Exhibit A to Resolution 05-3616

Updated work Program for Corridor Refinement Planning I nrough 2020

			Jun-05
Corridor and Key Facilities Corridor Planning On-Going	First Planning Period (2001 + 2005)	Second Planning Period (2006 - 2010)	Third Planning Period (2011 - 2020)
I-5 (North) Corridor - I-5 from I-84 to Vancouver	I - 5 Trade Corridor Study Completed	Financial Plan/EIS/Preliminary Englneering Study Initiated	
Powell/Foster Corridor - Powell 8lvd. from the west end of Ross Island Bridge to Gresham. Foster Road from Powell to Hwy. 212 Damascus.	Corridor Planning - Phase I Study Completed	Phase II Planning, Powell Street design, Environmental Impact Study and Preliminary Engineering of 1-205 Interchange	
Highway 217 Corridor • Hwy. 217 from Sunset Hwy. To 1-5	Corridor Planning Study Initiated	Environmental Impact Study and Preliminary Engineering	
Sunrise Corridor - Hwy. 212/224 from 1-205 to US 26.	Complete Refinement Planning and EIS for Unit 1 Study Initlated	Begin Unit Two Environmental Study	
Macadam/Highway 43 Corridor - Hwy, 43 from Ross Island Bridge to Oregon City.	Transit/Pedestrian/Bike Transportation Demand Management Study/South of the Sellwood Bridge Study Initiated	Environmental Assessment/DEIS and Preliminary Engineering	
I-5 to Highway 99W Connector - Tualatin- Sherwood Road from I-5 to Hwy. 99W. Hwy. 99W from Tualatin-Sherwood Road to Bell Road.	Southern Alignment Study; Complete Exceptions; Right-of- Way Preservation Analysis; Corridor Planning Initiated	Complete Corridor Plan and Environmental Impact. Study	
New Major Corridor Refinements R	ecommended in the Second Period		
East Multhomah county, 1-84 to US:26 Connector Cornidor, Steniny major connection from 1 - 84 to US 26 between 181st and 257th Avenues.	Freight Data Collection Study Initiated North-South	Corridor Planning: National Highway/and System Truck Designation	Theserve Right of Way: Environmental study &
1-205 (South) Comidation 1 5 to John Strick Bird.	Corridor Reconnaissance Planning Initiated	Complete Corridor Planning: PossibleC Environmental Impact Study	
Outer: Southwest Area at a new hor set of the new of the set of th	Study Completed	Recontraissalince and Corridor Planning # We	States a Endrangenal Impact Study of 12
1:405 Loop	Consilor, Reconnects Study, Completed.	Possible Contoor Planning and Environmental study of priority improvements	
Priorities (2006-2010)		Transit System Plan Survey State 14	
Other Corridors			
North Willamette Crossing Corridor - Study new crossing near St. Johns Bridge (Hwy. 30 from NW Newberry Road to BN Rafroad Bridge).			Corridor Planning
Highway 213 Corridor - Hwy. 213 from I-205 to Leland Road.	Construct Southbound Turning lane on Highwy 213 Study Completed	Implement Funded Recommendations of Highway 213 Design Study	Refine Corridor Planning and Design
Barbur Blvd./1-5 Corridor - Hwy. 99W and I-5 from I - 405 to Tigard.	Implement Transit Service Improvements and Elements of the Barbur Streetscape Plan (not all streetscape) Study Initiated		Initiate Contoor Planning, Begin Environmental Assessment/Environmental Impact Statement Process
TV Highway Corridor - Tualatin Valley Hwy. from Hwy. 217 to downtown Hillsboro		Refine scope of work in next RTP update.	Cortidor Planning (# required)
Sunset Highway Corridor - US 26 from I-405 to Cornelius Pass Road	Refinement and Environmental Assessment of Hwy. 26 Widening to Cornell. Barnes Road design/construction. Design Complete/Construction started	Engineering of US 26 Widening west of Murray Boulevard, feasability study for widening from HWY 217 to Cornelius Pass Rd	
NE Portland Highway Corridor - Columbia Blvd. from Burgard to Killingsworth, Lombard from I - 5 to Killingsworth, and Killingsworth from Lombard to I - 205.	East End Connector Environmental Assessment; Begin Refinement Planning through 1-5 Trade Corridor; Adopt St. Johns Truck Access Study Study Completed	Implement St Johns Truck Access Study Recommendations; Environmental Assessment and Engineering on 1-S Trade Corridor Recommendations Construction Commenced	
I-205 (North) Corridor - I - 205 from Hwy, 224 to Vancouver.	South Transit Corridor Study and 1-5 Trade Corridor Study (transit only) <i>Completed</i>	Reconnessance Planning for highway Improvements <i>Initiated</i> . South Corridor Phase T Construction	Conder Planning for Readway Widening
Banfield (I-84) Corridor - 1 - 84 from 1 - 5 to Troutdale.	Light Rail Capacity Analysis Completed	Transit, Transportation System Management Corridor Plan	Transit Improvements and/or Transportation System management Projects
McLoughlin and Hwy. 224 Corridor - Hwy. 99E from Hawthome Blvd to Oregon City. Hwy. 224 from McLoughlin Blvd. To I - 205.	South Transit Corridor EIS and Preliminary Engineering Initiated	Complete South Corridor Phase II EIS/PE	Corridor Planning for Highway Improvements

STAFF REPORT

RESOLUTION 05-3616; FOR THE PURPOSE OF UPDATING THE WORK PROGRAM FOR CORRIDOR REFINEMENT PLANNING THROUGH 2020.

Date: August 26, 2005

Presented by: Bridget Wieghart

PROPOSED ACTION

This resolution would update the work program for corridor refinement planning through 2020. It would serve as a guide for planning for corridors identified in Chapter 6 of the RTP that need additional work prior to adoption of improvements or actions to meet the identified transportation need, as required by the Oregon State Transportation Planning Rule (TPR). It identifies new corridor planning priorities for the 2006-2010 planning period. This resolution also directs staff to add the I-405 Loop Corridor to the major corridor refinements in chapter 6, section 6.7.5, of the 2004 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) as part of the next update to the RTP.

EXISTING LAW

The TPR (section 660-12-020) requires that regional transportation system plans establish a coordinated network of transportation facilities adequate to serve regional transportation needs. Section 660-12-025 of the TPR allows an MPO to defer decisions regarding function, general location and mode as long if it can demonstrate that the refinement effort will be completed within three years. On June 15, 2001, the 2000 RTP was acknowledged by the Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC). As part of the acknowledgement process, LCDC continued a decision to amend the TPR to allow Metro to adopt an action plan that exceeds the current three-year timeframe.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Chapter 6, section 6.7.4 of the 2004 RTP identifies transportation corridors where two types of multi-modal refinement planning is warranted before specific projects and actions that meet the identified need can be adopted by the RTP. In Chapter 6, section 6.7.5 lists specific corridors where a transportation need has been identified but a major corridor planning study is needed to determine the function, mode and general location of an improvement before a project can be fully defined for implementation. Section 6.7.6 lists specific corridors where both the need and mode for a transportation improvement have been identified, but proposed transportation projects must be developed to a more detailed level before construction can occur.

Due to the large number of corridors that require additional planning work and the resources required to undertake these studies, Metro undertook a regional effort in 2001 to develop a strategy for their completion as part of the Corridor Initiatives Project. In 2001, a technical advisory committee and a project management group comprised of representatives from the Multnomah, Clackamas, Washington, and Clark counties, and the cities of Multnomah, Clackamas and Washington county, ODOT, the City of Portland, Port of Portland and Tri-Met was established.

Metro staff and the TAC developed and implemented a technical evaluation process. The PMG reviewed and approved the criteria and results of the technical evaluation. The evaluation assessed and compared the corridors with respect to five major criteria:

- Support of key 2040 land uses
- Congestion
- Support of 2040 transit plans
- Support of 2040 freight goals
- Safety and reliability

In addition to the technical evaluation, Metro staff, the TAC and the PMG considered nontechnical factors such as relation to other planning efforts, community interest and available resources for each corridor. Metro staff and Councilors met with Multnomah, Washington, and Clackamas County Coordinating Committees, the City of Portland Transportation System Planning Committees, and the Clackamas County Mayors and Managers. Feedback regarding non-technical issues was received from each committee and incorporated as a general ranking under "Jurisdictional Interest" and was considered for determining which tier the corridor was put in. A public meeting was held on June 18, 2001 where information was provided to, and feedback was solicited from, the general public.

A summary of the corridor initiative findings, including a ranking of the corridors into tiers is contained in Attachment 1 to this staff report.

Since 2001, much corridor planning anticipated in the original work program has been completed. For example, the I-5 Trade Corridor Study, the Sunset Highway Corridor refinement and environmental assessment, the South Corridor transit study and Phase I of the Powell-Foster Corridor Transportation Plan have all been completed. Phase I of the Highway 217 Corridor Study has been completed and Phase I will wrap up this fall.

In the fall of 2004, Metro convened a subgroup of TPAC to update the work program for multimodal refinement planning for the period from 2006 to 2010. The working group review work completed. In addition, it revisited previous technical work regarding corridor priorities and considered any changes that might affect priorities going forward.

The working group determined that, since the 2001, the importance of some of the corridors has changed. New Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) expansions have put additional pressure on certain corridors, which the group now considers to be of higher importance.

The recent explosive growth in Tualatin and Wilsonville, along with recent urban growth boundary expansion and higher usage of industrial lands in the area, make the Outer Southwest Area Transportation Study a higher priority from a land use perspective. In addition, a number of connecting corridors including Highway 217, I-5/99W and I-205 South are currently under study for improvements, which increases the urgency of studying this critical link. Further, all of the connecting corridors are considering value pricing as an option, which makes this corridor a hub of a potential value pricing network. All of these factors have also increased the level of jurisdictional interest in this corridor study.

I-205 South was a priority from a technical and jurisdictional perspective in 2001. ODOT has recently initiated a reconnaissance study of the entire I-205 Corridor and has issued an RFP to solicit private interest as part of its Innovative Partnerships Program. These actions, combined with the growth plans for Damascus and Clackamas Regional Center, heightens the importance of corridor planning in this area.

Staff Report to Resolution No. 05-3616

The City of Portland led I-405 Loop study has highlighted the need for a separate corridor which focuses on the downtown freeway facilities and their relationship with land uses in the Central Eastside, Lloyd and Macadam districts.

Recent urban growth boundary decisions have significantly increased the importance of the East Multnomah County I-84/US 26 Corridor from both a land use and transportation standpoint. The planned industrial and employment growth in the Springwater area, along with planned household and employment growth in the Pleasant Valley and Damascus areas, increases the urgency of planning for north south transportation connections between these areas and the Columbia Corridor. The North South Transportation study recently completed by Gresham identifies serious future congestion and transit needs for this area.

After review from the TPAC subgroup and conferring with the local jurisdictions, a 2005 work program for corridor refinement planning through 2020 was created and is attached to the Metro Council resolution as Exhibit "A". The 2005 work program highlights fourfive potential "major new corridor refinements" for the 2006 – 2010 planning period. Metro has partial funding for two of the proposed "major new corridor refinements" during that period. The City of Portland is seeking funding to complete the I-405/I-5 Loop study and the commencement of that study is dependent upon their ability to obtain needed funds. -and-ODOT has some funding and is seeking additional funding for the I-205 (South) corridor study.

1

There is also a need to identify, define and prioritize high capacity transit corridors for further planning work during the 20026-2010 timeframe. Metro will work with TriMet and other jurisdiction on this effort.

Three of the "new major corridor refinements recommended in the 2006-2010 planning period" from Exhibit A are already identified in the RTP. For those corridors, the description of the major facility and specific considerations that must be incorporated into corridor refinement studies derived from Chapter 6 of the RTP is attached for reference (Attachment 2 to this staff report). The City of Portland is bringing findings and recommendations regarding the I-405 loop analysis to TPAC, JPACT and the Metro Council for review this fall. Based on those discussions, an RTP amendment to adopt a corridor description and required study element will be developed.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

It is recommended that the updated 2005 Work Program for Corridor Refinement Planning (Exhibit "A" to the Council resolution) through 2020 be adopted as a guideline for planning work in these corridors. It is recommended that the 2006 - 2010 planning period will include the following four major new planning efforts: I-205 (South) Corridor, I-5 (South) Area Corridor, I-405 Loop Corridor, and I-84/US 26 Connector Corridor. It is also recommended that the I-84/US 26 Connector Corridor with Phase II of the Powell/Foster Corridor and the Damascus and Springwater area concept planning studies.

It is anticipated that Metro staff resources currently budgeted for corridor planning purposes would be allocated to complete two of these multi-modal corridor planning efforts within the next five years. Separate funds from other sources are being sought to provide necessary resources for materials and professional services and any additional staff needs. BUDGET IMPACT None. ۲

.

٠.

An additional resolve suggested by Mayor Becker and supported by MPAC:

7. That Corridor Planning has important land use and transportation implications. Therefore, the Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) and the Joint Policy Advisory Committee and their respective staff shall work together to coordinate the development of the studies to ensure achievement of regional and local land use and transportation objectives.





Oregon Innovative Partnerships Program

Presented to

Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation

Portland, Oregon September 15, 2005

James Whitty, Manager Office of Innovative Partnerships and Alternative Funding







Innovations in Procurement for Oregon Transportation Projects

- 1. Review of the Oregon Innovative Partnerships Program (OIPP)
- Current OIPP Procurement for Three Highway
 Projects





Oregon Innovative Partnerships Program – A New Transportation Procurement Method

- Develop Partnerships with Private Entities and Units of Government;
- Expedite Transportation Project Delivery;
- Maximize Transportation Project Innovation. (ORS 367.804(1))
- Leverage Public Funding with Private Sources of Capital (Legislative History of SB 772 (2003))





Oregon Innovative Partnerships Program allows ODOT to <u>Solicit Proposals</u> or <u>Accept Unsolicited Proposals</u> (ORS 367.804)

- From Private Firms ("Any ... legal entity or natural person ...) (ORS 367.802(2))
- From Units of Government ("... any department or agency ... federal, ... state, ... city, county, district, commission, authority, entity, port or other public corporation ... and any intergovernmental entity ..."). (ORS 367.802(2))
- OIPP procurements outside processes of Oregon government procurement law of ORS Chapter 279 (ORS 367.806(5))
 - Projects Selected by <u>Best Value</u> instead of <u>Lowest Bid</u>
 - Allows Entry of Private Partners at Conceptual Stages of Project





OIPP Agreements

Under OIPP authority, ODOT may enter into agreements - if approved by Oregon Transportation Commission - relating to transportation projects, the subject of which may include, but need not be limited to:

Planning
Development
Reconstruction
Maintenance
Leasing

Acquisition Design Replacement Management Operation Financing Construction Improvement Repair

Any Financing Mechanism (franchise & user fees)

(ORS 367.806)





OIPP Public Records Disclosure Exemptions

- Submitted Proposals ... until selection for negotiation or sharing with local governments, MPOs and Area Commissions on Transportation or evaluation complete (ORS 367.804(6))
- Sensitive Business, Commercial or Financial Information not customarily provided to business competitors ... until submitted to Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) in connection with review and approval (ORS 367.804(6))
- Documents, Communications and Information Developed in Negotiations ... until submitted to OTC in connection with review and approval (ORS 367.806(7))
- Terms of Proposed and Final Agreements submitted to OTC are <u>NOT</u> exempt from disclosure (ORS 367.806(8))





Relevant Provisions of OIPP Law

- Local Consultations (ORS 367.804(3)(c))
- Consistency with Local, Regional and State Transportation Planning (ORS 367.806(2)(h))
- No Change in Transportation Planning Requirements or Processes
- No Change in Land Use Planning Requirements or Processes
- No Change in Environment-related Permitting Requirements or Processes
- No Change in NEPA Requirements or Processes



OIPP Currently Soliciting Proposals In One Procurement For Multiple Projects

- 1.The Sunrise Corridor New limited-access
 - 4-lane facility
- 2.South I-205 Corridor Improvements Possible tolled expressway in SE Portland
- 3.Newberg-Dundee Bypass of congested state highway
- <u>Two Phase Procurement Process</u>: Pre-development Agreement followed by Implementation Agreement
- <u>RFP allows Enhancements and Modifications</u> beyond project descriptions





Characteristics of Pre-Development Agreements

- Selection. Choose partner based on qualifications, project understanding, financing approach and proposed compensation
- Early Project Entry. Private partners brought in early to undertake activities to support NEPA process and speed up project delivery
- Financial Resources. Contributed from both sides
- Work Tasks. <u>Concurrent</u> rather than <u>sequential</u> work:
 - Development of funding and financing plans
 - Public and political consensus building
 - Design innovation and project staging
 - Optimizing transportation solutions (modifications)
 - Formation of necessary districts or authorities

If Phase One is successful, ODOT and Private Partner enter into negotiations for subsequent implementation agreements





On May 6, 2005, FHWA granted ODOT exemptions from certain federal requirements of CFR Title 23 for the current OIPP procurement for three highway projects, ...

... subject to negotiation of Early Development Agreement (EDA).





FHWA Approval of OIPP Experimental Feature

- #1 A modified procurement approach.
 - (a) Ability to <u>accept and review</u>
 <u>proposal modifications</u> requested
 by ODOT





FHWA Approval of OIPP Experimental Feature

#1(b) Price negotiations occur after selection of best proposer. Other proposal ideas may be shared with successful proposer during Negotiations. If negotiations fail with best proposer, negotiations may proceed with second best proposer.





FHWA Approval of OIPP Experimental Feature #1(c) Authority to issue RFP and execute OIPP Pre-Development Agreements prior to receiving final NEPA approval



FHWA Approval of OIPP Experimental Feature

#2 In lieu of FHWA approval of RFP, <u>FHWA</u> <u>reviews</u> for further processing the OIPP <u>procurement and contract documents and</u> <u>facility implementation and finance plans</u>. Project authorization to follow completion of NEPA analysis.





FHWA Approval of OIPP Experimental Feature

#3 Project <u>developer to undertake</u> responsibility for <u>maintenance services</u> <u>for toll facilities</u>





FHWA Approval of OIPP Experimental Feature

#4 The combined <u>two step procurement and</u> price reasonableness assessment process prior to project authorization <u>constitutes a</u> <u>competitive process</u> for title 23 purposes, provided there is compliance with state and local laws





FHWA Approval of OIPP Experimental Feature

 #5 <u>Developer may provide NEPA support</u> for the project, provided (a) unaffiliated consultant compiles NEPA documents, (b) all services are subject to control and direction by ODOT and FHWA; and (c) <u>ODOT and FHWA are responsible for</u> <u>preparation, content and conclusions of</u> <u>NEPA documents</u>





OIPP Procurement for Three Highway Projects

Proposals Submitted on August 29, 2005

 Yamhill Transportation Partners (Bechtel Infrastructure Corporation & CH2M Hill Constructors Inc.)

Newberg Dundee Transportation Improvement Project

- Oregon Transportation Improvement Group (MacQuarie Group)
 - Newberg Dundee Transportation Improvement Project
 - I-205 Widening
 - Sunrise Corridor



Oregon Department of Transportation



OIPP Procurement for Three Highway Projects







OIPP Procurement for Three Highway Projects Evaluation and Selection Timeline

- Receipt of Proposals Aug 29
- Responsiveness Review Aug 30
- Technical Review Team (TRT) Review (9/1 to 9/12)
- OIPP Technical Consultant Team Review (9/1 to 9/16)
- Local Consultations (9/12 to 9/16)
- Evaluation Team (ET) Preliminary Review (9/8 to 9/22)
- Proposer Interviews (9/28 & 29)
- ET Final Review and Recommendation to ODOT Director (10/3)
- ODOT Director Evaluates and Recommends to OTC (10/4)
- OTC Action on Recommendations (10/19)





OIPP Procurement for Three Highway Projects

Local Consultation

- Required during evaluation process with appropriate local governments, transportation districts, MPOs and area commissions on transportation. ORS 367.804(3)(c); OAR 731-070-0295
- ODOT requests local views on the following
 - Local opinion on qualification of proposers (may include preference)
 - Local opinion on elements of proposed project development
 - Local preference or aversion for a particular proposer
 - Local opinion on whether the proposals will likely accelerate cost-effective delivery or promote innovative approaches in carrying out the project



Oregon Department of Transportation



Website for Oregon Innovative Partnerships Program

www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/OIPP/innovative.shtml



 600 NORTHEAST GRAND AVENUE
 PORTLAND, OREGON 97232 2736

 TEL 503 797 1700
 FAX 503 797 1794



DATE: September 8, 2005

TO:JPACT Members and Interested PartiesFROM:Tom Kloster, Transportation Planning ManagerSUBJECT:Expanded Regional Transportation Plan Update

Metro is in the process of developing a work scope for an expanded 2005-08 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) update that incorporates the "budgeting for outcomes" approach to establishing regional transportation priorities. This approach involves a sharpening of regional priorities based on community values and willingness to pay for transportation investments. Metro will use the expanded outreach to create an RTP that delivers the outcomes that matter most to citizens, along with indicators to measure progress. The approach would be similar to that used by the State of Washington and Multnomah County to focus public spending on programs and projects that best meet public needs and expectations.

The proposed expansion of the 2005-08 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) update will address the disconnect between transportation funding constraints, future system needs and the longstanding fiscal shortfall that results. This effort will set the ground rules for the RTP update by establishing how much citizens are willing to pay for transportation serves and infrastructure in the Metro region. The expanded update will involve public opinion surveys, focus groups, town hall meetings, civic journalism and other public outreach strategies designed to provide a very broad sampling of public priorities. This effort would result in an updated RTP "financially constrained" system by the federal deadline of March 2008.

The major tasks to be completed include:

1. Establishing Public Expectations: this task will set the price of regional transportation for the 20-year RTP planning period, and will be largely completed by consultants. This task involves a series of survey and public outreach techniques needed to identify public transportation priorities and willingness to pay through the various revenue sources that make up the transportation funding stream, and the relative tradeoffs as represented by general levels of transportation service.

- 2. Setting Transportation Priorities: the consultant will work with the Council and JPACT to evaluate public priorities and spending constraints, and develop transportation spending allocations for the RTP. This task will likely involve public workshops, polling, focus groups and individual consultation with Council and JPACT members. This effort represents the most difficult step in the expanded RTP update, and will result in a series of overarching priorities that will shape the development of a detailed RTP.
- 3. Set the Price of Transportation Priorities: the consultant will work citizens, stakeholders, public agencies partners, the Council and JPACT and staff to establish the price of the transportation priorities, with the goal of setting a relative value for each priority that will then guide RTP project selection.
- 4. Develop a Purchasing Plan for the Priorities: in this step, the consultant will facilitate stakeholders, public agency partners, the Council and JPACT in developing a strategy for delivering the priorities through the best mix of needed transportation investments.
- 5. Solicit Transportation Solutions: this step involves soliciting transportation project and program solutions from local government providers that best match regional priorities and purchasing plan for each priority. The consultant will assist in adapting the "Budgeting for Outcomes" approach to this step, since it involves soliciting projects from agencies that already control the revenue for most projects in the RTP.

The outcome from this exercise will be a ranked array of transportation investments that can be weighed against the "price" of the RTP, with the Council and JPACT adjusting project priorities to establish which investments are within the financially constrained plan, and which are illustrative as placeholders for future revenue.

The most challenging component of the update will be the front-end exercise of scaling the plan to meet public expectations and willingness to pay during the plan period. This may be controversial with local jurisdictions who have generated many of the "wish list" projects in the 2000 RTP, despite the reality that much of the wish list continues to be unfunded under current revenue forecasts.

Another challenge will be to ensure that the result of this exercise continues to adequately support the implementation of the Region 2040 Growth Concept. The 2025 RTP identifies a largely unfunded set of investments deemed necessary to implement the 2040 plan, so it will be incumbent on the contractor to assist the Council and JPACT in redefining how to best implement the 2040 plan with a more realistic set of planned transportation investments.



PORTLAND, OREGON 97232 2736

М

TEL 503 797 1700 | FAX 503 797 1794



DATE:	September 15, 2005
TO:	JPACT Members and Interested Parties
FROM:	Tom Kloster, Transportation Planning Manager
SUBJECT:	Regional Growth Trends: 1970-2004

600 NORTHEAST GRAND AVENUE

Introduction

The Joint Policy Advisory Committee was formed nearly 30 years ago, in response to federal legislation that designated Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) as the regional body responsible for transportation planning in larger urban areas. In the intervening years, JPACT has operated in partnership with the Metro Council, with 17 members that represent local governments and major transportation agencies in the region. The JPACT operating bylaws have been updated periodically during that period, most recently in 2001. The bylaws updates have been limited to administrative procedures, however, and the current membership has not been altered since the committee was formed.

As part of the 2004 Federal Triennial Review, the Federal Highway Administration issued recommendations to review the bylaws and membership of JPACT to reflect the dramatic changes in the region's area and population since the inception of the committee:

"2004 Review Recommendation 1. Because of the recent inclusion of the City of Wilsonville and the emerging City of Damascus in the MPO boundary, the considerable growth of the MPO population in general and public comments indicating a perception that smaller jurisdictions may not be adequately represented in MPO matters, it is recommended that the MPO members review the existing policy board representation and voting structure and either reaffirm its adequacy or agree on appropriate modifications

"2004 Review Recommendation 2. It is strongly recommended that other members also evaluate the effectiveness of SMARTs input opportunities and consider appropriate alternatives."

In response to this recommendation, Metro agreed to initiate a review of JPACT membership and operating bylaws as part of the 2005 committee work program. The following background information on recent population trends is provided as a foundation for this discussion.

Regional Population Trends: 1970-2004

The following analysis of census data shows a substantial shift in the region's population from unincorporated areas to incorporated cities between 1970 and 2004. A combination of actual population growth and annexation pushed this trend as cities assumed the role of chief provider of urban services in many of the region's emerging areas. Figure 1 shows a regional shift from 58% of the population living within cities in 1970 to 74% in 2004. This trend is most noticeable in Multnomah County, where nearly 100 percent of the county's population lives in cities, reflecting the massive annexation programs triggered by the mid-county sewer construction mandate in the 1980s. Washington County's population shift also continues toward an incorporated base while Clackamas County still remains almost evenly split between cities and county governance of unincorporated areas.



Figure 1: METRO Regional Growth: 1970-2004

Clackamas County Population Trends: 1970-2004

Clackamas County's population grew by 108 percent during the study period, from 157,457 residents in 1970 to 327,615 in 2004, more than doubling over those 30 years. In 1970, 64 percent of county residents lived in unincorporated areas. Cities grew by annexation and real population growth, with Wilsonville's dramatic 1511 percent growth to 15,241 residents in 2004 being the most dramatic example, but with most other cities gaining substantial population through absolute growth. Yet unincorporated areas also grew during this period, especially in the vicinity of Clackamas Town Center and along the Sunnyside Road corridor, resulting in the smallest shift to incorporated areas in the region, from 36% of Clackamas County residents living in cities in 1970 to 47% in 2004.

Recent expansions of the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) saw the addition of the Pleasant Valley and Damascus areas, which are expected to result in dramatic increases in Clackamas County urban population in the next few decades. In 2004, residents of the Damascus area voted to incorporate most of the territory included in the UGB expansion, meaning that future development of this area will accelerate the shift of Clackamas County residents residing within municipal boundaries. The cities of Happy Valley, Portland and Gresham expect to incorporate the Pleasant Valley area, as well as parts of the Sunnyside Road corridor, which will also have the effect of increasing the share of future Clackamas County residents living within incorporated areas. Figure 2 shows the population trends and shift to incorporated areas of Clackamas County during this period.



Figure 2: Clackamas Growth: 1970-2004

Multnomah County Population Trends: 1970-2004

Multnomah County experienced a nearly complete transition to incorporation during the study period. While the county's population grew 23 percent in real population, from 556,667 in 1970 to 685,950 in 2004, annexation boosted city populations significantly. In 1970, the City of Portland already accounted for 68 percent of the county's population, with 382,061 people, while unincorporated areas held 28 percent. The City of Portland and City of Gresham began massive annexations in mid-county area the 1980s as part of the mandated sewer project, bringing more

than 200,000 residents into the two cities over a span of less than ten years. By 2004, only two percent of the county's urban population lived in unincorporated areas. The Pleasant Valley and Damascus UGB expansions brought rural Multnomah County land into the urban area, with all of the affected areas expected to be incorporated into the cities of Gresham and Portland. Figure 3 shows the population trends in Multnomah County during this period.



Figure 3: Multnomah County Growth: 1970-2004

Washington County Population Trends: 1970-2004

Washington County's urban population grew 205 percent during the study period, from 156,304 residents in 1970 to 476,240 in 2004, by far the greatest growth of the three counties. The cities of Cornelius, Hillsboro, Sherwood and Tigard each grew by over 400 percent from 1970 to 2004, The share of unincorporated area population declined from 65 percent to 42 percent during this same time period, despite an increase in actual population. In the 1970s and 80s, population growth centered around the cities of Tigard, Beaverton and Hillsboro, but shifted to the include the cities of Tualatin, Wilsonville and Sherwood in the 1980s and 90s.

The recent UGB expansions included a number of relatively small areas in Washington County, but were mostly focused on adding employment land, in contrast to the major expansion of the UGB in Clackamas County. Most of the UGB expansion areas in Washington County are adjacent to incorporated cities, and expected to becannexed as urbanization occurs. Figure 4, below, illustrated the effects of population growth and incorporation in Washington County during this period.



Figure 4: Washington County Growth: 1970-2004

Transit District Population Trends: 1970-2004

The TriMet transit operations began in 1969, when the Tri-County Metropolitan Transit District of Oregon assumed service from the Rose City Transit company. TriMet's jurisdiction covers the urban area, and some rural communities. In 1989, the City of Wilsonville withdrew from the TriMet district, forming the South Metro Area Rapid Transit (SMART) district. The Damascus and Molalla areas also withdrew at that time. In 2000, the City of Sandy withdrew from the TriMet district to form the Sandy Area Metro (SAM) district, and in 2002, the City of Canby withdrew to form the Canby Area Transit (CAT) district. Despite these reductions in the area of the TriMet district, the agency continues to provide service to the bulk of the Metro region, and most residents. Figure 5 (below) shows the comparative population within the region's transit districts, and Figure 6 shows the percentage of the regional population located within each district, as well as the share of the tri-county population located outside any transit jurisdiction.

Figure 5: Population within Metro Region Transit Dis
--

	<u>1970</u>	2000	
Tri-Met District	880,675	1,301,800	
SMART (Wilsonville)	N/A	13,991	
Canby District	N/A	12,790	
Sandy District	😮 N/A	5,385	
Molalla District	N/A	5,647	
Balance of Tri-county	N/A	144,889	
Tri-county Total	880,675	1,484,502	

	<u>1970</u>	<u>2000</u>
Tri-Met (Metro)	100.0%	87.3%
SMART (Wilsonville)	0%	1.0%
Canby (City)	0%	0.9%
Sandy (City)	0%	0.4%
Molalla (City)	0%	0.4%
Balance of Tri-county	0%	10.0%
Tri-county Total	100.0%	100.0%

Figure 6: Share of Metro Region Population within Individual Transit Districts

Conclusions

The population shifts from unincorporated to emerging municipal jurisdictions during the study period are significant, with municipalities growing dramatically both in area and population. While these municipalities have not uniformly assumed county roles in providing transportation services, they have assumed land use planning and permitting functions for all incorporated areas. This shift warrants consideration of greater representation of smaller municipalities within JPACT structure to ensure effective coordination between land use and transportation authorities in the development of regional transportation policy.

The changes to TriMet's district have been much less significant, with most of the withdrawn areas located outside the Metro boundary. However, there is clearly a need to more formally involve the emerging local transit districts, particularly Wilsonville SMART, in JPACT deliberations. This need warrants discussion as part of the review of JPACT's structure, and in response to the FHWA's specific recommendation to review this aspect of the Committee membership.

These considerations could be narrowly focused on JPACT membership, or could be included in a broader review of the JPACT bylaws, depending on and need and Committee interest.
Endering for Outcomes

Delivering Results Citizens Value at a Price They Are Willing to Pay

By David Osborne and Peter Hutchinson In the innovation and accountability for results we need if we are to win the competition for public support.

Winning back the public support we have lost over the past 40 years is the greatest challenge we face in government today. Yet when faced with deficits, many governments resort to politically expedient budget and accounting practices that only deepen public cynicism. The public finance literature refers to such practices as "fiscal illusions," since they distort the ability of stakeholders to

evaluate the true costs and benefits of government programs. Seven such illusions are particularly harmful to the financial credibility of state and local governments:

Robbing Peter to pay Paul. When that general fund gets in trouble, some leaders start eveing "off budget" funds as resources to be mined. One state government helped balance its budget by transferring the cost of running a waterway system from the general fund ("on budget") to the toll road authority ("off budget"). Another state transferred management of a convention center and parking garage to the state pension fund to lower its cash contribution from the general fund. Technically, this allowed the state to show a savings of \$175 million. Robbing Peter to pay Paul can plug a hole to make the budget look better this year, but the same hole will reappear next year, when Peter and Paul will both be worse off.

Using accounting gimmicks to disguise fiscal problems. As the Enron debacle showed, accounting presents leaders with many dangerous choices. Quick-fix accounting gimmicks include pretending (or even requiring) that money you expect to receive early next year will actually come in late this year. The opposite side of the coin is to pretend (or even require) that expenses planned for this year will be made, technically, next year. For example, some states have informed school districts expecting a school-aid payment in the current fiscal year that they will not receive it until the next fiscal year, thus making the current year's expenses look smaller. Similarly, some states have urged retailers that normally submit their June sales tax receipts in July (next fiscal year) to do so in June, thus propping up the current year's revenues. It's all legal and properly accounted for, but it does not reflect the government's true financial condition.

3. Borrowing. Even when the general fund is legally prohibited from being in debt, governments find ways to borrow. New York City mastered this dubious art in the 1970s, when it piled up so much debt that it landed in receivership. Both the city and state of New York are still trying to figure out when and how to pay off all the bonds issued back when New York truly was, in former Mayor John Lindsay's famous phrase, "Fun City."



Selling off assets. When times are tight, it is popular to sell surplus buildings, land, or other assets, and then use the proceeds to plug a hole in the operating budget by treating the real estate money as though it were "normal" revenue. For example, instead of resolving a significant structural budget problem, the leaders of one city government decided to sell the water and sewer

works to the off-balance sheet entity that operated it. A year later, the city sold \$51.2 million in tax and sewage liens—money due to the city that it not yet been able to collect—for \$32.2 million. The city has continued to rely on one-shot revenue sources to balance the annual budget, putting off the crisis for one more year.

D. Making something up. A budget is really just a forecast, a statement of expected revenues and expenses. If done correctly it can be a serviceable estimate. But in the end, every budget is based on assumptions, and you can make it look better or worse simply by changing those assumptions.



Ronald Reagan's approach in 1982 was a classic example of making the budget "work" by working the assumptions. To justify massive tax cuts, his budget director, David Stockman, forecast 5 percent growth for 1982. According to "supply side" theory, this would help create a \$28 billion surplus by 1986. As it turned out, the gross domestic product fell by 2 percent in 1982—and the largest deficits since World War II soon followed. In his memoirs, Stockman admitted that the entire effort, sardonically nicknamed "rosy scenario" by White House insiders, was a sham.

O. Nickel and diming employees. Too often, the political response to budget problems is symbolic. Leaders order coffee pots unplugged, travel budgets slashed, and consultants banned. To save energy, they force workers to endure hotter offices in summer and colder offices in winter. Some even outlaw potted plants. In one state last year, the governor ordered that every other light bulb in government buildings be unscrewed. While such actions may send a message, they have two critical consequences: they don't save much money and they kill morale.

7. Delaying maintenance and replacement of assets (and relying on hope). When our personal budgets get tight, we sometimes don't take our car in for its regular oil change, or we don't fix the crack in the driveway. We can save a little money now, but if a missed oil change leads to overheating, we can crack the engine block. The people who make Fram oil filters said it well: "You can pay me now or pay me later."

Fiscal illusions may help our leaders solve the math problem and claim that their budgets are balanced. But they fail utterly to address the real problem: how to deliver the results citizens want at the price they are willing to pay.

So does the other common dead horse solution: across-theboard cuts. Across-the-board cutting allows us to avoid the hard work of making choices, but it is nothing more than thinning the soup. Every time we use it, we pretend that everything our organizations do is equally valuable to our citizens. We also pretend that they won't notice. Done enough times, thinning the soup makes government services distasteful – contributing directly to lost citizen confidence.

BUDGETING FOR OUTCOMES

In 2002, Gov. Gary Locke of Washington concluded that it was time to find a new horse. He turned traditional budgeting on its head—accepting the challenge of delivering results citizens valued at the price they were willing to pay. In so doing he literally changed the rules of the budget game. His success has inspired others to follow. The City of Spokane and Snohomish County, in Washington, the cities of Azusa and Los Angeles, in California, and the State of Iowa have all embraced Budgeting for Outcomes. The State of Washington is now completing its second budget using these principles. "One of the lasting achievements of Gov. Gary Locke should be to make permanent the budget process he used two years ago," the Seattle Times recently editorialized. "The state is using it again, and it needs to keep using it after he is gone."

The following steps constitute the core of Budgeting for Outcomes:

I. Set the price of government. Establish up front how much citizens are willing to pay for the results they want from government: what percent of their personal income they are willing to devote to taxes, fees, and charges. Every jurisdiction has its own price, and it is usually quite stable over time. The price of government for the U.S. as a whole, including all federal, state, and local governments, has averaged about 36 percent of personal income for the last 50 years. History is a good guide, since leaders must ensure that the price they set is acceptable, adequate, and competitive.

2. Set the priorities of government. Define the outcomes that matter most to citizens, along with indicators to measure progress. Citizens don't think in terms of programs or activities (and certainly not in terms of departments). They want results things like safety, jobs, and health. Elected officials need to find out and articulate what matters most to their constituents, using many of the same methods they use to get themselves elected:

- # Polling-random sampling of public opinion.
- Focus groups—multiple discussions with randomly selected participants.
- Town hall sessions--multiple public discussions with whoever shows up (facilitated by experienced staff).
- Civic journalism—news media initiatives to engage readers, listeners, and viewers in interactive discussions, debates, and feedback about priorities.
- Web sites—feedback collected in response to efforts to heighten awareness.

Generally, you should select 10 or fewer outcome goals. In the end, these priorities should be expressed in citizen terms using indicators that citizens would use to assess progress. In choosing indicators:

Include both subjective and objective measures (citizen perceptions of safety and the crime rate, for example).

- Don't settle for activity data that is readily available; commit to indicators of real results.
- Use an index if necessary to capture multiple sources of related data. Washington developed an index of health that combines data on the incidence of major diseases, for instance.
- In the end, the acid test is whether the priorities and indicators you have chosen make sense to citizens. Snohomish County in Washington literally put their priorities in the terms that citizens use. Here they are:
 - Transportation—I want reasonable and predictable travel times.
 - 2. Safety-I want to feel safe where I live, work, and play.
 - Education-I want kids in my community schools to pass the state school achievement tests.
 - 4. Health and Vulnerability—I want to improve the health of people in the community and reduce vulnerability of those at risk.
 - Community—I want to live in a thriving community, one with infrastructure sufficient to support planned growth.
 - Preparedness—I want my community to be prepared to respond to emergencies.
 - 7. Effective, Efficient, and Transparent Government—I want to get the level of service I need at an affordable price and see that my dollars are spent wisely.

3. Set the price of each priority. Divide total revenue among the priority outcomes on the basis of their relative value to citizens. Here again, ask citizens for guidance. Give them \$100 or 100 percent to divide among the priorities, based on their assessment of relative value. There is no right answer to this question—it is a matter of judgment. The goal is to put a relative value on each result citizens seek. Executives must make the final call, but knowing what citizens think makes their job a lot easier.

4. Develop a purchasing plan for each priority. Create "buyer teams" to act as purchasing agents for the citizens. Ask each one to determine what matters most when it comes to delivering its assigned result. This is a crucial step—and an exciting one. It challenges team members to get outside of their day-to-day work, step back, and explore which factors have the most impact on the desired result, whether they are part of what government does or not.

This means answering questions such as, "When it comes to student achievement (or the health of citizens, or decreasing congestion), which factors have the most impact, and how do different factors interact?" The answers can be compiled into cause-and-effect maps that provide the basis for deciding which routes to follow. Creating such a map requires those involved to be clear about how they think activities add up to results. Doing so subjects each "theory of what matters most" to a challenge from every competing theory—exactly the kind of debate the budgetary process should stimulate.

These cause-and-effect maps help purchasers choose from among many possible strategies and to assign a relative priority to each. Washington State's health team identified four possible strategies: increasing healthy behaviors (getting citizens to eat better, drink less, quit smoking, get more exercise, etc.); mitigating environmental hazards (ensuring cleaner water, air, and food); identifying and mitigating risk factors related to gender, socioeconomic hardships, and genetic predispositions; and providing access to appropriate physical and mental health treatment. These four strategies appeared on the state's map.

When the team ranked these strategies in terms of their contributions to the end result, it decided that mitigating environmental hazards was most important, increasing healthy behaviors was second, providing access to health care was third, and mitigating risk factors was fourth. With limited resources, it decided to increase the state's emphasis on the first two. Research data had convinced team members that this was the way to get the most bang for its buck, even though it meant reducing spending on more traditional—and highly expensive—patient care. In fact, their analysis showed that these two strategies would yield a 16-to-1 return on investment.

The old budget game would have led the health team to focus on the strategies with the greatest costs. The new approach required the team members to ignore last year's numbers and figure out where the best results could be obtained for the money available.

5. Solicit offers from providers to deliver the desired results. With their outcome goals and strategies clearly in mind, buyers then solicit offers to see who can deliver the most results for the money. This is the step that departs most radically from the old budget game. Instead of asking agencies or departments to add or subtract from last year's costs, the purchasing agents incorporate the results, price, and purchasing strategy they have settled upon into something like a request for proposals—call it a "request for results". This solicitation replaces the traditional budget instructions. The request for results can be sent to all agencies and departments, to other governments, even to unions, non-profits, and for-profit organizations. It asks each of these potential suppliers to identify how they would help deliver the expected results, and at what price.

In developing their responses, sellers need not, indeed cannot, take anything for granted. They must assume that for each result there will be many proposals from many potential sellers. If they expect to get funded, they have to offer up proposals that deliver the needed results at a competitive price. Since an individual bidder may choose to submit multiple proposals (for its various programs and activities), it is in a sense competing against itself. This forces it to challenge its own practices, to make them as competitive as possible.

Sellers are not limited by the past; the process encourages them to come up with new approaches and creative twists. Some will lorge partnerships across departments or agencies, with other governments, and with nongovernmental organizations. The bidding process also encourages them to consider ways they could contribute to more than one of the priority outcomes. While it is challenging to bidders, the process also liberates them.

6. Buy the best, leave the rest. After the offers are in, the buyers must rank them in terms of results delivered per dollar, then move down the list, buying according to priority until available funds have been exhausted. Then draw a line. Those proposals above the line are in, the rest are out. This buying plan becomes the budget. It is a list of keeps, not cuts – positive choices for spending the citizens' resources to buy the citizens' results. The diagram below, from the Washington budget presentation, shows how this was done for the health result.

/	
	Key purchases:
Health Care	 Medicaid health care for 908,600 vulnerable children and adults.
\$37 billion	 All current children's health programs.
GF-S and 2	 Statewide public health programs to protect all citizens.
Treatton T	 Public health programs to ensure the health of
Services	babies and the safety of food.
Account	 Health insurance for 81,000 low-income people.
	 Expanded financial help to community clinics.
Savings	and the second se
(\$328 million	Examples of what's not purchased:
GF-S and	 Basic Health Plan coverage for 59,800 adults.
Health	 Health coverage for the medically indigent.
Services 🕴 🖉	 Optional Medicaid coverage for workers
Account 🖞 🖉	with disabilities.
u	Optional adult dental, vision, and hearing services.

Laying out the budget this way is another radical departure. In tough times, the traditional process makes us put 100 percent of our time and energy into finding the 5 to 15 percent to cut. When we are done, we publish the list and set ourselves up for attack by the interests directly affected. In Budgeting for Outcomes our energies go into deciding what to keep, and where to draw the line. At the end of this process, the support of those above the line can counterbalance the opposing arguments of those below.

7. Negotiate performance agreements with the chosen providers. Finally, frame the budget as a collection of performance agreements. These should spell out the expected outputs and outcomes, how they will be measured, the consequences for performance, and the flexibilities granted to help the provider maximize performance. As a result, accountability is built into the budget.

LEADERSHIP THAT "CHANGES THINGS TO MAKE THINGS BETTER"

When Peter Hutchinson was superintendent of the Minneapolis Public Schools, his favorite activity was visiting classrooms. One day, in a fourth grade classroom, the teacher stopped the class to introduce him. She explained that he was the superintendent of schools and asked the class if anyone knew what a superintendent was.

Hands flew into the air. (Fourth graders are so enthusiastic about learning that they will take a stab at any question.) The teacher called on an eager young man who proudly announced that the superintendent was the guy in charge of Super Nintendo. The teacher allowed as how that was a very creative answer, then explained that the superintendent was the leader of the schools.

"Does anyone know what a leader is?" she asked. Hands flew again. The teacher called on a young girl in the back who was raising her hand so hard and high that Peter was afraid it might become detached from her body. She stood very straight and tall as she answered, "A leader is someone who goes out and changes things to make things better." Hutchinson was stunned: A fourth grader had articulated perfectly what government needs—and what people expect.

It's time for leaders to dismount the dead horse strategies that are taking us nowhere. Budgeting for Outcomes means saddling up to "change things to make things better." Can we live up to that fourth grader's expectations? Given what is at stake, do we have any other choice? I

This article is adapted from *The Price of Government:* Getting the Results We Need in an Age of Permanent Fiscal Crisis, a new book by DAVID OSBORNE and PETER HUTCHINSON (Basic Books, 2004). Both Osborne and Hutchinson are partners in the Public Strategies Group (www.psg.us), a consulting firm whose mission is "transforming governance."

East Multnomah County **Transportation** Committee

City of Fairview

City of Gresham

City of Troutdale

City of Wood Village

Multnomah County

September 12, 2005

Metro Attn: Honorable President David Bragdon & Metro Councilors 600 N.E. Grand Ave. Portland, Oregon 97232-2736

Subject: Scope of East County N/S Comprehensive Study

By letter dated August 26, 2005, the Cities of Wood Village, Fairview and Troutdale recommended that Metro's pending East County N/S Comprehensive Corridor Study should also include analysis of the 181st Ave. (I-84 to Powell Boulevard) corridor in addition to the 242nd Ave./Hogan Rd. and 257th Ave./Kane Rd. corridors recommended by the DKS Associates study recently prepared for the City of Gresham.

We recognize the need for a comprehensive analysis to determine how all modes will be accommodated and the necessity of including all potential corridors. There is also the outstanding Regional Freight Study that will provide a better understanding of inter- and intra-regional freight movement. It might be best to view the results of that study prior to selecting alternatives for a N/S corridor in East Multnomah County.

On September 12, 2005, the East Multnomah County Transportation Committee (EMCTC) passed a motion recommending that the Corridor Study also include the 207th Ave./Glisan St./223rd Ave. corridor in addition to the routes identified by DKS Associates and the three Cities. Additionally, EMCTC recommends that Metro consider E/W corridors to facilitate traffic demands from developing areas such as the Springwater and Damascus communities.

Sincerely.

anil Latures

Lonnie Roberts Chair, EMCTC

cc: EMCTC

KDRJ5831.DOC (TRANPIGEA)

2005-06 JPACT Upcoming Topics

September '05

- Expanded RTP Update
- Corridor Priorities
- ODOT Innovative Partnerships

October '05

- Between-sessions Lobbying Effort
- Damascus Concept Plan
- RTO Program Update
- Transportation Planning Rule Round 2

November '05

- Oregon MPO Consortium
- 2040 Update Scoping Review

December '05

- 2006 Congressional Visit Planning
- Newberg-Dundee Bypass

January '06

- RTP Scoping Phase Review
- Adopt Federal Appropriation Priorities

February '06

February 28th to March 2nd - DC Lobby Trip

JPACT Finance Committee Draft Fall Workplan

September 22

- Oregon Legislature Debrief-What happened; What it means; Next steps
- SAFETEA-LU Update—Work ahead
- Projects of Statewide Significance-Costs vs. Revenue
- Cost of Congestion Study Update
- JPACT Fall Workplan

October 27

• Cost of Congestion Study-Entire Meeting with Consultants

November

- General Tolling Issues and Opportunities
- Transit Objectives—Costs vs. Revenue
- Ballot measure issues

December

• Organizing for next session and ballot measure

THE PROJECT

The Newberg-Dundee Transportation Improvement Project (the Project) is one of the most interesting projects under consideration by the Oregon Innovative Partnerships Program because:

- The Project is well defined
- The Project is of sufficient scale to justify a public private partnership without having the challenges of a "mega project"
- It enjoys very strong local community support and meets a pressing transportation need
- --- The Project is relatively well advanced, in particular through the Tier 1 EIS, and could achieve financial close within a relatively short timeframe
- There remains considerable scope for private sector innovation to further develop the Project and reduce costs

We are therefore very pleased to submit this proposal and look forward to the opportunity to contribute to the successful development and implementation of the Project.

KEY FEATURES OF OUR PROPOSAL

- Outstanding World Class Experience:OTIG team members have unparalleled experience of development, delivery and financing of highways in North America and around the world.We are committed to delivery of a high quality Project on an aggressive timetable through the use of innovative development, construction and financing methods.
- Commitment to ODOT and the Community: OTIG recognises the primary importance of working in partnership with ODOT to gain the confidence of all stakeholders and to ensure continued accountability throughout the Pre- Development and Implementation Phases.
- Commitment of staff and financial resources: OTIG has invested significant resources in gaining the Project understanding to submit this proposal and is excited by the opportunity to contribute key people and funding to turn the Project into reality.
- Unrivalled Competitiveness: OTIG believes that our proposal to fund up to \$7.5million of Pre-Development costs combined with our commitment to a transparent and competitive Implementation Phase offers ODOT demonstrable value for money.

THE TEAM

The Oregon Transportation Improvement Group (OTIG) brings together some of the world's most experienced organizations in private toll road development, design and financing. OTIG's credentials should assure the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and other stakeholders of the professional, efficient and successful development and implementation of the Newberg-Dundee Transportation Improvement Project.

OTIG is an unincorporated consortium lead by the Macquarie Group, whose key members in respect of this Project are:

- Macquarie Infrastructure Group (MIG)
 - MIG is the Major Partner for the Project. MIG will be the 100% owner of Newberg-Dundee Concession Company LLC, the Proposer and Developer for the consortium. The Developer



has not yet been established but will be incorporated immediately upon OTIG being selected as the preferred Developer for the Project. MIG will establish and own the Developer, provide development support and is intended to provide the equity required to implement the Project.

MIG is an Australian Stock Exchange listed vehicle managed by wholly-owned subsidiaries of Macquarie Bank Limited (MBL) (discussed below). MIG focuses exclusively on toll road investments in OECD countries. As of 30 June 2005, MIG had net assets of \$9.441498 billion and a market capitalization of \$6.75 billion. MIG has a globally diversified portfolio with interests in 13 concession assets across six countries, including the SR125 and the Chicago Skyway in the United States and the Highway 407 in Canada. Collectively MIG assets employ over 1,000 staff.

--- Macquarie Securities (USA) Inc (MSUSA)

MSUSA is the Financial Advisor for the OTIG consortium. MSUSA is a wholly owned subsidiary of Macquarie Bank Limited and is a US Corporation incorporated in the State of Delaware. MSUSA may consult with and seek input from its affiliates, including Macquarie North America Ltd. (MNAL). However, all activities are subject to US securities laws and to the extent required by those laws, will be conducted by MSUSA. MSUSA has 60 professional staff focusing exclusively on infrastructure projects and enjoys the full support of the Macquarie Group.

Macquarie Bank Limited (MBL)

MBL is the parent company for MSUSA and the fund manager of MIG. MBL is incorporated in Australia and listed on the Australian Stock Exchange. MBL has, as of March 31, 2005, balance sheet assets of over \$37.34 billion, a market capitalization of \$8.14 billion and managed funds of over \$67.34 billion, including over \$15 billion of specialist infrastructure funds, and over 6,500 staff located in 44 offices worldwide. Other members of the Macquarie Group, such as other investment funds or our property development and investment businesses, may become involved in the Project if required.

Macquarie Group is supported by:

Hatch Mott MacDonald (HMM), who will act as the technical advisor to OTIG. HMM is a leading North American engineering consulting firm with over a century of experience in planning, engineering and program/construction management for projects around the world. With a staff of over 1000 in 33 offices in North America, and staff resources exceeding 12,000 worldwide, they can respond quickly and cost-effectively to any project demands. HMM is a worldwide leader in all phases of public-private ventures for transportation projects.

Macquarie Group and HMM have assembled a first class team of local sub-consultants and advisors who have a comprehensive understanding of the challenges facing the Project. These include:

- Preston Ellis Gates (Preston) who will act as OTIG's Legal Counsel
- Cogan Owens Cogan LLC (COC) and Frank Wilson & Associates who will act as OTIG's public and government communication specialists.
- --- Maunsell who will act as traffic forecasting consultants
- --- Herrera Environmental Consultants who will act as OTIG's environmental consultants
- --- Kleinfelder who will act as OTIG's geotechnical and environmental engineering consultants
- --- David Leland who will act as OTIG's property development and land use planning consultant



NEWBERG-DUNDEE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

OTIG Organizational Structure ODOT Pre-Development Agreement xouane infrastructure Newberg-Dundee LLC Group Macquarie Securities Haich Moti MacDonak (USA) Inc. т Maunsel Preston Ellis Cogan Owens Herrera Kleinfelder AFCOM Gales Cooan Environmental David Leland To be To be Tendered Tendered

HMM will be primarily responsible for managing the technical consultants as illustrated in the following organizational chart.

MSUSA will be primarily responsible for managing the commercial and financial consultants and

The commercial and financial strengths of the Macquarie Group combined with the technical expertise of HMM and its local sub consultants will provide all the skills and experience necessary to advance the Project through the Pre-Development Phase.

OTIG has made the conscious decision not to include a Design-Build Contractor in the consortium at this stage. We believe that there are a number of contractors capable of constructing the Project and that we can best maintain competitive tension and reduce the costs of the Project by appointing a Design-Build Contractor during the Pre-Development Phase. This is considered a normal sequence of events for publicly funded infrastructure projects. There is even more incentive/justification to pursue this approach for a PPP Project.

Additional team members will also be added during the Pre-Development Phase. These will include:

- Operations and Maintenance Contractors or consultants, if the Developer chooses to self perform O&M
- Insurance Consultant
- Lender's Engineer



- Lender's Traffic Consultant
- ---- Lender's Legal Counsel
- Specialist tax and accounting advisors

OTIG intends to structure its team to ensure the closest possible integration with ODOT and its consultants during the Pre-Development Phase.

OTIG has satisfied all of the submission requirements of the RFP.

QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

OTIG's members are unique in having significant experience both as private sector road developers and in advising public sector agencies on road development. This gives OTIG a depth of experience which will facilitate a genuine partnership with ODOT to ensure that the benefits of the Project are achieved.

Member	Establishment Date	Highlights of Qualifications and Experience
ເຢຍະອັນເຫະໄປຫຼະຍານອາດ	1996	MIG is one of the largest developers and owners of toll roads in the world. MIG has a globally diversified portfolio with interests in 13 concession assets across six countries. MIG's portfolio covers the full life cycle of toll road projects, from greenfield developments to mature toll roads. MIG's North American portfolio includes investments in the 407 ETR (Ontario, Canada), Chicago Skyway and SR 125 (San Diego).
sht eo mint seomint e de en M	1994	MSUSA is a wholly owned subsidiary of Macquarie Bank Limited. The Macquarie Group is a global leader in toll road financial advice, development and management. Recent North American projects include Sea-to-Sky (BC, Canada), Chicago Skyway, SR 125 (San Diego), and Highway 407 (Ontario, Canada).
	1972	HMM has an international track record in the design and management of some of the world's most prominent transportation infrastructure projects. HMM is currently undertaking the Independent Engineer role on three major construction projects: the 68 mile Channel Tunnel Rail Link in the UK; the 216 mile Taiwan High Speed Rail Project and the Kaohsiung Metro. HMM also worked with the Macquarie Group in the successful consortium for the Sea-to-Sky Highway Upgrades in British Columbia, Canada.
		Mott MacDonald was established in 1902.
Coople Sovens Somen	1975	COC has a national reputation in the field of public involvement, intergovernmental relations and communication. COC has designed and managed



		hundreds of public and stakeholder outreach projects for local, regional and state government agencies throughout Oregon and the Pacific Northwest. In addition, COC has significant experience working with ODOT and other transportation agencies on public involvement and intergovernmental relations. Frank Wilson & Associates will assist COC in their public involvement efforts
Ion avelle demense († 196	1955	Maunsell is one of the world's most respected traffic forecasting consultants. The firm has a long history of advising toll road owners, operators and lenders. Over \$8 billion of investments, including Chicago Skyway, as well toll roads in Australia, Europe, Asia and the United States have been financed based on Maunsell's traffic and revenue forecasts.
enstrijs Shranini II Syrindin Marijan Marijan	1883	Preston Ellis Gates is one of the most respected law practices in the northwestern United States and draws on the experience of more than 430 attorneys practicing in 11 locations on the West Coast, in Washington D.C., and in Asia.
An on A AVECIMIE-OPEN Constants	1980	Hererra has significant experience preparing project- specific and programmatic documents under SEPA and NEPA and Section 4(f) [which legislation]. In addition to environmental documentation preparation, Herrera staff are experienced in site and alternative selection; coordination and interaction with the public; preparation of mitigation plans; and permit support. Recent experience includes work on the US89 Improvements Project in Montana and the Sound Transit light rail line.
Stantistera	1961	Kleinfelder is recognized leader in solving large-scale and complex problems in relation to transportation, infrastructure, industrial, commercial and residential projects, for both the private sector and public agencies.

Project Approach

Alignment of Public and Private sector interests

OTIG intends to closely align its incentives with those of ODOT. OTIG has in this Proposal disclosed its expected fees and investment return requirements in respect of the Project. OTIG has a common objective with ODOT of achieving the lowest possible cost in relation to the Design –Build contract, long term operations and maintenance and debt financing. OTIG will use its professional experience, expertise and knowledge of the marketplace, which should ensure that there is a competitive outcome in these key areas of Project viability.

OTIG is proposing the formation of task forces for each of the Key Elements of the Project. The task forces will consist of members from both the OTIG consortium and ODOT consultants. These



task forces will work closely to resolve issues, set standards and ensure that the Project moves forward in an organized manor. Each task force will report to the steering committee, which consists of ODOT's Project Manager, OTIG's Project Manager and Deputy Project Managers.

Ensuring Competitive Outcomes

Under OTIG's Project Development approach almost 85% of Project costs will be subject to competitive tender, with the majority of the remaining costs disclosed upfront.

We believe this approach offers substantial benefits to ODOT compared to having the providers of these significant cost components predetermined prior to competitive tender.

Synergies of Developing Multiple Projects

OTIG's approach offers ODOT the opportunity to select one Developer for all three Projects (Newberg- Dundee, South I-205 Corridor and the Sunrise Project) with the confidence that a competitive selection process will be run for the Design–Build contract in each case. This may mean that there are three separate Design-Build contractors across the Projects.

Selection of one Developer would generate significant synergies, as certain issues for all three Projects could be resolved concurrently but the majority of the Pre-Development and Implementation work would be sequential. As there will be significant overlap in the Pre-Development phase of the Projects, this will benefit the allocation of ODOT resources and ensure that there is a general consistency of approach, particularly in relation to tolling system interoperability of tolling systems and the economies of scale in operating and maintenance activities. OTIG would also be able to **offer a discount of 30%** in our forecast development costs across the three Projects compared to being selected for just one of the Projects.

Open Book

OTIG is committed to an "open book" partnership with ODOT, including joint analysis and consultative decision making in respect of major inputs to the financial model to ensure a competitive outcome.

Flexibility

OTIG's preliminary due diligence provides us with significant confidence that the Newberg–Dundee Project can be successfully developed as a public-private partnership. OTIG, however, recognizes that at this relatively early stage of conceptual development, there may be a number of options under consideration to ensure the ultimate success of the Project. OTIG has a disciplined approach which should ensure that development work proceeds in the most cost effective and timely manner possible. This includes ensuring that flexibility is not compromised by advancing certain areas of the Project development ahead of others. This is a common problem in our experience. In particular, technical work streams can often move ahead of commercial and financial developments, resulting in cost overruns or scope issues that later need to be modified. OTIG's disciplined approach ensures that focus is directed to key development decisions exactly when required. The members and structure of OTIG's team enhance this flexible approach as OTIG's motivation is to optimize the Project rather than drive decisions in any particular direction.

Key Issues

In this respect, OTIG sees the key issues for the Project as follows:

Traffic and toll revenue forecasts: Detailed investment grade traffic and revenue forecasts
will be the key driver for the financial structure of the Project and are likely to have significant



influence on decision making. OTIG's preliminary analysis indicates that the Project is likely to be financeable on a standalone basis supported by toll revenues alone, but only if all corridor traffic is tolled rather than having the bypass compete with the existing free alternative. It is important to note that benefits also accrue to the local areas as trucks and other vehicles will tend to use the bypass if tolls are levied on both routes. This creates both political and technical challenges. The Projects overall would benefit from the system-wide tolling policy which we understand is currently being developed by ODOT. OTIG is able to offer its significant worldwide tolling experience to support development of this policy.

- Alternative Revenue Sources: In the event that toll revenues alone are insufficient, attention will need to be focused on alternative revenue sources. This could include support from State, county and / or municipal levels of government. OTIG's general preference would be to explore "shadow tolling" solutions in which public sector payments, if required, are made over the long term and linked to the performance of the Project. We believe this offers a better risk transfer solution to the public sector. However, OTIG is flexible if it is institutionally easier for public sector contributions to be made in the form of upfront grants.
- Financing Plan: OTIG is very flexible in terms of the sources of finance for the Project. The Macquarie Group is a provider of equity finance for the Project and uses its knowledge and experience to secure the most competitive possible sources of debt finance. We are relatively indifferent as to whether debt funding is provided by the US bond market or alternative markets such as the international syndicated bank market (which was the source of debt financing for our recent SR125, Chicago Skyway and Sea to Sky acquisitions). Our objective is to obtain the best overall financing for the project based on cost, terms and flexibility. The Macquarie Group has been a pioneer in integrating public and private sources of finance, for example being the first to combine TIFIA financing with private sector debt and equity for the SR 125 acquisition.
- Public Support: The Newberg-Dundee Project is a much needed project to relieve freight and passenger vehicle congestion. The project has a broad base of support in the community. OTIG will continue collaborative work with local communities and stakeholders to ensure continued public support for the Project. CS³, public involvement and clear and frequent communications will be instrumental to successful project implementation.
- Safety: The bypass will be constructed according to ODOT and FHWA standards to ensure the Project meets all state and federal safety requirements. Improved geometry at the McDougall Corners Intersection is one example of how safety will be improved.
- System Expansion: OTIG is proposing to expand the bypass by an additional eight miles to just beyond McMinnville. This should relieve congestion and improve safety for a greater number of people. Further improvements on OR 18 to the coast and on OR 99W to Sherwood and Tualatin are options that can be discussed with ODOT.
- Right of Way: The acquisition of right of way will be critical to project success. It is anticipated that the OTIG consortium will support ODOT but responsibility for purchasing right of way will remain with ODOT.
- Context Sensitive Sustainable Solutions (CS³): OTIG intends to fully embrace and enact ODOT's new decision making framework to preserve Oregon's scenic, aesthetic, diversity, economic and other community values while building safe and enduring projects.



Compensation and Risk

OTIG currently considers that the Pre-Development Phase of the Project can be completed in nine months from the date of selection of the Preferred Developer. This is a relatively aggressive schedule which would demand significant resources and commitment from ODOT and OTIG. We propose to divide the Pre- Development Phase into three major milestones with the majority of costs to be incurred during the later milestone stages. Progress through the milestones would be dependent on ODOT and OTIG reaching agreement before moving to the next stage. Parties would be able to terminate the agreement if common understanding could not be reached.

OTIG's envisages three major milestone phases:

- The first milestone would be to establish the commercial and financial viability of the Project based on relevant cost and revenue parameters. OTIG anticipates that this phase would take approximately three months from selection of OTIG as the preferred Developer. This milestone would allow OTIG and ODOT to proceed into subsequent phases with confidence in the underlying feasibility of the Project.
- 2. The second milestone would be the development of the Implementation Agreement and the procurement process for the Design-Build and financing elements of the Project. This phase would be intense for the legal and procurement teams of both ODOT and OTIG and would result in a firm contractual basis for the Implementation Phase. By completion of this milestone, which OTIG anticipates could be achieved five months following selection as Preferred Developer, the Project would be developed to the stage where pricing and risk allocation could be undertaken.
- 3. The third milestone would be Commercial and Financial Close for the Project. To achieve this milestone, the Design Build Contract would be tendered and financial markets would be approached to provide committed debt finance. At completion of this stage, the Implementation Agreement would be finalized and ready for execution by OTIG and ODOT, the Developer would have its sub-contract agreements substantially in place and would have achieved fully committed financing.

These milestones can be broadly summarized as OTIG is prepared to accept significant risks associated with the development phase of the Project. However, there are certain risks which we believe only ODOT can effectively manage in accordance with the agreed Project schedule:

- Political and community approvals for the project, including particularly the tolling regime for the Project
- Identifying in consultation with the Developer alternative sources of funding or revenues should tolling revenues be forecast to be insufficient
- Execution by the State and all other necessary regulatory bodies of the Implementation Agreement
- Acceptance of project specifications and performance standards
- Obtaining the required environmental approvals for the Project, subject to the Developer being
 responsible for ensuring that the detailed design complies with these approvals
- Obtaining the right of way for the Project
- ---- Negotiating and signing utility relocation agreements

Secondly, OTIG is prepared to bear a significant portion of the development costs of the Project, but will expect compensation from ODOT in the event that the Project does not proceed as a result



of factors within ODOT's control. The overall budget estimate for the Pre- Development Phase is \$7,500,000 with a summary below broken down into activities and milestone stages.

sate firejed Milesone sates	A Budgeted Opt of Pockel Costs	die Advisory of Developer Peer Ser
Development Plan	\$1,000,000	\$750,000
(3 months)		
Procurement Plan and draft Implementation Agreement (2 months)	\$1,500,000	\$750,000
Implementation Plan and Financing Plan (4 months)	\$2,500,000	\$1,000,000
TOTAL	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000

This represents the maximum exposure to ODOT in the event the Project does not proceed. The budget includes a fee payable to OTIG in addition to reimbursement of direct costs in the event that the project is terminated, or does not proceed on schedule.

Wherever possible, OTIG has negotiated or intends to negotiate fee arrangements with its consultants which defer costs until Commercial and Financial Close for the Project, thereby mitigating ODOT and OTIG's risk during the Pre Development Phase.

Implementation Phase Costs

It is premature to determine the costs of the Implementation Phase in this Proposal and as discussed, the majority of these costs will be competitively tendered and established during the Pre-Development Phase. Implementation Costs are intended to occur after Commercial and Financial Close and therefore would be incurred by the Project Vehicle and not by ODOT.

OTIG has a strong incentive to minimize these costs in the interests of ensuring a successful outcome to the Project.

OTIG is also prepared to commit to its return parameters in the Implementation Phase, as follows:

- Equity Return: which refers to the post Project Vehicle Tax Internal Rate of Return on equity and quasi-equity investment of the project over the length of the concession. MIG has one of the lowest costs of capital for investments in the market as a function of its diversified portfolio, listed status and market capitalization size. MIG is prepared to commit that, subject to its normal investment parameters as described in this Proposal, it will seek a base case target IRR of 12.50% to15.00% (based on current US\$ Treasuries yields at 4.43%) The range reflects the varying levels of risk transferred to the Developer through the Implementation Agreement negotiations. Please also note that upside case analyses may exceed this range.
- Financial Advisory Fee: MSUSA will not earn any fees, commissions or interest income in respect of the equity or debt structure of the Project other than the disclosed Financial Advisory Fee and Debt Arranging Fee and is incentivised to use its worldwide experience to obtain the most competitive possible financing for the Project. The Financial Advisory Fee for providing this expertise will be 1.00% of the total finance raised (both debt, equity and quasi equity for the Project) and the Debt Arranging Fee will be 0.50% of the total debt finance



raised. These fees would be payable from drawdown of the Project Financing at Financial Close.

---- Advisory and Development Fee: MIG will provide its development expertise and will fund development costs in return for a Development Fee of \$1.25 million payable at Financial Close. MSUSA will provide its expertise to the project in return for an Advisory fee of \$1.25 million payable at Financial Close.

These fees would be in addition to the recovery of all out of pocket expenses incurred during the Pre-Development and Implementation Phases and would be amended, in consultation with ODOT, in the event the project schedule is extended. These fees will be subject to a dollar minimum should ODOT choose to fund the Project materially with public grant money.

Benefits to ODOT

The major benefits to ODOT from our proposed approach to risk and compensation are:

- ODOT benefits from OTIG's project and cost management expertise proven in numerous competitive tenders. As the Developer is funding costs through the Pre- Development Phase, we have every incentive to minimize these costs while still ensuring that work proceeds towards a successful Project outcome
- ODOT avoids funding any development costs except in the event the Project does not proceed. ODOT has the ability to mitigate its termination costs if there are early indications that the Project is not viable.
- Macquarie's time and internal expertise is at risk based on successful outcomes.
- ODOT is assured of a competitive outcome to the Implementation Phase and the Developer's fees and returns are transparent and declared up front.



THE PROJECT

The South I205 Corridor Project ("the Project") is the most exciting of the projects under consideration by the Oregon Innovative Partnerships Program because:

- The corridor is of critical importance to transportation mobility in the Portland metropolitan area, with high existing traffic levels, significant periods of congestion and likely strong growth rates.
- The corridor as a whole would be a strong candidate for network tolling. Alternatively a
 viable project could likely be based on a managed or HOT lanes concept which tolls
 only the additional capacity.
- Early private sector involvement has the potential to significantly enhance the Project and contribute to successful development

We are therefore very pleased to submit this proposal and look forward to the opportunity to contribute to the successful development and ultimate implementation of the Project.

KEY FEATURES OF OUR PROPOSAL

- Outstanding World Class Experience:OTIG team members have unparalleled experience of development, delivery and financing of highways in North America and around the world.We are committed to delivery of a high quality Project on an aggressive timetable through the use of innovative development, construction and financing methods.
- Commitment to ODOT and the Community: OTIG recognises the primary importance of working in partnership with ODOT to gain the confidence of all stakeholders and to ensure continued accountability throughout the Pre- Development and Implementation Phases.
- Commitment of staff and financial resources: OTIG has invested significant resources in gaining the Project understanding to submit this proposal and is excited by the opportunity to contribute key people and funding to turn the Project into reality.
- Unrivalled Competitiveness: OTIG believes that our proposal to fund a Scoping Study plus further Pre-Development costs combined with our commitment to a transparent and competitive Implementation Phase offers ODOT demonstrable value for money.

THE TEAM

The Oregon Transportation Improvement Group (OTIG) brings together some of the world's most experienced organizations in private toll road development, design and financing. OTIG's credentials should assure the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and other stakeholders of the professional, efficient and successful development and implementation of the I205 South Corridor Project.

OTIG is an unincorporated consortium lead by the Macquarie Group, whose key members in respect of this Project are:



Macquarie Infrastructure Group (MIG)

MIG is the Major Partner for the Project. MIG will be the 100% owner of South I-205 Concession Company LLC, the Developer for the consortium. The Developer has not yet been established but will be incorporated immediately upon OTIG being selected as the preferred Developer for the Project. MIG will establish and own the Developer, provide development support and are intended to provide the equity required to implement the Project.

MIG is an Australian Stock Exchange listed vehicle managed by wholly-owned subsidiaries of Macquarie Bank Limited (MBL) (discussed below). MIG focuses exclusively on toll road investments in OECD countries. As of 30 June 2005, MIG had net assets of \$9.441498 billion and a market capitalization of \$6.75 billion. MIG has a globally diversified portfolio with interests in 13 concession assets across six countries, including the SR125 and the Chicago Skyway in the United States and the Highway 407 in Canada. Collectively MIG assets employ over 1,000 staff.

- Macquarie Securities (USA) Inc (MSUSA)

MSUSA is the Financial Advisor for the OTIG consortium. MSUSA is a wholly owned subsidiary of Macquarie Bank Limited and is a US Corporation incorporated in the State of Delaware. MSUSA may consult with and seek input from its affiliates, including Macquarie North America Ltd. (MNAL). However, all activities are subject to US securities laws and to the extent required by those laws, will be conducted by MSUSA. MSUSA has 60 professional staff focusing exclusively on infrastructure projects and enjoys the full support of the Macquarie Group.

- Macquarie Bank Limited (MBL)

MBL is the parent company for MSUSA and the fund manager of MIG. MBL is incorporated in Australia and listed on the Australian Stock Exchange. MBL has, as of March 31, 2005, balance sheet assets of over \$37.34 billion, a market capitalization of \$8.14 billion and managed funds of over \$67.34 billion, including over \$15 billion of specialist infrastructure funds, and over 6,500 staff located in 44 offices worldwide. Other members of the Macquarie Group, such as other investment funds or our property development and investment businesses, may become involved in the Project if required.

Macquarie Group is supported by:

Hatch Mott MacDonald (HMM), who will act as the technical advisor to OTIG. HMM is a leading North American engineering consulting firm with over a century of experience in planning, engineering and program/construction management for projects around the world. With a staff of over 1000 in 33 offices in North America, and staff resources exceeding 12,000 worldwide, they can respond quickly and cost-effectively to any project demands. HMM is a worldwide leader in all phases of public-private ventures for transportation projects.

Macquarie Gourp and HMM have assembled a first class team of local sub-consultants and advisors who have a comprehensive understanding of the challenges facing the Project. These include:

- Preston Ellis Gates (Preston) who will act as OTIG's Legal Counsel



- Cogan Owens Cogan LLC (COC) and Frank Wilson & Associates who will act as OTIG's public and government communication specialists.
- Maunsell who will act as traffic forecasting consultants
- Herrera Environmental Consultants who will act as OTIG's environmental consultants
- Kleinfelder who will act as OTIG's geotechnical and environmental engineering consultants
- David Leland who will act as OTIG's property development and land use planning consultant

MSUSA will be primarily responsible for managing the commercial and financial consultants and HMM will be primarily responsible for managing the technical consultants as illustrated in the following organizational chart.



The commercial and financial strengths of Macquarie combined with the technical expertise of HMM and its local subconsultants provide all the skills and experience necessary to advance the Project through the Pre- Development Phase.

OTIG has made the conscious decision not to include a Design-Build Contractor in the consortium at this stage. We believe that there are a number of contractors capable of constructing the Project and that we can best maintain competitive tension and reduce the costs of the Project by appointing a Design-Build Contractor during the Pre-Development



Phase. This is considered a normal sequence of events for publicly funded infrastructure projects. There is even more incentive/justification to pursue this approach for a PPP Project.

Additional team members will also be added during the Pre-Development Phase. These will include:

- Operations and Maintenance Contractors
- Insurance Consultant
- Lender's Engineer
- Lender's Legal Counsel
- -- Specialist tax and accounting advisors

OTIG will structure its team to ensure the closest possible integration with ODOT and its consultants during the Pre-Development Phase.

OTIG has satisfied all of the submission requirements of the RFP.

QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

OTIG's members are unique in having significant experience both in being private sector road developers and in advising public sector agencies on road development. This gives us a depth of experience which will facilitate a genuine partnership with ODOT which will ensure that the benefits of the Project are optimized.

Member	Establishment Date	Highlights of Qualifications and Experience
	1996	MIG is one of the largest developers and owners of toll roads in the world. MIG has a globally diversified portfolio with interests in 13 concession assets across six countries. MIG's portfolio covers the full life cycle of toll road project, from greenfield developments to mature toll roads. MIG's North American portfolio includes investments in the 407 ETR (Ontario, Canada), Chicago Skyway and South Bay Expressway (San Diego).
	1994	MSUSA is a wholly owned subsidiary of Macquarie Bank Limited. The Macquarie Group is a global leader in toll road financial advice, development and management. Recent North American projects include Sea-to-Sky (BC, Canada), Okanagan Lake Bridge government advisory (BC Canada), Chicago Skyway, SR 125 (San Diego), and Highway 407 (Ontario, Canada).
	1972	HMM has an international track record in the design and management of some of the world's most prominent transportation infrastructure projects. HMM is currently undertaking the Independent Engineer role on three major construction projects: the 68 mile Channel Tunnel Rail Link in the UK; the 216 mile Taiwan High Speed Rail Project and the Kaohsiung Metro. HMM also worked with

see and a state of the second st		
		the Macquarie Group in the successful consortium for the Sea-to-Sky Highway Upgrades in British Columbia, Canada.
		Mott MacDonald was established in 1902.
	1975	COC has a national reputation in the field of public involvement, intergovernmental relations and communication. COC has designed and managed hundreds of public and stakeholder outreach projects for local, regional and state government agencies throughout Oregon and the Pacific Northwest. In addition, COC has significant experience working with ODOT and other transportation agencies on public involvement and intergovernmental relations.
		Frank Wilson & Associates will assist COC in their public involvement efforts
	1955	Maunsell is one of the world's most respected traffic forecasting consultants. The firm has a long history of advising toll road owners, operators and lenders. Over \$8 billion of investments, including Chicago Skyway, as well toll roads in Australia, Europe, Asia and the United States have been financed based on Maunsell's traffic and revenue forecasts.
	1883	Preston Ellis Gates is one of the most respected law practices in the northwestern United States and draws on the experience of more than 430 attorneys practicing in 11 locations on the West Coast, in Washington D.C., and in Asia.
	1980	Hererra has significant experience preparing project- specific and programmatic documents under SEPA and NEPA and Section 4(f) [which legislation]. In addition to environmental documentation preparation, Herrera staff are experienced in site and alternative selection; coordination and interaction with the public; preparation of mitigation plans; and permit support. Recent experience includes work on the US89 Improvements Project in Montana and the Sound Transit light rail line; the largest civil works project ever proposed in the Puget Sound region.
s and see	1961	Kleinfeider is recognized leader in solving large-scale and complex problems in relation to transportation, infrastructure, industrial, commercial and residential projects, for both the private sector and public agencies.

Project Approach

Alignment of Public and Private sector interests

OTIG intends to closely align its incentives with those of ODOT. OTIG has in this Proposal disclosed its expected fees and investment return requirements in respect of the Project. OTIG has a common objective with ODOT of achieving the lowest possible cost in relation to the Design –Build contract, long term operations and maintenance and debt financing. OTIG will use its professional experience, expertise and knowledge of the marketplace, which should ensure that there is a competitive outcome in these key areas of Project viability.

OTIG is proposing the formation of task forces for each of the Key Elements of the Project. The task forces will consist of members from both the OTIG consortium and ODOT consultants. These task forces will work closely to resolve issues, set standards and ensure that the Project moves forward in an organized manor. Each task force will report to the steering committee, which consists of ODOT's Project Manager, OTIG's Project Manager and Deputy Project Managers.

Ensuring Competitive Outcomes

Under OTIG's Project Development approach almost 85% of Project costs will be subject to competitive tender, with the majority of the remaining costs disclosed upfront.

We believe this approach offers substantial benefits to ODOT compared to having the providers of these significant cost components predetermined prior to competitive tender.

Synergies of Developing Multiple Projects

OTIG's approach offers ODOT the opportunity to select one Developer for all three Projects (Newberg- Dundee, South I-205 Corridor and the Sunrise Project) with the confidence that a competitive selection process will be run for the Design-Build contract in each case. This may mean that there are three separate Design-Build contractors across the Projects.

Selection of one Developer would generate significant synergies, as certain issues for all three Projects could be resolved concurrently but the majority of the Pre-Development and Implementation work would be sequential. As there will be significant overlap in the Pre-Development phase of the Projects, this will benefit the allocation of ODOT resources and ensure that there is a general consistency of approach, particularly in relation to tolling system interoperability of tolling systems and the economies of scale in operating and maintenance activities. OTIG would also be able to offer a discount of 30% in our forecast development costs across the three Projects compared to being selected for just one of the Projects.

Open Book

OTIG is committed to an "open book" partnership with ODOT, including joint analysis and consultative decision making in respect of major inputs to the financial model to ensure a competitive outcome.

Flexibility

OTIG's preliminary due diligence provides us with significant confidence that the South I-205 Project can be successfully developed as a public-private partnership.



OTIG, however, recognizes that at this relatively early stage of conceptual development, there may be a number of options under consideration to ensure the ultimate success of the Project. OTIG has a disciplined approach which should ensure that development work proceeds in the most cost effective and timely manner possible. This includes ensuring that flexibility is not compromised by advancing certain areas of the Project development ahead of others. This is a common problem in our experience. In particular, technical work streams can often move ahead of commercial and financial developments, resulting in cost overruns or scope issues that later need to be modified. OTIG's disciplined approach ensures that focus is directed to key development decisions exactly when required. The members and structure of OTIG's team enhance this flexible approach as OTIG's motivation is to optimize the Project rather than drive decisions in any particular direction.

Key Issues

In this respect OTIG see the key issues for the Project as follows:

- Traffic and Toll Revenue forecasts: detailed investment grade traffic forecasts will be the key driver of the commercial structure of the Project and are likely to have significant influence over decision making. OTIG's preliminary analysis indicates that the Project would be financeable on a standalone basis supported by toll revenues alone This would certainly apply if the entire corridor traffic can be captured, in which case substantial additional transportation improvements could also be funded. The Project could also be undertaken where only additional capacity is tolled on a managed lanes basis. Our preliminary view is that the managed lanes concept, whilst potentially feasible, would be more expensive because of the need for dedicated entry and exit ramps at key interchanges. It would likely be impractical to provide this at all interchanges. Toll levels for the managed lane approach may also be too high leading to difficulties with diversion factors and community acceptance. The projects overall would benefit from the system wide tolling policy which we understand is currently being developed by ODOT. OTIG would be able to offer its significant worldwide tolling experience to support development of this policy.
- Alternative Revenue Sources: in the event that toll revenues alone are insufficient, attention will need to be focused on alternative revenue sources. This could include support from the State, county or municipal levels of government. OTIG's general preference would be to explore "shadow tolling" solutions in which public sector payments if required are made over the long term and linked to the performance of the Project. We believe this offers a better risk transfer solution to the public sector. However, OTIG is flexible if it is easier institutionally for public sector contributions to come in the form of upfront grants.
- Financing Plan: OTIG is very flexible in terms of the sources of finance for the Project. The Macquarie Group is a provider of equity finance for the Project and uses its knowledge and experience to secure the most competitive possible sources of debt finance. We are relatively indifferent as to whether debt funding is provided by the US bond market or alternative markets such as the international syndicated bank market (which was the source of debt financing for our recent SR125, Chicago Skyway and Sea to Sky acquisitions). Our objective is to obtain the best overall financing for the project based on cost, terms and flexibility. The Macquarie Group has been a pioneer in integrating public and private sources of finance, for example being the first to combine TIFIA financing with private sector debt and equity for the SR 125 acquisition.



- Public Support: The I205 Corridor improvements are much needed to cope with increasing volumes and peak hour congestion.. However, in view of the large number of users and other Stakeholders there will be challenges in establishing and maintaining strong community support. CS3 and public involvement will be instrumental to a successful project.
- Safety: The highway and associated interchanges will be constructed to ODOT and FHWA standards to insure the new lanes meet all state and federal safety requirements.
- System Expansion: OTIG proposes to increase capacity in both the Southern and Northern sections of I-205 to accommodate likely significant increases in volumes in the corridor as a whole once the southern capacity constraints are released. This will also support the proposed future Columbia River Crossing.
- Right of Way: The acquisition of right of way will be critical to project success. It is
 anticipated that the OTIG team will support ODOT but the responsibility for purchasing
 right of way will remain with ODOT.

Compensation and Risk

OTIGbelieves that that the first step in the Pre-Development Phase for the Project should be a Public Private Partnership scoping study to identify the key challenges and opportunities. This scoping study could reasonably be completed in 3-5 months from the date of selection of the Preferred Developer at a cost of \$500,000. Macquarie is very familiar with scoping studies of this type having, amongst many others worldwide, recently completed two for the Fraser River Crossing and Richmond- Airport - Vancouver Rapid Transit Project in British . Both these projects have since proceeded into successful public private partnershipsit is our view that only after completion of this scoping study in partnership with ODOT could a realistic budget and schedule be created for the remainder. of the Pre- Development Phase. Our preliminary view is that a minimum of 18 months would be required in order to reach Financial Close and that schedule would be very dependent on the timeframe for completed the Tier One EIS and proceeding into Tier Two. The scoping study should provide ODOT with the confidence to proceed into further stages of the Pre-Development Phase. OTIG would be prepared to fund the scoping study and contribute our time and expertise to it. This funding of costs would be on the basis that OTIG would be reimbursed by ODOT if the Project did not proceed and if ODOT terminates the Pre-Development Agreement. Once a firm Pre- Development Plan and schedule is developed and agreed with ODOT as part of the scoping study OTIG would also anticipate being in a position to accept a greater share of the funding risks for Pre-Development Costs, which in turn would be fairly backended following completion of the Tier One EIS.

We believe the total budget for the Pre- Development Phase of the Project is likely to be \$15m. However this could be substantially reduced to \$10million if OTIG is appointed as the Developer of all three projects (1205, Sunrise and Newberg- Dundee) as there are significant synergies and overlap between the three projects.

Although OTIG is prepared to accept a number of development risks, there are certain risks which we believe only ODOT can effectively manage in summary these ODOT risks would be to achieve the following steps in accordance with the agreed Project schedule:



- Political and community approvals for the project, including particularly the tolling regime for the Project
- Identifying in consultation with the Developer alternative sources of funding or revenues should tolling revenues be forecast to be insufficient
- Execution by the State and all other necessary regulatory bodies of the Implementation Agreement
- Acceptance of project specifications and performance standards
- Obtaining the required environmental approvals for the Project, subject to the Developer being responsible for ensuring that the detailed design complies with these approvals
- Obtaining the Right of Way for the Project
- Asssisting with utility relocations

Implementation Phase Costs

It is even more premature to determine the costs of the Implementation Phase in this Proposal and as discussed the majority of these costs will be competitively tendered and established during the Pre-Development Phase. Implementation Costs are intended to occur after Commercial and Financial Close and therefore would be incurred by the Project Vehicle and not by ODOT.

OTIG has a strong incentive to minimise these costs in the interests of ensuring a successful outcome to the Project.

OTIG is also prepared to commit to its return parameters in the Implementation Phase, as follows:

- Equity Return: which refers to the post Project Vehicle tax Internal Rate of Return on equity and quasi equity investment of the project over the length of the concession. MIG has one of the lowest costs of capital for investments in the market as a function of its diversified portfolio, listed status and market capitalization size. MIG is prepared to commit that, subject to its normal investment parameters as described in this Proposal and on MIG's website being satisfied by this Project, it will seek a base case target IRR of 12.50% to15.00% (based on current US\$ Treasuries yields at 4.43%) The range reflects the varying levels of risk transferred to the Developer through the implementation Agreement negotiations.Please also note that the Financial Model may include upside case analyses which exceed this range.
- Financial Advisory Fee: MSUSA will not earn any fees, commissions or interest income in respect of the equity or debt structure of the Project other than the disclosed Financial Advisory Fee and Debt Arranging Fee and is incentivised to use its worldwide experience to obtain the most competitive possible financing for the Project. The Financial Advisory Fee for providing this expertise will be 1.00% of the total finance raised (both debt, equity and quasi equity for the Project) and the Debt Arranging Fee will be 0.50% of the total finance raised. These fees would be payable from drawdown of the Project Financing at Financial Close.
- Project Management and Development Fee: MIG will provide its development expertise and will fund development costs in return for a Development Fee of \$2.5 million payable at Financial Close. MSUSA will provide its project management



expertise to the project in return for an Advisory fee of \$2.5 million payable at Financial Close.

These fees would be in addition to the recovery of all out of pocket expenses incurred during the Pre-Development and Implementation Phases and would be amended, in consultation with ODOT, in the event the agreed project schedule is extended.

Benefits to ODOT

The major benefits to ODOT from our proposed approach to risk and compensation are:

- ODOT benefits from our project and cost management expertise proven in numerous competitive tenders. We have every incentive to minimize them while still ensuring that work proceeds towards a successful Project outcome
- ODOT minimises its funding of development costs except in the event the Project does not proceed. ODOT has the ability to mitigate its termination costs if there are early indications that the Project is not viable.
- Macquarie's time and internal expertise is at risk based on successful outcomes
- ODOT is assured of a competitive outcome to the Implementation Phase and the Developer's fees and returns are transparent and declared up front.

Yamhill Transportation Partners -A PROVEN TEAM

ODOT requires a team of proven professionals with the unique partnering skills, design-build experience, and financing resources to deliver best-in-class coverage for every element of the much-needed Newberg–Dundee Transportation Improvement Project (NDTIP). Yamhill Transportation Partners (YTP) brings veteran experience and leadership in executing design-build projects, developing transportation programs, and performing to the highest safety standards. As pioneers in the principles of CS³, we create proactive community outreach programs, secure opportunities for local firms, and focus on sustainable development. Our proven technical qualifications, PPP experience, and financial strength and stability offer ODOT a partnership that will set the standard for future infrastructure projects in the State.

Bechtel Infrastructure Corporation (Bechtel) is the nation's leader in PPPs, design-build, and heavy civil contracting, as well as a globally recognized leader in highway, bridge, and other transportation infrastructure projects.

CH2M HILL has provided excellence in project delivery for nearly 60 years, performing a full range of technical services - design, engineering, project development and finance, program management, construction, and operations and maintenance.

Goldman Suchs & Co., a global financial services leader, enjoys an unparalleled track record in financing highway and toll road projects, offering a diverse array of products and one of the greatest distribution capacities of any financial firm.

Wildish Standard Paving Co. provides specialized expertise in roadway surfacing, and structures design and installation, in addition to having extensive experience in delivering successful projects to ODOT.

Goodfellow Bros., Inc. brings special skills in mass earthmoving operations, and is well versed in ODOT requirements.

TransCore LP is a premier provider of advanced and proven tolling technology and toll system operations.

NW Grassroots & Communications brings community outreach, interagency coordination, and legislative contact skills honed in the Pacific Northwest.

ADDALL HEILSTONA CHARLEN STREET STREET PLATE

- when we wanted a statistic to the second of the second of





addee to the second

建设有错点,就能行动的全线和内部电影。 tengenerken bereiten an Communication in the second ar the Real and the second WHILE BEEN HERE HERE HERE IN COMPANY And Barrier Band in a literation C REAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY 的复数形式使多数的 建口水 网络马拉田兰 微調機 动物的复数形式名 磷 同间的 医脑膜的 网络亚的小说的 (1) 在这些正式的第三人称单数的问题。 与中国行政的时间 电双联的指令行并指导 BEREITE THE STATE underferieren der Steurischen under der einer 建铁板罐料。""你不好好的一个鲜软的好的。 CONCESSION SERVICES DER ANDE 被转变结构 经知识处理法法规律师 化流水化 经转移人



Proposal for the Newberg-Dundee Transportation Improvement Project

Yamhill Transportation Partners – PROVEN EXPERIENCE

Our team is made up of highly qualified local, regional, and international firms with extensive experience successfully delivering transportation projects to ODOT. Oregon communities, and other stakeholders. As shown by our success on the Portland MAX LRT Extension to the Airport/Cascade Station and Sea to Sky Highway Improvement Project in British Columbia, the team offers a proven combination of expertise in project development and infrastructure financing, along with cost-effective design-build. These approaches will help ODOT create a long-term transportation solution for the region and greatly accelerate delivery of the project.



Public-Private Partnership for Early Delivery of TriMet Airport MAX Extension

Portland Airport MAX, the first public-private partnership for a rail transit project in the United States, used innovative financing agreements that allowed the project to be financed without federal funding and to be built 10 years ahead of its original schedule. Bechtel started developing this innovative project in 1996, one component of which was development of Cascade Station and arranging project financing. Bechtel was also the



design-builder of the extension under a fixedprice contract with TriMet, providing such services as final design, procurement, construction, and startup.

Public-Private Partnership for Improvements to Sea to Sky Highway, British Columbia

CH2M HILL is highly experienced in the use of PPPs to deliver transportation infrastructure projects, having led the PPP highway reconstruction design and program management for the Sea to Sky Highway design-buildoperate-finance (DBOF) project in BC, the first-ever DBOF project for development of new transportation infrastructure in the Province.

Financing Programs for Major Infrastructure Development

Goldman Sachs is broadly experienced in the Pacific Northwest, recently having acted as advisor on the SR 16/ Tacoma Narrows Bridge Project during most of the development phase (when it was structured as a PPP). Goldman Sachs was also financial advisor to the City of Chicago for the recently completed \$1.83 billion Chicago Skyway Concession Project.

Yamhill Transportation Partners – A PROVEN PROJECT DEVELOPER



Proven Commercial and Financing Approaches

YTP will apply the proven processes and tools developed on other PPPs to create a partnership with ODOT that ensures OIPP's first major project is a success. We will develop NDTIP's commercial structure and financing strategy collaboratively with ODOT, creating a viable financing plan through an iterative process simultaneous with refinement and finalization of technical, environmental, and community aspects of the project.

YTP proposes to form, with ODOT, a special purpose, taxexempt company under IRS Rule 63-20 to implement the project, thereby giving the best mix of clear focus, local influence on project decision-making, and ownership of the new roadway by the public.

Financial advice will come from Industry leader Goldman Sachs who has already developed a financial model to guide the preparation of preliminary financing plans for the project.

LEADERS IN INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENT



Yamhill Transportation Partners – PROVEN PROFESSIONALS



Recruiting and Contracting with Local DMWESB Firms is Good Business



- Taps into local knowledge in the area
- Strengthens skill sets and increases local capability
- Benefits the local community in terms of shared revenue and local business opportunities

YTP Members Bechtel and CH2M HILL Regularly Exceed DMWESB Goals

BECHTEL	Goal %	Actual %
ing de la construir de la const La construir de la construir de		
en di en la constanta degla partiti su constante. In la constante populari su constante constante en la constante en la constante en la constante en la constante		
načku povo obovo na klasti platena (1938 – 1) – dražna provod povo O Mažka Pila P		
	Goal %	Actual %
n an an an Arrange Arrage an an Arrage Arrage and a state and a		

A Focus on Opportunites for Local Area Firms and Workers

YTP considers it crucial to leverage the project so as to contribute to the region's economic vitality. The team anticipates that a significant portion of the work will be contracted to specialty firms and disadvantaged, minority, women, and emerging small business (DMWESB): standard practice for Bechtel and CH2M HILL. We have already begun contacting local DMWESB firms to add to the database we use to execute our Pacific Northwest work. We proactively seek opportunities for qualified firms and individuals to provide valuable services during both Pre-Development and Implementationand thes opportunities will multiply, especially when the design is completed and supply and construction work packages are prepared.

DEDICATED TO SAFETY EXCELLENCE



- Mellinas de la calendaria de la composición de
- All strategies and spectral strategies are spectral strategies.
 Mathematical strategies are spectral strategies.
 Mathematical strategies are spectral strategies.
 Mathematical strategies are spectral strategies.
- The fact of the second

Yamhill Transportation Partners – PROVEN COMMITMENT TO CS³

Effective Public and Stakeholder Involvement

The I-5/Beltline interchange has served Eugene-Springfield (Oregon's second largest metropolitan area) for more than 30 years. During that time, traffic demands on this full cloverleaf interchange have more than quadrupled.

A too-high accident rate and anticipated further growth meant one thing: the I-5/Beltline interchange needed to be



redesigned; but the stakeholders – residents, business owners, non-auto mode users, and federal, state, local, and city agency representatives – didn't agree on how.

Using the processes and tools of decision science, CH2M HILL structured a public involvement and agency coordination process that resulted in shared ownership of the outcome: the program consolidated project decisionmaking in one multijurisdictional body, incorporated the viewpoints of varying interest groups, and mapped the way forward for public engagement.

For I-5/Beltline, a structured process, balanced participation, and valuing stakeholder involvement allowed the multiple voices of the community to come together and play a significant role in solving the interchange's transportation problems. The project is currently under construction.

CS³: ENVIRONMENT, Design, and people

and highly come and an able to the state of the state of

- set to all balloching that the monthly defendence
- Schweith Research is a neurodictic transmission of the proferror registration of the second contract of the proferror registration of the second contract of the protection of the second contract of the second contract of the second second contract of the second contract of the second contract of the second second contract of the second contract o
- (1) ค. มีสมบัตสุษย์มีการต่างที่มีสุขสมคราก เป็นสุขยายและสมบัติให้มาก การส่วนสายเสียงสุขยายให้แพบการสมบัตส์ให้การการส่วนสาย สุขยาย การส่วนสายสุขยายให้แพบการสมบัตส์ให้การการส่วนสาย สุขยาย สายสายสาย (1997)
- 1 ปี กระวงหรือได้สิญหวัดสุดที่สารไปมูล ก่อสุขสุดจะส่งสุดไม่สุดได้ ก่างสุของกระวงสุดไข้สารทุกเหมืองสุของกระวงสุขสุดไข้ ก่าวสุของกระวงสุของกระวงสุของกระวงกระวงกระวงสุของกระวง
- 3.2.4. เรื่องมีมหรือ ไม่มีเป็นสู่เป็นจะ ไม่มีก็มีสาม เป็นสู้เหลือ เป็นสู้เหลือ ก็เสียงที่ระคารอากปีหน้าเรื่องมีความสี่เสียงเล่าใช้ เข้ามีมากกระจะมีการ การอื่น การส์สุดีสมมีก็ได้การไม่มีผลเป็นไม่มีความสี่เสียงเป็น เรื่อง การส์ผู้มีการโรงมีมหาย เรื่อง การส์ผู้มีการโรงมีมหาย เป็นการการส์ผู้มีการโรงมีมหาย เป็นการการส์เต้นไป

An Open and Transparent Development Process

We are committed to an open and transparent process for developing the project and negotiating the final designbuild price within the framework of a true PPP model founded on a collaborative, team-based environment. Early partnering activities will help the team, ODOT, and other primary stakeholders develop a set of common goals and clear lines of responsibility, and establish a shared sense of ownership and trust. This will ensure that participants are aligned with the project's goals and objectives; help set priorities; and join together in a productive dialogue on design options, pricing tradeoffs, and schedules.

Developing an Environmentally Viable Product

YTP also brings extensive resources to support ODOT in completing all required environmental/permitting processes. A well-run, predictable NEPA process will let the project take full advantage of schedule efficiencies afforded by the design-build approach (especially as part of a PPP). Our experience and proactive support will result in cost-effective protection of the environment while improving public and freight mobility.

Opportunities for Enhanced Systainable Development— The project will:

- Improve access from the east, west and south sides of the existing SR 99W corridor between Newberg and Dayton
- Provide an excellent opportunity to enhance planned developments in Newberg, Dundee, and Dayton and achieve the communities' goal of pedestrian-friendly "Main Street" areas in their downtowns
- Provide a benchmark for other projects in Oregon and future expansion of SR 99W to the west

Writing the Book on Context-Sensitive Solutions

CH2M HILL brings a long history of not only helping to develop the concept of context-sensitive design, but also of incorporating its tenets in completed projects. In fact, NCHRP Report 480, A Guide to Best Practices for Achieving Context Sensitive Solutions (2002), was authored by CH2M HILL. This document emphasizes that transportation programs are not just the responsibility of the sponsoring agency: successful context-sensitive solutions require the collaboration of a broad range of technical professionals, agency and elected officials, community interest groups and organizations, landowners, facility users, and the public.

Yamhill Transportation Partners – PROVEN COMMITMENT TO PROJECT SUCCESS

Project Alignment with Community/Public Goals

The future of SR 99W and related improvements have been in the public mind for years. ODOT and the communities of Newberg, Dundee, and Dayton have successfully marshaled support for the rerouting of transient traffic based in large part through soliciting public input.

The team proposes to continue this collaboration with the communities and ODOT during the Pre-Development Phase to identify and engage key stakeholders, solicit and respond to public input, and incorporate recommendations as appropriate.

An Accelerated Schedule to Save Time and Money

Given a PPP approach, with a January 2006 Notice to Proceed (NTP) for the Pre-Development Phase and a firstquarter 2008 NTP for the design-build phase, the prkway could be operational before the end of 2010. By facilitating the influx of private capital to a long-desired project, a PPP with YTP and ODOT offers significant time savings and an earlier realization of project benefits, as well as lowered costs due to reduced cost of money.

An Oregon Team Committed to an Important Oregon Project

YTP enjoys deep Oregon roots. To us, NDTIP is right in our back yard — and as an Oregon-based team, we are highly committed to maximizing our community's return on our State's transportation dollars. As we have demonstrated on similar projects, we strive to enrich the communities where we work, because those are the communities where we live. The team's NDTIP management plan envisions multiple avenues for creating professional, educational, and economic opportunities for Oregon citizens and businesses: sustainable outreach and diversity programs, training and mentoring, new employment options, support for local vendors and DMWESBs. Our commitment to Oregon is good for us, good for business, and good for Oregon.

NEPA, CETAS and other Environmental Process

ODOT will benefit from the expertise YTP has developed from previous successes with the NEPA process. YTP's strategy is to support ODOT with monitoring, analysis, and advice throughout the NEPA and CETAS processes. ODOT and YTP will be able to identify potential project development obstacles in time to resolve them before they impact schedules. This support will be key to reaching the Record of Decision (ROD) within 2 years of a first quarter 2006 Pre-Development Notice to Proceed.

TEAM MEMBER SUCESSES

Project Development Expertise



n de Lawyn o wraf o wyafyn o 19 gli yww o hyfrod o gylyn o ff 19 gli yww o hyfrod o farfelwywe 19 gli farfel gro 29 gli ferfel gro 20 glifelww o monto o gro d

 $= \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ 1, 1, 0, 1 \right\} : \left\{ \left\{ 1, 1, 1 \right\} : \left\{ 1, 1 \right\}$

Project Management Leadership



 A. J. Constrained Application (p) in the state of encoder of the state of the state

Engineering-Construction Leadership (ENR)

ø

THE PROJECT

The Sunrise Project (the Project) is the most challenging of the projects under consideration by the Oregon Innovative Partnerships Program because:

- --- The Project is dependent upon future development and accordingly traffic volumes are likely to be low initially albeit with strong growth rates
- The different phases of the Project are at very different stages of development and may also have very different traffic and commercial characteristics
- --- The Project is a long time away from realistically achieving financial close
- These features do, however, create very significant scope for private sector innovation to enhance the Project and contribute to successful development

We are therefore very pleased to submit this proposal and look forward to the opportunity to contribute to the successful development and ultimate implementation of the Project.

KEY FEATURES OF OUR PROPOSAL

- Outstanding World Class Experience:OTIG team members have unparalleled experience of development, delivery and financing of highways in North America and around the world.We are committed to delivery of a high quality Project on an aggressive timetable through the use of innovative development, construction and financing methods.
- Commitment to ODOT and the Community: OTIG recognizes the primary importance of working in partnership with ODOT to gain the confidence of all stakeholders and to ensure continued accountability throughout the Pre-Development and Implementation Phases.
- Commitment of staff and financial resources: OTIG has invested significant resources in gaining the Project understanding to submit this proposal and is excited by the opportunity to contribute key people and funding to turn the Project into reality.
- Unrivalled Competitiveness: OTIG believes that our proposal to staff a Scoping Study and negotiate contribution to further Pre-Development costs combined with our commitment to a transparent and competitive Implementation Phase offers ODOT demonstrable value for money.

THE TEAM

The Oregon Transportation Improvement Group (OTIG) brings together some of the world's most experienced organizations in private toll road development, design and financing. OTIG's credentials should assure the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and other stakeholders of the professional, efficient and successful development and implementation of the Sunrise Project.

OTIG is an unincorporated consortium lead by the Macquarie Group, whose key members in respect of this Project are:

— Macquarie Infrastructure Group (MIG)



MIG is the Major Partner for the Project and will be the 100% owner of the Sunrise Concession Company LLC, the Developer for the consortium. The Developer has not yet been established but will be incorporated immediately upon OTIG being selected as the preferred Developer for the Project. MIG will establish and own the Developer, provide development support and intendeds to provide the equity required to implement the Project.

MIG is an Australian Stock Exchange listed vehicle managed by wholly-owned subsidiaries of Macquarie Bank Limited (MBL) (discussed below). MIG focuses exclusively on toll road investments in OECD countries. As of June 30, 2005, MIG had net assets of \$9.4 billion and a market capitalization of \$6.75 billion. MIG has a globally diversified portfolio with interests in 13 concession assets across six countries, including the SR125 and the Chicago Skyway in the United States and the Highway 407 in Canada. Collectively MIG assets employ over 1,000 staff.

Macquarie Securities (USA) Inc (MSUSA)

MSUSA is the Financial Advisor for the OTIG consortium. MSUSA is a wholly owned subsidiary of Macquarie Bank Limited and is a US Corporation incorporated in the State of Delaware. MSUSA may consult with and seek input from its affiliates, including Macquarie North America Ltd. (MNAL). However, all activities are subject to US securities laws and to the extent required by those laws, will be conducted by MSUSA. MSUSA has 60 professional staff focusing exclusively on infrastructure projects and enjoys the full support of the Macquarie Group.

Macquarie Bank Limited (MBL)

MBL is the parent company for MSUSA and the fund manager of MIG. MBL is incorporated in Australia and listed on the Australian Stock Exchange. MBL has, as of March 31, 2005, balance sheet assets of over \$37.34 billion, a market capitalization of \$8.14 billion and managed funds of over \$67.34 billion, including over \$15 billion of specialist infrastructure funds, and over 6,500 staff located in 44 offices worldwide. Other members of the Macquarie Group, such as other investment funds or our property development and investment businesses, may become involved in the Project if required.

Macquarie Group is supported by:

Hatch Mott MacDonald (HMM), who will act as the technical advisor to OTIG. HMM is a leading North American engineering consulting firm with over a century of experience in planning, engineering and program/construction management for projects around the world. With a staff of over 1000 in 33 offices in North America, and staff resources exceeding 12,000 worldwide, they can respond quickly and cost-effectively to any project demands. HMM is a worldwide leader in all phases of public-private ventures for transportation projects.

Macquarie Group and HMM have assembled a first class team of local sub-consultants and advisors who have a comprehensive understanding of the challenges facing the Project. These include:

- Preston Ellis Gates (Preston) who will act as OTIG's Legal Counsel
- Cogan Owens Cogan LLC (COC) and Frank Wilson & Associates who will act as OTIG's public and government communication specialists.


- Maunsell who will act as traffic forecasting consultants
- Herrera Environmental Consultants who will act as OTIG's environmental consultants
- Kleinfelder who will act as OTIG's geotechnical and environmental engineering consultants
- David Leland who will act as OTIG's property development and land use planning consultant

MSUSA will be primarily responsible for managing the commercial and financial consultants and HMM will be primarily responsible for managing the technical consultants as illustrated in the following organizational chart.



The commercial and financial strengths of Macquarie combined with the technical expertise of HMM and its local subconsultants provide all the skills and experience necessary to advance the Project through the Pre- Development Phase.

OTIG has made the conscious decision not to include a Design-Build Contractor in the consortium at this stage. We believe that there are a number of contractors capable of constructing the Project and that we can best maintain competitive tension and reduce the costs of the Project by appointing a Design-Build Contractor during the Pre-Development Phase. This is considered a normal sequence of events for publicly funded infrastructure projects. There is even more incentive/justification to pursue this approach for a PPP Project.

Additional team members will also be added during the Pre-Development Phase. These will include:

- --- Operations and Maintenance Contractors
- Insurance Consultant
- Lender's Engineer
- Lender's Legal Counsel
- Specialist tax and accounting advisors

OTIG will structure its team to ensure the closest possible integration with ODOT and its consultants during the Pre-Development Phase.

OTIG has satisfied all of the submission requirements of the RFP.

QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

OTIG's members are unique in having significant experience both in being private sector road developers and in advising public sector agencies on road development. This gives us a depth of experience which will facilitate a genuine partnership with ODOT which will ensure that the benefits of the Project are optimized.

Member	Establishment Date	Highlights of Qualifications and Experience
	1996	MIG is one of the largest developers and owners of toll roads in the world. MIG has a globally diversified portfolio with interests in 13 concession assets across six countries. MIG's portfolio covers the full life cycle of toll road project, from greenfield developments to mature toll roads. MIG's North American portfolio includes investments in the 407 ETR (Ontario, Canada), Chicago Skyway and South Bay Expressway (San Diego).
	1994	MSUSA is a wholly owned subsidiary of Macquarie Bank Limited. The Macquarie Group is a global leader in toll road financial advice, development and management. Recent North American projects include Sea-to-Sky (BC, Canada), Okanagan Lake Bridge government advisory (BC Canada), Chicago Skyway, SR 125 (San Diego), and Highway 407 (Ontario, Canada).

	1972	HMM has an international track record in the design and management of some of the world's most prominent transportation infrastructure projects. HMM is currently undertaking the Independent Engineer role on three major construction projects: the 68 mile Channel Tunnel Rail Link in the UK; the 216 mile Taiwan High Speed Rail Project and the Kaohsiung Metro. HMM also worked with the Macquarie Group in the successful consortium for the Sea-to-Sky Highway Upgrades in British Columbia, Canada.
	1975	COC has a national reputation in the field of public involvement, intergovernmental relations and communication. COC has designed and managed hundreds of public and stakeholder outreach projects for local, regional and state government agencies throughout Oregon and the Pacific Northwest. In addition, COC has significant experience working with ODOT and other transportation agencies on public involvement and intergovernmental relations.
		Frank Wilson & Associates will assist COC in their public involvement efforts
	1955	Maunsell is one of the world's most respected traffic forecasting consultants. The firm has a long history of advising toll road owners, operators and lenders. Over \$8 billion of investments, including Chicago Skyway, as well toll roads in Australia, Europe, Asia and the United States have been financed based on Maunsell's traffic and revenue forecasts.
CODRIGATES CITE THE SAME	1883	Preston Ellis Gates is one of the most respected law practices in the northwestern United States and draws on the experience of more than 430 attorneys practicing in 11 locations on the West Coast, in Washington D.C., and in Asia.
Control to recommon faller Control to recommon f	1980	Hererra has significant experience preparing project- specific and programmatic documents under SEPA and NEPA and Section 4(f). In addition to environmental documentation preparation, Herrera staff are experienced in site and alternative selection; coordination and interaction with the public; preparation of mitigation plans; and permit support. Recent experience includes work on the US89 Improvements Project in Montana and the Sound Transit light rail line; the largest civil works project ever proposed in the Puget Sound region.





1961

Kleinfelder is recognized leader in solving large-scale and complex problems in relation to transportation, infrastructure, industrial, commercial and residential projects, for both the private sector and public agencies.

Project Approach

Alignment of Public and Private sector interests

OTIG intends to closely align its incentives with those of ODOT. OTIG has in this Proposal disclosed its expected fees and investment return requirements in respect of the Project. OTIG has a common objective with ODOT of achieving the lowest possible cost in relation to the Design-Build contract, long term operations and maintenance and debt financing. OTIG will use its professional experience, expertise and knowledge of the marketplace, which should ensure that there is a competitive outcome in these key areas of Project viability.

OTIG is proposing the formation of task forces for each of the Key Elements of the Project. The task forces will consist of members from both the OTIG consortium and ODOT consultants. These task forces will work closely to resolve issues, set standards and ensure that the Project moves forward in an organized manor. Each task force will report to the steering committee, which consists of ODOT's Project Manager, OTIG's Project Manager and Deputy Project Managers.

Ensuring Competitive Outcomes

Under OTIG's Project Development approach almost 85% of Project costs will be subject to competitive tender, with the majority of the remaining costs disclosed upfront.

We believe this approach offers substantial benefits to ODOT compared to having the providers of these significant cost components predetermined prior to competitive tender.

Synergies of Developing Multiple Projects

OTIG's approach offers ODOT the opportunity to select one Developer for all three Projects (Newberg- Dundee, South 1-205 Corridor and the Sunrise Project) with the confidence that a competitive selection process will be run for the Design–Build contract in each case. This may mean that there are three separate Design-Build contractors across the Projects.

Selection of one Developer would generate significant synergies, as certain issues for all three Projects could be resolved concurrently but the majority of the Pre-Development and Implementation work would be sequential. As there will be significant overlap in the Pre-Development phase of the Projects, this will benefit the allocation of ODOT resources and ensure that there is a general consistency of approach, particularly in relation to system interoperability of tolling systems and the economies of scale in operating and maintenance activities. OTIG would also be able to offer a discount of 30% in our forecast development costs across the three Projects compared to being selected for just one of the Projects.

Open Book

٠,

OTIG is committed to an "open book" partnership with ODOT, including joint analysis and consultative decision making in respect of major inputs to the financial model to ensure a competitive outcome.

Flexibility

OTIG's preliminary due diligence provides us with significant confidence that the Sunrise Project can be successfully developed as a public-private partnership. OTIG, however, recognizes that at this relatively early stage of conceptual development, there may be a number of options under consideration to ensure the ultimate success of the Project. OTIG has a disciplined approach which should ensure that development work proceeds in the most cost effective and timely manner possible. This includes ensuring that flexibility is not compromised by advancing certain areas of the Project development ahead of others. This is a common problem in our experience. In particular, technical work streams can often move ahead of commercial and financial developments, resulting in cost overruns or scope issues that later need to be modified. OTIG's disciplined approach ensures that focus is directed to key development decisions exactly when required. The members and structure of OTIG's team enhance this flexible approach as OTIG's motivation is to optimize the Project rather than drive decisions in any particular direction.

Key Issues

In this respect OTIG see the key issues for the Project as follows:

- Traffic and Toll Revenue forecasts: detailed investment grade traffic forecasts will be the key driver of the commercial structure of the Project and are likely to have significant influence over decision making.Traffic volumes for the western section are relatively strong but volumes in the eastern section are more uncertain. OTIG's preliminary analysis indicates that the whole Project is unlikely to be financeable on a standalone basis supported by toll revenues alone and that this could only work if the entire corridor traffic can be captured This creates a number of challenges. The projects overall would benefit from the system wide tolling policy which we understand is currently being developed by ODOT. OTIG would be able to offer its significant worldwide tolling experience to support development of this policy.
- Alternative Revenue Sources: in the likely event that toll revenues alone are insufficient, attention will need to be focused on alternative revenue sources. This could include support from the State, county or municipal levels of government through development levies or tax increment financing. OTIG's general preference would be to explore "shadow tolling" solutions in which public sector payments if required are made over the long term and linked to the performance of the Project. We believe this offers a better risk transfer solution to the public sector. However, OTIG is flexible if it is easier institutionally for public sector contributions to come in the form of upfront grants.
- Financing Plan: OTIG is very flexible in terms of the sources of finance for the Project. The Macquarie Group is a provider of equity finance for the Project and uses its knowledge and experience to secure the most competitive possible sources of debt finance. We are relatively indifferent as to whether debt funding is provided by the US bond market or alternative markets such as the international syndicated bank market (which was the source of debt financing for our recent SR125, Chicago Skyway and



Sea to Sky acquisitions).Our objective is to obtain the best overall financing for the project based on cost, terms and flexibility.The Macquarie Group has been a pioneer in integrating public and private sources of finance, for example being the first to combine TIFIA financing with private sector debt and equity for the SR-125 Project.

- Public Support: The Sunrise Project is much needed to relieve freight congestion and promote further corridor development. However, the Project lacks a clear focus and appears to us to have difficulty in establishing consistent levels of community and business support. CS³ and public involvement will be instrumental to a successful project.
- Safety: The highway will be constructed to ODOT and FHWA standards to insure the new expressway meets all state and federal safety requirements.
- System Wide approach: The western and eastern sections of the corridor have very different characteristics leading to advantages of phasing. Tolling approaches to the corridor should be system wide (possibly supported by tolls from 1205), supplemented by development levies or tax increment financing in the Sunrise corridor itself.
- Right of Way: The acquisition of right of way will be critical to project success. It is anticipated that the OTIG team will support ODOT but the responsibility for purchasing right of way will remain with ODOT.

Compensation and Risk

OTIG believes that that the first step in the Pre-Development Phase for the Project should be a Public Private Partnership scoping study to identify the key challenges and opportunities. This scoping study could reasonably be completed in 3-5 months from the date of selection of the Preferred Developer at a cost of \$500,000. Macquarie is very familiar with scoping studies of this type having, amongst many others worldwide, recently completed two for the Fraser River Crossing and Richmond- Airport - Vancouver Rapid Transit Project in British Columbia. Both these projects have since proceeded into successful public private partnerships. It is our view that only after completion of this scoping study in partnership with ODOT could a realistic budget and schedule be created for the remainder of the Pre- Development Phase. Our preliminary view is that a minimum of 18 months would be required in order to reach Commercial and Financial Close and that this schedule would be very dependent on the timeframe for completing the Tier 1 EIS and proceeding into Tier 2. The scoping study should provide ODOT with the confidence to proceed into further stages of the Pre-Development Phase. OTIG would look to ODOT to fund the scoping study but believe our fee for undertaking this is significantly discounted compared to the true commercial value of our time and expertise. Once a firm Pre-Development Plan and schedule is developed and agreed with ODOT as part of the scoping study OTIG would anticipate being in a position to accept a greater share of the funding risks for Pre-Development Costs, which in turn would be fairly backended to the period following completion of the Tier 1 EIS.

We believe the total budget for the Pre- Development Phase of the Project is likely to be \$15m. However this could be substantially reduced to \$10million if OTIG is appointed as the Developer of all three projects (Sunrise, Newberg- Dundee and I-205) as there are significant synergies and overlap between the three projects.

Although OTIG is prepared to accept a number of development risks, there are certain risks which we believe only ODOT can effectively manage in accordance with the agreed Project schedule:

- Political and community approvals for the project, including particularly the tolling regime for the Project
- Identifying in consultation with the Developer alternative sources of funding or revenues should tolling revenues be forecast to be insufficient
- Execution by the State and all other necessary regulatory bodies of the Implementation Agreement
- Acceptance of project specifications and performance standards
- --- Obtaining the required environmental approvals for the Project, subject to the Developer being responsible for ensuring that the detailed design complies with these approvals
- Obtaining the right of way for the Project
- Utility relocations

Implementation Phase Costs

It is even more premature to determine the costs of the Implementation Phase in this Proposal and as discussed the majority of these costs will be competitively tendered and established during the Pre-Development Phase. Implementation Costs are intended to occur after Commercial and Financial Close and therefore would be incurred by the Project Vehicle and not by ODOT.

OTIG has a strong incentive to minimize these costs in the interests of ensuring a successful outcome to the Project.

OTIG is also prepared to commit to its return parameters in the Implementation Phase, as follows:

- Equity Return: which refers to the post Project Vehicle Tax Internal Rate of Return on equity and quasi equity investment of the project over the length of the concession. MIG has one of the lowest costs of capital for investments in the market as a function of its diversified portfolio, listed status and market capitalization size. MIG is prepared to commit that, subject to its normal investment parameters as described in this Proposal and on MIG's website being satisfied by this Project, it will seek a base case target IRR of 12.50% to15.00% (based on current US\$ Treasuries yields at 4.43%) The range reflects the varying levels of risk transferred to the Developer through the Implementation Agreement negotiations.Please also note that the Financial Model may include upside case analyses which exceed this range.
- Financial Advisory Fee: MSUSA will not earn any fees, commissions or interest income in respect of the equity or debt structure of the Project other than the disclosed Financial Advisory Fee and Debt Arranging Fee and is incentivized to use its worldwide experience to obtain the most competitive possible financing for the Project. The Financial Advisory Fee for providing this expertise will be 1.00% of the total finance raised (both debt, equity and quasi equity for the Project) and the Debt Arranging Fee will be 0.50% of the total finance raised. These fees would be payable from drawdown of the Project Financing at Financial Close.



Project Management and Development Fee: MIG will provide its development expertise and will fund development costs in return for a Development Fee of \$2.5 million payable at Financial Close. MSUSA will provide its project management expertise to the project in return for an Advisory fee of \$2.5 million payable at Financial Close.

These fees would be in addition to the recovery of all out of pocket expenses incurred during the Pre-Development and Implementation Phases and would be amended, in consultation with ODOT, in the event the agreed project schedule is extended.

Benefits to ODOT

The major benefits to ODOT from our proposed approach to risk and compensation are:

- ODOT benefits from our project and cost management expertise proven in numerous competitive tenders. We have every incentive to minimize them while still ensuring that work proceeds towards a successful Project outcome
- ODOT minimises its funding of development costs except in the event the Project does not proceed. ODOT has the ability to mitigate its termination costs if there are early indications that the Project is not viable.
- Macquarie's time and internal expertise is at risk based on successful outcomes
- ODOT is assured of a competitive outcome to the Implementation Phase and the Developer's fees and returns are transparent and declared up front.

600 NORTHEAST GRAND AVENUE | PORTLAND, OREGON 97232 2736 TEL 503 797 1916 | FAX 503 797 1930



JOINT POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION SIGN - IN SHEET

September 15, 2005

NAME	JURISDICTION	INITIALS
Chair Rex Burkholder	Metro Council	
Vice Chair Rod Park	Metro Council	
Councilor Brian Newman	Metro Council	
Commissioner Sam Adams	City of Portland	
Mayor Tom Potter	City of Portland	
Mayor Rob Drake	City of Beaverton, representing Cities of Washington Co.	
Mayor Lou Ogden	City of Tualatin, representing Cities of Washington Co.	
Mr. Matthew Garrett	ODOT - Region 1	
Mr. Jason Tell	ODOT - Region 1	
Mr. Dick Pedersen	Oregon Dept. of Environmental Quality (DEQ)	
Ms. Annette Liebe	Oregon Dept. of Environmental Quality (DEQ)	
Mr. Andy Ginsburg	Oregon Dept. of Environmental Quality (DEQ)	
Mr. Fred Hansen	TriMet	
Mr. Neil McFarlane	TriMet	
Commissioner Bill Kennemer	Clackamas County	
Commissioner Martha Schrader	Clackamas County	
Mayor Paul Thalhofer	City of Troutdale, representing Cities of Multnomah Co.	
Mayor Charles Becker	City of Gresham, representing Cities of Multnomah Co.	
Councilor Lynn Peterson	City of Lake Oswego, representing Cities of Clackamas Co.	
Mayor James Bernard	City of Milwaukie, representing Cities of Clackamas Co.	
Mayor Royce Pollard	City of Vancouver	
Mr. Dean Lookingbill	SW Washington RTC	
Commissioner Roy Rogers	Washington County	
Commissioner Tom Brian	Washington County	
Commissioner Maria Rojo de	Multnomah County	
Steffey		
Commissioner Lonnie Roberts	Multnomah County	
Commissioner Steve Stuart	Clark County	
Mr. Peter Capell	Clark County	
Mr. Don Wagner	Washington State Dept. of Transportation (WSDOT)	
Mr. Doug Ficco	Washington State Dept. of Transportation (WSDOT)	
Mr. Bill Wyatt	Port of Portland	
Ms. Susie Lahsene	Port of Portland	
Commissioner Jay Waldron	Port of Portland	

Please be aware that all information submitted here will become public record, per state law, and will be made available to those who request it.



Event JPACT Location Metro Regional Center - Council Chambers

5

Date

September 15, 2005

Time 7:30 a.m.

NAME

AFFILIATION

r44 \mathcal{M} ill (-1(1 Cours aren hillen Nu0 Forest Grove Fost <ob ave FNO DOT CRC TAR Gresham a 052 HUN GOON CÛ B P ark (marrity APOWSKI Μ. ADAMS HL. ΰ١. 100A ð CAZINK. 0 Cornelius, Conneilon 0) ac やくへ KA. 1 M GRC. 10 norm What Ginn

	Metro sign-in shee	t Please be aware that all information submitted here will become public record, per state law, and will be made available to those who request it.
HETRO PEOPLE PLACES	Event JPACT Lo	ocation <u>Metro Regional Center</u> – Council Chambers
OPEN SPACES	Date September 15, 2005 Ti	me <u>7:30 a.m.</u>
	NAME	AFFILIATION
	Kicht Fralen	Motro
	•	
	<u></u>	
	- <u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•	

.

۰,