

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S MEETING MINUTES
OF
APRIL 16, 1971

ATTENDANCE

Eldon Hout, Chairman
Lloyd Anderson
Mel Gordon
Robert Schumacher
Sidney Bartels
Harold Ruecker
Gus Mohr
Homer C. Chandler, Executive Director
Herbert Hardy, Attorney

There being a quorum present, the Board considered the following items of business:

I. SOLID WASTE PLANNING GRANT

Mr. Herbert Hardy presented a draft of a letter addressed to Senator Berkley Lent of the Ways and Means Committee of the Oregon State Legislature in which the Metropolitan Service District requests a grant from the State Legislature to finance a study of a complete solid waste system.

Following review of this, Mr. Meng, Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Solid Wastes, stated that four points must be added to the letter. Those four points are:

- (1) What the purpose of the study is.
- (2) That the study will utilize existing studies and resources.
- (3) The study will consider the state of the art, and alternative processes.
- (4) A statement that land fill must be a part of all or any disposal methods.

Mr. Anderson stated that he felt the letter should also include what the results of the study will be. This would include a

proposed method of collection transportation, disposal, and management of the system.

Mr. Nordlander stated that, upon investigation of similar type studies, an estimated cost would be between \$350,000 to \$400,000. Mr. Anderson then asked how much MSD should ask from the State and that the figure should be large enough to do the job.

Mr. Hardy recommended that MSD ask the State for:

- (1) An amount of approximately \$500,000 to \$600,000, and that this request should consist of a grant of \$150,000 which would be used as matching monies for a 3/4 grant from the Federal Natural Resources Recovery Act.
- (2) If Federal funds are not available by August 31, 1971, then MSD would ask for a grant of \$150,000 and a loan of \$450,000 which would be repaid from revenue derived by service charges.
- (3) That the State be asked to approve for solid waste disposal operations an additional \$25,000,000 from the State pollution bonds. This \$25,000,000 would be added to the presently authorized \$50,000,000.

Mr. Anderson moved that the proposal submitted by Mr. Hardy be approved. Mr. Schumacher seconded the motion; motion carried.

Mr. Anderson moved that a letter outlining the scope of work to be done in the solid waste management study and containing the recommendations of the Advisory Committee, plus those that were added in this meeting, be prepared to be presented to the Ways and Means Committee by the Chairman of the Metropolitan Service District no later than Monday, April 19, 1971. Mr. Schumacher seconded the motion; motion carried with Mr. Gordon abstaining on the grounds that he wished more time to consider the contents and ramifications of the proposal.

II. HOUSE BILL 3012

Mr. Anderson presented a letter outlining the difficulties that would be created for this area if House Bill 3012 passed. He moved that the Metropolitan Service District Board go on record as opposing House Bill 3012. Mr. Gordon seconded the motion motion passed unanimously.

III. CENTRALIA STUDY

Mr. Anderson reviewed for the Board the study that is to be undertaken by the State of Washington to determine the feasibility of developing a solid waste disposal site near the City of Centralia which would serve all of the State of Washington between Vancouver and Seattle.

The Metropolitan Service District had been invited to participate at no expense in this study; therefore, Mr. Anderson moved that a resolution to participate in the Centralia site study be adopted and that the Chairman be authorized to designate a delegate to the Study Committee; motion carried.

IV. SECRETARIAL ASSISTANCE

Mr. Chandler was instructed to obtain secretarial help in recording the minutes and actions of the MSD Board from the Columbia Region Association of Governments.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 5:30 p.m.

LLOYD E. ANDERSON
COMMISSIONER

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS



JAMES L. APPERSON
CITY ENGINEER

WM. S. LIND
CHIEF OF OPERATIONS

HAROLD E. JOHNSON
PUBLIC WORKS COORDINATOR

CITY OF PORTLAND
OREGON

97204

1220 S.W. 5TH AVENUE • PHONE (503) 228-6141

April 16, 1971

MEMORANDUM

To: Board of Directors
Metropolitan Service District

From: Commissioner Lloyd E. Anderson
City of Portland

Subject: City of Portland Application for Federal Funds For
North Portland Disposal Site

Subsequent to our meeting on Friday, April 9, 1971, Multnomah County Commissioner Mel Gordon and I have met to discuss the above project. Mr. Robert Nordlander, Director, Department of Public Works, Multnomah County, has reviewed the City's application (letter of intent) and indicated three areas of concern that they have regarding our project. My discussion with Commissioner Gordon and evaluation by our staff indicates that we are in general agreement with the exception of the portion related to potential recreational development.

In a memorandum to Commissioner Gordon from Mr. Nordlander, it was stated that the study we proposed be funded through this grant application deal only with the regional approach to solid waste disposal. We have no argument with this comment for it certainly does serve our intent.

Board of Directors
Metropolitan Service District
Page 2
April 16, 1971

2. The second concern expressed by the County is that the study be limited to the area now in use plus possible expansion to adjacent property except that area now known as Smith Lake and Bybee Lake. It is the County's thought that the study address itself to expansion capabilities in areas which would not affect the possible future use of these lakes for recreational purposes. Our position is that the study should consider possible future use of these lakes for recreational purposes and that the study should explore ways to improve the recreational aspects of their usage.

3. The third area of concern is that the report should include a study of all engineering and topographic features of the area to determine definite methods of eliminating pollution to adjacent land and water. This is certainly our intent in applying for the funding of this study.

The document reviewed by the County in evaluating our proposal was a grant application letter of intent. The grant application forms provided by the Environmental Protection Agency are yet to be completed.

* I think that the wording in the application itself would satisfy the suggestions made by the County with the possible exception of the comment regarding potential recreational uses in the Smith and Bybee Lakes area.

LLOYD E. ANDERSON

Commissioner of Public Works

LEA:jt

OFFICE MEMORANDUM . . . DEPARTMENT of PUBLIC WORKS

April 16, 1971

TO: Commissioner Mel Gordon
Board of County Commissioners

FROM: Robert L. Nordlander, Director
Dept. of Public Works

SUBJECT: City of Portland Application for Federal Funds
for North Portland Disposal Site

It is my belief that the City of Portland proposed solid waste study of the North Portland disposal area could be made compatible with the metropolitan solid waste problem if the following concepts were to be incorporated into the study:

(1) That it be definitely established that this study shall deal only with the regional approach to solid waste disposal. Anticipated life span of the site should reflect the acceptance of solid waste from the entire metropolitan region, and not include any life span figures for acceptance of waste from the City of Portland only. The original letter sent to the Federal agency indicating the scope of this study included determination of life span on the site for disposal of City of Portland wastes only.

(2) That the study be limited to the area now in use, plus possible expansion to adjacent property, except that area now known as Smith Lake and Bybee Lake. It would seem appropriate to study expansion capabilities in areas which would not affect the possible future use of these lakes for recreational purposes.

(3) The report should include a study of all engineering and topographic features of the area to determine definite methods of eliminating pollution to adjacent land and water. The problems regarding leadate are well known, and definite construction features to eliminate the leadate problem should