# METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS

MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 22, 1974 MEETING

#### BOARD MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE

Robert Schumacher, Chairman Mel Gordon Miller Duris Lloyd Anderson

### ADVISORS IN ATTENDANCE

Herb Hardy, Attorney
Gary Grimes, DEQ
Jane Cease, CAC
Bill Culham, TAC
Les Wierson, COR-MET
Mike Kennedy, COR-MET
Fred Cope, Bartle-Wells
Ken Meng, Washington County

## GUESTS IN ATTENDANCE

Fred Cooper, S. T. & R. Nick Brajavich, CAC Dick Glanz, Industry Roger Emmons, OSSI Carl Miller, Industry

#### STAFF IN ATTENDANCE

Charles C. Kemper Merle Irvine Jean Woodman

There being a quorum present, the Board considered the following items of business:

## I. MINUTES

Commissioner Anderson moved to approve the minutes of February 8, 1974 as submitted. Commissioner Gordon seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

## II. REVIEW AND COMMENTS REGARDING TRI-COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGE-MENT COUNCIL REPORT

The Board considered COR-MET's written responses to the Tri-County Solid Waste Management Council's suggested modifications to Plan B. Mr. Kemper stated that a joint meeting of the Technical and Citizen Advisory Committees and industry resulted in the following advisory committee recommendations for the Board's consideration:

COR-MET Decision Point A: Does the MSD Board wish to utilize the Frank site as a regional landfill?

Committee Recommendation A: The MSD Board direct that the Frank site be kept under consideration as a Washington County site; and that Washington County provide a report to the MSD Board after Washington County and industry meet together to determine its feasibility.

Board Action A: Commissioner Anderson moved to adopt the Advisory Committees recommendation with a report back to the Board by the March 8, 1974 Board meeting. Commissioner Gordon seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

<u>COR-MET Decision Point B:</u> Does the MSD Board wish to locate a milling-transfer station in Beaverton rather than in Durham?

Committee Recommendation B: The MSD Board include the location of this milling and transfer station question in the discussion with Washington County people, as is appropriate.

Board Action B: Commissioner Anderson moved to adopt the Advisory Committees' recommendation. Commissioner Gordon seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

COR-MET Decision Point C: Does the Board wish to provide a center for the storage and servicing of collection vehicles at the milling-transfer stations?

Committee Recommendation C: It is recommended that this point not be made a necessary part of MSD Board action regarding the Solid Waste Management system.

Board Action C: Commissioner Gordon moved to adopt the Advisory Committees' recommendation and add the words "at this time". Commissioner Anderson seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

COR-MET Decision Point D: Does the MSD Board wish to assume the responsibility for providing rural convenience stations?

Committee Recommendation D: It is recommended that this point not be considered at this time.

Board Action D: Commissioner Anderson moved to adopt the Committee recommendation. Commissioner Gordon seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

<u>COR-MET Decision Point E</u>: Does the MSD Board wish to locate a milling-transfer station near the St. Johns Landfill instead of near I-5 and Columbia Boulevard?

Committee Recommendation E: It is recommended that COR-MET re-evaluate the St. John location for a milling transfer station instead of near I-5 and Columbia Boulevard.

Board Action E: Commissioner Anderson moved to adopt the Advisory Committees' recommendation. Commissioner Gordon seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

COR-MET Decision Points F and G: Does the MSD Board wish to locate a single milling-transfer station in East Portland rather than two stations at Southeast Portland and at Killingsworth and 82nd? Does the MSD Board wish to utilize the gravel pits in East Portland for milled wastes?

Committee Recommendation F and G: It is recommended that the East Multnomah County gravel pits be utilized for milled refuse and the entire East Portland proposal by industry be evaluated by COR-MET with the stipulation that industry specify which gravel pits are to be utilized.

Board Action F and G: Commissioner Gordon moved to adopt the Advisory Committees' recommendation amended to read as follows: "That the East Multnomah County unused gravel pits be utilized for milled refuse and the entire East Portland proposal by industry be evaluated by COR-MET with the stipulation that MSD with cooperation of industry specify which gravel pits are to be utilized. Mayor Duris seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

COR-MET Decision Point H: Does the MSD Board wish to use a different set of solid waste quantity estimates than was derived in the COR-MET study? If so, what should be the basis for those estimates?

MSD Board of Directors
Minutes of February 22, 1974
Page 4

Committee Recommendation H: The some arbitrary adjustments in was whether it affects COR-MET's play of that data and to report the Committees.

COR-MET Decision Point I: Does a modification of the system or If so, what shall the specific wish to direct COR-MET to prepare modified system?

Committee Recommendation I: It direct COR-MET to evaluate indu

Committee Recommendation H: The consultant be allowed to make some arbitrary adjustments in waste generation data to see whether it affects COR-MET's plan that was derived on the basis of that data and to report the results to the MSD Advisory Committees.

COR-MET Decision Point I: Does the MSD Board wish to implement a modification of the system originally recommended by COR-MET? If so, what shall the specific modifications be? Does the Board wish to direct COR-MET to prepare a detailed cost analysis of the modified system?

Committee Recommendation I: It is recommended that the Board direct COR-MET to evaluate industry's plan using industry's waste generation figures for volume and cost those portions of the plan that we support in our point by point discussion. Further, it is recommended that this work be accomplished by April 1, 1974 and include an update of financing by Bartle-Wells Associates.

Board Action H and I: The Board discussed the Advisory Committees' recommendation for designation of \$10,000 of Phase II monies for evaluation of industry's plans and waste generation figures by COR-MET. Industry felt that COR-MET's volume figures were too high and were recommending the use of their figures with an ultimate reduction in the number of transfer stations proposed by COR-MET. Commissioner Anderson stated that implementation of the first phase of the program and construction of the first three transfer stations would indicate whether COR-MET's figures were too high or not, and at this time the study could be made if it were deemed necessary. It was the Board's feeling that the Emergency Board could be approached for funding on the COR-MET plan with the stipulation that if industry's figures proved to be more accurate, the application would be amended downward.

Commissioner Gordon moved to adopt the Advisory Committees' recommendation on Decision Points H and I with the stipulation that experience with the first phase of implementation indicates that re-evaluation of the volumes of waste generated is necessary.

Commissioner Anderson seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

## III. JOHNSON CREEK DRAINAGE MANAGEMENT PRELIMINARY WORK PLAN

Mr. Kemper reviewed staff's proposed Johnson Creek Drainage Management Preliminary Work Plan for the Board outlining the proposed estimated budget and proposed local loan/grant amounts from the individual jurisdictions. Staff's recommendation is that the proposal be reviewed by local jurisdictions and advisory committees before the next Board meeting.

Commissioner Gordon moved to submit the Johnson Creek Drainage Management proposal to the jurisdictions and including the Cities of Milwaukie and Gresham and to the Technical Advisory Committee for review and comment. Commissioner Anderson seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

## IV. MSD DIRECTION COMMITTEE - MEMBERSHIP SELECTION

Mr. Kemper reviewed the compilation of names proposed for the MSD Ad Hoc Direction Committee. The list includes members from the CRAG Executive Board and the MSD Board of Directors, administrative people and citizens. The Board had no objections to the Board members named to serve and suggested that staff contact the individuals listed under administration to see who would be willing to serve. The Board also indicated citizens that they felt would benefit the new committee and directed staff to contact these people and to proceed with the first meeting.

## V. DISCUSSION OF MSD/TRI-MET ACTIVITIES

Commissioner Schumacher discussed his meeting with Governor McCall after the TRI-MET Board resignations, and stated that TRI-MET planning will now be done by CRAG. The MSD Board will meet with the new TRI-MET Board when they are selected.

## VI. RECEIVE PHASE I SOLID WASTE FINANCIAL REPORT - BARTLE-WELLS

Mr. Cope of Bartle-Wells Associates, gave a brief presentation on the pre-final submittal of the Solid Waste Financial Plan.
Mr. Cope stated that the Board will be presented with key decision points at the next Board meeting.

The Board directed Mr. Hardy to review the pre-final financial plan and submit a legal opinion for consideration at the March 8, 1974 Board meeting. The Board also directed staff to disseminate the report to appropriate parties and the Technical and Citizen Advisory Committees for review and comment.

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting adjourned at 5:00 P.M.