#### METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

### BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING MINUTES

AUGUST 6, 1971

### **ATTENDANCE**

Eldon Hout, Chairman Harold Ruecker Sidney Bartels Gus Mohr Homer Chandler, Executive Director

There being a quorum present, the Board considered the following items:

# I. SOLID WASTE PROPOSALS

Mr. Ken Meng, Chairman of the Technical Advisory Committee, reviewed for the Board the process that the Technical Committee went through in evaluating some nine proposals that had been received from engineering firms interested in developing a solid waste disposal system for the metropolitan area.

From this review, the Technical Committee recommends the following:

- 1. By letter, acknowledge the work performed by all firms in developing proposals.
- 2. That once a firm is employed, it must maintain a local office during the period of the study.
- 3. That one part of the agreement setting up the study would call for an interim plan to be developed within the first twelve-month period of the study.
- 4. That the principal project manager should be from the firm with the major technical solid waste systems input.

- 5. That the Board interview the following firms
  - (a) Engineering Science, Inc.
  - (b) URS Systems
  - (c) CH<sub>2</sub>M-Hill-Metcalf & Eddy
- 6. That the Techinical Committee be discharged from further service and that the technical advice in the future be supplied by the CRAG Public Works Technical Committee.

Mr. Ruecker moved that the Board accept the recommendations of the Technical Committee and that, as of this day, the Technical Committee be discharged and the CRAG Public Works Technical Committee be requested to serve as the technical advisory committee to the Board and that a special meeting be called for August 20, 1971, at which time the three recommended firms would be interviewed. Mr. Mohr seconded the motion; motion carried unanimously.

### II. BOEING PROPOSAL

A letter drafted by Commissioner Lloyd Anderson speaking to the subject of the Boeing proposal to ship all solid and liquid wastes from the Portland area to eastern Oregon was received and endorsed as the position of the Metropolitan Service District concerning this proposal. (See attached letter.)

## III. PUBLIC INFORMATION PROPOSAL

Chairman Hout presented a public information proposal submitted to the Metropolitan Service District by the Turner/Hallock organizations. Mr. Hout recommended that the Board accept this proposal and instruct the public relations organization to proceed under the directions of the Board with an information program.

Mr. Ruecker moved that the proposal be accepted as recommended by the subcommittee. Mr. Bartels seconded the motion; motion carried unanimously.

# IV. RESPONSIBILITIES OF SUBCOMMITTEES

Mr. Ruecker stated that in recent weeks he had noticed a chairman of a MSD Subcommittee had called for proposals from local firms to prepare a solid waste management system. Mr. Ruecker stated that he nor any other members of the Board had any knowledge of what was asked for and when such a request for proposals was authorized; therefore, he wished to register strong opposition to subcommittee chairmen, who on their own, call for proposals concerning MSD's Work Program.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 2 p.m.

Metropolitan Service District Board

#### Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the charge given your Technical Committee, we have studied carefully what should be the role of the Metropolitan Service District in the field of solid waste disposal; the approach to developing a solid waste proposal system for the Metropolitan Area; a scope of work that would serve as the basis for developing a regional solid waste disposal plan; and the role that consulting firms should play in the development of the solid waste planning and now a review and recommendations concerning the appraisal of the consultant's proposals for developing a solid waste plan.

Inasmuch as the work to be performed by the MSD will have a regional impact that will go beyond the boundaries of the Metropolitan Service District and inasmuch as much of Washington and Clackamas Counties lie beyond the boundaries of the Metropolitan Service District, it is our belief that this committee should be discharged from any further responsibility and that the members of the CRAG Public Works Technical Committee should be appointed to serve as technical advisors to the MSD Board and staff. By so doing, it is our belief that the MSD Board will have a better understanding as to the effects the Board's action and policies will have upon the areas beyond the MSD boundaries.

We have enjoyed working for the MSD Board and would hope that we will be able to maintain good contact with the MSD operations.

Sincerely yours,

Ken Meng, Chairman

Metropolitan Service District
Technical Committee

KM: jc