared their experiences of the system—what worked for them, what didn't, what mattered most to them and which changes they desired. Metro listened, learned and gathered ideas to shape a plan for the future.



ABOUT THE EQUITY WORK GROUP

From the start, Metro recognized that only an inclusive process would result in a plan that prioritizes equity. Metro formed the Equity Work Group to put equity front and center during the development of the plan and throughout implementation.

The seven members of the work group had extensive expertise and experience working with communities of color and other historically marginalized communities. The work group participated in each phase of the process, working alongside staff in drafting elements of the plan. During the first phase, after reviewing the plan's values, the Equity Work Group developed the plan's principles to guide equity considerations from planning to implementation.

Throughout this process, Metro was intentional about building mutual capacity and developing authentic, lasting partnerships. Metro staff helped work group members expand their knowledge and understanding of the garbage and recycling system. And the work group helped the Metro team become more responsive to community concerns and understand a variety of perspectives.

Equity Work Group members

Andre Bealer, National Association of Minority Contractors, Oregon Chapter

Emma Brennan, Oregon Tradeswomen, Inc.

Marilou Carrera, referred by Oregon Health Equity Alliance

Juan Carlos Gonzalez, referred by Centro Cultural de Washington County

Pa Vue, referred by Asian Pacific American Network of Oregon (APANO)

Rob Nathan, referred by Coalition of Communities of Color

Tommy Jay Larracas, referred by OPAL Environmental Justice Oregon



Phase 2: Vision and goal setting

Identified a vision and set goals for the future.

Phase 2 developed the plan's vision and goals, setting the priorities for the garbage and recycling system over the next ten years. This involved visualizing future scenarios and considering the tradeoffs associated with different paths forward.

To build on the community discussions held in the first phase, Metro conducted a series of engagements to inform the development of the vision and goals:

- A planning workshop involving more than forty individuals, including Equity Work Group members and Metro and local government staff.
- An online survey, which gathered input and ideas from nearly 4,000 community members to help shape future priorities.
- Three leadership forums co-led by Metro and local community-based organizations at which more than 120 people shared ideas and discussed future priorities for the garbage and recycling system. The forums were hosted by Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization, The Rosewood Initiative and Centro Cultural de Washington County.
- A technical forum where more than 60 stakeholders active in some element of the garbage and recycling system provided input on the draft vision and goals.
- A review of the draft vision and goals by the Equity Work Group, local government solid waste directors, Metro Solid Waste Alternatives Advisory Committee and Metro Policy Advisory Committee.

Phase 3: System analysis

Analyzed the system to identify where the region is today relative to the desired future.

Phase 3 established an understanding of where the region is today relative to the vision for the future. To do this, Metro compiled and summarized existing data on different aspects of the garbage and recycling system. This included developing descriptions of existing programs, policies and infrastructure, current opportunities and challenges facing the system. This information helped develop a framework to measure progress. By revealing how to bridge the current reality with the desired future, it also informed the plan's actions.

FROM COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT TO IMPACTFUL ACTIONS

To inform the development of the Regional Waste Plan, Metro convened a series of engagements with communities of color and other historically marginalized communities around greater Portland.

Metro, eight community-based organizations and a cohort of more than 100 community members participated in multiple discussions over more than a year about the future of garbage and recycling. At these events, interpreters facilitated the participation of people who spoke Spanish and Russian.

The actions inspired by these conversations span across the garbage and recycling system, from jobs in garbage to information about recycling.

What Metro heard and learned

- Residents were frustrated with differences in access to, quality of and availability of services.
- Many people wanted to recycle and to protect the environment, but had challenges, like cost, a lack of bins and space (especially to dispose of large items), frequency of collection, inability to compost at apartments and accommodations for age and abilities.

- There was confusion about services and how the system works, and information was lacking for people from diverse cultures and age groups.
- People were concerned about impacts to human health and the environment, such as noise, odors and air and water pollution.
- There were concerns about a lack of diversity in the workforce and opportunities for people of color in the industry.

The organizations

Center for Diversity and the Environment

Centro Cultural de Washington County

Constructing Hope

Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization

Momentum Alliance

North by Northeast Community Health Center

The Rosewood Initiative

Trash for Peace



Phase 4: Building a strategy

Developed actions to move us closer to the region's vision.

Phase 4 developed an action plan to close the gap between the current condition of the garbage and recycling system and the vision for the system's future. To accomplish this, Metro assembled eight technical work groups and partnered with local communities to identify and draft the actions. The work groups were made up of representatives from local governments, garbage and recycling facility operators, reuse organizations, garbage haulers, community organizations and others with a particular interest in the system. More than 60 people participated on the work groups. They focused on:

Quality service

Education and information

Good jobs

Economic prosperity

Garbage and recycling operations

Reduce, reuse and repair

Healthy products

Disaster preparedness and resiliency

The work groups, facilitated by Metro staff, met over the course of three months, including a final forum that gathered all the work groups together to review the draft actions for further improvements. Metro also sought input on the draft actions from the community, specifically people who had participated in earlier phases. More than 100 local residents reviewed the draft actions to prioritize the changes they most wanted to see in the system. The small group conversations were conducted simultaneously in Russian, Spanish and English, with Metro staff facilitating and taking notes. Participants focused on the areas that they had identified as most important to them including: garbage and recycling operations, services, education and jobs and economic opportunities. Following the community conversations, Metro staff further refined the draft actions in coordination with the Equity Work Group, Metro Council and local government partners.

Phase 5: Plan adoption

Finalized draft plan for Metro Council review.

The fifth and final phase focused on finalizing and adopting the plan. Metro released the draft plan for public review and feedback from mid-November through mid-December 2018. Engagements in the public comment period included:

- Four community briefings with community groups about the plan with an explanation about the Metro Council decision-making process
- Two public forms for individuals interested in reviewing the draft plan and providing comments in-person
- Making briefing materials and information about the plan available online


Staff summarized all the comments received during this time in a public comment report, as well as noting the changes to the draft plan made in response to the comments. The Solid Waste Alternatives Advisory Committee and Metro Policy Advisory Committee also reviewed the draft plan to provide input to the Metro Council prior to the council's legislative hearings to consider final adoption of the plan.

ABOUT THE TECHNICAL WORK GROUPS

To develop the actions in this plan, Metro convened eight technical work groups with representatives from local governments, community-based organizations, non-profit organizations, advocacy organizations, haulers, and facility operators.

The work groups met in spring 2018. Work group members reviewed the information produced as part of the system analysis to evaluate where we are today relative to our vision and goals. Then, they worked together, sharing their perspectives on the garbage and recycling system to identify actions to achieve our desired future.

Each work group met up to four times, including a final session that brought all of the groups together for a comprehensive look at the goals and actions. Their work was shared at a community gathering with people who had participated in earlier phases of the process. This allowed community members to see whether the actions reflected their input and to prioritize the changes they most want to see.





Values, principles and vision

Overview

With equity considerations front and center, participants developed values, principles and a vision to serve as the plan's foundation. Existing guidance—including regional, state and federal policies and plans regarding waste management, recycling, toxics and other related environmental programs—also informed these core concepts.

FROM IDEALS TO ACTIONS

The values, principles and vision inspire and inform the concrete actions that Metro and local governments will implement as part of this plan.



Values

The values serve as a basis for the plan's goals and actions and will guide implementation.



PROTECT AND RESTORE THE ENVIRONMENT AND PROMOTE HEALTH FOR ALL

Ensure that current and future generations enjoy clean air, water and land.

Lead efforts to reduce impacts of climate change and minimize release of toxins in the environment.



CONSERVE NATURAL RESOURCES

Reduce the amount of energy, water and raw materials needed to make products.

Manage materials to their highest and best use (reduce, reuse, recycle).



ADVANCE ENVIRONMENTAL LITERACY

Facilitate life-long environmental learning for youth and adults.

Increase knowledge of natural systems, and the human impacts on them, in order to foster civic responsibility and community empowerment.



FOSTER ECONOMIC WELL-BEING

Promote inclusive prosperity and living well for all residents of the region.

Increase access to economic opportunities for all communities.



ENSURE OPERATIONAL RESILIENCE, ADAPTABILITY AND SUSTAINABILITY

Maintain a regional system that is safe and responsive to changing conditions to ensure long-term viability.

Prepare for recovery after natural disasters.



PROVIDE EXCELLENT SERVICE AND EQUITABLE SYSTEM ACCESS

Ensure that high-quality and good-value programs, services and facilities are equitably accessible to all.

Principles

This plan provides Metro and local governments a powerful opportunity to advance racial equity, diversity and inclusion.

The following principles were developed by the Equity Work Group in collaboration with Metro staff. Their purpose is to help address historical and disproportionate impacts of the waste system on marginalized communities and to define how the plan may advance racial equity.

Community restoration

Metro and local governments will act to repair past harms and disproportionate impacts caused by the regional solid waste system. In practice, this means:

- Acknowledging historical impacts passed from generation to generation within communities.
- Actively including communities that have been historically marginalized from decision-making processes.
- Equitably distributing costs and benefits, taking into account historical and system impacts.
- Valuing indigenous and cultural knowledge about using resources sustainably.
- Committing to building a greater awareness of equity among providers of garbage and recycling services.

Community partnerships

Metro and local governments will develop authentic partnerships and community trust to advance the plan's vision. In practice, this means:

- Prioritizing historically marginalized communities within the delivery of programs and services.
- Expanding voice and decision-making opportunities for communities of color.
- Supporting resilient community relationships by creating ongoing opportunities for leadership development.

Community investment

Metro and local governments will emphasize resource allocation to communities of color and historically marginalized communities. In practice, this means:

- Making investment decisions in partnership with communities.
- Investing in impacted communities and youth through education and financial resources.
- Eliminating barriers to services and employment.



"I'm excited to see [the 2030 Regional Waste Plan], a project on which I've dedicated a lot of hard work and time, become a real tangible part of the Metro region's operations. There is satisfaction in knowing that our group has had a positive influence on how governments, businesses and communities interact to create positive outcomes for all."

Andre Bealer,
Regional Waste Plan Equity
Work Group member

Vision

The 2030 Vision identifies the desired future for the garbage and recycling system and specific outcomes for managing and reducing the impacts of products consumed in the region. The goals and actions are designed to close the gap between today and this desired future.

Economic prosperity

Innovation, investments and partnerships support a thriving garbage, recycling, reuse and repair economy that benefits local communities.

Good jobs

All garbage and recycling industry jobs pay living wages and provide opportunities for career advancement. All occupations in the industry reflect the diversity of our local communities.

Education and information

Everyone has the culturally responsive, age-appropriate information and educational resources needed to make purchasing and disposal decisions that will protect their health and the environment.

Healthy products

Companies and consumers share responsibility for reducing the harmful impacts of products and packaging on public health, climate, air quality, waterways and wildlife throughout the entire life cycle of products.

Reduce, reuse and repair

Reduce, reuse, repair and donation are mainstream practices accessible to all, creating economic opportunity and building community self-reliance.

Quality service

Garbage and recycling services meet the needs of all people and all communities.

Garbage and recycling operations

From trucks to facilities, our garbage and recycling system is safe for workers and the public, minimizes pollution of air, soils and water, and is financially sustainable.

Preparedness and resilience


The region's garbage and recycling system is resilient and prepared to recover quickly from disruptions like natural disasters, while minimizing harmful impacts to the most affected communities.



Goals and actions

Overview

The goals of the plan focus on addressing the impacts of materials—from production to disposal—and closing the gap between today’s reality and the region’s vision for the future. This involves taking action at every stage of the product life cycle and addressing community needs within the garbage and recycling system.



The plan identifies goals and actions in five areas of work:

- Shared prosperity
- Product design and manufacturing
- Product consumption and use
- Product end-of-life management
- Disaster resilience

The goals in each area identify what the region would like to achieve by 2030. Each goal has an associated set of actions to be undertaken by Metro and local governments. The actions were developed by work groups made up of representatives from Metro, local governments, garbage and recycling facility operators, haulers, topical experts, community organizations, equity work group members and others with a particular interest in the system and shaped by community input.

Navigating the action tables

The action tables define key elements associated with each action, such as which agency is the lead agency implementing the action.

Lead agency

Successful implementation of the actions will require collaboration and coordination among Metro, local governments, community-based organizations and private sector service providers. Many of the actions will be co-led by Metro and local governments in partnership with community. To assist with implementation and accountability, the plan identifies lead agencies for each action, either Metro or cities and counties, or a combination of both. The lead agencies are the primary entities responsible for implementing the action and reporting on progress.

Implementation approach

Metro is responsible for coordinating implementation of the plan and assessing plan performance. Cities, counties and Metro are responsible for leading or participating in implementation of the actions. Actions to “implement” a project or program assume preliminary steps such as planning, budgeting and collaboration and later steps such as monitoring and evaluation.

Metro, in collaboration with local governments, will develop multi-year work plans to prioritize implementation of the actions. These plans will include estimates of the financial and staff resources needed to complete the work.

The approaches identified for each action in the action tables are preliminary ones. Additional approaches may be used based on development of the action and the lack of inclusion of a particular approach in no way implies that it may not be considered or used in the future.

Several approaches will be used to implement the actions. One or more implementation approaches is identified for each action.

Legislative agendas: State-level public policy priorities identified by Metro and/or local government elected bodies.

Partnership agreements: Agreements to implement partnerships by Metro, city, county and/or state agencies, and agreements between Metro or local governments with non-profit and community-based organizations.

Metro and/or local government code and authorizations: Formal actions taken through code amendments, administrative reviews, licenses, franchises and other instruments.

Regional work groups: Regional work groups convened by Metro to assist in developing programs and activities to achieve the goals and actions of the plan.

Grants: Investments in non-profit and for-profit organizations to achieve the goals and actions of the plan.

Existing programs: Actions may be associated with existing program plans and partnerships implemented by Metro, city, county and state agencies.



Advancing equity

Actions with the greatest opportunity to advance racial equity are identified with the “E” icon. The Equity Work Group was primarily responsible for making this designation.



New actions

Each action is either new or in progress. New actions are labeled with this icon.



Directive actions

The plan includes both directive and non-directive actions. Directive actions are those that are binding on local governments and typically set forth in Metro Code, Chapter 5. Existing and potential directive actions are labeled with this icon in the tables on the following pages. New directive actions will be developed in consultation with local governments and go through Metro’s legislative approval process.



Shared prosperity

GOALS ADDRESSED:

Goal 1: Increase engagement of youth and adults historically underrepresented in garbage and recycling decision-making by enhancing civic engagement and leadership opportunities.

Goal 2: Increase the percentage of garbage and recycling system revenue that benefits local communities and companies owned by people of color and other underrepresented groups.

Goal 3: Ensure that all jobs in the garbage and recycling industry pay living wages and include good benefits.

Goal 4: Increase the diversity of the workforce in all occupations where people of color, women and other historically marginalized communities are underrepresented.



The garbage and recycling system generates significant economic benefits for the Metro region through jobs, programs and services, materials and construction contracts, spending at local businesses and more. But those benefits aren't shared equitably among all people in the region.


This area of work aims to address barriers faced by communities of color and those who have been disproportionately impacted by the garbage and recycling system. Through the goals and actions in this section, Metro and local governments aim to make progress toward a future where all people have equitable access to the benefits of the garbage, recycling, reuse and repair economies.



Goal 1:

Increase engagement of youth and adults historically underrepresented in garbage and recycling decision-making by enhancing civic engagement and leadership opportunities.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	E
1.1 Increase representation of historically marginalized community members, including youth, on advisory committees, such as Metro and local government solid waste advisory committees.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	  
1.2 Evaluate and refine a public sector paid internship program to increase engagement of youth and adults in garbage and recycling careers and decision-making, with an emphasis on communities of color and other marginalized communities.	Metro Cities Counties	Existing programs	  
1.3 Partner with organizations to engage youth in leadership opportunities for social, economic and environmental issues related to garbage and recycling.	Metro Cities Counties	Existing programs	  

 Highest potential to advance equity
  New
  Directive action


Goal 2:




Increase the percentage of garbage and recycling system revenue that benefits local communities and companies owned by people of color and other underrepresented groups.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
<p>2.1 Develop Metro and local government procurement policies to increase the amount of spending on solid waste-related services that goes to locally owned companies, with an emphasis on minority-owned and woman-owned businesses.</p>	<p>Metro Cities Counties</p>	<p>Code and authorizations</p>	  
<p>2.2 Implement strategies, in consultation with community organizations, that can be adopted by local governments to ensure greater racial equity in the ownership and management of collection service providers.</p>	<p>Cities Counties</p>	<p>Code and authorizations</p>	  
<p>2.3 Utilize grant programs to invest in businesses and non-profit organizations to strengthen regional efforts around reducing waste, making better use of the waste that is produced and helping foster economic opportunities for communities of color and others who have historically been left out of the garbage and recycling system.</p>	<p>Metro</p>	<p>Grants</p>	  
 Highest potential to advance equity	 New	 Directive action	

Goal 3:

Ensure that all jobs in the garbage and recycling industry pay living wages and include good benefits.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
3.1 Establish a living wage and benefits standard for the lowest-paid positions in the solid waste industry and update the standard on a regular basis.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	  
3.2 Incorporate “good jobs” provisions regarding wages, benefits, workforce diversity and career pathways into public sector solid waste investments, operations contracts, franchises, licenses and other procurement and regulatory instruments.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	  
3.3 Conduct baseline and regular follow-up studies of wages and benefits in the greater Portland area’s solid waste sector to inform “good jobs” provisions.	Metro	Regional work groups	  
3.4 Reduce the use of temporary and contract workers in the region’s solid waste industry.	Metro	Regional work groups Code and authorizations	  
3.5 Evaluate the use of Metro employees to fully operate Metro-owned transfer stations.	Metro		  

 Highest potential to advance equity
  New
  Directive action



Goal 4:

Increase the diversity of the workforce in all occupations where people of color, women and other historically marginalized communities are underrepresented.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	E	New	Directive action
4.1 Implement a workforce development and readiness program for garbage and recycling industry jobs.	Metro	Partnership agreements	E	New	Directive action
4.2 Develop a career pathways strategy that aims to increase the diversity of workers in all solid waste occupations.	Metro	Partnership agreements	E	New	Directive action
4.3 Conduct baseline and regular follow-up studies of workforce diversity in the regional garbage and recycling industry, including an assessment of barriers to hiring and retaining people of color, women and other underrepresented groups.	Metro	Regional work groups	E	New	Directive action
4.4 Work with private garbage and recycling service providers and community-based organizations to design and implement programs that address safety, bullying and harassment in the workplace throughout the solid waste industry.	Metro	Partnership agreements	E	New	Directive action
4.5 In partnership with community-based organizations, create workforce development programs within the reuse sector that focus on people with barriers to employment.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements	E	New	Directive action



Highest potential to advance equity



New



Directive action



Product design and manufacturing

GOALS ADDRESSED:

Goal 5: Reduce the environmental and human health impacts of products and packaging that are made, sold, used or disposed in Oregon.

Today, the design and manufacturing of products and materials is driven primarily by market forces, resulting in processes and products that can harm the environment or impact human health.

Through this goal area, we will be working to influence the design and manufacturing of products and packaging by advocating for changes in public policy.

The goal of the highly collaborative actions in this new area is for manufacturers to become more responsible for the impacts of their products. There's potential to create healthier products every step of the way, from natural resource extraction to manufacturing processes to decisions about materials and packaging.



















The actions focus not only on reducing the amount of waste, but also shifting what's in it. We are working to reduce or eliminate chemicals of concern and highly toxic materials, elevating human health and preventing environmental damage.

Many Oregon, Washington and California public and private organizations are known for leadership in this area. With the Bottle Bill, Oregon E-Waste, PaintCare and Oregon's Toxic-Free Kids Act, city, county, Metro and state governments, together with public interest organizations, demonstrated they can collaborate to push forward policy changes to address producer responsibility and make products safer for people and the environment.

Equity initiatives take a leading role, too. By emphasizing equity at the government and community level, we aim to implement reforms that serve all, with a focus on historically marginalized communities, including communities of color.

Goal 5:

Reduce the environmental and human health impacts of products and packaging that are made, sold, used or disposed in Oregon.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
5.1 Advocate for legislation that minimizes chemicals of concern in products and packaging and requires the disclosure of product chemical data to consumers.	Metro Cities Counties	Legislative agendas	  
5.2 Assist the Oregon Health Authority in implementing the 2015 Oregon Toxic-Free Kids Act, which requires manufacturers of children's products sold in Oregon to report products containing high-priority chemicals of concern.	Metro	Partnership agreements	  
5.3 Partner with the State of Oregon to provide incentives to manufacturers for developing sustainable manufacturing techniques, including green chemistry, for products and packaging sold in Oregon.	Metro	Legislative agendas	  
5.4 Advocate for product stewardship legislation and other policy approaches that can achieve the greatest reduction in environmental and human health impacts from products and packaging made, used or disposed in the region.	Metro Cities Counties	Legislative agendas	  
5.5 Advocate for legislation that would require building products sold and used in Oregon to be free of highly toxic materials.	Metro Cities Counties	Legislative agendas	  
5.6 Advocate for standards for high-impact products, including phase-outs or bans.	Metro Cities Counties	Legislative agendas	  

 Highest potential to advance equity

 New

 Directive action



WHAT ARE CHEMICALS OF CONCERN?

Through the Toxic-Free Kids Act, Oregon has designated 66 chemicals as “high-priority chemicals of concern for children’s health.” These chemicals are shown to be harmful to children, and manufacturers are required to disclose when certain products marketed toward children contain them. When Metro refers to chemicals of concern in this plan, it is usually in reference to this list. In some instances, we may use the lists of chemicals of concern created by other states, the federal government or another entity.



Product consumption and use

GOALS ADDRESSED:

Goal 6: Reduce product environmental impacts and waste through educational and behavioral practices related to prevention and better purchasing choices.

Goal 7: Reduce product environmental impacts and waste through policies that support prevention practices and better purchasing choices.

As consumers, the decisions we make about what to buy and how to use the things we purchase affect our health and the environment.

While the 2030 Vision identifies a future where everyone has access to information they need to make purchasing decisions that will protect their health and the environment, there are challenges to achieving this vision. Products sold in Oregon are produced around the globe. The prices of products do not reflect the environmental impacts of making them. Information about a product's environmental and health impacts can be difficult to access and understand. Sustainable products are not always affordable or equitably available.

Goals in this area focus on reducing the environmental and health impacts of what we buy. The actions emphasize education and policy efforts to reduce those impacts and support better purchasing choices. Education will prioritize culturally responsive efforts, with programs and services designed and delivered in partnership with community organizations to reach historically marginalized groups. Policy actions in this area aim to provide safer, lower-risk products and reduce the use of single-use items that harm the environment and create problems for the recycling system.

SHARING KNOWLEDGE

Metro and local government education programs reach tens of thousands of people every year with information about how to reduce waste.

In 2016:

- Youth education programs reached over 45,000 students
- The Recycling Information Center and Find-a-Recycler online tool served 60,000 callers and 107,000 web visitors






















WHAT IT MEANS TO BE CULTURALLY RESPONSIVE

Culturally responsive services are designed to be respectful toward and relevant to the beliefs, practices, culture and language of diverse people and communities. Cultural responsiveness requires systems, organizations, professions and individuals to gain new knowledge and cultivate new capacities.




Goal 6:

Reduce product environmental impacts and waste through educational and behavioral practices related to prevention and better purchasing choices.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030		LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
6.1	Provide culturally responsive and developmentally appropriate school-based education programs about the connections between consumer products, people and nature.	Metro	Existing programs Partnership agreements	  
6.2	Provide culturally responsive community education and assistance about the connections between consumer products, people and nature.	Metro Cities Counties	Existing programs Partnership agreements	  
6.3	Provide and increase accessibility to education and tools to help residents and businesses reduce their use of the single-use products with the greatest negative environmental impacts.	Metro Cities Counties	Existing programs Partnership agreements	  
6.4	Partner with communities of color and others to increase awareness about high-risk chemical products, reduce their use and decrease people's exposure to them.	Metro	Partnership agreements	  
6.5	Assist households and businesses in the adoption of practices that prevent the wasting of food and other high-impact materials.	Metro Cities Counties	Existing programs	  
6.6	Support implementation of Oregon State University's SolvePestProblems.org as a primary tool for education and resources on integrated pest management.	Metro	Existing programs	  
6.7	Implement recognition programs for business efforts to prevent waste and minimize the environmental impacts of the products they purchase.	Cities Counties	Existing programs	  

 Highest potential to advance equity

 New

 Directive action



SINGLE-USE PRODUCTS













Single-use products are used only once before they are thrown away or recycled. These items are things like plastic bags, straws, coffee stirrers, soda and water bottles and most food packaging.



Plastic bags are found in recycling carts across the region but are not recyclable through home programs. Plastic bags jam up sorting machinery at processing facilities, increasing the cost of converting recyclables into new products.

Goal 7:

Reduce product environmental impacts and waste through policies that support prevention practices and better purchasing choices.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
7.1 Implement procurement policies for Metro and local governments that prioritize the purchase of products and services with low environmental and human health impacts.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	  
7.2 Implement policies that will reduce the use of single-use products such as single-use plastic bags.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	  
7.3 Advocate for the reclassification of high-risk nonagricultural pesticides to restricted use status in Oregon.	Metro	Legislative agendas	  
7.4 Implement policies and programs that lead to the construction of buildings that use fewer resources, including improvements to Oregon Reach Code and baseline building codes to address material selection preferences and restrictions, incentives for space-efficient homes and removal of barriers to adopting lower-impact materials.	Metro Cities Counties	Existing programs	  



Highest potential to advance equity



New



Directive action



Product end-of-life management

GOALS ADDRESSED:

Goal 8: Increase the reuse, repair and donation of materials and consumer products.

Goal 9: Increase knowledge among community members about garbage, recycling and reuse services.

Goal 10: Provide regionally consistent services for garbage, recyclables and other priority materials that meet the needs of all users.

Goal 11: Address and resolve community concerns and service issues.

Goal 12: Manage all garbage and recycling operations to reduce their nuisance, safety and environmental impacts on workers and the public.

Goal 13: Invest in communities that receive garbage and recyclables from the Metro region so that those communities regard solid waste facilities as assets.

Goal 14: Adopt rates for all services that are reasonable, responsive to user economic needs, regionally consistent and well understood.

Goal 15: Improve the systems for recovering recyclables, food scraps and yard debris to make them resilient to changing markets and evolving community needs.

Goal 16: Maintain a system of facilities, from smaller recycling drop-off depots to larger full-service stations, to ensure equitable distribution of and access to services.

Every year, the greater Portland region disposes of well more than 1 million tons of garbage and recovers more than 1 million tons of food scraps, yard trimmings and recyclables.

The system that handles these materials and transports them to their final destinations is vast and complex, encompassing services from garbage trucks to food banks to recycling facilities and landfills, involving governments, private businesses and not-for-profit organizations.

The region has developed a highly effective recovery and disposal system over the last 30 years. But with a growing population, changes in how and what we consume, historical and current impacts of the system on neighborhoods and the dynamic nature of global markets for recyclables, it's time for new thinking and new work.


While the ultimate goal is to prevent waste to begin with, the Portland region still needs a system that safely and conveniently manages products at the end of their useful life. The goal is to ensure that the programs and services not only protect human health and the environment, but that they do so in a way that meets the needs of all residents and all communities today and into the future.

EXTENDING THE LIFESPAN OF PRODUCTS AND MATERIALS

Today, the region has a growing network of reuse and repair initiatives, organizations and businesses. They range from salvage and reuse operations for construction site materials to neighborhood repair fairs that help residents fix items rather than discard and replace them.



















To strengthen and increase this reuse infrastructure, Metro and local governments need to increase investment in these services and advocate to formalize what are now informal and voluntary practices. Actions 8.2-8.6 provide multiple strategies for improving opportunities to reuse, repair and otherwise extend the life of products.




Nearly 20 percent of the region's disposed waste is generated by the constructing, remodeling and demolishing of structures.



Goal 8:

Increase the reuse, repair and donation of materials and consumer products.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
8.1 Support efforts to ensure that surplus edible food desired by agencies serving communities experiencing hunger in the region is made available to them.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements Grants	  
8.2 Implement strategies to increase the salvage of building materials for reuse, without increasing exposure to toxics.	Metro	Partnership agreements Grants	  
8.3 Advocate for research-informed changes to building codes and other regulations to increase use of reused and deconstructed materials.	Metro Cities Counties	Legislative agendas	  
8.4 Expand the collection of reusable items at public and private transfer stations, in partnership with reuse and repair organizations.	Metro	Partnership agreements	  
8.5 Invest in neighborhood-scale reuse and repair services and infrastructure.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements Grants	  
8.6 Support implementation of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality's Reuse, Repair and Extended Product Lifespan Strategic Plan.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements	  

 Highest potential to advance equity
  New
  Directive action



Robust waste reduction education and outreach programs have been in place since formal recycling collection programs began in the region over 30 years ago.

Metro and local governments have used a wide variety of methods and materials to help residents and businesses understand and get the best use out of our garbage and recycling system, yet we have found that many communities are not receiving the information they need—most notably communities of color and residents for whom English is not their primary language.

A key focus throughout this plan is to develop strong partnerships with community organizations and utilize non-traditional means for engaging with the region's community members.

Goal 9:

Increase knowledge among community members about garbage, recycling and reuse services.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
9.1 Provide culturally responsive education and assistance for garbage, recycling and reuse services to residents and businesses.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups Existing programs	<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid gray; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 2px;">E</div> <div style="border: 1px solid gray; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 2px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid gray; padding: 2px;"></div> </div>
9.2 Utilize Metro’s Recycling Information Center to serve all residents and businesses in the region as a clearinghouse for prevention, reuse, recycling and disposal information.	Metro	Existing programs	<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid gray; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 2px;">E</div> <div style="border: 1px solid gray; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 2px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid gray; padding: 2px;"></div> </div>
9.3 Ensure that community education and volunteer development courses, such as Master Recycler, are relevant, accessible and culturally responsive to all communities.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements	<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid gray; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 2px;">E</div> <div style="border: 1px solid gray; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 2px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid gray; padding: 2px;"></div> </div>

E Highest potential to advance equity


New

Directive action

WHAT'S STILL IN THE TRASH THAT CAN BE RECYCLED?

Much of what's put in garbage cans in the greater Portland area could be recycled or composted.

Source: Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (2017). 2016/2017 Oregon Solid Waste Characterization and Composition Study.



IMPROVING SERVICE FOR MULTIFAMILY HOUSEHOLDS

It's important that collection services are consistent and reliable for everyone. That's not the case for many of the region's residents who live in apartments, condominiums and other multifamily communities.

Metro conducted a study in 2017 of recycling and garbage services at multifamily properties. The main findings were:

1. There is inadequate access for residents to mixed recycling and glass recycling service at many multifamily sites. There aren't enough collection containers, they aren't conveniently located, and they aren't collected frequently enough.
2. Collection containers are inconsistent in size, color and labeling, and that is confusing to the people who use them.
3. As a result of the conditions above, the recyclables put out for collection are highly contaminated with non-acceptable materials.
4. Bulky waste is inadequately managed.







These findings are reflected in actions 10.2 – 10.6.

More than 50% of people living in rental apartments move every year. This is one reason why it's important to have a simple, intuitive and consistent system for separating and collecting garbage and recyclables.





Goal 10:


Provide regionally consistent services for garbage, recyclables and other priority materials that meet the needs of all users.


ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
10.1 Provide comprehensive collection services and supporting education and assistance for source-separated recyclables, source-separated food scraps and garbage, in compliance with state, regional and local requirements, including the Regional Service Standard, Business Recycling Requirement and Business Food Waste Requirement in Metro Code.	Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	
10.2 Implement minimum service levels or performance standards for all collected materials for multifamily and commercial tenants.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	
10.3 Implement regional standards for collection container colors, signage and other related informational materials for single-family, multifamily and commercial services.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	
10.4 Provide convenient, accessible and equitable collection of hazardous waste from households and Conditionally Exempt Generators, prioritizing communities with greatest need.	Metro	Existing programs Partnership agreements	
10.5 Provide regularly occurring bulky waste collection service, with particular emphasis on multifamily communities and lower-income households.	Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	
10.6 Establish standards for collection areas for existing and newly constructed multifamily properties to ensure residents have adequate access to garbage, recyclables and food scraps collection containers.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	


Goal 10:

Provide regionally consistent services for garbage, recyclables and other priority materials that meet the needs of all users.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH
<p>10.7 Partner with community health organizations to expand options for collection of hypodermic needles and other types of medical waste, prioritizing individuals with the greatest barriers to service.</p>	<p>Metro</p>	<p>Partnership agreements</p> 
<p>10.8 Advocate for statewide legislation or implement regional policies to increase the types of products and packaging for which manufacturers and retailers provide environmentally sound, convenient and accessible take-back programs.</p>	<p>Metro Cities Counties</p>	<p>Legislative agendas</p> 

 Highest potential to advance equity

 New

 Directive action

Approximately 36,000 tons of items that could be recycled at home, including paper, plastic bottles, aluminum cans and glass containers, are disposed in garbage carts each year. Recycling these materials would reduce the same amount of greenhouse gas emissions as taking 22,000 passenger vehicles off the road.



BENEFITS AND HISTORY OF CURBSIDE RECYCLING


Recycling saves energy, reduces air and water pollution, reduces greenhouse gases and conserves natural resources. Curbside collection of recyclables makes recycling convenient. This service has been a key element of recycling programs in the region since 1983, when the Oregon Opportunity to Recycle Act required communities throughout the state to provide curbside collection. Within the region, weekly recycling collection is the service standard for single-family households. Recycling makes it possible to use materials that would otherwise go to the landfill to make thousands of products. A successful recycling system depends on the quality of material collected at the curb.



KEEPING IT SEPARATED

Many of the materials people put in their recycling bins don't belong there. Known as contamination, these non-recyclables can cause problems for the entire system because contaminated recycling is harder to sell.

Non-recyclables in recycling bins, by home type.



Source: Metro (2017). Multifamily Recycling Report. Metro (2015). Single-family Recycling and Waste Composition Studies

CLEANING UP ILLEGAL DUMPING

Metro's Regional Illegal Dumping (RID) Patrol cleans up items dumped on public lands, sidewalks, alleys and waterways.

In 2017, the RID Patrol collected 440 tons of trash at 3,500 dump sites across Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties

Top items collected:

1,973 tires

994 mattresses






















555 couches




491 shopping carts



Goal 11:








Address and resolve community concerns and service issues.





ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
11.1 Provide cultural competence training to customer service representatives at Metro, local governments and collection service providers.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements	  
11.2 Improve feedback loops between haulers, local governments and Metro to address collection service issues for households and businesses.	Cities Counties	Regional work groups	  
11.3 Provide inclement weather notifications to customers in multiple languages and through a variety of media.	Cities Counties Metro	Code and authorizations	  
11.4 Provide services to clean up illegal dumps on public property, prioritizing communities with greatest need.	Metro Cities Counties	Existing programs	  
11.5 Research the root causes that contribute to illegal dumping and how they can be addressed.	Metro	Regional work groups	  
11.6 Implement garbage and recycling collection services for people experiencing homelessness.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements	  
11.7 Evaluate the need to expand and improve access to public collection containers to reduce litter and illegal dumping.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups	  

 Highest potential to advance equity
  New
  Directive action

Goal 12:

Manage all garbage and recycling operations to reduce their nuisance, safety and environmental impacts on workers and the public.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030		LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
12.1	Minimize the health and safety impacts of solid waste operations on employees, customers and neighboring communities, with particular focus on low-income communities and communities of color, and identify methods for repairing past harm.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations Regional work groups	
12.2	Implement consistent and enforceable nuisance and safety standards for all solid waste facilities within the system.	Metro	Code and authorizations	
12.3	Implement environmental and safety standards for all on-road and off-road solid waste fleet vehicles.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements Code and authorizations	
12.4	Implement sustainability practices in the operation of public and private solid waste facilities to reduce energy use, utilize renewable energy, reduce equipment emissions, maximize the use of safe alternatives to toxic materials and achieve other environmental objectives.	Metro	Regional work groups Code and authorizations	
12.5	Regulate collection of solid waste materials by collectors not otherwise regulated by local governments.	Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	
12.6	Regulate facilities accepting garbage, recycling, food scraps, yard debris and other solid waste generated from the region to advance progress toward achieving this plan's goals.	Metro	Code and authorizations	
12.7	Require post-collection material recovery for marketable materials that will advance progress toward achieving this plan's goals and targets.	Metro	Code and authorizations	

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH
<p>12.8 Evaluate, on a continuing basis, the need to regulate different types of solid waste facilities not covered under current Metro regulation based on their actual and potential impacts on human health, the environment and neighboring communities. These facilities include, but are not limited to, dismantlers, wood waste grinding operations, landscapers, sludge processors, and specific or single material recyclers.</p>	Metro	Code and authorizations <div style="display: flex; align-items: center; margin-top: 10px;"> E   </div>
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> E Highest potential to advance equity </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;">  New </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;">  Directive action </div> </div>		



The operation of garbage and recycling facilities generates environmental, health, economic and social impacts. While it's necessary to have a range of facilities to manage what we throw away, they are often located in communities that have been historically excluded from decisions about where the facilities go and that have the fewest resources and least ability to advocate for improvement or change.

A focus of this plan is investing in and regulating these facilities to help them to be community assets rather than burdens. This involves minimizing the health and safety impacts through modernization, sustainability practices and maintenance of consistent operating standards.

COMMUNITY ENHANCEMENT GRANTS

Across the Portland metropolitan area, Metro community enhancement grants give a boost to neighborhoods affected by waste facilities. From 1986 to 2017, these grants invested more than \$5 million in neighborhoods in Forest Grove, Gresham, Portland, Oregon City, Sherwood, Troutdale and Wilsonville. These investments will continue in the coming years.

Grant projects have included:

- Developing environmental education projects for at-risk youth
- Providing low-cost, volunteer based, shopping and delivery service for seniors and people with disabilities
- Removing ivy and restoring habitat in Forest Park
- Installing fire escapes at senior centers
- Producing summer concert programs in local parks
- Planting trees around community parks and main street boulevards in industrial areas



Goal 13:

Invest in communities that receive garbage and recyclables from the Metro region so that those communities regard solid waste facilities as assets.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
13.1 Expand the host community enhancement program to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> include all solid-waste-handling facilities that impact neighboring communities increase funding and prioritize diversity, equity and inclusion elements in grant funding criteria. 	Metro	Code and authorizations	  
13.2 Implement annual volunteer projects and collection/recycling events in neighborhoods affected by solid waste facilities.	Metro	Partnership agreements Grants	  
13.3 Require each solid waste facility to work toward a good neighbor agreement with its host community.	Metro	Code and authorizations	  
13.4 Evaluate Community Benefit Agreements as a potential tool for garbage and recycling facilities to invest in host communities.	Metro	Regional work groups	  
 Highest potential to advance equity  New  Directive action			






















WHAT DO GARBAGE AND RECYCLING COLLECTION RATES INCLUDE?

- Collection trucks and drivers
- Reloading of garbage from route trucks to long-haul trucks
- Garbage disposal in landfills
- Recyclables processing
- Recyclables markets
- Yard debris processing
- In some cases, food waste processing
- Government waste reduction and garbage system planning, education and oversight
- Some general government services



Goal 14:

Adopt rates for all services that are reasonable, responsive to user economic needs, regionally consistent and well understood.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030		LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
14.1	Implement transparent and consistent annual rate-setting processes for all collection service providers.	Cities Counties	Existing programs Code and authorizations	  
14.2	Implement transparent and consistent annual rate-setting processes for all facilities.	Metro	Existing programs Code and authorizations	  
14.3	Establish rates across the region that are consistent for like services.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups Code and authorizations	  
14.4	Implement a low-income rate assistance program for residential collection services.	Cities Counties	Regional work groups Code and authorizations	  
14.5	Evaluate alternative models for collection, processing and transfer services to identify which would deliver the best environmental, financial, efficiency and equity outcomes.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups	  
14.6	Implement strong financial performance reporting standards to provide greater certainty on the financial viability of facilities serving the Metro region.	Metro	Code and authorizations	  
14.7	Require that local governments annually provide information to residents about the components of their garbage and recycling collection rate.	Metro	Partnership agreements	  



Highest potential to advance equity



New



Directive action

Goal 15:

Improve the systems for recovering recyclables, food scraps and yard debris to make them resilient to changing markets and evolving community needs.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030		LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
15.1	Implement regionally consistent contamination reduction efforts to improve material quality, including education, sorting instructions, collection equipment changes, and customer feedback methods.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups	
15.2	Regularly assess the list of recyclable materials collected in the residential and business programs in the region relative to end-markets, life cycle environmental benefits, community needs and forecasting of future materials in the waste stream.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups	
15.3	Develop public-private partnerships to expand local markets for priority recyclable materials, with an emphasis on minority-owned and other underrepresented business owners.	Metro	Partnership agreements Grants	






















BUILDING A RESILIENT RECYCLING SYSTEM

Recyclables are commodities that are sold to manufacturers (also known as “markets”) across the United States, North America and the world. These markets fluctuate, and that can have a big impact on recycling collection programs in the Portland area.

A key goal of this plan is to increase the region’s ability to adapt to market fluctuations and to ensure that the materials collected are of the highest quality. This will build resilience in the recycling system.

Strategies include:

- Implementing regionally consistent efforts to keep garbage out of recycling bins
- Assessing what we collect to ensure we’re creating the most environmental benefits and addressing community needs
- Building capacity to process yard debris and food scraps locally
- Investing in facilities that receive and sort recyclables to improve their performance
- Advocating for incentives to strengthen Oregon end-use markets

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030		LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
15.4	Fund investments to improve the performance of material recovery facilities through collection rates and/or other mechanisms.	Metro Cities Counties	Existing programs Grants	  
15.5	Facilitate the permitting of composting facilities to process mixed residential yard debris and food scraps, while ensuring minimal impacts on neighboring communities.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups Code and authorizations	  
15.6	Implement stronger linkages between recycling collection programs and material recovery facilities through processing performance standards, supply agreements, regulatory oversight or other means.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	  
15.7	Identify and implement changes to recycling collection programs and material recovery facility operations to meet the specifications of a broad range of markets.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups Code and authorizations	  
15.8	Advocate for statewide policies or implement regional policies that create a preference, incentive or requirement for use of recycling end-markets in Oregon and the Northwest.	Metro Cities Counties	Legislative agendas	  
15.9	Advocate to expand the statewide bottle bill program to include additional containers.	Metro Cities Counties	Legislative agendas	  
15.10	Evaluate whether a policy to increase garbage tip fees would further incentivize waste prevention and recovery without harming ratepayers or providing revenue windfalls to transfer station operators.	Metro	Regional work groups	  



Highest potential to advance equity



New




Directive action

REDUCING THE IMPACTS OF NEW FACILITIES

There is inconsistent access to all of the region's garbage and recycling services, in part because facilities were developed to suit the region's needs many years ago. Today, facilities are not always located where they are most needed, nor are they scaled to meet community needs.






















This plan emphasizes locating new garbage, recycling, food scraps and household hazardous waste facilities where they'll provide the best

benefit to the public while minimizing environmental and human health impacts to their neighbors. The plan also looks to expand and improve access to services at existing public and private facilities. Changes to existing facilities and planning for new ones should start with early engagement with the most-affected communities.



Goal 16:

Maintain a system of facilities, from smaller recycling drop-off depots to larger full-service stations, to ensure equitable distribution of and access to services.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030		LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
16.1	Locate garbage transfer stations and allocate material tonnage to them in a way that benefits the public, emphasizing geographic equity, access to service and a reduction in environmental and human health impacts.	Metro	Code and authorizations	  
16.2	Locate recycling and food scraps transfer and recovery facilities to best benefit the public relative to geographic equity and access to service, and to reduce environmental and human health impacts.	Metro	Code and authorizations	  
16.3	Improve interagency and community collaboration on siting and authorizing proposed solid waste facilities to reduce potential impacts on neighboring communities.	Metro	Regional work groups	  
16.4	Maintain public ownership of facilities to ensure that a range of services are accessible to residents at equitable and affordable rates.	Metro	Code and authorizations	  
16.5	Evaluate the feasibility of establishing a publicly owned facility in Washington County to accept and transfer garbage, recycling, food scraps, household hazardous waste and other materials.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups	  
16.6	Expand and improve access to services provided at Metro South Transfer Station.	Metro	Existing programs	  
16.7	Implement the Metro Transfer System Configuration policy.	Metro	Code and authorizations	  



Highest potential to advance equity



New



Directive action



Disaster resilience

GOALS ADDRESSED:

Goal 17: Effectively coordinate public and private partners in planning for the impact of disasters on the solid waste system.

Goal 18: Ensure routine garbage and recycling collection, processing, transport and disposal operations can be restored quickly following a system disruption.

Goal 19: Plan disaster debris response operations to expedite the clearance and removal of debris, making the best use of locally-based services and materials and maximizing recovery.

From earthquakes to landslides to fires, the Metro region is vulnerable to disasters, both natural and human-caused. Disasters threaten people's safety, and they also have the potential to generate large amounts of debris: building debris, trees and plants, hazardous waste and other matter.

The region's garbage and recycling system must be resilient and prepared to recover quickly after a disaster, and the recovery process should minimize harmful impacts to local communities. By planning how to manage both disaster debris and regular garbage and recyclables in a manner that protects public health and safety and safeguards the environment, the region will be better prepared to respond to and recover from a major incident.

The Disaster Debris Management Plan adopted by Metro in 2018 is designed to work in collaboration with local partners' disaster debris plans to provide guidance on how to manage and coordinate debris operations and system disruptions. The goals and actions in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan ensure the region will be ready to implement the debris operations before a disaster happens.

The actions focus on:

Coordinating effectively with partners

Preparing to restore routine garbage and recycling services following a disruption

Planning to expedite the removal of debris in a way that makes the best use of local services and maximizes recovery

WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO PLAN FOR DISASTER DEBRIS?



WITHOUT A PLAN

- Debris on streets, in homes
- No sorting
- Regular garbage system overwhelmed
- Clean-up may take years
- Threats to health and safety



WITH A PLAN













- Process for removing debris
- Debris management sites supplement regular system
- Clean-up is faster, more efficient
- Coordination with local partners



A photograph showing a construction worker in a green hard hat and safety vest working amidst a large pile of debris. The worker is holding a large, dark metal window frame. The debris includes wood, metal, and other building materials. The scene is brightly lit, suggesting an indoor or well-lit outdoor area.

A worst-case-scenario earthquake with a magnitude of 9.0 could create over 17 million tons of debris from damaged buildings. That's more than 7 times the total waste generated by the Metro region in 2016.

Goal 17:

Effectively coordinate public and private partners in planning for the impact of disasters on the solid waste system.



















ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	E
17.1 Develop a regional solid waste emergency management response and recovery framework in partnership with local governments and community organizations that prioritizes those most vulnerable in a disaster.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups	  
17.2 Conduct periodic exercises to test and practice the implementation of disaster debris plans.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups Partnership agreements	  
17.3 Develop a coordinated preparedness and response messaging program that is accessible and culturally responsive.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups Partnership agreements	  
17.4 Develop a database of existing public and private solid waste infrastructure capabilities that can be integrated with other public databases.	Metro	Partnership agreements	  



 Highest potential to advance equity
  New
  Directive action



Goal 18:

Ensure routine garbage and recycling collection, processing, transport and disposal operations can be restored quickly following a system disruption.
















ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030		LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
18.1	Implement strategies to maximize access to critical solid waste infrastructure during disruptions.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups Partnership agreements	  
18.2	Implement requirements for solid waste system service providers to prepare and maintain emergency operations and continuity of operations plans.	Metro Cities Counties	Regional work groups Code and authorizations	  
18.3	Prioritize the use of the current solid waste infrastructure for the processing of normal garbage and recycling, rather than for disaster debris, following a debris-generating incident.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements	  
18.4	Develop disaster resiliency standards for the design and construction of new facilities or when existing facilities are renovated.	Metro	Regional work groups Code and authorizations	  
18.5	Develop engineering and financing strategies to facilitate the seismic retrofit of existing public and private solid waste infrastructure.	Metro	Regional work groups	  
18.6	Conduct periodic assessments of solid waste system facilities for vulnerabilities to different hazards.	Metro	Regional work groups	  

 Highest potential to advance equity  New  Directive action



Goal 19:

Plan disaster debris response operations to expedite the clearance and removal of debris, making the best use of locally-based services and materials and maximizing recovery.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030		LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
19.1	Identify and pre-authorize debris management sites throughout the region.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements	  
19.2	Develop incentives for debris management contractors to prioritize purchasing services and materials from locally owned companies, with an emphasis on minority-owned and woman-owned businesses.	Metro	Partnership agreements	  
19.3	Develop agreements and contracts with service providers and partner jurisdictions to ensure rapid mobilization of regional and out-of-region resources during emergency response operations.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements	  
19.4	Develop strategies for the safe reuse, recycling and disposal of materials following a debris-generating incident.	Metro	Regional work groups Partnership agreements	  
19.5	Create incentives or requirements for debris management contractors to collect and separate debris materials for reuse and recycling.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements	  

 Highest potential to advance equity  New  Directive action









Measuring progress

Plan indicators

The plan includes a robust measurement framework to evaluate progress toward its vision and goals. This will allow Metro and local governments to demonstrate the positive impacts the plan's activities are having on the region, highlight opportunities for improvement and evaluate which programs and projects are helping the region achieve its desired outcomes.

Key indicators

Key indicators communicate the overall trajectory of progress to a broad audience. They draw from the plan's values and demonstrate overall performance. A number of the key indicators are new measures that would require investment to implement.

VALUE	KEY INDICATOR	LEAD AGENCY	STATUS
	Greenhouse gas emissions associated with the products and services consumed in the Metro region (Environment and Health value)	Metro	In progress
	Annual tons of waste generated (Resource Conservation value)	Metro	In progress
	Number, geographic location and demographics of youth reached through education programs (Environmental Literacy value)	Metro	In progress
	Share of multifamily communities with adequate collection services (Service Excellence and Equity value)	Metro Cities Counties	Investment needed
	Recycling contamination by sector (Operational Resilience value)	Metro	Investment needed
	Median wage in the waste management industry by race, ethnicity and gender (Economic Well-Being value)	Metro Cities Counties	Investment needed

Goal indicators

Indicators at the goal level are designed to measure the progress of specific programs, policies or investments that are linked to attaining the 2030 Regional Waste Plan's goals. A number of the goal indicators will also inform the key indicators.

GOAL	INDICATOR	LEAD AGENCY	STATUS
Goal 1: Increase engagement of youth and adults historically underrepresented in garbage and recycling decision-making by enhancing civic engagement and leadership opportunities.	Number and demographics of youth and adults participating in solid waste internship or leadership programs	Metro	Investment needed
	Demographics of committee members serving on Metro and local government solid waste advisory boards	Metro Cities Counties	Investment needed
Goal 2: Increase the percentage of garbage and recycling system revenue that benefits local communities and companies owned by people of color and other underrepresented groups.	Share of solid waste spending that goes to locally owned, minority-owned and woman-owned businesses and to community organizations.	Metro Cities Counties	Investment needed
Goal 3: Ensure that all jobs in the garbage and recycling industry pay living wages and include good benefits.	Median wage in waste management industry by race/ethnicity, gender and occupation type	Metro Cities Counties	Investment needed
	Share of solid waste workforce that is temporary workers	Metro Cities Counties	Investment needed
Goal 4: Increase the diversity of the workforce in all occupations where people of color, women and other historically marginalized communities are underrepresented.	Share of solid waste work force that is people of color and women	Metro	Investment needed
Goal 5: Reduce the environmental and human health impacts of products and packaging that are made, sold, used or disposed in Oregon.	The number of children's products with chemicals of concern that are sold in the region	Oregon Heath Authority	Investment needed
	Share of priority products covered in Oregon by a product stewardship framework	Metro	Investment needed

GOAL	INDICATOR	LEAD AGENCY	STATUS
Goal 6: Reduce product environmental impacts and waste through educational and behavioral practices related to prevention and better purchasing choices.	Number, geographic location and demographics of youth reached through school-based education programs (Key Indicator 3)	Metro	In progress
	Annual tons of waste generated (Key Indicator 2)	Metro Oregon DEQ	In progress
Goal 7: Reduce product environmental impacts and waste through policies that support prevention practices and better purchasing choices.	Environmental impacts associated with high-impact products and product categories purchased by Metro and local governments	Metro Cities Counties	Investment needed
Goal 8: Increase the reuse, repair and donation of materials and consumer products.	Growth in sales and/or employment in the reuse sector	Metro	Investment needed
Goal 9: Increase knowledge among community members about garbage, recycling and reuse services.	Metro and local government calls, web hits and community survey responses	Metro Cities Counties	Investment needed
Goal 10: Provide regionally consistent services for garbage, recyclables and other priority materials that meet the needs of all users.	Tons of illegally dumped waste overall and in the most impacted communities	Metro Cities Counties	In progress
	The environmental impacts associated with the recovery rate for the Metro wasteshed	Metro Oregon DEQ	Investment needed
Goal 11: Address and resolve community concerns and service issues.	Share of Metro, local government and solid waste service providers that have gone through cultural competency training	Metro Cities Counties	Investment needed
Goal 12: Manage all garbage and recycling operations to reduce their nuisance, safety and environmental impacts on workers and the public.	Tons of key pollutants, including particulates and CO2 emissions, from on-road and off-road solid waste fleet vehicles	Metro Cities Counties	In progress
	Number of worker injuries that occur at solid waste facilities	Metro	In progress
Goal 13: Invest in communities that receive garbage and recyclables from the Metro region so that those communities regard solid waste facilities as assets.	Share of community enhancement grant dollars awarded to projects that benefit marginalized communities	Metro	Investment needed

GOAL	INDICATOR	LEAD AGENCY	STATUS
Goal 14: Adopt rates for all services that are reasonable, responsive to user economic needs, regionally consistent and well understood.	Share of solid waste facilities with rates that fall within 5% of the tip fee charged at publicly owned facilities for each material type (garbage, mixed dry waste, etc.)	Metro	In progress
	Share of jurisdictions that offer a low-income rate assistance program for residential collection services	Metro Cities Counties	In progress
Goal 15: Improve the systems for recovering recyclables, food scraps and yard debris to make them resilient to changing markets and evolving community needs.	Share of the region's recoverable materials, by material type, that is sent to markets in Oregon and the U.S.	Metro	Investment needed
	Contamination rates for in-bound and out-bound recyclables at source-separated Material Recovery Facilities located in the region	Metro	Investment needed
Goal 16: Maintain a system of facilities, from smaller recycling drop-off depots to larger full-service stations, to ensure equitable distribution of, and access to, services.	Geographic proximity: Of cities/ county urbanized areas to facilities that accept garbage, recyclables, food scraps and other curbside materials; Of the population, by geographic area, to services for household hazardous waste and other prioritized, non-curbside materials	Metro Cities Counties	In progress
Goal 17: Effectively coordinate public and private partners in planning for the impact of disasters on the solid waste system.	Establishment of Metro, County and City plans that delineate jurisdictional roles in managing disaster debris	Metro	Investment needed
Goal 18: Ensure routine garbage and recycling collection, processing, transport and disposal operations can be restored quickly following a system disruption.	Capacity and geographic distribution of solid waste facilities that meet seismic standards	Metro	Investment needed
Goal 19: Plan disaster debris response operations to expedite the clearance and removal of debris, making the best use of locally-based services and materials and maximizing recovery.	Capacity and geographic distribution of pre-authorized debris management sites	Metro	Investment needed

Baseline data and targets

As of the date of adoption of this plan, all of the indicators need additional work to develop baseline and evaluation methodologies. This work will be completed within the first two years of plan implementation, after which Metro, in consultation with local governments and community partners, will establish targets for each indicator.

Progress reports

On an annual basis, Metro will report on the status of each action and whether it has been implemented. Reporting on the key and goal indicators will occur at least every three years.





Implementation, compliance and amendments

INDEX:

Overview

Roles and responsibilities

Oregon statutory requirements

Requirements for local governments

Plan implementation

Plan oversight

Overview

Responsibility for implementing the 2030 Regional Waste Plan is shared by Metro and city and county governments in the region.

The actions in the plan will be carried out by these agencies in collaboration with the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, for-profit garbage and recycling companies and non-profit organizations involved in different areas of the system.

This section describes roles and responsibilities, requirements and implementation and compliance approaches included in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan.

Roles and responsibilities

State level

The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has several roles in the region's garbage and recycling system. Through the 2050 Materials Management Vision and Framework for Action, the DEQ establishes an overall strategy for statewide efforts to reduce the environmental and health impacts from products made, purchased, used and disposed. The DEQ also guides and enforces solid waste statutes, approves local waste reduction plans, provides technical assistance and grants and measures statewide progress toward goals.

Regional level

Metro is responsible for overall solid waste planning and management in the region. As noted above, Metro develops and administers the Regional Waste Plan and shares responsibility with cities and counties for implementing the plan's actions. Metro is also responsible for ensuring that it and local governments meet statutory waste reduction program requirements. In addition to its programmatic work to reduce the impacts of products and their waste, Metro looks out for the public's interests by regulating privately owned garbage and recycling facilities. It also operates full-service waste transfer stations, provides collection services for household hazardous waste, operates a paint recycling facility and monitors and maintains two closed landfills in the region.

Local level

Cities and counties in the region manage the collection programs that provide recycling, yard debris, food waste and garbage collection services to single-family and multifamily residents and to businesses and institutions. They also provide waste prevention, reuse and recycling education and technical assistance to support the collection programs and help residents and businesses reduce the environmental impacts of the products they buy, use and dispose. These activities must, at minimum, comply with state laws and the Regional Waste Plan. Counties also play a significant role in disaster event planning and response.

Private sector

Businesses make and sell products and are identified in this plan as having responsibilities related to reducing the environmental and human health impacts of their products and in managing these items at the end of their use. In addition, for-profit businesses and non-profit organizations play significant roles in the region's garbage and recycling system. Hauling companies provide collection services to residents and businesses, primarily through franchises, permits or other instruments from cities and counties. Private companies also own and operate solid waste transfer stations, material sorting facilities for source-separated recyclables and mixed construction waste, operations that convert recyclables into new products, composting and anaerobic digestion facilities and landfills. Non-profit and for-profit organizations provide important reuse and repair services, as well as tools to support waste prevention efforts.

Community-based organizations

Community-based organizations played a critical role in the development of the 2030 Regional Waste Plan by partnering with Metro to engage communities that were historically underrepresented in garbage and recycling system planning and decision-making processes. They will also have important responsibilities in implementation of the plan. Many of the actions associated with partnership agreements include roles for community-based organizations in the development, implementation and evaluation of regional projects and programs in collaboration with Metro and local governments.



Oregon statutory requirements

State law requires Metro, cities and counties to implement a series of waste prevention, reuse and recycling programs under the Opportunity to Recycle Act (Oregon Revised Statutes Chapter 459A and Oregon Administrative Rules Chapter 340-090). The state designates Metro as the compliance reporting agency for the region's three-county area. Local jurisdictions provide data to Metro to assist with this annual responsibility. If a city or county is out of compliance with a state requirement, Metro will work with that jurisdiction to resolve the issue. If it can't be satisfactorily resolved, Metro will ask DEQ to assist in addressing the matter.





Requirements for local governments

The actions included in this plan represent work that will be new for Metro and local governments, as well as activities that were already initiated, but not completed, and ongoing work that has been in place for years. The vast majority of the actions represent guidance to Metro and local governments, rather than requirements. There is a small set of actions, however, that involve existing or potential specific legal requirements on local governments. These requirements are primarily directed at ensuring that comprehensive and consistent recycling and garbage services are provided across the region, together with education, information and technical assistance programs for residents and businesses about waste prevention, reuse and recycling.

New requirements for local governments, or changes to existing requirements, that result from actions in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan will be developed in consultation with local governments and will go through the regular Metro Council legislative process, which includes consultation with advisory committees and opportunities for public comment. New requirements for local governments may be incorporated into Metro Code or Metro administrative procedures. The actions that represent current or new requirements for local governments are included in the tables below and labeled with a directive action icon.













Goal 10:

Provide regionally consistent services for garbage, recyclables and other priority materials that meet the needs of all users.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
10.1 Provide comprehensive collection services and supporting education and assistance for source-separated recyclables, source-separated food scraps and garbage, in compliance with state, regional and local requirements, including the Regional Service Standard, Business Recycling Requirement and Business Food Waste Requirement in Metro Code.	Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	
10.2 Implement minimum service levels or performance standards for all collected materials for multifamily and commercial tenants.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	







Goal 10 (cont.):

Provide regionally consistent services for garbage, recyclables and other priority materials that meet the needs of all users.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030		LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
10.3	Implement regional standards for collection container colors, signage and other related informational materials for single-family, multifamily and commercial services.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	  
10.5	Provide regularly occurring bulky waste collection service, with particular emphasis on multifamily communities and lower-income households.	Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	  
10.6	Establish standards for collection areas for existing and newly constructed multifamily properties to ensure residents have adequate access to garbage, recyclables and food scraps collection containers.	Metro Cities Counties	Code and authorizations	  
 Highest potential to advance equity		 New	 Directive action	




Goal 12:


Manage all garbage and recycling operations to reduce their nuisance, safety and environmental impacts on workers and the public.


ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030		LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
12.3	Implement environmental and safety standards for all on-road and off-road solid waste fleet vehicles.	Metro Cities Counties	Partnership agreements Code and authorizations	  
 Highest potential to advance equity		 New	 Directive action	


Goal 14:

Adopt rates for all services that are reasonable, responsive to user economic needs, regionally consistent and well understood.

ACTIONS TO BE COMPLETED BY 2030	LEAD AGENCY	IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	
14.7 Require that local governments annually provide information to residents about the components of their garbage and recycling collection rate.	Metro	Partnership agreements	  

 Highest potential to advance equity

 New

 Directive action

The existing requirements for local governments are outlined in Chapter 5.10 of Metro Code¹ and the associated Metro administrative procedures². They are:

Regional Service Standard (Metro Code 5.10.210 to 5.10.240)

Establishes minimum recycling service standards and education and outreach programs that local governments must implement for businesses and for single-family and multifamily households.

Business Recycling Requirement (Metro Code 5.10.310 to 5.10.350)

Requires each local government to adopt an ordinance to require businesses within its jurisdiction to separate recyclable materials such as paper, cardboard, and glass and plastic bottles and jars, and to provide recycling collection containers and educational materials.

Business Food Waste Requirement (Metro Code 5.10.410 to 5.10.470)

Requires local governments to adopt code language to require specified food-generating businesses in their jurisdiction to separate their food waste from garbage.

1. Metro Code, available online at <https://www.oregonmetro.gov/metro-code>.
 2. Metro Solid Waste Administrative Procedure No. 510, available online at: https://www.oregonmetro.gov/sites/default/files/2014/05/15/07312013_business_recycling_requirement_regional_service_standard.pdf.

Plan implementation

Metro is responsible for coordinating implementation of the plan and assessing plan performance.

Cities, counties and Metro are responsible for leading or participating in implementation of the actions. Several different approaches, described below, will be used to implement the actions. In the action tables in the Goals and actions section of the plan (page 48), one or more implementation approaches are identified for each action and Metro and/or cities and counties is indicated as the lead.

Legislative agendas: State-level public policy priorities identified by Metro and/or local government elected bodies.

Partnership agreements: Agreements between Metro and local governments, and between Metro or local governments with non-profit and community-based organizations.

Metro and/or local government code and authorizations: Formal actions taken through code amendments, administrative reviews, licenses, franchises and other instruments.

Regional work groups: Regional work groups convened by Metro to assist in developing programs and activities to achieve the goals and actions of the plan.

Grants: Investments in non-profit and for-profit organizations to achieve the goals and actions of the plan.

Existing programs: Actions may be associated with existing program plans and partnerships implemented by Metro, city, county and state agencies.

Work plans

Implementation of the actions will be coordinated through the regional and local work plans listed below.

Regional work plans

Metro, in collaboration with local governments, will develop multi-year work plans to prioritize implementation of the plan's actions. These work plans will include estimates of resources needed to complete the work that will inform Metro and local governments in budgeting and resource allocation.

Annual Waste Reduction Program

The Annual Waste Reduction Program is a collaborative effort between local governments and Metro. The Program consists of: (a) annual work plans developed by Metro and local governments; and (b) annual reports submitted by local governments to Metro describing the progress made in implementing the program.

Over the life of this plan, the Annual Waste Reduction Program will serve as the primary means for developing and implementing many of the actions and for meeting statutory waste reduction requirements. The program will act as an implementation tool for the multi-year regional work plans and be implemented through intergovernmental agreements. The agreements will require compliance with Metro Code and statutory requirements for local governments in the Opportunity to Recycle Act (ORS 459A). The agreements currently incorporate:

Regional Service Standard

Business Recycling Requirement

Business Food Waste Requirement

Residential Waste Reduction Education

Business and Institutional Waste Reduction Education and Technical Assistance

Recycle at Work Program

Household Hazardous Waste and Toxics Education Programs

Contamination Reduction Education Plan

Work associated with new actions in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan may be incorporated into the Annual Waste Reduction Program intergovernmental agreements.

Compliance

Ensuring compliance with the state and Metro requirements on local governments is accomplished through the Compliance Procedures section in Metro Code Chapter 5 and associated administrative rules, and through intergovernmental agreements between local governments and Metro used to implement waste reduction programs.

Amendments

The Regional Waste Plan is written to allow sufficient flexibility for its implementation to allow for adjustments without needing to amend or revise the plan itself. Measurements of regional progress and monitoring and evaluation of goal areas and actions will help determine if significant mid-course corrections are required to achieve the plan's objectives.

In addition to ongoing monitoring, a five-year review will determine whether major revisions are needed. Revisions that necessitate changes to the plan will follow the amendment process outlined in Chapter 5 of Metro Code.



Plan oversight

Several formal bodies will oversee implementation of the plan.

Metro Council

Metro's seven-member directly elected council will oversee plan implementation, provide direction on the development of programs and policies, and make final decisions on any plan actions that require Metro legislative action to be enacted. Metro staff will report to Council annually on the implementation of the 2030 Regional Waste Plan actions and every two to three years on progress toward the plan's key measurement indicators.

Metro Policy Advisory Committee

The committee is comprised of members representing cities, counties, special districts and the public, and six non-voting members. Three Metro Councilors also participate as non-voting liaisons. The committee will provide input on development of the programs and policies that implement the plan's actions and advise Metro Council on legislative and administrative actions they will consider related to plan implementation.

Regional Waste Plan Implementation Committee

The committee will be newly formed following adoption of the Regional Waste Plan. Its membership is expected to include representatives of local governments, community organizations, environmental advocacy organizations, system users, topical experts and industry. The committee is expected to provide input on development of the programs and policies that implement the plan's actions and advise the Metro Council and Metro Chief Operating Officer on legislative and administrative actions they will consider related to plan implementation. This committee may charter ad hoc work groups to provide more detailed input into Metro staff's development of changes to Metro code or administrative rules for consideration by the Metro Council or Chief Operating Officer. These task forces may draw members from a broader population than the committee alone.



Appendices

Appendices table of contents

Acknowledgements	122
Waste reduction program	124
Key solid waste laws	138
Glossary of terms	142

Acknowledgments

Metro thanks the following community members, organizations and staff for their contributions in developing the 2030 Regional Waste Plan.

Metro

Property and Environmental Services

Dan Blue, Roy Brower, Molly Chidsey, Jennifer Erickson, Penny Erickson, Rosalynn Greene, Carl Grimm, Sara Kirby, Matt Korot, Marta McGuire, Luis Sandoval, Joel Sherman, Paul Slyman, Laura van der Veer and Molly Vogt

Communications

Kimberlee Ables, Ashley Apodaca, Loni Black, Karen Blauer, Faith Cathcart, Kendall Martin, Hayley Mauck, Ken Ray and Toby Van Fleet

Research Center

Maribeth Todd

Office of Diversity, Equity and Inclusion

Scotty Ellis

Office of Metro Attorney

Michelle Bellia

External Advisors

Equity Work Group

Andre Bealer, National Association of Minority Contractors, Oregon Chapter
Emma Brennan, Oregon Tradeswomen, Inc.
Marilou Carrera, referred by Oregon Health Equity Alliance
Juan Carlos Gonzalez, referred by Centro Cultural de Washington County
Tommy Jay Larracas, referred by OPAL Environmental Justice Oregon
Rob Nathan, referred by Coalition of Communities of Color
Pa Vue, referred by Asian Pacific American Network of Oregon (APANO)
Affiliations of the Equity Work Group members are provided for identification purposes only and are not intended to represent the endorsement of their organizations.

Community Organizations

Center for Diversity and the Environment
Centro Cultural de Washington County
Constructing Hope
Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization
Momentum Alliance
North by Northeast Community Health Center
The Rosewood Initiative
Trash for Peace

Government Partners

David Allaway, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
Peter Brandom, City of Hillsboro
Reba Crocker, City of Milwaukie
Shannon Davis, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

Paul Downey, City of Forest Grove
Bill Gotzinger, Multnomah County
Daniel Hough, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
Scott Keller, City of Beaverton
Jill Kolek, City of Portland
Theresa Koppang, Washington County
Ryan Largura, City of Troutdale
Shannon Martin, City of Gresham
Audrey O'Brien, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
Mark Ottenad, City of Wilsonville
Eben Polk, Clackamas County
Bruce Walker, City of Portland
Rick Winterhalter, Clackamas County

Technical Work Group Members

Quality Service

Peter Brandom, City of Hillsboro

Juan Carlos Gonzalez, Equity Work Group

Jennifer Erickson, Metro technical staff

Andy Kahut, Kahut Waste Services

Sara Kirby, Metro facilitator

Jami LeBaron, ROSE Community Development

Shannon Martin, City of Gresham

Kelly Stewart, Clackamas County

Garbage and Recycling Operations

Dan Blue, Metro technical staff

Theresa Koppang, Washington County

Kristin Leichner, Pride Disposal

Rob Nathan, Metro facilitator

Audrey O'Brien, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

Carl Peters, Recology

Bruce Walker, City of Portland

Janine Wilson, Clean Air Safe Environment

Hays Witt, Hays Witt Strategies

Education and Information

Laurel Bates, Clackamas County

Elaine Blatt, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

Elizabeth Cole, City of Beaverton

Darwin Eustaquio, Metro technical staff

Nicole Hernandez-Marrs, Metro facilitator

Laura Kutner, Trash for Peace

Lauren Norris, Master Recyclers

Maureen Quinn, Oregon State University

Good Jobs

Emma Brennan, Oregon Tradeswomen/Equity Work Group

Molly Chidsey, Metro technical staff

Pat Daniels, Constructing Hope

Dean Kampfer, Waste Management

Therese McLain, Republic Services

Arianne Sperry, City of Portland

Kim Taylor, Metro facilitator

Reduce, Reuse, Repair

David Allaway, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

Jenna Garmon, Metro facilitator

Lauren Gross, Repair PDX

Scott Keller, City of Beaverton

Scott Klag, Metro technical staff

John Klosterman, Oregon Food Bank

Dave Lowe, Rebuilding Center

Yoana Molina, Rosewood Initiative

Economic Prosperity

Andre Bealer, National Association of Minority Contractors, Oregon Chapter/Equity Work Group

Pete Chism-Winfield, City of Portland

Dylan de Thomas, Recycling Partnership

Terrell Garrett, Greenway Recycling

Bryce Jacobson, Metro technical staff

Tracy Sagal, Metro facilitator

Preparedness and Resilience

Thomas Egleston, Washington County

Jason Hudson, Waste Connections

Heather Kuoppamaki, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

Daniel Nibouar, Metro technical staff

Eben Polk, Clackamas County

Jim Quinn, Metro facilitator

Kevin Veaudry-Casaus, City of Portland

John Warner, Pearl District Neighborhood Emergency Team (NET)

Healthy Products

Ali Briggs-Ungerer

Minal Mistry, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

Sharetta Butcher, North by Northeast Health Center

Marilou Carrera, Equity Work Group

Jen Coleman, Oregon Environmental Council

Kyle Diesner, City of Portland

Carl Grimm, Metro technical staff

Jon Mayer, Metro facilitator

Waste reduction program

Introduction

Under Oregon state law (ORS 459.055), any jurisdiction sending more than 75,000 tons of solid waste per year to a permitted disposal site, including landfills in exclusive farm use zones, is required to prepare a waste reduction program for review and approval by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ).

This appendix describes the elements of the 2030 Regional Waste Plan that make up the waste reduction program and demonstrate the region's compliance with specific statutory requirements in ORS 459.055(3) and corresponding administrative rules (OAR 340-091-0070(3)). With over 1 million tons of garbage sent to Oregon landfills, the Metro region is subject to the statutory waste reduction program requirements.

The 2030 Regional Waste Plan is a blueprint to guide investments in the Metro region's solid waste system and reduce the environmental and health impacts of products from manufacturing to disposal. The plan is intended to move the Metro region toward a sustainable materials management approach that identifies and addresses impacts across the full life cycle of materials and products. This shift is based on recent changes in policy guidance at the federal and state levels, including the adoption of the 2050 Vision and Action Framework for Materials Management in Oregon by the Environmental Quality Commission and implemented by DEQ.

The plan also marks another shift in the region's approach to waste management by incorporating actions that will advance progress toward meeting the goals of Metro's Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion. This plan focuses on eliminating the disparities that people of color experience, especially in those areas related to Metro's policies, programs and services.

The regional waste reduction program

The statutorily required waste reduction program for the Metro region consists of the 2030 Regional Waste Plan actions outlined in Table A1 of this appendix and the requirements for local governments outlined in Metro Code Chapter 5¹ and the corresponding Metro Solid Waste Administrative Procedure and Performance Standards No. 510.²

The goals and actions in the regional waste reduction program described in this appendix are aimed at reducing the total amount of waste generated by businesses and residents of the Metro region.

The waste reduction program also includes actions to decrease the toxicity of products and materials sold, used and disposed in the greater Portland area.

In 2016, the Metro region generated a total of 2.4 million tons of waste, of which 48 percent was recovered and the rest (1.3 million tons) was sent for disposal. Waste generation in recent years has remained below a peak of 2.7 million tons in 2007. The actions in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan are intended to help the region achieve the statutorily established voluntary goal of 64 percent recovery by 2025.

Oregon statutory requirements for local governments

All local jurisdictions in the Metro region are required to comply with the waste reduction provisions set forth in state law (ORS 459A.005 to 459A.010 and OAR 340-090-0030 to 340-090-0050). Metro has been designated by the state as the compliance reporting agency for the region's three-county area. Local jurisdictions provide data to Metro to assist with this annual responsibility.

As part of their annual reporting, local jurisdictions must provide documentation indicating they are fully implementing the general requirements and program elements specified in the Opportunity to Recycle Act (ORS 459A.005 to 459A.008 and OAR 340-090-0030 to 340-090-0042).

As in previous years, Metro will continue to review annual reports for compliance with state law. If a city or county is out of compliance with statutory requirements, Metro will work with that jurisdiction to resolve the issue. If it can't be satisfactorily resolved, Metro will ask DEQ to assist in addressing the matter.

Regional Waste Plan requirements for local governments

The actions in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan include work that will be new for Metro and local governments, as well as activities that were already initiated, but not completed, and ongoing work that has been in place for years.

The vast majority of the actions represent guidance to Metro and local governments, rather than requirements. There is a set of actions, however, that represents existing or potential specific requirements on cities and counties within Metro's geographic area of authority. These requirements are primarily directed at ensuring that comprehensive and consistent recycling and garbage services are provided across the region, together with education, information and technical assistance programs for residents and businesses about waste prevention, reuse and recycling.

New requirements for local governments, or changes to existing requirements, that result from actions in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan will be developed in consultation with local governments and will go through the regular Metro Council legislative process, which includes consultation with advisory committees and opportunities for public comment. New requirements for local governments may be incorporated into Metro Code or Metro administrative procedures.

1. Metro Code, available online at <https://www.oregonmetro.gov/metro-code>.

2. Metro Solid Waste Administrative Procedure No. 510, available online at https://www.oregonmetro.gov/sites/default/files/2014/05/15/07312013_business_recycling_requirement_regional_service_standard.pdf.

The actions of the 2030 Regional Waste Plan that represent current or new requirements for local governments are labeled with a directive action icon in Table A1, with fuller descriptions immediately below for the existing requirements that are in Metro Code Chapter 5.10:

Regional Service Standard (Metro Code 5.10.210 to 5.10.240)

Establishes minimum recycling service standards and education and outreach programs that local governments must implement for businesses and for single-family and multifamily households.

Business Recycling Requirement (Metro Code 5.10.310 to 5.10.350)

Requires each local government to adopt an ordinance to require businesses within its jurisdiction to separate recyclable materials such as paper, cardboard, and glass and plastic bottles and jars, and to provide recycling collection containers and educational materials.

Business Food Waste Requirement (Metro Code 5.10.410 to 5.10.470)

Requires each local government to adopt code language to require specified food-generating businesses in its jurisdiction to separate their food waste from the garbage.

Program implementation and enforcement

Metro is responsible for coordinating implementation of the plan and assessing plan performance. Cities, counties and Metro are responsible for leading or participating in implementation of the actions. Actions provide direction, including to develop, implement or evaluate specific programs or initiatives.

Actions with direction to implement programs or initiatives assume that implementation will require planning, budgeting and evaluating. Several different approaches will be used to implement the actions. These are identified in the action tables in the Goals and actions section of this plan (page 48) and described below. Most of these implementation approaches are currently in place and have been operating for many years.

Regional Waste Plan: Implementation Approaches

Legislative agendas	State-level public policy priorities identified by Metro and/or local government elected bodies.
Partnership agreements	Agreements between Metro and local governments, and between Metro or local governments and non-profit or community-based organizations.
Metro and/or local government code and authorizations	Formal actions taken through code amendments, administrative reviews, licenses, franchises and other instruments.
Regional work groups	Regional work groups convened by Metro to assist in developing programs and activities to achieve the goals and actions of the plan.
Grants	Investments in non-profit and for-profit organizations to achieve the goals and actions of the plan.
Existing programs	Actions may be associated with existing program plans and partnerships implemented by Metro, city, county and state agencies.

In addition to implementation mechanisms, each action in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan, including the waste reduction program actions, is also assigned a lead agency.

Metro, in consultation with local governments, will develop multi-year work plans to guide implementation of the actions. These work plans will include estimated resources needed to complete the work.

Metro will continue to enforce the Regional Waste Plan through Chapter 5.10 of the Metro Code and associated administrative procedures, as well as through intergovernmental agreements (IGAs) with local governments.

Annual Waste Reduction Program

The Annual Waste Reduction Program is a collaborative effort between local governments and Metro. The Program consists of: (a) annual work plans developed by Metro and local governments; and (b) annual reports submitted by local governments to Metro describing the progress made in implementing the program.

Over the life of this plan, the Annual Waste Reduction Program will serve as the primary means for developing and implementing many of the actions in this plan and for meeting statutory waste reduction requirements. The program will act as an implementation tool for multi-year regional work plans and be implemented through intergovernmental agreements. The agreements will require compliance with Metro Code and statutory requirements for local governments in the Opportunity to Recycle Act (ORS 459A), and currently incorporate:

- Regional Service Standard
- Business Recycling Requirement
- Business Food Waste Requirement
- Residential Waste Reduction Education
- Business and Institutional Waste Reduction Education and Technical Assistance
- Recycle at Work Program
- Household Hazardous Waste/Toxics Education Programs
- Contamination Reduction Education Plan

Work associated with new actions in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan may be incorporated into the Annual Waste Reduction Program intergovernmental agreements.

Waste reduction goals and actions

This section outlines the goals and actions in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan that form part of the statutorily-required waste reduction program for the Metro region. The timetable for implementing these actions is 2019 to 2030.

As a whole, the goals and actions in Table A1 are intended to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in ORS 459.055(3) for the waste reduction program to provide for:

A commitment by Metro and other local governments in the region to reduce the volume of waste that would otherwise be disposed of in a landfill through techniques such as waste prevention, recycling, reuse, composting and energy recovery.

Energy efficient, cost-effective approaches for waste reduction.

Strategies that are commensurate with the type and volume of solid waste generated in the Metro region.

The statutory waste reduction program requirements in ORS 459.055(3)(B) also include the requirement to meet or exceed the waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements in ORS 459.250 (providing a place for collecting source-separated recyclable materials at disposal sites) and ORS 459A.005 to 459A.085 (collectively referred to as the opportunity to recycle statutes).

While most of the opportunity to recycle requirements in ORS 459A are currently met through the existing requirements for local governments in Metro Code described in the previous section (page 126), the 2030 Regional Waste Plan also contains other actions that help Metro and other local governments in the region meet or exceed these requirements. These actions are identified in the **Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements** column of Table A1. This column lists the specific waste prevention, reuse and recycling statute(s) addressed by the action.

To demonstrate compliance with the waste reduction requirement in OAR 340-091-0070(3)(c), Table A1 also lists the waste streams that would be affected by each waste reduction action in the **Waste stream** column.

To assist with implementation and accountability, lead agencies – either Metro or cities and counties, or a combination of both – are defined for each action in Table A1. The lead agencies are the primary entities responsible for implementing the action and reporting on progress. Successful implementation will often require collaboration and coordination between Metro, local governments, community-based organizations and private sector service providers.

Table A1

Shared prosperity

Goal 1: Increase engagement of youth and adults historically underrepresented in garbage and recycling decision-making by enhancing civic engagement and leadership opportunities.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
1.3 Partner with organizations to engage youth in leadership opportunities for social, economic and environmental issues related to garbage and recycling.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Food waste Hazardous material	

Goal 2: Increase the percentage of garbage and recycling system revenue that benefits local communities and companies owned by people of color and other underrepresented groups.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
2.3 Utilize grant programs to invest in businesses and non-profit organizations to strengthen regional efforts around reducing waste, making better use of the waste that is produced and helping foster economic opportunities for communities of color and others who have historically been left out of the garbage and recycling system.	Metro	Household waste Commercial waste Yard debris Food waste Demolition material Hazardous material	

Product design and manufacturing

Goal 5: Reduce the environmental and human health impacts of products and packaging that are made, sold, used or disposed in Oregon.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
5.1 Advocate for legislation that minimizes chemicals of concern in products and packaging and requires the disclosure of product chemical data to consumers.	Metro Cities Counties	Hazardous material	
5.2 Assist the Oregon Health Authority in implementing the 2015 Oregon Toxic-Free Kids Act, which requires manufacturers of children's products sold in Oregon to report products containing high-priority chemicals of concern.	Metro	Hazardous material	
5.3 Partner with the State of Oregon to provide incentives to manufacturers for developing sustainable manufacturing techniques, including green chemistry, for products and packaging sold in Oregon.	Metro	Household waste Commercial waste Demolition material Hazardous material	

5.4	Advocate for product stewardship legislation and other policy approaches that can achieve the greatest reduction in environmental and human health impacts from products and packaging made, used or disposed in the region.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste Demolition material Hazardous material
5.5	Advocate for legislation that would require building products sold and used in Oregon to be free of highly toxic materials.	Metro Cities Counties	Demolition material Hazardous material
5.6	Advocate for standards for high-impact products including phase-outs or bans.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste Demolition material Hazardous material

Product consumption and use

Goal 6: Reduce product environmental impacts and waste through educational and behavioral practices related to prevention and better purchasing choices.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements	
6.1	Provide culturally responsive and developmentally appropriate school-based education programs about the connections between consumer products, people and nature.	Metro	Household waste Hazardous material	ORS 459A.007(2)(d)
6.2	Provide culturally responsive community education and assistance about the connections between consumer products, people and nature.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Hazardous material	ORS 459A.007(2)
6.3	Provide and increase accessibility to education and tools to help residents and businesses reduce their use of the single-use products with the greatest negative environmental impacts.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste	ORS 459A.007(2)(a)
6.4	Partner with communities of color and others to increase awareness about high-risk chemical products, reduce their use and decrease people's exposure to them.	Metro	Household waste Commercial waste	ORS 459A.007(2)
6.5	Assist households and businesses in the adoption of practices that prevent the wasting of food and other high impact materials.	Metro Cities Counties	Commercial waste Food waste	ORS 459A.007(2)
6.6	Support implementation of Oregon State University's SolvePestProblems.org as a primary tool for education and resources on integrated pest management.	Metro	Hazardous material	
6.7	Implement recognition programs for business efforts to prevent waste and minimize the environmental impacts of the products they purchase.	Cities Counties	Commercial waste	ORS 459A.007(1)(f)

Goal 7: Reduce product environmental impacts and waste through policies that support prevention practices and better purchasing choices.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
7.1 Implement procurement policies for Metro and local governments that prioritize the purchase of products and services with low environmental and human health impacts.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Hazardous material	
7.2 Implement policies that will reduce the use of single-use products such as single-use plastic bags.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Hazardous material	
7.3 Advocate for the reclassification of high-risk nonagricultural pesticides to restricted use status in Oregon.	Metro	Household waste Commercial waste	
7.4 Implement policies and programs that lead to the construction of buildings that use fewer resources, including improvements to Oregon Reach Code and baseline building codes to address material selection preferences and restrictions, incentives for space-efficient homes and removal of barriers to adopting lower-impact materials.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste	

Product end-of-life management


Goal 8: Increase the reuse, repair and donation of materials and consumer products.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
8.1 Support efforts to ensure that surplus edible food desired by agencies serving communities experiencing hunger in the region is made available to them.	Metro Cities Counties	Commercial waste Food waste	ORS 459A.007(2)(g)
8.2 Implement strategies to increase the salvage of building materials for reuse without increasing exposure to toxics.	Metro	Demolition material	ORS 459A.007(2)(f)
8.3 Advocate for research-informed changes to building codes and other regulations to increase use of reused and deconstructed materials.	Metro Cities Counties	Demolition material	
8.4 Expand the collection of reusable items at public and private transfer stations, in partnership with reuse and repair organizations.	Metro	Household waste Commercial waste	
8.5 Invest in neighborhood-scale reuse and repair services and infrastructure.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste	ORS 459A.007(2)(e)-(f)
8.6 Support implementation of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality’s Reuse, Repair and Extended Product Lifespan Strategic Plan.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste	ORS 459A.007(2)(e)-(f)

Goal 9: Increase knowledge among community members about garbage, recycling and reuse services.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
9.1 Provide culturally responsive education and assistance for garbage, recycling and reuse services to residents and businesses.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste Yard debris Demolition material Hazardous material	ORS 459A.005 to 459A.008
9.2 Utilize Metro’s Recycling Information Center to serve all residents and businesses in the region as a clearinghouse for prevention, reuse, recycling and disposal information.	Metro	Household waste Commercial waste Yard debris Demolition material Hazardous material	ORS 459A.007(2)(f)
9.3 Ensure that community education and volunteer development courses, such as Master Recycler, are relevant, accessible and culturally responsive to all communities.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Hazardous material	

Goal 10: Provide regionally consistent services for garbage, recyclables and other priority materials that meet the needs of all users.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
 10.1 Provide comprehensive collection services, and supporting education and assistance, for source-separated recyclables, source-separated food scraps and garbage in compliance with state, regional and local requirements, including the Regional Service Standard, Business Recycling Requirement and Business Food Waste Requirement in Metro Code.	Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste Yard debris	ORS 459A.005 to 459A.008
 10.2 Implement minimum service levels or performance standards for all collected materials for multifamily and commercial tenants.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste Yard debris	
 10.3 Implement regional standards for collection container colors, signage and other related informational materials for single-family, multifamily and commercial services.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste Yard debris	
10.4 Provide convenient, accessible and equitable collection of hazardous waste from households and Conditionally Exempt Generators, prioritizing communities with greatest need.	Metro	Hazardous material	
 10.5 Provide regularly-occurring bulky waste collection service, with particular emphasis on multifamily communities and lower income households.	Cities Counties	Household waste	
 10.6 Establish standards for collection areas for existing and newly constructed multifamily properties to ensure residents have adequate access to garbage, recyclables and food scraps collection containers.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste	



Directive action

10.7	Partner with community health organizations to expand options for collection of hypodermic needles and other types of medical waste, prioritizing individuals with the greatest barriers to service.	Metro	Hazardous material
10.8	Advocate for statewide legislation, or implement regional policies, to increase the types of products and packaging for which manufacturers and retailers provide environmentally sound, convenient and accessible take-back programs.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Hazardous material

Goal 12: Manage all garbage and recycling operations to reduce their nuisance, safety and environmental impacts on workers and the public.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
12.4	Implement sustainability practices in the operation of public and private solid waste facilities to reduce energy use, utilize renewable energy, reduce equipment emissions, maximize the use of safe alternatives to toxic materials and achieve other environmental objectives.	Metro	Hazardous material
12.7	Require post-collection material recovery for marketable materials that will advance progress towards achieving this plan's goals and targets.	Metro	Household waste Commercial waste Yard debris Demolition material

Goal 13: Invest in communities that receive garbage and recyclables from the Metro region so that those communities regard solid waste facilities as assets.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
13.2	Implement annual volunteer projects and collection/recycling events in neighborhoods affected by solid waste facilities.	Metro	Household waste Yard debris Hazardous waste

Goal 14: Adopt rates for all services that are reasonable, responsive to user economic needs, regionally consistent and well understood.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
14.5	Evaluate alternative models for collection, processing and transfer services to identify which would deliver the best environmental, financial, efficiency and equity outcomes.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste Yard debris Food waste Demolition material Hazardous material

Goal 15: Improve the systems for recovering recyclables, food scraps and yard debris to make them resilient to changing markets and evolving community needs.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
15.1 Implement regionally consistent contamination reduction efforts to improve material quality, including education, collection equipment changes and customer feedback methods.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste	ORS 459A.005 to 459A.008
15.2 Regularly assess the list of curbside recyclables collected in the region relative to end-markets, life cycle environmental benefits, community needs and forecasting of future materials in the waste stream.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste	
15.3 Develop public-private partnerships to expand local markets for priority recyclable materials, with an emphasis on minority-owned and other underrepresented business owners.	Metro	Household waste Commercial waste	
15.4 Fund investments to improve the performance of material recovery facilities through collection rates and/or other mechanisms.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste	
15.5 Facilitate the permitting of composting facilities to process mixed residential yard debris and food scraps, while ensuring minimal impacts on neighboring communities.	Metro Cities Counties	Yard debris Food waste	
15.6 Implement stronger linkages between recycling collection programs and material recovery facilities through processing performance standards, supply agreements, regulatory oversight or other means.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste	
15.7 Identify and implement changes to recycling collection programs and material recovery facility operations to meet the specifications of a broad range of markets.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste	
15.8 Advocate for statewide policies, or implement regional policies, that create a preference, incentive or requirement for use of recycling end-markets in Oregon and the Northwest.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste	
15.9 Advocate for expansion of the statewide bottle bill program to include additional containers.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste	
15.10 Evaluate whether a policy to increase garbage tip fees would further incentivize waste prevention and recovery, without harming ratepayers and providing revenue windfalls to transfer station operators.	Metro	Household waste Commercial waste Yard debris Food waste Demolition material Hazardous material	

Goal 16: Maintain a system of facilities, from smaller recycling drop-off depots to larger full-service stations, to ensure equitable distribution of, and access to, services.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
16.2 Locate recycling and food scraps transfer and recovery facilities to best benefit the public relative to geographic equity and access to service, and to reduce environmental and human health impacts.	Metro	Household waste Commercial waste Food waste	
16.5 Evaluate the feasibility of establishing a publicly-owned facility in Washington County to accept and transfer garbage, recycling, food scraps and household hazardous waste and other materials.	Metro Cities Counties	Household waste Commercial waste Food waste Hazardous material	ORS 459.250 ORS 459A.005(1)(a)(A)
16.6 Expand and improve access to services provided at Metro South Transfer Station.	Metro	Household waste Commercial waste Hazardous material	ORS 459.250 ORS 459A.005(1)(a)(A)

Disaster resilience

Goal 19: Plan disaster debris response operations to expedite the clearance and removal of debris, making the best use of locally-based services and materials and maximizing recovery.

Actions	Lead	Waste stream	Waste prevention, reuse and recycling requirements
19.4 Develop strategies for the safe reuse, recycling and disposal of materials following a debris-generating incident.	Metro	Demolition material Hazardous material Disaster debris	
19.5 Create incentives or requirements for debris management contractors to collect and separate debris materials for reuse and recycling.	Metro Cities Counties	Demolition material Hazardous material Disaster debris	

Measuring and reporting on progress

The 2030 Regional Waste Plan includes a measurement framework to evaluate progress towards the plan's vision and goals (page 102). The measurement framework consists of six key indicators and many goal-level indicators. Five of the key indicators are directly related to the waste reduction program actions:

Relevant key indicators

Greenhouse gas emissions associated with the products and services consumed in the Metro region


Annual tons of waste generated

Number, geographic location and demographics of youth reached through education programs

Share of multifamily communities with adequate collection services

Recycling contamination by sector

Metro, cities and counties in the region will be responsible for collecting the necessary data for constructing the plan's indicators. To report on progress, Metro will produce an annual report on the implementation status of each action in the plan and a report at least every three years with updates of the key indicators and goals. In addition and in consultation with DEQ, Metro will meet its statutory reporting responsibilities by periodically reporting to the Environmental Quality Commission on the implementation of the waste reduction program.



MOGU MOGU
23% Strawberry Juice
with Nata de Coco

Gotta Chew!

NET CONTENT
16.9 FL OZ (500 mL e-3)

Key solid waste laws

There are several state laws that help give perspective and direction to the activities in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan.

The Oregon Bottle Bill

1971 – First bottle bill in the United States.

The Oregon legislature passed the Oregon Bottle Bill in 1971 and it took effect on October 1, 1972. This bottle bill was the first of its kind in the nation. Its purpose was to reduce litter and divert all beer and carbonated beverage containers from the waste stream so that they could be reused or recycled. The bill requires that a refund be paid to any person who returns empty soft drink or beer bottles or cans to a retail store.

2011 – Bottle bill expansion

House Bill 3145 amended the Bottle Bill in 2011 to add all beverage containers to the list of containers covered under the law - except distilled liquor, wine, dairy or plant-based milk, meal replacement beverages and infant formula - beginning Jan. 1, 2018. The bill also allowed for an increase in the refund value from 5 to 10 cents per container.

The Oregon Opportunity to Recycle Act

1983 – First curbside recycling programs in Oregon

The Opportunity to Recycle Act, passed by the Oregon legislature in 1983, was ground-breaking legislation that required:

- Residential on-route (curbside) recycling collection in cities of 4,000 or more people.
- Recycling at solid waste disposal sites.
- Education and promotion programs designed to make all Oregonians aware of opportunities to recycle and the reasons for recycling.

Although Oregon already had an extensive recycling infrastructure, both private and public, before the passage of the act, the system was enhanced through this legislation. The recycling programs called for in the Opportunity to Recycle Act have been implemented throughout the state.

1991 – Setting recovery targets

In 1991, the Oregon legislature took recycling legislation a step further and passed the Oregon Recycling Act. Among other things, the Oregon Recycling Act established a recovery level goal of 50 percent by the year 2000. The Metro region was required to achieve a recovery level of 40 percent by 1995.

The Oregon Recycling Act also mandated the development of a statewide solid waste plan by 1994 and the performance of waste composition studies, and required cities with a population greater than 10,000 and the Metro area to implement certain waste reduction practices. Certain materials, such as whole tires and lead acid batteries, were banned from landfills. The act also specified

purchasing preferences by government agencies for materials with high percentages of recycled content and high degrees of reusability/recyclability.

Finally, the act established minimum recycled-content requirements for newsprint, telephone directories, glass containers and rigid plastic containers sold in Oregon.

1997 – 2 percent Credits for waste prevention

In 1997, the legislature passed a bill that enabled local governments to obtain credit for more than just their recycling programs. The program allowed 2 percent credits for wastesheds such as the Metro three-county area that have established and maintained programs in waste prevention, reuse and backyard composting. DEQ established guidelines and evaluation criteria for wastesheds that allowed them to earn up to 6 percent total credits toward their recovery goals for qualifying programs.

2001 – New state and watershed goals

In 2001, although most of the wastesheds in the state were meeting their individual required recovery goals, DEQ confirmed to the legislature that these accomplishments were nevertheless not going to produce a statewide recovery goal of 50 percent. The legislature responded with House Bill 3744 (amending ORS 459.010) that set a statewide recovery goal of 45 percent for 2005 and 50 percent for 2009 and adjusted individual watershed goals. Metro's goal became 62 percent by 2005 and 64 percent by 2009 (these rates could include any credits received under the "2 percent waste prevention credits" program).

The bill set out review procedures regarding the goal:

If a watershed does not achieve its 2005 or 2009 waste recovery goal, the watershed shall conduct a technical review of existing policies or programs and determine revisions to meet the recovery goal. The department shall, upon the request of the watershed, assist in the technical review. The watershed may request, and may assist the department in conducting, a technical review to determine whether the watershed goal is valid (ORS 450.010(6)(e)).

In addition, House Bill 3744 established statewide waste generation goals:

- By 2005, there will be no annual increase in per capita municipal solid waste generation;
- By 2009, there will be no annual increase in total municipal solid waste generation.

2015 – Updates to local government waste prevention, reuse and recycling programs

In 2015, the legislature passed Senate Bill 263 and made fundamental changes to the Opportunity to Recycle Act (ORS 459A). The bill revised material recovery and waste prevention goals and requirements for Oregon's municipalities and wastesheds.

In particular, the bill:

- Added four new options to the list of recycling programs cities and counties can choose to implement.
- Increased the total number of recycling programs cities and counties in the Metro region must implement.
- Added requirements for certain cities and counties to assess contamination levels in curbside recycling and to make plans and outreach programs to reduce contamination.

- Retired the voluntary waste prevention and reuse programs for wastesheds (the former “2 percent waste prevention credits” program introduced in 1997).
- Required larger cities and all cities within the Metro boundary to implement new waste prevention and reuse programs, replacing similar programs previously implemented under the 2 percent waste prevention credits program.
- Expanded recycling opportunities for people living in multifamily housing and for tenants of multi-tenant commercial buildings, effective July 1, 2022.

Senate Bill 263 also updated the statewide and wasteshed waste recovery goals and the state’s waste generation goals. For the Metro region, the waste recovery goal was revised and set to a 64 percent waste recovery rate by 2025, not including the waste prevention credits under the former “2 percent waste prevention credits” program. The bill also established that this goal was now voluntary and not enforceable by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.

For the state as a whole, the bill established the following goals:

- For waste generation,
 - » No annual increase in total waste generation by 2009
 - » By 2025, a decrease in total waste generation to 15 percent below 2012 levels, and to 40 percent below 2012 levels by 2050 and subsequent years
- For waste recovery,
 - » An overall material recovery rate of 52 percent by 2020 and 55 percent by 2025 and subsequent years
 - » For food waste, a recovery rate of 25 percent by 2020
 - » For plastic waste, a recovery rate of 25 percent by 2020
 - » For carpet waste, a recovery rate of 25 percent by 2025

The bill also mandated the development of alternative waste recovery rates based on energy use and possibly other environmental impact indicators such as greenhouse gas emissions, toxicity and water use. The alternative recovery rates are outcome-based indicators and represent a departure from using weight-based indicators as measures of progress towards meeting the state’s waste recovery goals.

Extended producer responsibility

2007 – Oregon e-cycles electronics program

In 2007, Oregon House Bill 2626 created a producer responsibility system for the management of obsolete electronics where manufacturers either provide collection and recycling for their e-waste or pay for a program that is contracted by the state. The legislation required safe, convenient and environmentally sound recycling of specific electronic devices and, as of January 2010, banned the disposal of such devices.

Since January 2009, the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality has implemented this legislation through the Oregon E-Cycles program. The program currently provides free recycling of computers, monitors, printers, keyboards, mice and TVs through a network of collection sites located throughout the state.

2009 – Paint stewardship program

In 2009, Oregon became the first state in the nation to enact legislation (House Bill 3037) requiring architectural paint manufacturers to implement a program to reduce waste, increase reuse and recycling and safely dispose of remaining unusable paint and other coatings. The program started as a pilot in 2010 and was made permanent by legislation in 2013.

Under the program, retailers of paint products collect a program fee from consumers for each container registered for sale in Oregon. The fee then goes back to manufacturers who pay a stewardship organization, PaintCare, to manage collections and provide outreach and education on how to buy the right amount, reuse and recycle paint and other coatings. PaintCare is a non-profit organization created by the paint industry.

Metro’s solid waste obligations and authorizations under state law

In addition to the key solid waste laws described above, Metro has additional obligations and authorizations related to solid waste management for the Metro region. Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) Chapter 459 covers solid waste management administration roles, disposal sites, hazardous waste management, enforcement and penalties. ORS 459A covers reuse and recycling program requirements in the state. Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) Chapter 340 sets out implementation standards, reporting requirements, recovery rate goals, recovery rate calculation methods, etc. The following state law chapters and sections specifically pertain to the region’s waste and toxicity reduction plans, policies and programs:

ORS 459.055 Prepare and adopt a waste reduction program.	ORS 459.345 Submit report to the Environmental Quality Commission on the implementation of the waste reduction program required by ORS 459.055.	ORS 459A.750 School curriculum and teachers’ guide components.
ORS 459.250 Provide recycling collection at transfer stations or at more convenient locations.	ORS 459.413(1) Establish permanent household hazardous waste depots.	OAR Chapter 340, Division 90 Implementation standards and reporting requirements.
ORS 459.335 Authorizes Metro to use solid waste revenues for various solid waste activities, including those directly related to reducing the environmental impact of solid waste.	ORS 459.413(2) Encourage use of household hazardous waste collection services.	ORS 268.317(5)-(7) and 268.318 Solid waste regulatory authority.
ORS 459.340 Implement the waste reduction program required by ORS 459.055.	ORS 459A.005 to 459A.008 Implement waste reduction program elements.	ORS 268.390 Functional planning authority.
		ORS 459.095 Local government compliance with the Metro region’s solid waste management plan, the 2030 Regional Waste Plan.

Glossary of terms

These definitions are provided to assist the reader and should not be construed as policies, goals or practices of the Plan, or as amendments to the Metro Code.

Anaerobic digestion – Anaerobic digestion is the natural process in which microorganisms break down organic materials. In this instance, “organic” means coming from or made of plants or animals. Anaerobic digestion happens in closed spaces where there is no air (or oxygen). The initials “AD” may refer to the process of anaerobic digestion or the built system where anaerobic digestion takes place, also known as a digester.

Bins – In the 2030 Regional Waste Plan the word “bins” is used to refer to all equipment used to collect garbage, mixed recycling and glass recycling at single-family, multifamily and commercial sites.

Bulky waste – Furniture such as sofas, chairs, dressers, televisions, mattresses, appliances and larger pieces of carpet and carpet pad; can include items not mentioned in this list that are too large to fit into bins.

Business – Any entity of one or more persons, corporate or otherwise, engaged in commercial, professional, charitable, political, industrial, educational, or other activity that is non-residential in nature, including public bodies and excluding businesses whose primary office is located in a residence.

Collection area - A designated area to collect garbage and/or recyclable materials on a multifamily or commercial site; sites may

have one or more garbage and recycling collection areas.

Collection service – A service that provides for collection of solid waste or recyclable material, or both. OAR 340-90-010.

Communities of Color – For the purposes of this plan, Communities of Color are Native Americans, African Americans, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, Latinos and Hispanics, and immigrants and refugees who do not speak English well, including African immigrants, Slavic and Russian speaking communities, and people from the Middle East. From Metro’s Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion” (June 2016).

Community – All individuals who live, work, play or pray in the Portland metropolitan region. From Metro’s Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion (June 2016).

Community Benefit Agreement - A legally binding contract (or set of related contracts), setting forth a range of community benefits regarding a development project and resulting from substantial community involvement. From Julian Gross, “Community Benefits Agreements: Definitions, Values, and Legal Enforceability” Journal of Affordable Housing Vol. 17:1-2 Fall 2007/Winter 2008.

Compost – The controlled biological decomposition of organic material or the product resulting from such a process. OAR 340-90-010.

Conditionally exempt generator (CEG) – Small businesses that generate small amounts of hazardous waste, as defined by state and federal law.

Consumption – The using of a resource, product or material. In the 2030 Regional Waste Plan, “consumption” typically refers to the stage in the life cycle of a product where it is acquired and used, following production but prior to end-of-life management. However, “consumption” in the context of the consumption-based greenhouse gas emissions inventory has a slightly different meaning, referring to the purchase of goods and services by households and governments, as well as business purchases that are classified as capital or inventory formation (economic final demand).

Consumption-based greenhouse gas emissions inventory – An estimate of the quantity of greenhouse gases contributing to climate change that are associated with consumption (economic final demand). A consumption-based inventory is sometimes contrasted with a territorial inventory. A territorial inventory estimates the emissions that physically originate within a community (e.g., Oregon). In contrast, many of Oregon’s consumption-based emissions occur in other states and countries, in the course of producing goods and services for consumption in Oregon.

Contaminants – Items found in the mixed recycling bin that are not recyclable curbside.

Culturally responsive services – Services that have been adapted to maximize the respect of and relevance to the beliefs, practices, culture and linguistic needs of

diverse consumer populations and communities. Cultural responsiveness thus requires knowledge and capacity at different levels of intervention: systemic, organizational, professional and individual. Adapted from Protocol for Culturally Responsive Organizations 2014, Curry-Stevens, Reyes and the Coalition of Communities of Color.

Culture – A social system of meaning and custom that is developed by a group of people to assure its adaptation and survival. These groups are distinguished by a set of unspoken rules that shape values, beliefs, habits, patterns of thinking, behaviors and styles of communication. Maguire, John, Sally Leiderman, and John Egerton (2000). A Community Builder’s Tool Kit – 15 Tools for Creating Healthy, Productive, Interracial/Multicultural Communities. Claremont, CA: The Institute for Democratic Renewal and The Project Change Anti-Racism Initiative.

Curbside collection – Programs where recyclable materials are collected at the curb for single-family units and at onsite depots for multi-family units.

Diversity – The variance or difference amongst people. This variance includes race, ethnicity, gender, age, religion, nationality, language preference, socioeconomic status, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity and others. These differences are tied to a variety of other aspects of diversity such as experience, work styles, life experience, education, beliefs and ideas. Honoring these differences while upholding our value for respect is central to our diversity philosophy. Metro (2012). Diversity Action Plan.

End-of-life – The point at which a product or material is no longer useful to the person possessing it and is either discarded or abandoned.

End-use markets – Outlets for materials such as post-consumer paper, which are manufactured into a finished product or materials such as scrap tires that are incinerated to recover energy.

Equity – Metro’s working definition of equity reads: “Our region is stronger when all individuals and communities benefit from quality jobs, living wages, a strong economy, stable and affordable housing, safe and reliable transportation, clean air and water, a healthy environment and sustainable resources that enhance our quality of life.

We share a responsibility as individuals within a community and communities within a region. Our future depends on the success of all, but avoidable inequities in the utilization of resources and opportunities prevent us from realizing our full potential.

Our region’s population is growing and changing. Metro is committed with its programs, policies and services to create conditions which allow everyone to participate and enjoy the benefits of making this a great place today and for generations to come.” Metro’s Equity Strategy Advisory Committee (2014).

Extended Producer Responsibility – A mandatory type of product stewardship that includes, at a minimum, the requirement that the manufacturer’s responsibility for its product extends to post-consumer management of that product and its packaging. There are two related features of EPR policy: (1) shifting financial and management responsibility, with government oversight, upstream to the manufacturer and away from the public sector; and (2) providing incentives to manufacturers to incorporate environmental considerations into the design of their products and packaging. Product Stewardship Institute.

Franchise –The authority given by a local government (including Metro) to operate a solid waste and recycling collection service, disposal site, processing facility, transfer station or resource recovery facility. Often includes the establishment of rates by the local government.

Garbage – A general term for all products and materials discarded and intended for disposal.

Generator – A person who last uses a material and makes it available for disposal or recycling. OAR 340- 90-010.

Good Neighbor Agreement - Negotiated agreements between an industry operator with local communities to alleviate negative environmental and public health impacts associated with industry operations. Adapted from: “Evaluating the use of good neighbor agreements in environmental and community protection,” 2004. University of Colorado Law School.

Hauler – The private company that provides solid waste collection services.

Historically marginalized – A limited term that refers to groups who have been denied access and/or suffered past institutional discrimination in the United States and, according to the Census and other federal measuring tools, includes African Americans, Asian Americans, Hispanics or Chicanos/ Latinos and Native Americans. This is revealed by an imbalance in the representation of different groups in common pursuits such as education, jobs, housing, etc., resulting in marginalization for some groups and individuals and not for others, relative to the number of individuals who are members of the population involved.

Other groups in the United States have been marginalized and are currently

underrepresented. These groups may include but are not limited to other ethnicities, adult learners, veterans, people with disabilities, lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender individuals, different religious groups and different economic backgrounds. University of California, Berkeley (2015). Berkeley Diversity – Glossary of Terms.

Household hazardous waste (HHW) or hazardous waste – Any discarded, useless or unwanted chemical materials or products that are or may be hazardous or toxic to the public or the environment and are commonly used in or around households. Residential waste that is ignitable, corrosive, reactive, or toxic. Examples include solvents, pesticides, cleaners, and paints.

Inclusion - Inclusion refers to the degree to which diverse individuals are able to participate fully in the decision-making process within an organization or group. While a truly “inclusive” group is necessarily diverse, a “diverse” group may or may not be “inclusive.” Metro (2012). Diversity Action Plan.

Local governments – For the purposes of this document, a local government is defined as a city or county within the Metro boundary. Metro is also a local government.

Low income – A term typically defined for a person or family based on annual median income and household size. The definition of what a low income is varies across federal and state agencies and the different programs they administer, such as housing and food assistance programs. The 2015 Equity Baseline Report produced for Metro recommends defining low income as having an income at or below 60% of the Portland metropolitan area’s median family income. Whenever this standard is not possible to use, the report recommends defining low income as being at or below 200% the federal poverty level, which is a measure of income

issued every year by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. From Equity Baseline Workgroup (2015), Equity Baseline Report. Part 1: A Framework for Regional Equity.

Low-income populations – People, families, households and neighborhoods with low average incomes. Because of current socioeconomic status patterns, there is overlap between low-income populations and communities of color. However, a focus on low-income individuals/households does not substitute for a racial/ethnic justice focus.

Material recovery facility (MRF) – A solid waste management facility that separates materials for the purposes of recycling from an incoming, source-separated or mixed solid waste stream.

Material recovery or recovery – A type of resource recovery that is limited to manual or mechanical methods of obtaining material from solid waste that still has useful physical or chemical properties and can be reused, recycled, or composted for some purpose. Material recovery includes obtaining material from solid waste that is used in the preparation of fuel, but excludes the extraction of heat content or other forms of energy from the material. Metro Code 5.00.010.

Materials management – An approach to reduce environmental impacts by managing materials through all stages of their life. Materials management identifies impacts and actions across the full cycle of materials and products as they move through the economy— from raw material extraction to product design and manufacture, transport, consumption, use, reuse, recycling and disposal.

Mixed waste – Solid waste containing a variety of recyclable and nonrecyclable material.

Multifamily – Apartment and condominium buildings with five or more units; may also include retirement communities, dormitories, moorages and mobile home parks.

Non-putrescible waste – Commercial, residential or industrial solid waste that does not contain food wastes or other putrescible wastes. Non-putrescible mixed solid waste (also called dry waste) includes only waste that does not require disposal at a municipal solid waste landfill (also referred to as a general purpose landfill), as that term is defined by the Oregon Administrative Rules. This category of waste excludes source-separated recyclables.

Product stewardship – The act of minimizing the health, safety, environmental and social impacts of a product and its packaging throughout all lifecycle stages, while also maximizing economic benefits.

The manufacturer, or producer, of the product has the greatest ability to minimize adverse impacts, but other stakeholders, such as suppliers, retailers and consumers, also play a role. Stewardship can be either voluntary or required by law. Product Stewardship Institute.

Putrescible waste – Solid waste (other than uncontaminated or only slightly contaminated cardboard and paper products) containing organic material that can be rapidly decomposed by microorganisms, and which may give rise to foul-smelling, offensive products during such decomposition or which is capable of attracting or providing food for birds and potential disease vectors such as rodents and flies.

Race – A social construct that artificially divides people into distinct groups based on characteristics such as physical appearance (particularly color), ancestral heritage,

cultural affiliation, cultural history, ethnic classification and the social, economic and political needs of a society at a given period of time. Racial categories subsume ethnic groups. Maurianne Adams, Lee Anne Bell and Pat Griffin, editors. *Teaching for Diversity and Social Justice: A Sourcebook*. New York: Routledge.

Racial equity – When race can no longer be used to predict life outcomes and outcomes for all groups are improved. Adapted from Government Alliance on Race and Equity (2015). *Advancing racial equity and transforming government: A resource guide to put ideas into action*.

Recovery – See material recovery.

Recovery rate – The percent of total solid waste generated that is recovered from the municipal solid waste stream.

Recyclable material – Recyclable material means material that still has or retains useful physical, chemical or biological properties after serving its original purpose(s) or function(s), and that can be reused, recycled, or composted for the same or other purpose(s). Metro Code 5.00.010.

Recycling – Any process by which solid waste materials are transformed into new products in such a manner that the original products may lose their identity. OAR 340-90-010, ORS 459.005.

Reuse – The return of a commodity into the economic stream for use in the same kind of application as before, without change in its identity. OAR 340-90-010, ORS 459.005.

Service level - The volume of garbage, mixed recycling and glass recycling service provided to single-family, multifamily or commercial sites. In some cases, it also includes yard debris and food waste collection services.

Signage - Signage refers to stickers, decals, posters and signs posted on bins or in collection areas that directs users on how, or what, to place in the bins.

Solid waste - All putrescible and non-putrescible wastes, including without limitation garbage, rubbish, refuse, ashes, waste paper and cardboard; discarded or abandoned vehicles or parts thereof; sewage sludge, septic tank and cesspool pumpings or other sludge; commercial, industrial, demolition and construction waste; discarded home and industrial appliances; asphalt, broken concrete and bricks; manure, vegetable or animal solid and semi-solid wastes, dead animals; infectious waste; and other such wastes, including without limitation cleanup materials, commingled recyclable material, petroleum contaminated soil, special waste, source-separated recyclable material, land clearing debris and yard debris. This term does not include: (1) Hazardous wastes; (2) Radioactive wastes; (3) Materials used for fertilizer, soil conditioning, humus restoration or for other productive purposes or which are salvageable for these purposes and are used on land in agricultural operations and the growing or harvesting of crops and the raising of fowls or animals, provided the materials are used at or below agronomic application rates; or (4) Explosives. Metro Code 5.00.010.

Solid waste management – Prevention or reduction of solid waste; management of the storage, collection, transportation, treatment, utilization, processing and final disposal of solid waste; recycling, reuse and material or energy recovery from solid waste; and facilities necessary or convenient to such activities. ORS 459.005. Also see “Waste reduction hierarchy.”

Source-separated material – Material that has been kept from being mixed with solid waste by the generator in order to reuse or recycle that material.

Sustainable, sustainability, sustainable practices – Using, developing and protecting resources in a manner that enables people to meet current needs and provides that future generations can also meet future needs, from the joint perspective of environmental, economic and community objectives. ORS 184.421(4).

Waste generator types are defined as follows:

- Commercially-hauled residential waste – generated from single- and multifamily housing units and hauled to disposal facilities in rear, side or front loaders, drop boxes or self-dumping trucks.
- Self-hauled residential waste – generated from single- and multifamily housing units and hauled to disposal facilities in autos, vans, pickup trucks and trailers attached to small vehicles.
- Business waste – generated from retail and wholesale businesses, offices, food and lodging businesses, food stores, education institutions and service-related businesses.
- Industrial waste – generated from manufacturing businesses, the construction and demolition industry (but not loads containing construction waste materials), agriculture and other industrial businesses.
- Construction and demolition waste – generated from residential, business and industrial sources containing mostly bricks, concrete, gypsum wallboard, land clearing debris, roofing and tarpaper, wood, insulation and other building materials.

Waste prevention – Reducing the amount of solid waste generated or resources used, without increasing toxicity, in the design, manufacture, purchase or use of products or packaging. Waste prevention does not include recycling or composting.


Waste reduction – A term used to encompass waste prevention, reuse and recovery; all practices that either prevent the generation of waste or divert it from landfill disposal.

Waste reduction hierarchy – An established state priority for managing solid waste in order to conserve energy and natural resources. The priority methods are as follows: reduce, reuse, recycle, compost, recover (energy), landfill.
ORS 459.015.

Waste stream – A term describing the total flow of solid waste from homes, businesses, institutions and manufacturing plants that must be recycled, burned or disposed of in landfills; or any segment thereof, such as the “residential waste stream” or the “recyclable waste stream.”

Wasteshed – Wastesheds are defined in Oregon law and, for the most part, correspond to individual Oregon counties. In the case of the greater Portland area, however, the “Metro wasteshed” includes all of Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties.

Yard debris – Vegetative and woody material generated from residential property or from commercial landscaping activities. Includes grass clippings, leaves, hedge trimmings, stumps and similar vegetative waste. OAR 340-90-010.





Metro

Art and events
Garbage and recycling
Land and transportation
Parks and nature
Oregon Zoo

oregonmetro.gov



Public Comment Report

*Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan:
Equity, health and the environment*

Public service

We are here to serve the public with the highest level of integrity.

Excellence

We aspire to achieve exceptional results

Teamwork

We engage others in ways that foster respect and trust.

Respect

We encourage and appreciate diversity in people and ideas.

Innovation

We take pride in coming up with innovative solutions.

Sustainability

We are leaders in demonstrating resource use and protection.

Metro's values and purpose

We inspire, engage, teach and invite people to preserve and enhance the quality of life and the environment for current and future generations.

If you picnic at Blue Lake or take your kids to the Oregon Zoo, enjoy symphonies at the Schnitz or auto shows at the convention center, put out your trash or drive your car – we’ve already crossed paths.

So, hello. We’re Metro – nice to meet you.

In a metropolitan area as big as Portland, we can do a lot of things better together. Join us to help the region prepare for a happy, healthy future.

Stay in touch with news, stories and things to do.

oregonmetro.gov/news

Follow oregonmetro



Metro Council President

Lynn Peterson

Metro Councilors

Shirley Craddick, District 1

Christine Lewis, District 2

Craig Dirksen, District 3

Juan Carlos Gonzalez, District 4

Sam Chase, District 5

Bob Stacey, District 6

Auditor

Brian Evans

600 NE Grand Ave.
Portland, OR 97232-2736
503-797-1700

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	3
Report organization	3
Public comment and response	3
Solid Waste Alternatives Advisory Committee comment and response	25
Summary of plan revisions	30
Next steps.....	33
Appendix: Public comment submissions	34

INTRODUCTION

This document addresses issues and questions raised in the public comments submitted to Metro on the draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan. The plan is designed to provide direction for greater Portland for managing materials and products from production to disposal over the next twelve years. Implementation of the plan is a shared responsibility among Metro and city and county governments in the region. Over the 30-day comment period, which ended on December 21, 2018, more than 90 comments were provided by individuals and organizations on the plan, either online, by phone or in writing. In addition, Metro staff presented the draft plan to the Metro's Solid Waste Alternatives Advisory Committee on December 12, 2018 for review and comment.

REPORT ORGANIZATION

This report is organized into four sections. The first two sections present the comments received during the public comment period, organized by comments collected through the public comment process and those received at the Solid Waste Alternatives Advisory Committee meeting. In both sections, the comments and Metro responses are organized by the plan topic to which they are addressed followed by general comments that apply to the entire plan. Each comment in this report is followed by Metro's response. For most comments, the response identifies how the plan already addresses the comment. In some instances, however, the response indicates the plan will be revised based on that comment. The third section presents the revisions made to the plan as result of the input received. The last section outlines next steps for review of the final draft of the plan and the adoption process.

PUBLIC COMMENT AND RESPONSE

This section presents public comments received by web form, email, phone or mail. Specific recommendations from letters received as a part of the public comment period were extracted from the letters. The complete comments and submissions are provided in the appendices.

Introduction

- 1 Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Page 6 (Introduction): the first paragraph on this page is elegantly written and demonstrates a firm understanding of - and commitment to - principles of sustainable materials management.

Response: Thank you for your input.

A new approach to managing waste

- 2 Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Page 11 ("Addressing the full life cycle"): DEQ suggests that the first paragraph may more accurately reflect how the life cycle can be explained if written as follows: "Our regional waste system is one piece of an integrated whole that covers the entire life of the products we use, from design to production to use, until they go to a recycler, landfill or thrift store." Perhaps Metro could add the following to strengthen the linkage between different stages of the life cycle: "Decisions about how wastes are managed can impact design and production upstream of the consumer, and the region can further impact upstream decisions through material selection and waste prevention." Further, the remainder of the text on page 11 might be

strengthened by adding a sentence or two that justifies this broader/expanded approach. "By addressing impacts across the full life cycle of materials, the region can avoid unknowingly shifting environmental burdens (increasing impacts in one area even as we decrease the impacts of waste). And considering actions that can be taken across this full life cycle opens up additional opportunities to achieve our objectives."

Response: Thank you for your input.

- 3 **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Page 14 ("The life cycle of products and materials"): 2 references under "Product design and manufacturing" to "recyclable materials". DEQ's new research shows poor correlation between "recyclable" (packaging) and low impact, so the references to designing with more recyclable materials as a "way to reduce harmful impacts" should be deleted.

Response: Metro has amended the text to: "Design products to use fewer newly extracted natural resources and more recycled materials."

- 4 **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Page 14 ("The life cycle of products and materials"): Under "Product consumption and use" consider adding restricting the sale of (or access to) high impact products as another example of how to reduce harmful impacts. This change would be consistent with at least one action later in the plan.

Response: The following text was added to page 14, under "Product consumption and use," based on your suggestion: "Implement policies to restrict or limit the sale of, or access to, products with high impacts to human health and the environment."

Environmental impacts of products and materials

- 5 **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Pages 18 – 23 ("Environmental impacts of products and materials") Thank you for effectively using DEQ data (material recovery survey and the consumption based emission inventory) and for a clear and compelling exposition of environmental impacts. Overall, the framing in this section is very effective.

Response: Thank you for your input.

- 6 **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Page 20 ("Environmental impacts of products and materials"): Sub-caption of pie chart is not quite correct. DEQ asks that Metro change the sub-caption to the following: "In 2015, the Metro region generated 41 million metric tons of greenhouse gas emissions from the products and materials we made, bought, used and threw away and the services we used."

Response: The text was revised to "... from the products and materials we bought, used and threw away..." based on your suggestion.

Values, Principles and Vision

- 7 **Comment:** [City of Portland staff] Values, principles and vision express shared intent. Your team adeptly identified a need to realign our focus areas on what matters most to people in our community. The Values succinctly describe the purpose of the Plan and clearly show Metro’s renewed commitment to the community. Your leadership in reimagining the role, opportunities and vision for a regional waste system will help guide our strategic thinking and ground new visions for the future. Given your collaboration with community stakeholders, we have confidence that this work represents the interests and shares an expression of intent of our community.

Response: Thank you for your input.

- 8 **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Page 47 (“Vision”): Under “Garbage and recycling operations” does Metro mean to say it is minimizing pollution of air, solids and water” or “air, soils and water”? The reference to “pollution of solids” is not clear.

Response: Thank you for your comment. This was a typo. The text was revised to “... minimizing pollution of air, soils and water” to match the Vision language endorsed by Metro Council in January 2018.

Goals and actions

Shared prosperity

- 9 **Comment:** [Wayne Brooks] Re; pay living wages. I think you should go a step farther. I suggest that the highest paid job must not exceed a certain multiple of the lowest job including benefits. See Ben and Jerry’s and Bob’s red mill as examples.

Response: Under goal 3, action 3.1 directs work to “Establish living wage and benefits standards for the lowest-paid positions in the solid waste industry and update the standard on a regular basis.” When working to implement this action, Metro, cities and counties will consider a variety of alternatives on how to best establish a living wage standard.

- 10 **Comment:** [Terrell Garrett] Goal 2.1 is admirable in its effort to cause portions of the solid waste spending to go local with emphasis on minority and women owned businesses. However, the goal stops well short. The goal should extend well past the amounts available through government contracting with goal of significant local participation through ownership in all facets of collection, processing and transfer stations.

Response: Under goal 2, action 2.2 aims to increase racial diversity in the ownership and management of collection service providers and action 2.3 provides direction to invest Metro grant resources to increase economic opportunities in all sectors of the garbage and recycling system for communities of color and other historically marginalized communities. However, Metro and local governments do not control who owns or invests in facilities.

- 11 Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 2.1 Need to understand details of how this will work.
- Response:** Metro, cities and counties will develop the details during implementation of the plan.
- 12 Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 2.2 Generally supportive, but need more detail and clear objectives.
- Response:** Cities and counties will develop the details during implementation of the plan.
- 13 Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 2.3 Need an estimate of cost impact.
- Response:** Cost impacts will be determined at the time grant programs are developed. Metro's existing Investment and Innovation grant program is currently funded from Metro's solid waste reserve fund.
- 14 Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 3.1 Need an estimate of cost impact.
- Response:** Metro, cities and counties will develop the cost estimate when identifying approaches for implementing this action.
- 15 Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 3.3 This action seemingly should precede 3.2.
- Response:** The actions are not intended to be presented in chronological order. Your suggestion will be helpful when developing implementation work plans.

Product design and manufacturing

- 16 Comment:** [Wayne Brooks] Companies that sell excess packaging should have to take it back and have a plan for recycling it. If there is a product that can't be recycled such as product lids and caps, pizza boxes, and waxy cups and packaging then why is it being allowed to be sold in our state? Remember Reduce, Reuse and Recycle. Help develop a pizza box that customers can purchase and wash and then use it to pick up your next pizza purchase with a discount for saving the store. No caps or lids should be allowed to be sold that are not recyclable. If there are products that we buy that cannot be recycled you need to ask why and how can it be changed. No recyclable product should have any label or marking that stops it from being reused and/or recycled.
- Response:** Under goal 5, action 5.4 advocates for policy stewardship legislation for products and packaging. Extended producer responsibility is a type of product stewardship that includes, at a minimum, the requirement for the manufacture's responsibility for its product to extend to post-consumer management of that product and its packaging.

17 Comment: [Thane Tienson on behalf of Asean Corporation] We recommend that the 2030 Regional Waste Plan include, as an action item, the adoption of statewide legislation establishing standards for compostability similar or identical to those adopted by the State of California and set forth in the California Public Resources Code §§ 42355-42357, which establish statewide standards in California for compostable plastics (ASTM D6400), non-floating biodegradable plastics in the marine environment (ASTM D7801) and biodegradable plastics used as coatings on paper and other compostable substrates (ASTM D6868). That legislation adopted in 2015 by the State of California has proved to quite effective in greatly limiting, if not eliminating, the advertising for sale of falsely labeled biodegradable and compostable plastics and increased the success of composting post-consumer food scraps to include certified compostable products. Similar standards have been adopted in Maryland and by the City of Seattle and are much needed of the large number of products on the market which are labeled as “compostable,” “biodegradable,” or “recyclable,” when, in fact, they are not so and do not comply with ASTM specifications. In that regard, California, for example, recently entered into a settlement with Amazon.com extracting over \$1.5 million in civil penalties to obtain consent order obligating Amazon to ensure that the plastic products advertised for sale through it and labeled as “biodegradable” or compostable” or some similar term did, in fact, meet the ASTM legal standards adopted by the state. These standards have not been adopted in Oregon, but they need to be, and we believe that doing so will help promote the above identified goals in the Regional Waste Plan.

Response: This recommendation is consistent with the intent of goal 5, action 5.6 to “Advocate for standards for high-impact products...” and may be considered in implementing that action over the life of the plan.

18 Comment: [City of Hillsboro staff] 5.3 Need an estimate of cost impact.

Response: Metro and/or the State of Oregon partner agencies will develop cost estimates for the specific incentive program alternatives identified when implementing this action.

Product consumption and use

19 Comment: [Heidi Barth] Food is currently purchased in disposable containers, most of which is plastic. Food needs to be sold in biodegradable or reusable materials, especially dairy products. Portland used to have a dairy with a drive through retail outlet, Senn's, where milk was sold in returnable glass containers. Additionally, coffee to go should not be sold in disposable containers. Imagine what this alone is doing to our planet!

Response: Under goal 7, action 7.2 focuses on reducing the use of single use products, including food packaging. This action will identify policies that Metro and local governments could adopt to reduce the use of these types of products.

20 Comment: [Linda Martin] One of the most important things to me and so many of my neighbors that post on NextDoor, is the lack of recycling options for #1 plastics (clamshells, etc.)..... please, please, please help us find a way to recycle this kind of plastic! Many of us are saving it up hoping that we can find an option soon. This is the most prevalent plastic, and it's being dumped into our environment! It is pretty near impossible to avoid, no matter how hard we try! I really hope that Waste Management can find a solution to this problem.

Response: Metro and the region's city and county governments continually monitor the markets for recyclable materials. They add materials to curbside programs when markets are projected to be strong and stable. Under goal 15, actions 15.2 and 15.3 both direct work on regularly assessing curbside programs for additional materials and expanding local markets for priority materials. Action 10.8 calls for statewide legislation to require manufacturers and retailers to take back more types of packaging.

- 21 Comment:** [Robert Fortner] The "Recycling Receipt" provides recycling instructions for each packaging component of each product purchased. Intended to augment existing electronic receipts at grocery stores.

Response: Thank you for sharing your idea for how to increase awareness on the recyclability of different products.

- 22 Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 6.6 Should strongly reconsider pinning efforts to one organization and especially website; these can change often in 10+ years.

Response: This action is specific to elevate the importance and commitment of the development of this web resource in which Metro and partners have invested a significant amount of resources. If the action becomes obsolete, then the plan could be amended to reflect the change.

- 23 Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 7.1 Determination of 'low environmental and human health impacts' must be well informed by appropriate agencies/entities. Should list DEQ as lead here?

Response: Metro agrees that this work must be well-informed by other agencies and considers the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) a primary partner in identifying the relative impacts of products. This plan only has the authority to identify Metro, cities and counties as lead agencies, so cannot identify DEQ in that role.

Product end-of-life management

- 24 Comment:** [Norene Hough] I think there are many great ideas in this plan. It is not clear how the changes (or even the current initiatives) will be communicated to households. I live in an apartment and rarely get key information from Metro (how to reduce consumption, like what is recyclable, where can I take other items). Can this be given out with every new lease? How will information be given to residents?

Response: Currently, city and county governments are required to provide information on a regular basis to residents on waste reduction and recycling. That requirement will continue under this plan and Oregon statutory requirements. The actions under goal 6 give direction to provide education and tools focused on waste prevention and better purchasing choices. Under goal 9, there are several actions that direct increased efforts to develop education and information that are tailored to the needs of different communities including those that live in apartments.

25 Comment: [Norene Hough] In my neighborhood, with many apartments we have so many different haulers that each only deal with specific contracts/ locations. This seems very wasteful. We have haulers 6 days a week all idling while they only pick up a small section of the neighborhood. This impacts air pollution, traffic, noise pollution etc. I understand that each building has selected a provider, but it would be better to have a providers bid for contracts for parts of the city and have the buildings pay Metro for a more streamlined process instead of the private haulers.

Response: With the exception of the City of Portland, collection service for apartment buildings in the region is franchised, with one hauler serving a specific geographic area. In Portland, service to apartments and buildings is not franchised, so there may be multiple haulers serving one geographic area. Under goal 14, action 14.5 calls for Metro, cities and counties to evaluate alternative models for garbage and recycling collection services to identify which would deliver the best environmental, financial, efficiency and equity outcomes.

26 Comment: [Stephanie Millar] Return to weekly garbage pick up. Return to pre sorted recyclables. Pre sorting at home will help people keep track of what really is recyclable, and weekly pick up will reduce temptation to toss things in recycle just because your trash bin is full. Also, my teens and housekeeper seem unable to learn what is and isn't recyclable because they don't have experience with home sorting. In my office, I see people throw pens and binder clips into the paper bin and when I say not to they say- that's OK, they sort it all. Return to basics and personal responsibility.

Response: The City of Portland is the only jurisdiction in the region with less than weekly collection of garbage from “single-family” residential households, which it implemented to encourage waste reduction efforts and to enhance its recycling service options. Goal 14, action 14.5 calls for Metro, cities and counties to evaluate alternative models for collection services to identify which would deliver the best environmental, financial, efficiency and equity outcomes. Goal 15, action 15.1 is intended to address the impacts you associate with commingling recyclables and has been amended in response to your comment to read: “. . . efforts to improve material quality, including education, sorting instructions, collection equipment... “.

27 Comment: [Chris Streight] I would hope that aside from items we can compost in our yards, we should be recycling nearly everything else. I am astounded by the number of items (mostly plastic) that I now throw away that I used to be able to recycle, both at the curb and taking it to Metro. Now it seems very little is recyclable. I would like to see a ban on the packaging that isn't recyclable either at the curb or by taking it somewhere local that has many locations. If we can't do this, then we need to stop making it. I am already trying to do my part by not buying lettuce and such in plastic containers. This needs to stop.

Response: Under goal 7, action 7.2 focuses on reducing the use of single use products that also includes packaging. This action will identify policies that Metro, city and county governments can adopt to reduce the use of these types of products. Goal 5, action 5.4 calls for Metro, cities and counties to advocate for product stewardship legislation, which

can facilitate the recycling of items not currently recycled. In addition, Metro and the region's city and county governments continually monitor the markets for recyclable materials and add materials to curbside programs when markets are strong and stable. Under goal 15, action 15.2 and 15.3 both direct work on regularly assessing curbside programs for additional materials and expanding local markets for priority materials.

- 28** **Comment:** [Ralph Cohen] There is no mention of converting combustible but non recyclable waste currently disposed in landfills into electric energy by burning such as is done near Salem by Covanta (<https://www.covanta.com/Our-Facilities/Covanta-Marion>). While I agree totally that we want to minimize landfill waste and recycle/reuse more, as long as landfills are used, there is going to be waste that could be converted to electric power. This could displace coal or natural gas being used for the same purpose. The idea should at least be considered and evaluated. The other point that would be helpful to address is in "implementation" where funding is required to establish indicators - how much and by what means will funding be obtained? Otherwise, the study is thorough if a bit optimistic. Well done.

Response: Metro conducted a 5-year process to explore waste-to-energy options for a portion of the region's garbage. In July 2017, the Metro Council decided not to explore this option further due to the higher disposal costs and inconclusive health and environmental impacts associated with the waste-to-energy options available to the Metro region. Metro could reassess waste-to-energy in the future and amend the Plan accordingly.

- 29** **Comment:** [Wayne Brooks] I think that having a Master Recycler program in local neighborhoods is a great idea.

Response: Under goal 9, action 9.3 directs work on community education including master recycler programs.

- 30** **Comment:** [Wayne Brooks] All glass wine and spirits included should be included in the bottle bill. All bottles should be able to be cleaned and reused in addition to being reformed.

Response: The Oregon legislature has the authority over expanding the bottle bill. Under goal 15, action 15.9 supports action by Metro, cities and counties to advocate for expanding the bottle bill to include additional containers.

- 31** **Comment:** [Wayne Brooks] Bus Stops should be required to have garbage collection that is kept clean and serviceable.

Response: The plan will be revised to include an action under goal 11 to, "Evaluate the need to expand and improve access to public collection containers to reduce litter and illegal dumping."

32 **Comment:** [Wayne Brooks] I am not sure how to word this but Metro should lead in not just collecting but also take a lead in research and development of recycling systems as these ideas may be job producers in our state.

Response: Under goal 15, actions 15.3, 15.4, 15.6, 15.7 and 15.8 are intended to work toward developing strong and more local markets for recyclables.

33 **Comment:** [Wayne Brooks] Be more aggressive in expanding the bottle bill it should make sense and not beer bottles and pop cans but not other glass and cans. We are after all talking about the future of our planet for our kids and grandkids.

Response: The Oregon legislature has the authority over expanding the bottle bill. Under goal 15, action 15.9 supports action by Metro, cities and counties to advocate for expanding the bottle bill to include additional containers.

34 **Comment:** [Wayne Brooks] We should be mining old landfills to get recyclables back into the waste stream.

Response: Goal 15 contains a number of actions meant to strengthen markets for recyclables. Prioritization will be given to those materials already collected for recycling or that can be separated at the point of generation.

35 **Comment:** [Wayne Brooks] Wastewater sewage and composted materials should be available to be purchased by farmers and the gardening public.

Response: Goal 10, action 10.1 directs cities and counties to continue to provide comprehensive collection services for recyclables, which includes compostable yard debris and food, and Goal 15, action 15.5 calls for governments in the region to facilitate the permitting of composting facilities. Both of these actions foster the production of compost. Compost facilities serving the region market their finished product to agricultural and consumer users. Federal and state regulations guide the uses of wastewater treatment and biosolids.

36 **Comment:** [Wayne Brooks] Restaurants should have recycling areas and a place to empty beverage containers to ensure that ice and excess product gets returned to treatment plants and not just sealed up in a plastic bag and sent to a landfill.

Response: Metro, city and county governments will be working with restaurants to improve waste collection and disposal practices as part of this plan.

37 **Comment:** [Susan Troup] I live in Project Based Section 8 housing in downtown Portland. We are not able to compost. We have no way to recycle electronics. Our recycle bins are so polluted with non-recyclables that I suspect most of it winds up in the landfill. There is no education program in the building to address this problem. A lot of the residents do not speak English.

Response: Problems in the quality and consistency of services to multifamily residents was strongly called out in the development of the plan. Actions listed under goal 10 are intended to address these deficiencies. In addition, the plan highlights the need to deliver both services and education in a manner that is tailored to the needs of all communities including developing culturally relevant materials in multiple languages.

- 38 Comment:** [Vance Lizza] There needs to be a method for serviced households to, in an environmentally safe way, dispose of small batteries and non-incandescent light bulbs. As things are now, too many cannot be troubled to search for such a thing; it is infinitely easier to simply throw such items in the regular trash.

Response: While there are existing services for these items and others categorized as household hazardous waste, Goal 10, action 10.4 acknowledges there are opportunities for improvement by identifying the need to “provide convenient, accessible and equitable collection” of these types of items.

- 39 Comment:** [Anonymous] I have a difficult time finding places to dispose of household batteries. It would be great if there was a place at your facilities to do so. Also, I strongly support continuation of the household hazardous waste drop-off days. They are great for getting rid of paint, cleaners and other things I can't put in my trash.

Response: Common household batteries may be taken to a hazardous waste facility. There are two permanent facilities that are open six days a week, 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., year-round. They may also be collected at collection events that are held throughout the region. Information on these options can be found at: oregonmetro.gov/tools-living/garbage-and-recycling.

- 40 Comment:** [Anonymous] Metro needs a partnership with ODOT that allows them to pick up dumped garbage on ODOT property. Referring reports of illegal dumping often does nothing—ODOT lets dumped garbage that's been reported sit for months sometimes. ODOT clearly doesn't care, but I get the impression that Metro does. The system isn't working.

Response: Metro works with other government and law enforcement agencies to clean up and investigate illegal dumps across the region. With ODOT, Metro is permitted to clean up dumped garbage on their property, but illegal camp site must be referred to ODOT. Goal 11, action 11.4 calls for Metro and city and county governments to continue to provide these services. As a part of this action, Metro will continue to work with ODOT to improve its coordination in responding to issues and efficacy of its resources.

- 41 Comment:** [Sage Cerulean] I would like to see composting services be available to residents in Oregon City. Also needle collection areas like in Portland are needed as people have shared on social media seeing needles on the ground in different parts of the city. I'm not sure what else at this time.

Response: Under goal 10, action 10.1 directs work to provide food scraps collection services to residents in the region. In addition, under goal 10, action 10.7 directs work to expand the collection of hypodermic needles in partnership with community organizations.

- 42 Comment:** [Terrell Garrett] Goal 16.4 should add the word “current” between “Maintain” and “public.”

Response: This suggestion would change the meaning of the action.

- 43 Comment:** [Terrell Garrett] Goal 16.5 should evaluate the feasibility of any facility in Washington County. While the verbiage does not preclude the private-owned facility to perform these functions, Metro staff is acting as if it does. Whenever a private entity can responsibly perform a public service it should be allowed to do so in preference to government provision of those services. This should be incorporated into 16.5.

Response: The plan’s goals and actions set policy direction across the next twelve years. Action 16.5 prioritizes the evaluation of a public facility in Washington County. This preference was identified by the Garbage and Recycling Operations technical work group as the best means to ensure a comprehensive range of services that are accessible to residents at affordable rates and operated in accordance with the goals of the plan. This action does not preclude private ownership and Metro, local and state governments would have the opportunity to consider the merits to the public of privately-owned facilities when considering land use, licensing, franchising and permitting applications.

- 44 Comment:** [City of Portland staff] Goals and actions provide actionable pathway. Many of the goals and actions provide a clear framework for moving ahead. However, there are some actions that are less clear, could potentially be costly to implement and may bring unintentional consequences for residents and businesses (examples 10.1, 14.1, 14.3, 14.4, 14.7). If some actions are selected for further consideration, then conducting research into underlying assumptions on the solutions would be needed. We (City of Portland) believe that, together, we can meet the intent of the actions.

Response: Following plan adoption, Metro and local governments will develop three-year work plans that prioritize actions for implementation. These plans will include estimates of the financial and staff resources needed to complete the work. The implementation of each action will include preliminary steps to scope the work needed that will include consideration of the consequences of each action.

- 45 Comment:** [Jannike Allen] It is heartening to see Metro take steps to improve the waste system. While visiting a waste sorting plant in Oslo, Norway, I was repeatedly told how important it is to view waste as a resource. In Oslo, it truly is a resource (food waste gets turned into biogas to power city busses and biofertilizer for use on nearby farms, plastic is collected separately to send by train to recycling facilities, and remaining waste is incinerated to create power for heating buildings). A caution that can be taken from the system in Oslo is that much effort needs to be focused on training people to sort, which

requires fostering a culture of viewing waste as a resource. Their system involves distributing green and blue bags that people can pick up at grocery stores so that at home they can put food waste in the green bags and plastic in the blue bags. This is so that the blue and green bags can be collected with the regular trash, as sorted by machines that recognize color to divert them to different pathways. We can't afford to forever throw away the nutrients in food waste and other organic matter. As a society we need to combat our addiction to plastic, and recycle the plastic that is already here- which requires clearer messaging to consumers so that they know how to avoid contaminating loads of recyclables. Metro should look into the options around incineration, since it provides an opportunity to reduce space required in landfills (certain ash even being able to be dispersed instead of contained if it is not toxic), and retrieving value from waste that would otherwise be useless (creating energy). It is great that Metro is working on bringing together stakeholders to figure out what works in our region, and I think a lot of ideas can be gained from models elsewhere.

Response: Metro conducted a 5-year process to explore waste-to-energy options for a portion of the region's garbage. In July 2017, the Metro Council decided not to further explore this option due to the higher disposal costs and inconclusive health and environmental impacts associated with the waste-to-energy options available to the Metro region. Metro agrees with the benefit of looking to models elsewhere, reduce contamination in recyclables, recover food scraps and address plastics, and those needs are represented in other actions in the plan.

- 46 Comment:** [Washington County Haulers Association] As the Plan process continues, please note that member haulers also support most of the concerns and comments local government representatives have already provided such as local government authority to set collection rates and determine appropriate service levels for their community. Some regional consistency may be appropriate, but not for all areas, as smaller communities don't have the resources and increasing some requirements for them could actually create barriers. As a result, it is important to consider economic impacts and related cost benefit analysis for the action items.

Response: Throughout the process of developing the plan, Metro heard from residents that consistency of service across jurisdictional boundaries is important to them, because people move from community to community and work in places different than where they live. These anecdotal observations are backed up by data that show a high percentage of the region's population moves within the region each year. The region's system of local government rate-setting, cooperative work on educational programming among jurisdictions within Washington and Clackamas counties, respectively, and funding from Metro to local jurisdictions, positions the region well to address the needs of smaller and larger communities.

- 47 Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] The plan must ensure transparency in rate setting at all facilities that receive waste generated within the region.

Response: Under goal 14, action 14.2 directs action to implement transparent and consistent rates for all facilities.

48 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] The plan must prioritize the completion of the solid waste system infrastructure and services to ensure equitable access to facilities and services.

Response: This is addressed in multiple actions under both goal 10 pertaining to services and goal 16 that addresses the infrastructure of the system.

49 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 10.1 Should only mandate when the regional infrastructure is complete and equitable. Until such time should not list action as directive.

Response: Action 10.1 addresses a number of services, some of which have been required by Metro for almost a decade or by the state for a much longer period, and some that are newer, such as the separation requirement for business-generated food scraps. While the food scraps infrastructure is currently adequate to serve many generators, as demonstrated by the successful voluntary programs in Hillsboro and other jurisdictions, Metro will continue to work on developing the transfer and processing system to make it more robust. The separation requirement will help ensure adequate and reliable supply to do so, and Metro intends to subsidize transportation costs for those haulers that have to travel farther than an average distance while the additional infrastructure is developed.

50 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 10.3 Must understand the cost impact. Will likely be major impact.

Response: Costs will be assessed as part of Metro, cities and counties developing the action's implementation plan. While there is a strong and overdue need for consistency in cart colors and signage, Metro does not anticipate that this action would be implemented in every jurisdiction at one time, but instead could be implemented over a reasonable amount of years. Cart costs are generally depreciated over years and reflected in annual collection rates.

51 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 10.4 First ensure that infrastructure supports the objective. Why is this one not directive?

Response: There are multiple options for carrying out this action, some of which require permanent infrastructure and some which do not. Directive actions in the plan are those that are defined as binding on local governments. This is intended as an action to be primarily carried out by Metro, rather than cities and counties.

52 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 10.5, 11.6, 12.3. Need an estimate of cost impact.

Response: Metro, cities and counties will develop the cost estimate when identifying approaches for implementing this action. Metro anticipates that there are multiple implementation paths for each of these actions.

53 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 10.6 This must be done locally, not regionally. Please ensure clarity that specific standards will be set by cities and counties, not Metro.

Response: Standards for on-site solid waste collection areas at multifamily properties are typically implemented through city and county development and/or building codes. Metro's interest (which is shared by multifamily residents, cities and counties) is ensuring that these standards result in residents having adequate access to collection containers, and that there are not significant inconsistencies in access across jurisdictions.

54 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 14.1 We feel strongly that the explicit assumption is that 'consistent' processes do not necessarily mean the 'same'. There are too many variables and local requirements/authority to expect sameness.

Response: The word choice is intentional, with "consistent" not meaning "same." The outcome, however, should be the same: ratepayers understand how their rates are determined. The inclusion of this action is not intended to imply that this consistency and understanding are not present now.

55 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 14.3 This should be up to the local government; do not require, but guidance and best practice is welcome. As with 14.1, please be explicit that 'consistent' is the goal, rather than 'same'.

Response: The intention of this action is to ensure that, for example, rates in Hillsboro for weekly 35-gallon cart service are not significantly different than rates for that same service in Gresham. The word choice is intentional, with "consistent" not meaning "same."

56 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 14.4 Need an estimate of cost impact. Local governments retain authority to determine whether and to what extent to implement program.

Response: Metro, cities and counties will develop the cost estimate when identifying approaches for implementing this action. There are likely multiple options for rate assistance programs.

57 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 14.6 Unnecessary for collection, this is already done locally.

Response: This action is specific to facilities.

58 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 15.4 Generally support objective; need an estimate of cost impact.

Response: Metro, cities and counties will develop the cost estimate when identifying approaches for implementing this action.

59 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 15.10 Generally do not support higher tip fees; already among the highest in the U.S.

Response: Thank you for your input.

60 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] 16.5 Support.

Response: Thank you for your input.

61 **Comment:** [Amanda Scheetz] One of the things that I wrote just very briefly is that I'd like to see more trash cans in the city. One of the things that I've noticed that the actual function of them makes them not user friendly. While it's a good idea that it's a trash compactor, you almost feel like you're going to get a disease. From touching it! Um pulling down the handle... it goes so far down and then trying to get the garbage in. It's very dirty. As well as... I'd like to see the option to have a recycling you know, so if it's a garbage can, also with a recycling area with it.

Response: The plan will be revised to provide direction to evaluate public collection containers to address litter and dumping under action 11.7.

62 **Comment:** [Amanda Scheetz] I had another idea or another thought. I'm not EXACTLY sure what it all entails 'cus I'm kind of new. But one of the things that I've come across is having uh a better understanding of what is actually recyclable. At home as well as uh . . . the ease of it. Does that make sense? I know they've got like pictures but actually like knowing "oh your pizza box that you're putting in doesn't actually go into the recycling like you're thinking.

Response: Under goal 9, there are multiple actions that direct work to increase knowledge among community members about garbage, recycling and reuse services. This includes education on what is recyclable. The actions will be informed by research that Metro conducted in 2018 that identifies the challenges that residents have with current instructions and educational material, and best practices for these materials that should make them better and more useful to users.

63 **Comment:** [Amanda Scheetz] This might just be a little too far out there but... at home recycling... receptacles for like the in home. You know, not just the big bulky ones that people keep in the garage, but even something. Do you guys have anything like that?

Response: Governments and haulers typically haven't provided those inside receptacles because of variability in what individuals want and would use. There have been some exceptions to this, such as a city providing bags to multifamily residents for use in their households. This could be looked at again through Goal 10, action 10.1.

64 **Comment:** [Bella Gurvich] I want to say. We not enough talking about compost. Because I just realized... not for a long time ago... carton from pizza I can't put all this carton to recycle. This part not clean part. I thought I know everything but it's always we can to do better. And more. And this part of carton... this is uh grease I can't put to recycle. And I can

put this to compost. I hear this by TV. Local news. It was very good they doing this. People can understand better because they explain and show everything. And we must to think about compost more. What we can put some carton maybe because people don't know. Maybe don't think about this.

Response: Metro and local governments recognize that what to do about pizza boxes is confusing to residents. This will be clarified as part of the current examination of what should be included in residential recycling and composting programs.

- 65 **Comment:** [Diane Williams] They were saying you know that the plastic issue, you know. And, uh I was seeing in Tigard they are taking plastics and recycling them and stuff. I was wondering if there was any way that Metro could reach out and have like certain places in town where they could be picked up you know the plastics that we're all having fits about, you know? And she was saying "B-Line" comes and picks it up. But, um, and they take it out to Tigard and they recycle it and why can't that be part of our solution with plastics?

Response: Metro, cities and counties share the interest in developing better markets and supporting collection systems for Styrofoam, the material accepted by the Tigard facility. We have talked to that facility about the options and hope that some will be able to be implemented in the near future. Goal 15, action 15.3 best addresses this need.

- 66 **Comment:** [Diane Williams] A lot of this stuff... if we had a shredder or something just to make it smaller. People are making shirts, clothes, everything out of this stuff now. I couldn't even believe some of the coats and stuff they're making out of this stuff. So, um, maybe we'll be able to make building materials since the housing... and explore the housing industry, you know. If they can't make it out of clothes, maybe they can put it into some of their products that they're building (buildings and stuff) with. Especially since this earthquake it's going to give a little boom...

Response: Goal 15, action 15.3 best addresses this need.

- 67 **Comment:** [Elaine Soljaga] We must provide systems to support a comprehensive plan, to include: All commercial properties (including apartments) should be required to provide recycling. All food service businesses should be required to divert organic material.

Response: Agreed. Goal 10, action 10.2 directs cities and counties to provide minimum service levels for apartments to ensure individuals have adequate access to recycling. Metro also recently adopted a food scraps requirement that requires certain businesses such as grocery stores, restaurants and schools to separate their food scraps from garbage.

Measuring progress

- 68 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] Strongly suggest that indicators be deliberately put forward as 'initial' and provide for flexibility and adaptation through the early stages of

implementation. Quality and availability of data will change, and the Plan should be flexible to include the best indicators to gauge progress.

Response: Metro agrees with the advantages of flexibility and adaptation, while noting that evaluation of the plan will be best served by having indicators put in place so that data can be gathered over multiple years. As noted in the comment, the early stages of implementation will allow for consideration of options based on relative quality and availability of data.

- 69 Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Page 103 (“Measuring progress”): The list of key indicators is diverse and broad-reaching. DEQ supports the indicators identified. DEQ notes that the recovery rate is not listed.

Response: The recovery rate was not included as a key indicator to prioritize other indicators that reflect the plan’s broader focus on sustainable materials management, such as the first and second key indicators. Note, however, that the indicator for goal 10 does relate to the recovery rate: The environmental impacts associated with the recovery rate for the Metro wasteshed.

- 70 Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Under Goal 5, the second recommended indicator needs clarification. The goal (#5) is titled “Product design and manufacturing”. The indicator is “Share of priority products covered in Oregon by a product stewardship framework”. Given that most product stewardship legislation focuses primarily on EPR at end-of-life, this may be an incomplete measure of reducing the environmental and human health impacts of products and packaging made, sold, used or disposed of in Oregon as most of the impacts are not subject to reduction via EPR.

Response: Metro acknowledges DEQ’s point and will look at revising or replacing this indicator when it begins work on developing the goal level indicators, and would welcome the chance to collaborate with DEQ on it.

- 71 Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Goal 7: Why limit the indicator to only purchasing by government? Action 7.4 relates to the environmental impacts of the built environment, which are huge and also somewhat under the direct control, or at least subject to the strong influence of, Metro and local governments. DEQ recommend including an indicator about the life-cycle impacts of the built environment.

Response: The plan includes this indicator as a tool for incentivizing Metro, cities and counties to serve as leaders in sustainable purchasing. Metro will consider the additional recommended indicator when developing the goal level indicators.

Implementation, compliance and amendments

- 72 Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Page 111 (“Roles and responsibilities”): The discussion of “private sector” is limited to waste businesses as well as reuse and repair. DEQ recommends noting that businesses that make and sell the

products that become waste contribute to environmental impacts and have roles in the actions.

Response: The beginning of the paragraph has been amended to: “Businesses make and sell products and are identified in this plan as having responsibilities related to reducing the environmental and human health impacts of their products and in managing these items at the end of their use. In addition, for-profit businesses...”.

- 73** **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Page 119 (“Plan oversight”): DEQ requests being included in the Regional Waste Plan Implementation Committee.

Response: The membership of the committee will be determined by the Metro Council following adoption of the plan.

Acknowledgements

- 74** **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Under Technical Work Group members/Healthy Products, Ali Briggs-Ungerer’s affiliation is missing and has been replaced with Minal Mistry’s name and affiliation, which should be on a separate line.

Response: The errors have been corrected.

Appendices

- 75** **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Appendix 2, Pages 124-136, DEQ has reviewed and finds Metro’s Waste Reduction Program and Plan to be approvable. As discussed, once adopted, DEQ intends to draft an approval letter and will issue a public notice of DEQ’s intent to approve Metro’s Waste Reduction Plan as required under ORS 459.055.

Response: Thank you for this information. Metro is pleased to continue to work with DEQ on effective implementation of statutory requirements.

- 76** **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Pages 142 – 148 (“Glossary”): Some definitions conclude with what appears to be a source, while others do not. Consider being consistent and putting the source name in parentheses.

Response: Sources for definitions were included for definitions that were drawn from other published documents.

General comments

77 **Comment:** [Wayne Brooks] We should have stronger plans to disconnect rainwater runoff from the sewer system. Streets and parking lots should be permeable. Housing should have rainwater and grey water collection systems as part of our building codes.

Response: The scope of this plan is limited to solid waste management that includes waste reduction, collection, transfer and disposal of waste and managing the impact of products from production to disposal.

78 **Comment:** [Mike Mercer] Overall, the plan looks good and I particularly like the systems approach used in its development. All items seem important, and I hope there is a way to prioritize goals to ensure we address and effectively spend \$ on those goals that have the largest overall impact. I didn't see anything on trash generated by the homeless population. I understand there is work being done with our homeless community members to reduce the amount of trash generated and made visible through lack of disposal options. I may have missed it in my scan, but is there something Metro and other regional municipalities could do to create thriving, local markets for our recyclables?

Response: Goal 11, action 11.5 and 11.6 direct work to address solid waste generated by people experiencing homelessness. Many of the actions under goal 15 are intended to address the development of markets for recyclables, including local ones.

79 **Comment:** [Kevan Anderson] Excellent work! Thank you for sharing the draft. You have identified a dizzying number of goals and action items with so many "investment needed." It is a steep hill to climb but with good leadership and the commitment of the public, I hope to see the region making great progress. Courage!!

Response: Thank you for your input.

80 **Comment:** [Arlen Sheldrake] Really, you expect me to read a 152- page document and then comment on it? I consider your request to be a sham.... If you were serious, you would give me a summary and then ask me to respond to some questions or statements.

Response: The web site provides a narrative table of contents that summarizes each component of the plan.

81 **Comment:** [Rob Nathan] I am very pleased with how thoughtful Metro has been during the development of this plan. I particularly like its commitment to racial equity and leading with race. I look forward to seeing the outcomes of this plan take shape.

Response: Thank you for your input.

82 **Comment:** [Semion Gurvich] Я участвую в этом по работе уже длительное время. Да, и я считаю, что я ознакомился с документами. Да, и что это хороший план, если он будет реализован полностью, как намечено. И радует профессиональная работа всех групп Metro. И отношение к представителям разных общин. Translated: I'm participating here for a long time. I read through the whole document. And I believe it will be a very good plan if it will be applied in the whole piece. All the details will be included. And it's very heartwarming the professional work of the whole group. And also warm attitude toward all the diversity cultures.

Response: Спасибо за ваши предложения. Thank you for your input.

83 **Comment:** [Emma Brennan] I am thrilled this document. I loved seeing the inclusion of equity as a major component of this plan. This is what good governance looks like!

Response: Thank you for your input.

84 **Comment:** [City of Portland staff] Plan and planning process are commendable. Rarely have we seen this type of intense effort to engage a diverse set of stakeholders on a wide reaching array of actions. Your work within the community, particularly in low-income and communities of color, has created a strong foundation for future collaboration. Portland City Council adopted a Waste Equity Plan in October 2018 and we believe that working together we can make important progress in this area.

Response: Thank you for your input.

85 **Comment:** [Washington County Haulers Association] Member haulers appreciate all of the work representatives of Metro, community members, and industry stakeholders have contributed to create the 2030 Regional Waste Plan. In reviewing the Plan members hold similar values and more specifically, the haulers support concepts

- promoting safe, reliable, responsive, and affordable services accessible to all persons
- expanding diversity, equity, inclusion within the industry
- reducing adverse environmental impacts and
- reducing waste.

Response: Metro appreciates these comments and the important role of the Washington County haulers in the regional solid waste system.

86 **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] The timeline for this comment period (three weeks total to provide input at SWAAC, and one month total for the review period) is insufficient to realistically schedule briefings with City leadership to garner their input. We ask that Metro extend the deadline a minimum of one month so that we have time to get input from leadership.

Response: The process for developing the plan began in spring 2017. Metro designed and implemented the process so that there were sequential opportunities for local

governments and others to review and comment on the component parts of the plan. These opportunities included engagements with the Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC), the Solid Waste Alternatives Advisory Committee and public meetings with the Metro Council. The goals, which were the final substantive part of the plan to be developed, were released in essentially their final draft form in September, three months prior to the public comment deadline. Metro staff would be happy to accept additional comments by local governments up to and including the January 23, 2019 MPAC meeting. The plan adoption timeline was not changed based on this comment.

- 87** **Comment:** [City of Hillsboro staff] The plan must estimate cost impacts of actions over the duration of Plan. Not specifically, but at least order of magnitude. This comment has been voiced before, and there appears to be no new effort to estimate cost impacts of the Plan.

Response: The breadth of work reflected in the plan make overarching cost estimates of little utility to understanding the plan's new elements. The bulk of the costs for implementing the plan will be associated with existing services, such as garbage and recycling collection programs. A few other actions in the plan, like those related to very large capital expenditures for a Washington County transfer station and improvements to the Metro South transfer station, would similarly skew total costs. Finally, as noted previously, costs for many of the actions will be developed once the actual implementation approaches are identified.

- 88** **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] DEQ appreciates and applauds the process that Metro has used to develop the plan. Metro staff and management have made a very sincere and concerted effort to develop this plan to reflect the needs of the broader public, to make meaningful efforts to address historic and current inequities (specific to race), and to broaden the region's focus from "waste" to sustainable materials management. There are several references to the DEQ 2050 Vision and an expressed desire to align with it. The document is also very nicely organized and presented and easy to read. The Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan points Metro in a good direction and deserves our support. DEQ appreciates the openness that Metro has demonstrated in meeting with DEQ and being receptive to DEQ's suggestions throughout the Metro process of developing the plan.

Response: Metro has appreciated the opportunities to work with DEQ on the development of this plan and the DEQ 2050 Vision.

- 89** **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Page 51 ("Navigating the action tables"): Does Metro want to include State agencies in the description of Partnership agreements?

Response: The description of "Partnership agreements" on page 51 has been revised to: "Agreements to implement partnerships by Metro, city, county and/or state agencies, and agreements between Metro or local governments with non-profit and community-based organizations."

90 **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Page 51 (“Navigating the action tables”): Perhaps Metro may want to clarify that “existing programs” reflect that Metro has existing tools that could be used to carry out new actions. For example, Action 6.5 “Assist households and businesses in the adoption of practices that prevent the wasting of food and other high-impact materials” lists the implementation approach as “Existing programs” but what if Metro were to enter into new “Partnership agreements” which are used in existing programs but might be new ways to use existing tools to accomplish this new action? Similarly Action 7.4 states that implementation will be through “Existing programs” but there is huge potential for Metro to use many tools here such as Partnership agreements, Code changes, Legislative agendas, etc. For the many actions identified as “Existing programs”, the casual reader might assume that Metro intends no new work or programs, which may not be Metro’s intent. DEQ suggests additional clarification so that if Metro decides to grow an action out of an existing program into something like a “Legislative agenda” the plan is not restricting this by how the implementation action is interpreted or worded. This section “Navigating the action tables” would benefit from a note stating that the listed approaches are for illustration purposes and are not restrictive.

Response: The plan was revised to include additional language that the approaches are not restrictive. The following text was added on page 50, to the “Implementation approach” section: “The approaches identified for each action in the action tables are preliminary ones. Additional approaches may be used based on development of the action and the lack of inclusion of a particular approach in no way implies that it may not be considered or used in the future.”

91 **Comment:** [Oregon Department of Environmental Quality staff] Page 81 (“Keeping it Separated”): The graph is very confusing. It suggests that 21 percent of garbage is non-recyclables from multi-family and an additional 9 percent is from single-family, for a total of 30 percent. DEQ recommends that these two sectors not be stacked on top of each other in this way.

Response: Metro staff became aware of this error after publication of the draft plan. The graph on page 81 has been revised to accurately present the results from Metro’s single family and multifamily recycling contamination studies.

SOLID WASTE ALTERNATIVES ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT AND RESPONSE

This section presents comments received at the December 12, 2018 Solid Waste Alternatives Advisory Committee meeting. Specific recommendations and input on the plan were drawn from the meeting minutes. The full meeting minutes are provided in the appendices.

Goals and actions

Shared prosperity

- 1** **Comment:** Goal 3, action 3.4: Businesses often have fluctuations in workload that require the use of temporary and contract workers. Overall, this goal area has worthy objectives.

Response: Thank you for your input.

Product consumption and use

- 2** **Comment:** Actions 6.3 and 6.5: “Residences,” “households” and “businesses” are frequently mentioned; should the plan also reference “schools,” or should these be included with “institutions?” This could recognize some of the technical assistance and infrastructure work going on at schools (e.g. Eco-Schools Network).

Response: The plan was revised to include a definition of “businesses” in the glossary that indicates the term is inclusive of institutions, generally, and schools, specifically.

- 3** **Comment:** Action 6.3: Should this be broader? The language could be changed to “reduce the use of products” rather than “single-use products.” The term “single-use” could lead to confusion, as something like a toothbrush might be considered single-use.

Response: Single-use products were prioritized as a material by the technical work groups. Other actions contain a focus on products with high environmental and/or human health impacts. The plan does not focus on general reduction in use of products because of concerns regarding the equitability of such actions.

- 4** **Comment:** Action 6.5 might be the right place to include recognition programs for work to reduce food waste or other waste at businesses.

Response: Metro has added an action that states “Implement recognition programs for business efforts to prevent waste and minimize the environmental impacts of the products they purchase.” Cities and counties are identified as the lead agency for this work.

5 **Comment:** Action 6.6 seems very specific and feels like a task rather than an action.

Response: This action was intended to be specific and elevate the importance and commitment of the development of this web resource in which Metro and partners have invested a significant amount of time and money.

6 **Comment:** Goal 7: There is no specific reference of Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) or recycled content legislation.

Response: EPR shows up in Goal 5, action 5.4 as an implied approach of product stewardship to emphasize and prioritize the upstream elements. Recycled content legislation is addressed through Goal 15, action 15.8.

Product end-of-life management

7 **Comment:** Goal 10, action 10.6: Guidance from Metro on how cities create ordinances will be very helpful.

Response: The work under action 10.6 will likely include the development of model ordinances and/or identification of best practices. This level of detail will be developed as part of the implementation plan.

8 **Comment:** Action 10.1: this action requires a complete system infrastructure that is not yet in place. The action should be explicit in stating that it will require a complete system infrastructure.

Response: Action 10.1 addresses a number of services, some of which have been required by Metro for almost a decade or by the state for a much longer period, and some that are newer, such as the separation requirement for business-generated food scraps. While the food scraps infrastructure is currently adequate to serve many generators, as demonstrated by the successful voluntary programs in Hillsboro and other jurisdictions, Metro will continue to work on developing the transfer and processing system to make it more robust. The separation requirement will help ensure adequate and reliable supply to do so, and Metro intends to subsidize transportation costs for those haulers that have to travel farther than an average distance while the additional infrastructure is developed.

9 **Comment:** Action 10.6: This might be an action that could be expanded to businesses and institutions, not just multifamily housing.

Response: Multifamily was prioritized as an area of improvement based on the most recent study conducted by Metro that identified inadequately designed collection areas as a major and common barrier to recycling. However, the action may be expanded to include businesses and institutions.

10 **Comment:** Action 15.2: Should we change the phrase “curbside recyclables” to “curbside materials” because some items that end up in the garbage may have high environmental impacts?

Response: The action was revised to: “Regularly assess the list of recyclable materials collected in residential and business programs in the region...”

11 **Comment:** 16.5: Washington County is happy to be partners with Metro in the prospect of a Washington County public transfer station.

Response: Metro looks forward to working with the County on this action.

12 **Comment:** Goal 14: Transparency is important, but the goal should also be about adopting consistent services, rather than consistent rates. We have consistent services, but we have inconsistent rates throughout the region. Many people who move across jurisdictions in the region report changes in rates and services.

Response: Metro agrees. Goal 10 and its actions speak to consistency of services and Goal 14 and its actions to rate-making and rates themselves.

13 **Comment:** Action 14.4 should be up to local governments to decide to implement rate assistance. Suggest the action use the term “explore” or “provide a framework.”

Response: There was a strong sentiment from the Equity Work Group and the community at large that Metro, cities and counties give strong consideration to what other utilities do with respect to rate assistance. Cities and counties are identified as the leads on action 14.4. Since it is a non-directive action, if no progress is made, then Metro may convene stakeholders to consider next steps.

14 **Comment:** Actions 14.1 and 14.7. The City of Portland shares rate information every year with customers; how much more information should they share. It does not seem valuable to provide line item components of customers’ bills.

Response: The actions reflect work that is already underway and don’t pre-suppose that it is inadequate. Through many engagements, Metro heard from community that they want to ensure there is transparency and consistency, since ratepayers often move from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. The actions do not state which information is to be provided to fulfill the intent. That will be determined during implementation work.

15 **Comment:** Regarding the information on collection rates on page 88, some aspects of rates are controlled by franchise agreements with local haulers. Rate transparency will take a lot of work.

Response: The example list of what is included in rates (page 88) is simply intended as an example and is not meant to be prescriptive.

- 16** **Comment:** Suggest an appendix be added to identify the goals that relate to similar subjects. For example, the issue of plastics recycling could include Goals 5, 10 and 15.

Response: Thank you for your input.

General comments

- 17** **Comment:** The ambitions and objectives are almost all good, but it is just too much in that impacts to the ratepayer have not been assessed.

Response: The plan will be implemented through three-year work plans that will identify actions for implementation. Costs will be developed during early stage work on each action.

- 18** **Comment:** The comment period is not enough time to review the plan and be fully informed.

Response: The process for developing the plan began in spring 2017. Metro designed and implemented the process so that there were sequential opportunities for local governments and others to review and comment on the component parts of the plan. These opportunities included engagements with the Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC), the Solid Waste Alternatives Advisory Committee and public meetings with the Metro Council. The goals, which were the final substantive part of the plan to be developed, were released in essentially their final draft form in September, three months prior to the public comment deadline. Metro staff would be happy to accept additional comments by local governments up to and including the January 23, 2019 MPAC meeting.

- 19** **Comment:** The plan's emphasis on equity is very important. As part of implementation, Metro needs take specific actions in its own contracting with respect to equity. Metro has enormous contracts – are there ways to do Metro's contracting to bring in more a diverse and equitable reflection of the region's workforce?

Response: Under goals 2 and 3, there are multiple actions that direct work for Metro in advancing progress toward equity objectives through its own contracting practices.

- 20** **Comment:** The plan sets a positive, comprehensive, and challenging work plan. Local governments and Metro will be able to work on it and be successful.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

21 **Comment:** “Requirements for local governments” section does not seem comprehensive.

Response: This section on page 113 of the draft plan is a summary of all the directive actions in the plan. This section responds to requests for the directive actions to be made very clear in the plan.

22 **Comment:** The plan is a very comprehensive and aspirational plan and that we need to have aspirational goals to stretch and reach.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

SUMMARY OF PLAN REVISIONS

Based on the comments received during the public comment period, the following changes were made to the plan:

Suggested Change	Revised Plan Text
<p>Page 14 (“The life cycle of products and materials”): 2 references under “Product design and manufacturing” to “recyclable materials”. DEQ’s new research shows poor correlation between “recyclable” (packaging) and low impact, so the references to designing with more recyclable materials as a “way to reduce harmful impacts” should be deleted.</p>	<p>Metro has amended the following text to: “Design products to use fewer newly extracted natural resources and more recycled materials.”</p>
<p>Page 14 (“The life cycle of products and materials”): Under “Product consumption and use” add restricting the sale of (or access to) high impact products as another example of how to reduce harmful impacts.</p>	<p>The following text was added, under “Product consumption and use”: “Implement policies to restrict or limit the sale of, or access to, products with high impacts to human health and the environment.”</p>
<p>Page 20 (“Environmental impacts of products and materials”): Change the sub-caption to the following: “In 2015, the Metro region generated 41 million metric tons of greenhouse gas emissions from the products and materials we bought, used and threw away and the services we used.”</p>	<p>The text, under “Greenhouse gas emissions from products, materials and services,” was revised to: “... from the products and materials we bought, used and threw away...”</p>
<p>Page 47 (“Vision”): Under “Garbage and recycling operations,” change “solids” to “soils”.</p>	<p>The text was revised to “... minimizing pollution of air, soils and water” to match the Vision language endorsed by Metro Council in January 2018.</p>
<p>Page 51 (“Navigating the action tables”): For the many actions identified as “Existing programs”, the casual reader might assume that Metro intends no new work or programs, which may not be Metro’s intent. DEQ suggests additional clarification so that if Metro decides to grow an action out of an existing program into something like a “Legislative agenda” the plan is not restricting this by how the implementation action is interpreted or worded. This section “Navigating the action tables” would benefit from a note stating that the listed approaches are for illustration purposes and are not restrictive.</p>	<p>The following text was added on page 50, to the “Implementation approach” section: “The approaches identified for each action in the action tables are preliminary ones. Additional approaches may be used based on development of the action and the lack of inclusion of a particular approach in no way implies that it may not be considered or used in the future.”</p>

Suggested Change	Revised Plan Text
Page 51 (“Navigating the action tables”): Does Metro want to include State agencies in the description of Partnership agreements?	The description of “Partnership agreements” on page 51 has been revised to: “Agreements to implement partnerships by Metro, city, county and/or state agencies, and agreements between Metro or local governments with non-profit and community-based organizations.
Actions 6.3 and 6.5: “Residences,” “households” and “businesses” are frequently mentioned; should the plan also reference “schools,” or should these be included with “institutions?” This could recognize some of the technical assistance and infrastructure work going on at schools (e.g. Eco-Schools Network).	The plan was revised to include a definition of “businesses” in the glossary that indicates the term is inclusive of institutions, generally, and schools, specifically.
Include an action that addresses expanding public collection containers at bus stops and other areas.	A new action was added under goal 11, “Evaluate the need to expand and improve access to public collection containers to reduce litter and illegal dumping.”
Revise action 15.1 to include “sorting instructions.”	The action was revised to, “Implement regionally consistent contamination reduction efforts to improve material quality, including education, sorting instructions, collection equipment changes, and customer feedback methods.”
Revise Action 15.2: to “curbside materials” instead of “curbside recyclables”	The action was revised to, “Regularly assess the list of recyclable materials collected in the residential and business programs in the region relative to end-markets, life cycle environmental benefits, community needs and forecasting of future materials in the waste stream.”
Page 111 (“Roles and responsibilities”): Add businesses that make and sell the products to the discussion of the “private sector.” These businesses have roles in the action since they sell the products that become waste and contribute to environmental impacts.	The beginning of the paragraph has been amended to: “Businesses make and sell products and are identified in this plan as having responsibilities related to reducing the environmental and human health impacts of their products and in managing these items at the end of their use. In addition, for-profit businesses... ”.
Page 123 (“Acknowledgments”): Under Technical Work Group members/Healthy Products, Ali Briggs-Ungerer’s affiliation is missing and has been replaced with Minal Mistry’s name and affiliation, which should be on a separate line.	Mr. Minal Mistry’s name and affiliation have been moved below Ms. Ali Briggs-Ungerer’s name, on a separate line.

Suggested Change	Revised Plan Text
Page 81 (“Keeping it Separated”): The graph should not have contamination rates from the single-family and multifamily sectors stacked on top of each other.	The bar chart on page 81 has been replaced with two charts separately showing the contamination rates found in Metro’s single-family and multifamily waste characterization studies.
Action 6.5 might be the right place to include recognition programs for work to reduce food waste or other waste at businesses.	The plan has been revised to include an action under goal 6 that states, “Implement recognition programs for business efforts to prevent waste and minimize the environmental impacts of the products they purchase.” Cities and counties are identified as the lead agency for this work.
Actions 6.3 and 6.5: “Residences,” “households” and “businesses” are frequently mentioned; should the plan also reference “schools,” or should these be included with “institutions?” This could recognize some of the technical assistance and infrastructure work going on at schools (e.g. Eco-Schools Network).	The plan was revised to include the following definition of “business” in the glossary: “Any entity of one or more persons, corporate or otherwise, engaged in commercial, professional, charitable, political, industrial, educational, or other activity that is non-residential in nature, including public bodies and excluding businesses whose primary office is located in a residence.”

In addition to the revisions made to the draft plan in response to public comments, the following revisions were made based on staff review of the plan.

New action added under goal 12

Metro staff discovered an action that had been drafted by the Garbage and Recycling Operations Technical Work Group, had been inadvertently left out of the final set of actions included in the draft plan. The following action was added to the plan:

- 12.8 Evaluate, on a continuing basis, the need to regulate different types of solid waste facilities not covered under current Metro regulation based on their actual and potential impacts on human health, the environment and neighboring communities. These facilities include, but are not limited to, dismantlers, wood waste grinding operations, landscapers, sludge processors, and specific or single material recyclers.

Plan definition

To provide additional clarity to the intent and role of the plan document, additional narrative to describe the plan was added to page 6 and page 29.

Page 6 The plan is a policy document that sets direction across the 12 years the plan will be in effect.

Page 29 The plan’s goals and actions set policy direction throughout the life of the plan.

Non-substantive changes

Metro staff made further revisions to the plan to address non-substantive items including corrections to names and affiliations, revisions to images and graphics and other minor grammatical edits.

The final draft version of the plan is available online at: oregonmetro.gov/regionalwasteplan

NEXT STEPS

Metro staff will present the final draft plan to the Metro Policy Advisory Committee on January 23, 2019 for review and comment. Metro Council will hold two public hearings on the plan beginning in late February 2019. Public testimony will be accepted at the hearings to provide an additional opportunity to comment on the final draft of the plan. Dates and times can be found online at oregonmetro.gov/regionalwasteplan or by calling 503-797-1700.

APPENDIX: PUBLIC COMMENT SUBMISSIONS

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
1	11/21/2018	Heidi Barth	97206	Food is currently purchased in disposable containers, most of which is plastic. Food needs to be sold in biodegradable or reusable materials, especially dairy products. Portland used to have a dairy with a drive through retail outlet, Senn's, where milk was sold in returnable glass containers. Additionally, coffee to go should not be sold in disposable containers. Imagine what this alone is doing to our planet!
2	11/21/2018	Stephanie Millar	97219	Return to weekly garbage pick up. Return to pre sorted recyclables. Pre sorting at home will help people keep track of what really is recyclable, and weekly pick up will reduce temptation to toss things in recycle just because your trash bin is full. Also, my teens and housekeeper seem unable to learn what is and isn't recyclable because they don't have experience with home sorting. In my office, I see people throw pens and binder clips into the paper bin and when I say not to they say- that's OK, they sort it all. Return to basics and personal responsibility.
3	11/23/2018	Norene Hough	97205	I think there are many great ideas in this plan. It is not clear how the changes (or even the current initiatives) will be communicated to households. I live in an apartment and rarely get key information from metro (how to reduce consumption, like what is recyclable, where can I take other items). Can this be given out with every new lease? How will information be given to residents?
4	11/23/2018	Norene Hough	97205	In my neighborhood, with many apartments we have so many different haulers that each only deal with specific contracts/ locations. This seems very wasteful. We have haulers 6 days a week all idling while they only pick up a small section of the neighborhood. This impacts air pollution, traffic, noise pollution etc. I understand that each building has selected a provider but it would be better to have a providers bid for contracts for parts of the city and have the buildings pay metro for a more streamlined process instead of the private haulers.
5	11/24/2018	Linda Martin	97280	One of the most important things to me and so many of my neighbors that post on NextDoor, is the lack of recycling options for #1 plastics (clamshells, etc.)..... please, please, please help us find a way to recycle this kind of plastic! Many of us are saving it up hoping that we can find an option soon. This is the most prevalent plastic, and it's being dumped into our environment! It is pretty near impossible to avoid, no matter how hard we try! I really hope that Waste Management can find a solution to this problem.

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
6	11/25/2018	Chris Streight	Portland	I would hope that aside from items we can compost in our yards, we should be recycling nearly everything else. I am astounded by the number of items (mostly plastic) that I now throw away that I used to be able to recycle, both at the curb and taking it to metro. Now it seems very little is recyclable. I would like to see a ban on the packaging that isn't recyclable either at the curb or by taking it somewhere local that has many locations. If we can't do this, then we need to stop making it. I am already trying to do my part by not buying lettuce and such in plastic containers. This needs to stop.
7	11/26/2018	Ralph Cohen	97219	There is no mention of converting combustible but non recyclable waste currently disposed in landfills into electric energy by burning such as is done near Salem by Covanta (https://www.covanta.com/Our-Facilities/Covanta-Marion). While I agree totally that we want to minimize landfill waste and recycle/reuse more, as long as landfills are used, there is going to be waste that could be converted to electric power. This could displace coal or natural gas being used for the same purpose. The idea should at least be considered and evaluated. The other point that would be helpful to address is in "implementation" where funding is required to establish indicators - how much and by what means will funding be obtained? Otherwise, the study is thorough if a bit optimistic. Well done.
8	11/26/2018	Wayne Brooks	Portland	<p>I have taken time to read the plan and have some comments that I think might improve or at least add to the plan. First, I like the plan and am proud to be a citizen offering my two cents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Good jobs. Re; pay living wages. I think you should go a step farther. I suggest that the highest paid job must not exceed a certain multiple of the lowest job including benefits. See Ben and Jerry's and Bob's red mill as examples. 2. Product Design; Companies that sell excess packaging should have to take it back and have a plan for recycling it. 3. If there is a product that can't be recycled such as product lids and caps, pizza boxes, and waxy cups and packaging then why is it being allowed to be sold in our state. Remember Reduce, Reuse and Recycle. 4. Help develop a pizza box that customers can purchase and wash and then use it to pick up your next pizza purchase with a discount for saving the store from using another box. 5. Dangerous chemicals the goal must always be to protect our wetland, watersheds,

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
8	11/26/2018	Wayne Brooks	Portland	<p>streams and seas both upstream and downstream from pollution. Zone agricultural and industrial land to protect waterways from animal waste, Agricultural input runoff and industrial waste.</p> <p>6. No recyclable product should have any label or marking that stops it from being reused and/or recycled.</p> <p>7. I think that having a Master Recycler program in local Neighborhoods is a great idea.</p> <p>8. All glass wine and spirits included should be included in the bottle bill. All bottles should be able to be cleaned and reused in addition to being reformed.</p> <p>9. Bus Stops should be required to have garbage collection that is kept clean and serviceable.</p> <p>10. No caps or lids should be allowed to be sold that are not recyclable.</p> <p>11. I am not sure how to word this but Metro should lead in not just collecting but also take a lead in research and development of recycling systems as these ideas may be job producers in our state.</p> <p>12. If there are products that we buy that cannot be recycled you need to ask why and how can it be changed.</p> <p>13. Be more aggressive in expanding the bottle bill it should make sense and not beer bottles and pop cans but not other glass and cans. We are after all talking about the future of our planet for our kids and grandkids.</p> <p>14. We should be mining old landfills to get recyclables back into the waste stream.</p> <p>15. Wastewater sewage and composted materials should be available to be purchased by farmers and the gardening public.</p> <p>16. We should have stronger plans to disconnect rainwater runoff from the sewer system. Streets and parking lots should be permeable.</p> <p>17. Housing should have rainwater and grey water collection systems as part of our building codes.</p> <p>18. Restaurants should have recycling areas and a place to empty beverage containers to ensure that ice and excess product gets returned to treatment plants and not just sealed up in a plastic bag and sent to a landfill.</p> <p>Thank you for allowing me to offer this input I hope that it may be of some value.</p>

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
9	11/26/2018	Mike Mercer	97211	Overall, it the plan looks good and I particularly like the systems approach used in it's development. All items seem important, and I hope there is a way to prioritize goals to ensure we address and effectively spend \$ on those goals that have the largest overall impact. I didn't see anything on trash generated by the homeless population. I understand there is work being done with our homeless community members to reduce the amount of trash generated and made visible through lack of disposal options. I may have missed it in my scan, but is there something Metro and other regional municipalities could do to create thriving, local markets for our recyclables? Thank you. Mike
10	11/26/2018	Kevan Anderson	97219	Excellent work! Thank you for sharing the draft. You have identified a dizzying number of goals and action items with so many "investment needed." It is a steep hill to climb but with good leadership and the commitment of the public, I hope to see the region making great progress. Courage!!
11	11/30/2018	Arlen Sheldrake	97221	Really, you expect me to read a 152- page document and then comment on it? I consider your request to be a sham.... If you were serious, you would give me a summary and then ask me to respond to some questions or statements.
12	12/1/2018	Elaine Soljaga	97239	We must provide systems to support a comprehensive plan, to include: All commercial properties (including apartments) should be required to provide recycling. All food service businesses should be required to divert organic material.
13	15/5/2018	Terrell Garrett	97267	[INCLUDED BELOW ON PAGES 38 AND 39]

**TERRELL GARRETT
GREENWAY RECYCLING, LLC
15204 SE RIVER FOREST DR.
MILWAUKIE, OR 97267
(503) 793-9238
5 December 2018**

Metro
600 NE Grand Ave.
Portland, OR 97232

Re: Public Comment on draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan

To Whom It May Concern:

Goal 2.1 is admirable in its effort to cause portions of the solid waste spending to go local with emphasis on minority and women owned businesses. However, this goal stops well short. This goal should extend well past the small amounts available through government contracting with the goal of significant local participation through ownership in all facets of the collection, processing, and transfer systems.

Goal 16.4 should add the word "current" between "Maintain" and "public".

Goal 16.5 should evaluate the feasibility of any facility in Washington County. While the verbiage does not preclude a private-owned facility to perform these functions, Metro Staff is acting as if it does. Whenever a private entity can responsibly perform a public service it should be allowed to do so in preference to government provision of those services. This should be incorporated into 16.5.

Sincerely,


Terrell Garrett

From: Terrell Garrett [mailto:greenwaybusiness@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 05, 2018 12:28 PM

To: Roy Brower; Matt Korot

Subject: 2030 Regional Waste Plan

Since I can't find where to email my comments, I am broadcasting them in hopes that someone files the attached comments.

Unfortunately, by email you are forced to use Outlook and I don't use Outlook.

Thanks,

Terrell

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
14	12/8/2018	Amanda Scheetz	N/A	<p>One of the things that I wrote just very briefly is that I'd like to see more trash cans in the city. One of the things that I've noticed that the actual function of them makes them not user friendly. While it's a good idea that it's a trash compactor, you almost feel like you're going to get a disease...From touching it! Um pulling down the handle... it goes so far down and then trying to get the garbage in. It's very dirty. As well as... I'd like to see the option to have a recycling you know, so if it's a garbage can, also with a recycling area with it.</p> <p>...</p> <p>I had another idea or another thought. I'm not EXACTLY sure what it all entails 'cus I'm kind of new. But one of the things that I've come across is having uh a better understanding of what is actually recyclable. At home as well as uh . . . the ease of it. Does that make sense? I know they've got like pictures but actually like knowing "oh your pizza box that you're putting in doesn't actually go into the recycling like you're thinking."</p> <p>...</p> <p>This might just be a little too far out there but... at home recycling... receptacles for like the in home. You know, not just the big bulky ones that people keep in the garage, but even something. Do you guys have anything like that?</p>
15	12/8/2018	Bella Gurvich	N/A	<p>I want to say. We not enough talking about compost. Because I just realized... not for a long time ago... carton from pizza I can't put all this carton to recycle. This part not clean part. I thought I know everything but it's always we can to do better. And more. And this part of carton... this is uh grease I can't put to recycle. And I can put this to compost. I hear this by TV. Local news. It was very good they doing this. People can understand better because they explain and show everything. And we must to think about compost more. What we can put some carton maybe because people don't know. Maybe don't think about this.</p>

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
16	12/8/2018	Diane Williams	97212	<p>Hi. It's like you... They were saying you know that the plastic issue, you know. And, uh I was seeing in Tigard they are taking plastics and recycling them and stuff. I was wondering if there was any way that Metro could reach out and have like certain places in town where they could be picked up you know the plastics that we're all having fits about, you know? And she was saying "B-Line" comes and picks it up. But, um, and they take it out to Tigard and they recycle it and why can't that be part of our solution with plastics?</p> <p>...</p> <p>But you know. A lot of this stuff... if we had a shredder or something just to make it smaller. People are making shirts, clothes, everything out of this stuff now. I couldn't even believe some of the coats and stuff they're making out of this stuff. So, um, maybe we'll be able to make building materials since the housing... and explore the housing industry, you know. If they can't make it out of clothes, maybe they can put it into some of their products that they're building (buildings and stuff) with. Especially since this earthquake it's going to give a little boom...</p>
17	12/8/2018	Semion Gurvich	N/A	<p>Я участвую в этом по работе уже длительное время. [Interpreter: I'm participating here for a long time.]</p> <p>Да, и я считаю, что я ознакомился с документами. [Interpreter: I read through the whole document.]</p> <p>Да, и что это хороший план, если он будет реализован полностью, как намечено. [Interpreter: And I believe it will be a very good plan if it will be applied in the whole piece. All the details will be included.]</p> <p>И радует профессиональная работа всех групп Metro... [Interpreter: And it's very heartwarming the professional work of the whole group.]</p> <p>И отношение к представителям разных общин. [Interpreter: And also warm attitude toward all the diversity cultures.]</p> <p>Спасибо большое. [Interpreter: Thank you.]</p>

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
18	12/11/2018	Susan Troup	97201	I live in Project Based Section 8 housing in downtown Portland. We are not able to compost. We have no way to recycle electronics. Our recycle bins are so polluted with non-recyclables that I suspect most of it winds up in the landfill. There is no education program in the building to address this problem. A lot of the residents do not speak English.
19	12/11/2018	Vance Lizza	Wood Village	There needs to be a method for serviced households to, in an environmentally safe way, dispose of small batteries and non-incandescent light bulbs. As things are now, too many cannot be troubled to search for such a thing; it is infinitely easier to simply throw such items in the regular trash.
20	12/12/2018	N/A	97202	I have a difficult time finding places to dispose of household batteries. It would be great if there was a place at your facilities to do so. Also, I strongly support continuation of the household hazardous waste drop-off days. They are great for getting rid of paint, cleaners and other things I can't put in my trash.
21	12/13/2018	Robert Fortner	N/A	[INCLUDED BELOW ON PAGES 43-45]

The "Recycling Receipt" provides recycling instructions for each packaging component of each product purchased. Intended to augment existing electronic receipts at grocery stores, the Recycling Receipt might look like this:



Recycling Receipt

QTY.	ITEMS
1	ORGANIC APPLESAUCE 6PK
	Recyclable Foil seal
	Garbage Plastic bottle (<6 ounces)
	Recyclable Paperboard carrier
1	HOUSE SPICE SHICHIMI TOGARASHI
	Garbage Plastic screw cap
	Recyclable Glass bottle
1	BOLIVAR BITTERS
	Garbage Plastic shrink band
	Garbage Eye Dropper
	Recyclable Glass bottle
1	ORGANIC APPLE JUICE 4PK
	Garbage Plastic straw
	Recyclable Tetrapack
	Garbage Cellophane wrapper/package

The current prototype contains the packaging components and their recyclability status for over 2,100 grocery products. Additional resources would make it possible to cover entire grocery stores, first in Portland and eventually nationwide.

A cell phone app would allow consumers to scan barcodes themselves to decide whether to buy a product based on its packaging or to answer the sometimes difficult question of whether something should go in the trash or recycling.

Direct instruction on what can and cannot be recycled will reduce contamination rates. And by providing consumers with packaging facts, they can vote with their wallets and switch to more sustainably packaged products. Producers will respond to consumer demand by migrating to packaging systems with better environmental profiles, perhaps in line with analyses of packaging materials performed by DEQ.

Future versions of the Recycling Receipt will go beyond packaging and end-of-life to address full life cycle costs by showing, for example, the greenhouse gases associated with making a particular product.

The Recycling Receipt responds directly to multiple goals in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan:

Goal 6: Reduce product environmental impacts and waste through educational and behavioral practices related to prevention and better purchasing choices.

Goal 7: Reduce product environmental impacts and waste through policies that support prevention practices and better purchasing choices.

Goal 9: Increase knowledge among community members about garbage, recycling and reuse services.

9.1 Provide culturally responsive education and assistance for garbage, recycling and reuse services to residents and businesses.

Goal 15: Improve the systems for recovering recyclables, food scraps and yard debris to make them resilient to changing markets and evolving community needs.

15.1 Implement regionally consistent contamination reduction efforts to improve material quality, including education, collection equipment changes and customer feedback methods.

15.2 Regularly assess the list of curbside recyclables collected in the region relative to end-markets, life cycle environmental benefits, community needs and forecasting of future materials in the waste stream.

For these reasons, it might possibly make sense to include the Recycling Receipt in the 2030 Regional Waste Plan.

Thank you very much.

-Bob Fortner

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
22	12/14/2018	Thane Tienson	97201	[INCLUDED BELOW ON PAGES 46-48]

LANDYE BENNETT
BLUMSTEIN LLP
ATTORNEYS

THANE W. TIENSON
ttienson@lbbllawyers.com
Admitted in Oregon and Washington

RECEIVED
DEC 17 2018
OFFICE OF METRO ATTORNEY

December 14, 2018

Metro Regional Waste Plan
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, OR 97232

Re: Comments on Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan

Dear Metro:

These comments are submitted on behalf of Asean Corporation, a Portland based company, that manufactures and distributes compostable serviceware and certified compostable trash and take-cut film bags. Asean's Stalk Market products are recognized as one of the very few reliably compostable serviceware products in the U.S., all of which are certified as complying with the ASTM D6400 and D6868 specifications for certified compostable products. These two ASTM standards are internationally recognized and incorporated in the labeling laws of at least two states and many governments throughout the United States.

A central component of the draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan deals with the life cycle of products and materials. Goal 5 deals with product design and manufacturing, and the actions identified to "reduce the environmental and human health impacts of products and packaging that are made, sold, used, or disposed in Oregon" are in § 5.1. That section provides for the need to

Advocate for legislation that minimizes chemicals of concern in products and packaging and requires the disclosure of product chemical data to consumers.

Section 5.3 identifies that it is important to

Partner with the State of Oregon to provide incentives to manufacturers for developing sustainable manufacturing techniques, including green chemistry, for products and packaging sold in Oregon.

Goal 8 deals with product end-of-life management, the goal of which is to "increase the reuse, repair and donation of materials and consumer products," and is identified as an action item in § 5.4 to

Advocate for product stewardship legislation and other policy approaches that can achieve the greatest reduction in environmental and human health impacts from products and packaging made, used, or disposed in the region."



Oregon: 1300 Southwest Fifth Avenue, Suite 3600 • Portland, Oregon 97201 • Tel: 503.224.4100 • Fax: 503.224.4133
Alaska: 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 1200 • Anchorage, Alaska 99501 • Tel: 907.276.5152 • Fax: 907.276.8433

42Q7653.DOCX
15918-001

December 14, 2018
Page 2

Goal 10's purpose is to "provide regionally consistent services for garbage, recyclables and other priority materials that meet the needs of all users" identified as an action item in § 10.8 is the need to

Advocate for statewide legislation or implement regional policies to increase the types of products and packaging for which manufacturers and retailers provide environmentally sound, convenient and accessible take-back programs.

Finally, Goal 15's purpose is "to improve the systems for recovering recyclables, food scraps and yard debris to make them resilient to changing markets and evolving community needs" identified as an action item in § 15.5 is the need to

Facilitate the permitting of composting facilities to process mixed residential yard debris and food scraps, while ensuring minimal impacts on neighboring communities.

In § 15.8, the recommendation is to

Advocate for statewide policies or implement regional policies that create a preference, incentive or requirement for use of recycling end-markets in Oregon and the Northwest.

Members of the SWAAC in meetings advocated for the adoption of statewide standards for plastic products, among other issues.

We support all of the identified goals and recommendations mentioned above and echo the sentiments of those members of the SWAAC and the public that support those statewide standards.


To that end, on behalf of Asean, we recommend that the 2030 Regional Waste Plan include, as an action item, the adoption of statewide legislation establishing standards for compostability similar or identical to those adopted by the State of California and set forth in California Public Resources Code §§ 42355-42357, which establish statewide standards in California for compostable plastics (ASTM D6400), non-floating biodegradable plastics in the marine environment (ASTM D7801), and biodegradable plastics used as coatings on paper and other compostable substrates (ASTM D6868). That legislation adopted in 2015 by the State of California has proved quite effective in greatly limiting, if not eliminating, the advertising for sale of falsely labeled biodegradable and compostable plastics and

December 14, 2018
Page 3

increased the success of composting post-consumer food scraps to include certified compostable products. Similar standards have been adopted in Maryland and by the City of Seattle and are much needed because of the large number of products on the market which are labeled as "compostable," "biodegradable" or "recyclable," when, in fact, they are not so and do not comply with ASTM specifications. In that regard, California, for example, recently entered into a settlement with Amazon.com extracting over \$1.5 million in civil penalties to obtain a consent order obligating Amazon to ensure that the plastic products advertised for sale through it and labeled as "biodegradable" or "compostable" or some similar term did, in fact, meet the ASTM legal standards adopted by the state. These standards have not been adopted in Oregon, but they need to be, and we believe that doing so will help promote the above identified goals in the Regional Waste Plan.

For that reason, we respectfully request that they be considered for adoption with the action items identified above. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Thane W. Tienson

/jz

cc: Matt Korot, Chair (by email)
Ernest Hayes, Council Policy Coordinator (by mail)
Councilor Sam Chase (by mail)
Client

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
23	12/15/2018	N/A	97200	Metro needs a partnership with ODOT that allows them to pick up dumped garbage on ODOT property. Referring reports of illegal dumping often does nothing —ODOT lets dumped garbage that's been reported sit for months sometimes. ODOT clearly doesn't care, but I get the impression that Metro does. The system isn't working.
24	12/17/2018	Rob Nathan	97212	I am very pleased with how thoughtful Metro has been during the development of this plan. I particularly like its commitment to racial equity and leading with race. I look forward to seeing the outcomes of this plan take shape.
25	12/17/2018	Emma Brennan	97227	I am thrilled this document. I loved seeing the inclusion of equity as a major component of this plan. This is what good governance looks like!
26	12/17/2018	Sage Cerulean	97045	I would like to see composting services be available to residents in Oregon City. Also needle collection areas like in Portland are needed as people have shared on social media seeing needles on the ground in different parts of the city. I'm not sure what else at this time.
27	12/21/2018	Jannike Allen	97201	It is heartening to see Metro take steps to improve the waste system. While visiting a waste sorting plant in Oslo, Norway, I was repeatedly told how important it is to view waste as a resource. In Oslo, it truly is a resource (food waste gets turned into biogas to power city busses and biofertilizer for use on nearby farms, plastic is collected separately to send by train to recycling facilities, and remaining waste is incinerated to create power for heating buildings). A caution that can be taken from the system in Oslo is that much effort needs to be focused on training people to sort, which requires fostering a culture of viewing waste as a resource. Their system involves distributing green and blue bags that people can pick up at grocery stores so that at home they can put food waste in the green bags and plastic in the blue bags. This is so that the blue and green bags can be collected with the regular trash, as sorted by machines that recognize color to divert them to different pathways. We can't afford to forever throw away the nutrients in food waste and other organic matter. As a society we need to combat our addiction to plastic, and recycle the plastic that is already here- which requires clearer messaging to consumers so that they know how to avoid contaminating loads of recyclables. Metro should look into the options around incineration, since it provides an opportunity to reduce space required in landfills (certain ash even being able to be dispersed instead of contained if it is not toxic), and retrieving value from waste that would otherwise be useless (creating energy). It is great that Metro is working on bringing together stakeholders to figure out what works in our region, and I think a lot of ideas can be gained from models elsewhere.

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
28	12/20/2018	Joe Zehnder	97201	[INCLUDED BELOW ON PAGE 50]



Bureau of Planning and Sustainability
 Innovation. Collaboration. Practical Solutions.

December 20, 2018

Dear Metro 2030 Regional Waste Plan Team,

On behalf of the City of Portland Bureau of Planning and Sustainability, I am pleased to submit comments on the Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan.

Plan and planning process are commendable

Rarely have we seen this type of intense effort to engage a diverse set of stakeholders on a wide-reaching array of actions. Your work within the community, particularly in low-income and communities of color, has created a strong foundation for future collaboration. Portland City Council adopted a Waste Equity Plan in October 2018 and we believe that working together we can make important progress in this area.

Values, principles and vision express shared intent

Your team adeptly identified a need to realign our focus areas on what matters most to people in our community. The Values succinctly describe the purpose of the Plan and clearly show Metro's renewed commitment to the community. Your leadership in reimagining the role, opportunities and vision for a regional waste system will help guide our strategic thinking and ground new visions for the future. Given your collaboration with community stakeholders, we have confidence that this work represents the interests and shares an expression of intent of our community.

Goals and actions provide actionable pathway

Many of the goals and actions provide a clear framework for moving ahead. However, there are some actions that are less clear, could potentially be costly to implement and may bring unintentional consequences for residents and businesses (examples 10.1, 14.1, 14.3, 14.4, 14.7). If some actions are selected for further consideration, then conducting research into underlying assumptions on the solutions would be needed. We believe that, together, we can meet the intent of the actions.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and for all your efforts. Our staff looks forward to working with you to implement the adopted Regional Waste Plan.

Regards,

Joe Zehnder
 Interim Director



City of Portland, Oregon | Bureau of Planning and Sustainability | www.portlandonline.com/bps
 1900 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 7100, Portland, OR 97201 | phone: 503-823-7700 | fax: 503-823-7800 | tty: 503-823-6868

Printed on 100% post-consumer waste recycled paper.

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
29	12/21/2018	Beth Vargas Duncan	97308	[INCLUDED BELOW ON PAGES 51-53]

From: [Beth Vargas Duncan](#)
To: [2030 Regional Waste Plan](#)
Cc: [Mike Leichner \(Mikel@pridedisposal.com\)](#); [Dean Kampfer](#); [Kristan Mitchell](#)
Subject: WCHA 2030 Regional Waste Plan Comments to Metro
Date: Friday, December 21, 2018 1:35:52 PM
Attachments: [Metro 2030 Regional Waste Plan Comments from WCHA.pdf](#)

Good afternoon,

The Washington County Haulers' Association submits the attached letter as their comments to the 2030 Regional Waste Plan. Given the timing between Metro's release of the full draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan last month and the meetings of the Portland Haulers' Association and Clackamas County Refuse and Recycling Association, I expect those associations will be able to send a similar letter to the Metro Council next month.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or concerns.

Thank you,
 Beth Vargas Duncan
 Regional Director
 Oregon Refuse and Recycling Association
 PO Box 2186
 Salem, OR 97308-2186
 Cell: 971-707-1683
bethvd@orra.net
www.orra.net

December 21, 2018

Metro Council
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, OR 97232- 2736

RE: Metro 2030 Regional Waste Plan

Dear Metro Councilors,

This letter represents Washington County Haulers Association's (WCHA) member comments on Metro's 2030 Regional Waste Plan. As you know, member haulers provide services across the solid waste system including hauling, resource recovery & transfer, processing and landfilling for all areas of the Metro region. Members are committed to working cooperatively with their regulatory local governments to provide safe, modern, and efficient waste collection services that include garbage, recycling, and organics collection at reasonable rates.

Member haulers appreciate all of the work representatives of Metro, community members, and industry stakeholders have contributed to create the 2030 Regional Waste Plan. In reviewing the Plan members hold similar values and more specifically, the haulers support concepts

- promoting safe, reliable, responsive, and affordable services accessible to all persons
- expanding diversity, equity, inclusion within the industry
- reducing adverse environmental impacts and
- reducing waste.

Partnering with local government, member haulers have promoted "reduce reuse and recycle" for decades and continue to improve their successful coordinated work reducing overall waste and related negative effects. It is these coordinated efforts among state, regional, local, industry and community members that contribute to Oregon's position as a national leader in recycling and waste management. More recently, members and local governments are taking action to increase education outreach regarding recycling contamination in an effort to improve marketability of recyclable commodities and the opportunity for them to be recycled. Similarly, members are committed to working with local government partners in expanding mechanisms for the recovery and diversion of food waste.

As the Plan process continues, please note that member haulers also support most of the concerns and comments local government representatives have already provided such as local government authority to set collection rates and determine appropriate service levels for their community. Some regional consistency may be appropriate, but not for all areas, as smaller communities don't have the resources and increasing some requirements for them could actually create barriers. As a result, it is important to consider economic impacts and related cost benefit analysis for the action items.

In conclusion, member haulers provide these comments to demonstrate our strong commitment to work with Metro, local government, and others in the community and share our expertise in the

industry. Clearly, member haulers want to be active in the development and implementation of the Plan action items. We look forward to serving as a resource, imparting experiences from our own challenges as large and many small, family and women owned companies, in navigating the business of waste management within a volatile economic climate while promoting our common values advancing equity and reducing barriers for minorities and women to enter or continue growing a business or career in waste management.

Sincerely,

Beth Vargas Duncan,
 Regional Director (Representing Washington County Haulers' Association)
 Oregon Refuse and Recycling Association
 PO Box 2186
 Salem, OR 97308-2186
bethvd@orra.net

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
30	12/21/2018	Peter Brandom	Hillsboro	[INCLUDED BELOW ON PAGES 53-55]

From: Peter Brandom <Peter.Brandom@hillsboro-oregon.gov>
Date: December 21, 2018 at 3:34:07 PM PST
To: Marta McGuire <Marta.McGuire@oregonmetro.gov>
Cc: Andy Smith <Andy.Smith@hillsboro-oregon.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan available for review

Marta,

Attached are our staff level comments. As I requested at SWAAC, we would like at least one more month to allow for a more comprehensive internal review, including by our elected leadership. With our new Council inheriting the Plan, we would much prefer the opportunity for them to review the Plan.

Thank you,
 Peter

Peter Brandom | *Senior Project Manager*
 City of Hillsboro, Oregon
 phone 503-681-6191
 email peter.brandom@hillsboro-oregon.gov
 web www.hillsboro-oregon.gov | Twitter [@cityofhillsboro](https://twitter.com/cityofhillsboro)

Draft Regional Waste Plan Final Review – Hillsboro Comments

General Comments:

- The timeline for this comment period (three weeks total to provide input at SWAAC, and one month total for the review period) is insufficient to realistically schedule briefings with City leadership to garner their input. We ask that Metro extend the deadline a minimum of one month so that we have time to get input from leadership.
- Related to the above, we have elected leadership turnover, and given that the plan will be adopted when they are in place, we strongly feel it is appropriate to give them the opportunity to also review and comment on the draft plan. Please extend the review period to accommodate this need as well.
- The plan must ensure transparency in rate setting at all facilities that receive waste generated within the region.
- The plan must prioritize the completion of the solid waste system infrastructure and services to ensure equitable access to facilities and services.
- The plan must estimate cost impacts of actions over the duration of Plan. Not specifically, but at least order of magnitude. This comment has been voiced before, and there appears to be no new effort to estimate cost impacts of the Plan.

Detailed Comments (actions for which we do not have specific comments have been omitted). Updated comments are in red; black print are comments that were submitted during earlier iterations of the draft Plan:

2.1 Need to understand details of how this will work.

2.2 Generally supportive, but need more detail and clear objectives.

2.3 Need an estimate of cost impact.

3.1 Need an estimate of cost impact.

3.3 This action seemingly should precede 3.2.

5.3 Need an estimate of cost impact.

6.6 Should strongly reconsider pinning efforts to one organization and especially website; these can change often in 10+ years.

7.1 Determination of 'low environmental and human health impacts' must be well informed by appropriate agencies/entities. Should list DEQ as lead here?

10.1 Should only mandate when the regional infrastructure is complete and equitable. Until such time should not list action as directive.

10.3 Must understand the cost impact. Will likely be major impact.

10.4 First ensure that infrastructure supports the objective. Why is this one not directive?

10.5 Need an estimate of cost impact.

December 21, 2018

10.6 This must be done locally, not regionally. Please ensure clarity that specific standards will be set by cities and counties, not Metro.

11.6 Need an estimate of cost impact.

12.3 Need an estimate of cost impact.

14.1 We feel strongly that the explicit assumption is that 'consistent' processes do not necessarily mean the 'same'. There are too many variables and local requirements/authority to expect sameness.

14.3 This should be up to the local government; do not require, but guidance and best practice is welcome. As with 14.1, please be explicit that 'consistent' is the goal, rather than 'same'.

14.4 Need an estimate of cost impact. Local governments retain authority to determine whether and to what extent to implement program.

14.6 Unnecessary for collection, this is already done locally.

15.4 Generally support objective; need an estimate of cost impact.

15.10 Generally do not support higher tip fees; already among the highest in the U.S.

16.5 Support.

Indicators:

Strongly suggest that indicators be deliberately put forward as 'initial' and provide for flexibility and adaptation through the early stages of implementation. Quality and availability of data will change, and the Plan should be flexible to include the best indicators to gauge progress.

Entry	Date	Name	Zip code / City	Comment
31	12/21/2018	Audrey O'Brien	97232	[INCLUDED BELOW ON PAGES 56-59]

From: OBRIEN Audrey <Audrey.OBRIEN@state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, December 21, 2018 5:35 PM
To: Marta McGuire; Luis Sandoval; Matt Korot
Cc: HOUGH Daniel; ALLAWAY David; DAVIS Shannon
Subject: DEQ comments on Metro Regional Waste Plan
Attachments: 20181221-commentonMetroRWP.pdf

Marta,

Attached are DEQ's comments on the Metro Regional Waste Plan. Please let David Allaway or me know if you have questions on any of the comments.

DEQ appreciates the opportunity to comment. Metro's process to develop this plan and the community engagement is exemplary. DEQ supports Metro's process and plan and looks forward to working with Metro as Metro pursues the goals and activities identified in this plan.

Sincerely,

Audrey O'Brien
 Manager
 Northwest Region Environmental Partnerships
 Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
 700 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 600
 Portland, OR 97232
 503-229-5072 (office)
 503-209-9182 (cell)



Oregon

Kate Brown, Governor

Department of Environmental Quality

Northwest Region
700 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 600
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 229-5263
FAX (503) 229-6945
TTY 711

December 21, 2018

Marta McGuire
Metro
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, OR 97232-2736

Dear Marta,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide DEQ's feedback on the latest draft of the Metro Regional Waste Plan. DEQ appreciates and applauds the process that Metro has used to develop the plan. Metro staff and management have made a very sincere and concerted effort to develop this plan to reflect the needs of the broader public, to make meaningful efforts to address historic and current inequities (specific to race), and to broaden the region's focus from "waste" to sustainable materials management. There are several references to the DEQ 2050 Vision and an expressed desire to align with it. The document is also very nicely organized and presented and easy to read. The Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan points Metro in a good direction and deserves our support. DEQ appreciates the openness that Metro has demonstrated in meeting with DEQ and being receptive to DEQ's suggestions throughout the Metro process of developing the plan.

Here are specific observations, questions and suggestions:

Page 6 (Introduction): the first paragraph on this page is elegantly written and demonstrates a firm understanding of - and commitment to - principles of sustainable materials management.

Page 11 ("Addressing the full life cycle"): DEQ suggests that the first paragraph may more accurately reflect how the life cycle can be explained if written as follows: "Our regional waste system is one piece of an integrated whole that covers the entire life of the products we use, from design to production to use, until they go to a recycler, landfill or thrift store." Perhaps Metro could add the following to strengthen the linkage between different stages of the life cycle: "Decisions about how wastes are managed can impact design and production upstream of the consumer, and the region can further impact upstream decisions through material selection and waste prevention."

Further, the remainder of the text on page 11 might be strengthened by adding a sentence or two that justifies this broader/expanded approach. "By addressing impacts across the full life cycle of materials, the region can avoid unknowingly shifting environmental burdens (increasing impacts in one area even as we decrease the impacts of waste). And considering actions that can be taken across this full life cycle opens up additional opportunities to achieve our objectives."

Page 14 ("The life cycle of products and materials"): 2 references under "Product design and manufacturing" to "recyclable materials". DEQ's new research shows poor correlation between "recyclable" (packaging) and low

impact, so the references to designing with more recyclable materials as a “way to reduce harmful impacts” should be deleted.

Page 14 (“The life cycle of products and materials”): Under “Product consumption and use” consider adding restricting the sale of (or access to) high impact products as another example of how to reduce harmful impacts. This change would be consistent with at least one action later in the plan.

Pages 18 – 23 (“Environmental impacts of products and materials”): Thank you for effectively using DEQ data (material recovery survey and the consumption based emission inventory) and for a clear and compelling exposition of environmental impacts. Overall, the framing in this section is very effective.

Page 20 (“Environmental impacts of products and materials”): Subcaption of pie chart is not quite correct. DEQ asks that Metro change the subcaption to the following: “In 2015, the Metro region generated 41 million metric tons of greenhouse gas emissions from the products and materials we ~~made~~, bought, used and threw away and the services we used.”

Page 47 (“Vision”): Under “Garbage and recycling operations” does Metro mean to say it is minimizing pollution of air, solids and water” or “air, soils and water”? The reference to “pollution of solids” is not clear.

Page 51 (“Navigating the action tables”): Does Metro want to include State agencies in the description of Partnership agreements?

Page 51 (“Navigating the action tables”): Perhaps Metro may want to clarify that “existing programs” reflect that Metro has existing tools that could be used to carry out new actions. For example, Action 6.5 “Assist households and businesses in the adoption of practices that prevent the wasting of food and other high-impact materials” lists the implementation approach as “Existing programs” but what if Metro were to enter into new “Partnership agreements” which are used in existing programs but might be new ways to use existing tools to accomplish this new action? Similarly Action 7.4 states that implementation will be through “Existing programs” but there is huge potential for Metro to use many tools here such as Partnership agreements, Code changes, Legislative agendas, etc. For the many actions identified as “Existing programs”, the casual reader might assume that Metro intends no new work or programs, which may not be Metro’s intent. DEQ suggests additional clarification so that if Metro decides to grow an action out of an existing program into something like a “Legislative agenda” the plan is not restricting this by how the implementation action is interpreted or worded. This section “Navigating the action tables” would benefit from a note stating that the listed approaches are for illustration purposes and are not restrictive.

Page 81 (“Keeping it Separated”): The graph is very confusing. It suggests that 21% of garbage is non-recyclables from multi-family and an additional 9% is from single-family, for a total of 30%. DEQ recommends that these two sectors not be stacked on top of each other in this way.

Page 103 (“Measuring progress”): The list of key indicators is diverse and broad-reaching. DEQ supports the indicators identified. DEQ notes that the recovery rate is not listed.

Page 104 (“Measuring progress”): Under Goal 5, the second recommended indicator needs clarification. The goal (#5) is titled “Product design and manufacturing”. The indicator is “Share of priority products covered in

December 21, 2018
Marta McGuire
Page 3 of 3

Oregon by a product stewardship framework". Given that most product stewardship legislation focuses primarily on EPR at end-of-life, this may be an incomplete measure of reducing the environmental and human health impacts of products and packaging made, sold, used or disposed of in Oregon as most of the impacts are not subject to reduction via EPR.

Page 105 ("Measuring progress"): Goal 7: Why limit the indicator to only purchasing by government? Action 7.4 relates to the environmental impacts of the built environment, which are huge and also somewhat under the direct control, or at least subject to the strong influence of, Metro and local governments. DEQ recommend including an indicator about the life-cycle impacts of the built environment.

Page 111 ("Roles and responsibilities"): The discussion of "private sector" is limited to waste businesses as well as reuse and repair. DEQ recommends noting that businesses that make and sell the products that become waste contribute to environmental impacts and have roles in the actions.

Page 119 ("Plan oversight"): DEQ requests being included in the Regional Waste Plan Implementation Committee.


Page 123 ("Acknowledgments"): Under Technical Work Group members/Healthy Products, Ali Briggs-Ungerer's affiliation is missing and has been replaced with Minal Mistry's name and affiliation, which should be on a separate line.

Appendix 2, Pages 124-136, DEQ has reviewed and finds Metro's Waste Reduction Program and Plan to be approvable. As discussed, once adopted, DEQ intends to draft an approval letter and will issue a public notice of DEQ's intent to approve Metro's Waste Reduction Plan as required under ORS 459.055.

Pages 142 – 148 ("Glossary"): Some definitions conclude with what appears to be a source, while others do not. Consider being consistent and putting the source name in parentheses.

Again, DEQ appreciates the opportunity to review and provide comments. If you have questions about any of these comments, please contact David Allaway at 503-229-5479 or you can contact me at 503-229-5072.

Sincerely,



Audrey O'Brien
Manager, Northwest Region Environmental Partnerships Section

Cc: David Allaway, DEQ
Shannon Davis, DEQ
Daniel Hough, DEQ

Regional Investment Strategy Bi-monthly Update

Work Session Topics

Metro Council Work Session
Tuesday, February 12, 2018
Metro Regional Center, Council Chamber

Materials following this page were distributed at the meeting.



Metro

2030 Regional Waste Plan

Equity, health and the environment

Metro Council Work Session | February 2019

Creating the plan

15 equity work group meetings


37 community conversations

3,989 survey submissions

3 leadership forums

2,370 online comments

24 technical group meetings



Today's discussion

Draft plan review and discussion

Oversight framework and options for consideration

Next steps





Draft Plan Review

What is the 2030 Regional Waste Plan?

A plan for reducing environmental and health impacts, and sharing system benefits equitably

A blueprint for policy direction, goals and roles and responsibilities

The means by which we comply with Oregon statute



Plan elements

GOAL AREAS

Shared Prosperity

Product Design and Manufacturing

Product Consumption and Use

Product End-of-Life Management

Disaster Resilience

Plan Outcomes

Advancing racial equity

- Improved jobs diversity, pay, benefits and pathways
- Increase overall share of system economic benefit that goes to marginalized communities

Protecting human and environmental health

- Better purchasing options and more reuse and repair opportunities
- Minimized impacts from system operations

Maintaining and improving our garbage and recycling system

- Improved collection services for residents
- More adaptable and resilient recycling system



Metro



Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan

Equity, health and the environment

Actions Requiring Future Council Policy Discussions

Metro's state legislative agenda

- Influence product design
- Increase availability of take-back programs
- Strengthen recycling markets

Directive actions to local governments

- Comprehensive collection services
- Environmental and safety standards
- Communication about rates



Public comment period

Public engagement

Community briefings

Public forums

Project website

Who did we hear from

Residents

Industry associations

Cities and counties

Dept. of Environmental Quality

Solid Waste Alternatives
Advisory Committee

Metro Policy Advisory
Committee

Plan revisions

Does Council wish to make any changes to the draft plan based on its review and on the public comment report?



Metro




Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan

**Equity, health and
the environment**



Oversight Framework

Oversight Framework



Oversight Framework Options

- Affirm the proposed framework or provide direction to staff for an alternative
- If affirmed, determine whether the proposed implementation committee should be a formal advisory committee to Council.
- If affirmed, determine whether the proposed implementation committee should be chaired by a Metro Councilor or Metro staff.



Draft 2030 Regional Waste Plan

**Equity, health and
the environment**



What's next?



Next steps

Metro Council first read and public hearing **Feb. 28, 2019**

Metro Council second read **March 7, 2019**

Implementation


Three-year work plans to be developed by Metro and local governments

Measuring progress

Track indicators and report annually

Oversight

Create Regional Waste Plan Implementation Committee





Metro

Thank you.



Policy Framework

