

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT  
BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING MINUTES

August 20, 1971

ATTENDANCE:

Eldon Hout, Chairman  
Mel Gordon  
Gus Mohr  
Sid Bartels  
A. McKay Rich

Chairman Hout called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

Mrs. Sue Vandehey, who resides in Washington County, protested the operation of the Metropolitan Service District and Commissioner Hout for serving on the MSD Board. Mrs. Mary Kangas also spoke against the MSD.

Mrs. Barbara Lucas of the League of Women Voters inquired concerning the intention of the Board to appoint a Citizen's Advisory Committee and if so, when. Commissioner Hout replied that the Board was concerned about citizen participation and said he was confident that the Board would provide for it. He assured Mrs. Lucas that any Citizen's Committee would not be expected to act as a "rubber stamp" for Board action.

The Board then proceeded to hear the presentation by consulting firms as listed on the agenda:

I. Engineering Sciences.

Robert White and members of his team made the presentation covering the material outlined in their printed proposal. They emphasized the project team approach by Engineering Sciences assisted by several key specialists. Mr. White noted the firm would open a project office in Portland.

He proposed creating two review committees; a Technical Review Committee - perhaps a continuation of the one presently in existence, and an Administrative Review Committee.

He and a member of the team then went on to discuss the program, as proposed in the report. After members of the team had spoken Board members and members of the CRAG Public Works Committee raised a number of questions. Commissioner Gordon questioned them concerning studies done in Erie County; Commissioner Hout asked about the cost; Mr. Meng inquired about citizen participation and about Federal funding.

After some additional questions the Board moved to the next presentation.

## II. URS

Richard Fielder led the presentation by this firm. They noted that in dealing with this particular area they would be considering private handlers and collection in addition to the various local government agencies and the need to balance the system out between these sectors.

After completing the discussion of their proposal, they answered questions asked by various members of the Board and Advisory Committee. Much of the questioning concerned the role of private enterprise. Another dealt with the establishment of a project office in Portland which the proposal did not specify.

## III. CH<sub>2</sub>M - Hill

Les Wierson introduced the members of this team. He then noted that because they have a local office they already have knowledge of the local area and its problems. He said the goal of the project would be to provide a solid waste management plan, and system of outstanding technical quality.

Considerable stress was placed upon public involvement. A brief of their proposal was given to the Board for discussion purposes. Upon completion of the presentation, there were no questions.

The meeting adjourned at 5:15 p.m. Copies of the various proposals are on file at the CRAG office.