

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S MEETING MINUTES
OF
JANUARY 28, 1971

ATTENDANCE

Eldon Hout, Chairman
Robert Schumacher
Harold Ruecker
Mel Gordon
Homer C. Chandler, Executive Director
Dean P. Gisvold

Others attending:

Hal Johnson, Portland
John McIntyre, Clackamas
Homer Tunks, CRAG
Robert Nordlander
William Culham
Ken Meng

} Members of
Advisory Committee

There being a quorum present, the Committee considered the following items:

A. TECHNICAL COMMITTEE'S REPORT ON SOLID WASTES

Mr. Meng presented the Technical Committee's recommendations concerning how MSD can proceed in developing a solid wastes system, use of local agencies and the role of consultants. (Report attached.)

B. RECOMMENDATION ON USE CHARGES

Mr. Gordon presented a suggestion concerning assessing user charges on disposal of auto tires. (Report attached.)

The Committee instructed that these two proposals be placed on the next agenda along with the reorganization of the Board.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 9:30 a.m.



COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
M. JAMES GLEASON, Chairman
L. W. AYLSWORTH
BEN PADROW
DONALD E. CLARK
MEL GORDON

Multnomah County Oregon

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

(503) 227-8411 ■ ROOM 605, COUNTY COURT HOUSE ■ PORTLAND, OREGON ■ 97204

January 27, 1971

Recommendations to the Metropolitan Service District Board by Multnomah County Commissioner Mel Gordon

1. As previously recommended by the Technical Committee on December 11, 1970, that MSD gain support of the DEQ for the solid waste program. This probably could best be done by setting up a joint meeting between MSD and DEQ in order to review mutual problems and solutions to the solid waste problems of the two agencies.
2. Disposal fees on disposable tires and disposable appliances. It is my feeling that a 50¢ per passenger tire disposal fee, together with a 50¢ per appliance disposal fee, could produce approximately \$300,000 a year in revenue as a means of getting the Service District under way financially through the use of a user fee. The Board of Directors were advised on October 23, 1970 by legal counsel that this was possible and legal.
3. Boundary problems. That all three counties in the MSD district have uniform disposal regulations and enforcement policies and that the MSD contract with the balance of these three counties that are not presently in the District, and to offer to contract with Columbia County for the same regulations and enforcements through MSD.

32

PROGRAM

TECHNICAL ASPECTS

SUGGESTED SOLID WASTES DISPOSAL PROGRAM

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

<u>WORK ITEM</u>	<u>PHASE</u>	<u>BY WHO</u>
<u>A. EVALUATION OF DISPOSAL METHODS</u>		
1. Land fill only	1 & 2	Technical Committee
2. Recycling & Landfill	2	Consultant & Technical Committee
3. Incineration and Landfill	2	Consultant & Technical Committee
4. Specialized and Landfill Shredding, Compaction, etc.	2	
<u>B. SELECTION OF TRANSFER & DISPOSAL SITES</u>		
1. Type	1	Technical Committee
2. Size	1	Technical Committee
3. Number and when needed	1	Technical Committee
4. Location	1 & 2	Technical Committee
5. Ability to meet disposal standards	2	Consultants & Technical Committee

<u>WORK ITEM</u>	<u>PHASE</u>	<u>BY WHO</u>
<u>C. COSTS OF OPERATION</u>		
1. Capital Costs:		
a. Purchase of sites	1 & 2	Consultants and Technical Committee
b. Cost of Equipment	1 & 2	
c. Construction of facilities	1 & 2	
2. Operating Costs		
a. Manpower	1 & 2	Consultants and Technical Committee
b. Transporting costs	1 & 2	" " " "
c. Maintenance of equipment and facilities	1 & 2	" " " "
d. Cover material	1 & 2	" " " "
3. Methods of Financing		
a. Bonds	1 & 2	Consultants and Technical Committee
b. Fees	1 & 2	" " " "
c. Others	1 & 2	" " " "

Because of the abundance of information available from city, county, CRAG, and private sources, it is anticipated that the facilities evaluation can be completed in months; that phase 2, that of actually selecting future sites and testing them to determine if they can meet disposal criteria should be completed within months after starting, and the financial evaluation should be an on-going exercise from the outset of the studies with consultants dealing primarily with methods of financing.

It is further suggested that a consultant be engaged as early as possible to undertake a study and evaluation of disposal methods other than landfill or land reclamation and the financial feasibility of each method.



COLUMBIA REGION ASSOCIATION of GOVERNMENTS

429 S. W. 4TH AVENUE • SUITE 500 • PORTLAND, OREGON 97204 • (503) 226-4331

CLACKAMAS COUNTY, OREGON
Cities in Clackamas County

CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON
Cities in Clark County

MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON
Cities in Multnomah County

WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON
Cities in Washington County

FOR METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

RE: SOLID WASTE

1. Film

2.

- Tech. Adv.*
- A. Recommend Committee be Composed of
City Engineer, Portland
Public Works Directors, 3 counties
DEQ representative
CRAG Engineer as Secretary

Committee will call on other professionals,
planners, sanitarians, consultants, etc.
where they can be of service.

- B. Recommend that the problem of ^{current} solid waste
disposal be under the jurisdiction of
public works directors so that coordina-
tion can be effected with MSD.

3. A. Recommended Program (Attached)

B. Recommended Standards for Regulation (Attached)

4. Recommend sites for Immediate Use

A. Present and Short Term

1. City of Portland
- (a) Usable - Short Term
 - (b) Location - good
 - (c) Cover material - problem - all haul in

2. Rossman (Parker) site Oregon City
 - (a) Usable - short term
 - (b) Small area - suitable for Oregon City-Oswego Estacada, Molalla, etc.
3. Porter Yett Site - 57th, Columbia Blvd.
 - (a) Usable - Demolition only - short term
 - (b) Private site
4. Plews - Sauvies Island Bridge
 - (a) Usable - Demolition only
 - (b) Private site
5. J. & W. Site - Continue if improved.
 - (a) Poor operation - requires improvement
 - (b) Private site - short term
6. Tire Disposal Incorporated (not public) near Molalla.
- (?) 7. Franks - Tigard
 - (a) Private site
8. Sandy, Retain if improved.
9. Brightwood, retain if improved.
10. Slavin Road - 4800 Slavin Rd.
Public works, road and concrete debris
11. Willamette Blvd.
Public works, road debris, leaves

probably - There will be one additional site located in Washington County by time of Metropolitan Service District ~~meeting~~ *organization*.