

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL OF THE
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

July 12, 1990
Regular Meeting

Councilors Present: Tanya Collier (Presiding Officer), Gary Hansen (Deputy Presiding Officer), Lawrence Bauer, Roger Buchanan, Tom DeJardin, Richard Devlin, Jim Gardner, David Knowles, Ruth McFarland, David Saucy, Jr., George Van Bergen and Judy Wyers

Councilors Absent: None

Presiding Officer Collier called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

1.1 Metro Council Representative for District 1

Presiding Officer Collier introduced David Saucy, Jr. newly-appointed councilor for District 1 replacing Mike Ragsdale who had resigned. She advised that Councilor Saucy would serve until January 1991 and would serve on the Solid Waste and Zoo Committees.

2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

None.

3. EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS

None.

4. SPECIAL ORDERS OF BUSINESS

4.1 Resolution No. 90-1299, For the Purpose of Expressing Appreciation to Mike Ragsdale for Services Rendered to the Council of the Metropolitan Service District

Motion: Councilor Bauer moved, seconded by Councilor Buchanan to suspend the Council's rules requiring resolutions introduced at the Council level to be referred to a committee.

Vote: The ten Councilors present voted in favor of the motion. Councilors Wyers and Knowles were absent.

The motion carried.

Motion: Councilor DeJardin moved, seconded by Councilor Devlin to adopt Resolution No. 90-1299.

Metro Council
July 12, 1990
Page 2

Vote: The eleven Councilors present voted in favor of the motion. Councilor Knowles was absent.

The motion carried.

The Presiding Officer then read the resolution and presented Mr. Ragsdale with a framed copy.

5. ORDINANCES, FIRST READING

5.1 Ordinance No. 90-357, For the Purpose of Establishing a Code of Ethics and Conduct for the Council of the Metropolitan Service District

The Clerk read the ordinance for a first time by title only. The Presiding Officer announced that the ordinance had been referred to the Finance Committee.

6. ORDINANCES, SECOND READINGS

6.1 Ordinance No. 90-354, Amending Metro Code Section 4.01.060 to Allow for Increased Fees on Special Admission Days

The Clerk read the ordinance for a second time by title only. The Presiding Officer announced that the ordinance had been first read before the Council on June 14 and referred to the Zoo Committee. The Zoo and Finance Committees held public hearings on the ordinance on June 21. Both Committees recommended that the Council adopt the ordinance.

Motion: Councilor McFarland, seconded by Councilor Van Bergen to adopt Ordinance No. 90-354.

Councilor Van Bergen said that the ordinance would authorize the Executive Officer to set admission prices for premium concerts and for the regular concert series. Councilor Gardner said that he had voted against the ordinance because he felt that the regular concert series should continue to be free with the regular zoo admission price.

The public hearing was opened and closed. No testimony was offered.

Vote: A roll call vote was taken resulting in all twelve Councilors voting aye.

The motion carried unanimously.

6.2 Ordinance No. 90-322A, Amending Metro Code Chapter 2.01
Relating to an Appointment to Fill a Vacancy on the Council

The Clerk read the ordinance for a second time by title only. The Presiding Officer announced that the ordinance had been first read before the Council on November 9, 1989 and referred to the Internal Affairs Committee. With the adoption of Resolution No. 90-1207 on January 11, 1990, the Internal Affairs Committee merged with the Finance Committee and the ordinance was deemed to be more appropriately referred to the Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The Intergovernmental Relations Committee considered the ordinance on January 23 and February 13 and deferred consideration until May 22. On May 22, the committee held a public hearing and worksession. On June 12, the committee recommended the Council adopt the ordinance as amended.

Motion: Councilor Knowles moved, seconded by Councilor DeJardin to adopt Ordinance No. 90-322A.

Councilor Knowles said that he had introduced the ordinance as a means of codifying the Council's process for appointing a subdistrict representative to fill a Council vacancy and to increase public participation in the process. Councilor Knowles reviewed the provisions of the ordinance which calls for notification of the appointment process in a newspaper of general circulation, similar notification to neighborhood organizations, cities, civic groups and other recognized groups, distribution of applications, a public hearing in the vacant district and interviews with applicants. Councilor Knowles also noted that the ordinance would require announcement of each Councilor's ballot.

The public hearing was opened.

Patrick Gardella, Portland, OR, said that he would like to see the District either hold a special election to fill the vacancy or, in the case where there are candidates in a pending run-off election, the candidate with the highest number of votes would serve the unexpired term. Mr. Gardella said that his concern was to allow the voters the maximum opportunity to select their representative.

Councilor McFarland pointed out that special elections were costly. She also said that selecting one of the run-off candidates might prejudice the election. Mr. Gardella said that he felt it was a credibility issue and that if the voters were not selecting their representatives, then he did not see the purpose of holding a public hearing. Councilor Devlin stated that Metro did not have the authority to fill a vacancy by special election, but it was his understanding that Senator Otto would be introducing legislation to give Metro that option, and he was supportive of that option.

Metro Council
July 12, 1990
Page 4

The public hearing was closed.

Vote: A roll vote was taken resulting in the twelve councilors present voting aye.

The motion carried.

6.3 Ordinance No. 90-352, For the Purpose of Repealing Ordinance No. 85-194 Restricting the Use of the St. Johns Landfill; Authorizing Limited Use of Metro Facilities for Disposal of Non-District Solid Waste; and Repeal of Non-District Solid Waste; and Repeal of Section 5.02.055 of the Metro Code Relation to "Out of State Surcharges"

The Clerk read the ordinance for a second time by title only. The Presiding Officer announced that the ordinance had been first read before the Council on May 24 and referred to the Solid Waste Committee. The Committee held a public hearing on the ordinance on June 5 and recommended that the Council adopt the ordinance.

Motion: Councilor Hansen moved, seconded by Councilor Wyers to adopt Ordinance No. 90-352.

Councilor Hansen presented the Solid Waste Committee's report and recommendations. He said that the ordinance would repeal Ordinance No. 85-194 and replace it with some conditions to be met for non-District waste to be disposed at Metro facilities. Councilor Hansen said that when Ordinance No. 85-194 was passed, it was anticipated that the St. Johns Landfill would reach capacity before a new landfill was available, therefore, in order to preserve the capacity of the St. Johns Landfill, Ordinance No. 85-194 was enacted to ban non-District waste from the Landfill. Since that time, a landfill has been sited and opened, and the ban is no longer necessary. Councilor Hansen said that the City of Washougal, Washington had requested use of Metro facilities for disposal during and interim period while construction of a new transfer station was underway.

The public hearing was opened and closed. No testimony was offered.

Councilor Van Bergen asked what effect a disposal agreement with the City of Washougal would have on Metro's recent settlement agreement with Oregon Waste Systems regarding failure to dispose of 90 percent of the region's waste delivered to a general purpose landfill at the Gilliam County facility. Solid Waste Director Bob Martin said that adoption of this ordinance would have no effect on any request from the City of Washougal. He said that should the

Council authorize an agreement with the City of Washougal for waste disposal, it would result in sending approximately 400 additional tons of waste per month to the Gilliam County Landfill. Mr. Martin said that there had not been a discussion as to whether that tonnage would or would not reduce the settlement agreement.

Mike Conway, Director of Public Works, Washougal, WA, testified that Washougal was approaching construction of a transfer and recycling center. He said, however, curbside recycling was due to commence in 30-45 days hence. If the city could use the Metro South facility rather than the Brooks, Oregon facility, they could save \$20-25 per ton in transport costs.

Councilor Wyers asked Mr. Conway to respond to what precautions would be used to keep recyclables out of the waste stream. Mr. Conway said that their curbside program would be a three-bin system for paper and cardboard, glass and plastics. Councilor Devlin said that the Bi-State Committee had considered the ordinance and decided to take no position. He said that there appeared to be some landfill closure issues outstanding in Clark County.

Vote: A roll call vote was taken resulting in Councilors Bauer, Buchanan, Collier, Devlin, Gardner, Hansen, Knowles, Saucy, Jr., Van Bergen and Wyers voting aye. Councilor McFarland voted nay. Councilor DeJardin was absent for the vote.

The motion carried.

7. RESOLUTIONS

7.1 Resolution No. 90-1284, For the Purpose of Establishing a Public Policy Advisory Committee for Regional Convention, Trade Performing Arts and Spectator Facilities

Motion: Councilor Knowles moved, seconded by Councilor Buchanan to adopt Resolution No. 90-1284.

Councilor Knowles presented the Convention and Visitor Facilities Committee's report and recommendations. He said that Resolution No. 90-1243, adopted by the Council on May 24, authorized a study of permanent operations' funding for Metro ERC facilities and feasibility of constructing new facilities. Resolution No. 90-1243 also directed that by separate resolution a task force be established to advise staff on the conduct of the study and develop recommended actions. Resolution No. 90-1284 would establish that advisory committee consisting of a Metro Councilor, the Executive Officer, a Portland City Councilor, one member each of the Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington County Board of Commissioners,

Metro Council
July 12, 1990
Page 6

a Metro ERC Commissioner, a member of the Portland Center for the Performing Arts Advisory Committee, three representatives of tenants of Metro ERC facilities and four to six private citizens.

Councilor Knowles said that he needed to make some technical amendments to correct grammatical and typographic errors. The amendments were made as one blanket amendment.

Amendment: Councilor Knowles moved, seconded by Councilor Devlin to: 1) in the third "Whereas" recital of the resolution change the word "ultimately" to "ultimate;" 2) in the seventh "Whereas" recital, strike the word "to" immediately preceding the word "including;" 3) in the "Be It Resolved" section 1. strike the word "a" in the second line immediately preceding the word "planning;" 4) in the last paragraph of Exhibit B add at the end of the first sentence "and confirmed by the Council."

Vote on Amendment: The nine Councilors present voted aye. Councilors DeJardin, Hansen and McFarland were absent.

The amendment carried.

Councilor Wyers said that she opposed the resolution because she did not feel that the majority of residents of the region would be able to afford tickets to such a facility if it were built. Councilor Knowles said that no decision had been made to erect new facilities. He said that the study would address if there was a need for new a new arena and/or stadium, if there was public support for a new arena and/or stadium and if a new arena and/or stadium would be feasible. He said additionally the study would address how the region could support existing facilities and the desirability of continuing or expanding support for the Performing Arts Center.

Councilor Saucy, Jr. said that while he was opposed to new facilities, he concurred with the need to study funding for present facilities. He said that for that reason, he would abstain from voting on this issue. Councilor Gardner said that he had reservations that the study was commissioned to support a conclusion that had already been made. He said that he thought that the persons that would be appointed to the advisory committee had already made a decision to pursue a new arena and/or stadium unless there was strong evidence that there were insurmountable obstacles. He noted that Exhibit B to the resolution indicated the committee would include citizen representatives "of private sector groups promoting new facilities . . ."

Councilor Hansen said that he supported the resolution because he felt that the region was changing, and in the future there may be a need for such a facility, and he thought it worthwhile to do the planning now. Councilor Devlin said that he thought it important for Metro to participate in planning on new facilities. Executive Officer Cusma said that there were strong competing interests on the Advisory Committee. She said that the major driving forces for the arena and stadium were external to Metro and that those efforts would impact the Metro ERC facilities currently operated. Ms. Cusma said that she felt it valuable for Metro to be in a position to evaluate the impact of new facilities on presently-owned ones.

Vote: A roll call vote was taken resulting in Councilors Bauer, Buchanan, Devlin, Hansen, Knowles, Van Bergen and Collier voting aye. Councilors Gardner, McFarland and Wyers voted nay. Councilor Saucy, Jr. abstained, and Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The motion carried.

7.2 Resolution No. 90-1291, Rescinding Prior Resolutions Regarding Council Budget Committee Citizen Appointments and Supporting Development of New Guidelines

Motion: Councilor Van Bergen moved, seconded by Councilor Wyers to adopt Resolution No. 90-1291.

Councilor Van Bergen presented the Finance Committee's report and recommendations. He said that he felt that it was the Council's responsibility to do the budget work of the District. He said that he did not feel that there was adequate time to educate citizens on the budget process. He said that he did not feel that very much was gained in the public perception by including citizen members. Councilor Bauer said that in his experience with citizen budget committee members, they were ineffective because he felt it unreasonable to expect a citizen to be able to conscientiously evaluate a complex budget and become familiar with the organizational structure within such a short time frame. Councilor Wyers said that Council staff had been directed to investigate other methods for citizen involvement in the budget process. Councilor Hansen said that he too supported the resolution. He noted that the Councilors, as sub-District representatives, could bring more citizen participation into the process by becoming more involved in the budget process. Presiding Officer Collier and Councilor Saucy, Jr. said that they supported the resolution.

Vote: The eleven councilors present voted aye. Councilor Buchanan was absent for the vote.

The motion carried.

7.3 Resolution No. 90-1295, Adopting the Fiscal Year 1990-91 Pay Plan for Non-Represented Employees, Awarding a Cost of Living Adjustment for Designated Non-Represented Employees, Creating New Classification and Assigning New Pay Ranges to Certain Classifications

Motion: Councilor Wyers moved, seconded by Councilor Gardner to adopt Resolution No. 90-1295.

Councilor Wyers presented the Finance Committee's report and recommendations. She said that the resolution contained five personnel actions -- awards 5 percent cost of living increase for non-represented employees, creates three new position classifications: Waste Reduction Manager, Data Resource Center Supervisor and Legal Secretary, upgrades six current position classifications, retitles and updates a Zoo position and adds two Zoo Education Division titles inadvertently omitted from the existing pay plan. Councilor Wyers noted that the action would have no budget impact.

Vote: The ten Councilors present voted in favor of the motion. Councilors Knowles and Van Bergen were absent.

The motion carried.

7.4 Resolution No. 90-1189A, Adopting the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) Bylaws

Motion: Councilor Devlin moved, seconded by Councilor Van Bergen to adopt Resolution No. 90-1189A.

Councilor Devlin presented the Intergovernmental Relations Committee's report and recommendations. Councilor Devlin said that the JPACT bylaws had been discussed at length for some time. He said that JPACT had been established in 1979 and had existed for ten years without bylaws and without a definition of the relationship between JPACT and the Metro Council. Councilor Devlin said that in 1989 requests were received from Clark County relative to C-Tran representation on JPACT and from the city of Gresham for improvement in their representation on JPACT. He said that both issues had since been resolved. Councilor Devlin said that the proposed bylaws not only addressed the Clark County and city of Gresham issues, but also defined and formalized the JPACT/Metro Council relationship. He noted that the bylaws delineated parties'

authority and responsibility, including identifying which responsibilities were shared.

Vote: The eight Councilors present voted in favor of the motion. Councilors Buchanan, DeJardin, Knowles and Wyers were absent for the vote.

The motion carried.

7.5 Resolution No. 90-1272A, Supporting Joint Efforts with the Intergovernmental Resource Center to Research the Establishment of Extended Area Service (Toll-Free Telephone Service) Within the Portland Vancouver Metropolitan Area

Motion: Councilor Bauer moved, seconded by Councilor Devlin to adopt Resolution No. 90-1272A.

Councilor Bauer presented the Intergovernmental Relations Committee's report and recommendation. He said that the matter was originally suggested by Senator Glenn Otto, and the Bi-State Committee had drafted the proposal and forwarded it to the Intergovernmental Relations Committee.

Councilor Bauer said that the resolution does not support establishing the extended toll-free telephone service, but rather supports examining the feasibility and impact of having toll-free telephone service throughout the region. Councilor Bauer said that in other communities that had instituted toll-free service between two states, the impact on the base rate had been negligible. He said that he felt that adoption of the resolution was in the overall public interest and in the interest of commerce and communication.

Vote: The ten Councilors present voted aye. Councilors DeJardin and Knowles were absent.

The motion carried.

The Presiding Officer recessed the Council at 7:00 p.m. and reconvened the meeting at 7:15 p.m.

7.6 Resolution No. 90-1293, Establishing a Process For Pursuing the Merger of Tri-Met with the Metropolitan Service District

Motion: Councilor Buchanan moved, seconded by Councilor DeJardin to adopt Resolution No. 90-1293.

Councilor Gardner presented the Intergovernmental Relations Committee's report and recommendations. He said that the intent of

Metro Council
July 12, 1990
Page 10

the resolution was to provide a transit service that would be directly accountable to the public. He said that the Committee felt that citizens of the transit district would benefit by having directly elected representation. Councilor Gardner said there could also be cost savings from economies of scale. He said a committee would be appointed to examine the issues and develop strategies for a merger.

Councilor Gardner reported that at the Intergovernmental Relations Committee meeting, Felicia Trader from the City of Portland and Dick Feeny from Tri-Met had stated that they did not feel that they had received adequate notice that the merger was being considered by the Committee. Councilor Gardner said that the agenda packets had been mailed to the Committee's normal mailing list which included a Tri-Met and Portland Transportation Department representative. He said, however, no attempt had been made by Metro to contact other parties who may be interested. Councilor Gardner also advised that at that morning's JPACT meeting a resolution designating JPACT as the committee to conduct the merger study had been adopted. He also stated that at a Portland City Council meeting that afternoon, the City Council had adopted a resolution expressing opposition to the merger study as proposed by Metro and recommending the merger study be conducted by JPACT. Councilor Gardner advised that Resolution No. 90-1293A had been distributed to the Council. The "A" version of the resolution designated JPACT to conduct a study of the transportation planning and transit service implications of a merger. The "A" version also changed the merger subcommittee from nine members to five members.

The Presiding Officer opened the public hearing.

Commissioner Earl Blumenauer, City of Portland, said that the City of Portland and JPACT felt that JPACT should have a major role in the merger study because JPACT had the responsibility for regional transportation planning. He stressed that the City felt that nothing should be done that would divide regional efforts in regard to the Westside Light Rail. He said that he was concerned that addressing a merger at this point might confuse the voters and affect the upcoming ballot measure for light rail. Commissioner Blumenauer also requested greater public involvement and better notification to interested parties.

Councilor Devlin said that accountability and responsiveness of government officials to the citizens through the election process was a part of the policy statement the Legislature made when they created Metro. He said that the Legislature saw a clear benefit of direct representation. He asked if one could measure benefits in the area of transit improvement or financing. He pointed out that

the availability of state and federal funds had a great impact on transit financing.

Commissioner Blumenauer said that the study could identify what range of financing mechanisms were available and their costs. He noted if Metro merged with Tri-Met, the character of the Council would change, and there would be costs associated with the Council doing its business. Commissioner Blumenauer said that when evaluating the fiscal aspects of a merger there were opportunities to see whether there were benefits or costs in terms of the financing mechanisms, the practices, the procedures; what would happen in adding extra staff for oversight; work load; work flow; constituent demands; consolidating meetings.

Councilor Devlin asked ultimately whose decision it would be to call for a merger. Commissioner Blumenauer said that his interpretation of the statutes is that it would be Metro's decision and that he felt comfortable with that. Councilor Devlin said that the Council's believed that a merger would be beneficial, but the resolution merely called for a study.

Councilor Hansen said that a merger would consolidate regional governments and that Metro's enabling legislation specifically called for a merger with Tri-Met. He said that he did not want to do anything that would jeopardize transit service in the region and that he felt that merger was too important a decision to defer to any other body whether it be JPACT or not.

Councilor Van Bergen said that he would support merger if it could be demonstrated that it would improve transit. He said that the resolution was weighted to support a conclusion already made. He cautioned that these efforts may confuse people with the ballot measure for transit funding. He said, however, that he was not opposed to studying a merger.

Dick Feeney, Tri-Met Executive Director of Governmental Affairs, distributed written testimony dated July 12, from the Tri-Met Board of Directors regarding their concerns about a merger. That testimony has been filed with the official record and is hereby incorporated in these minutes by reference. Mr. Feeney said that while the memo was not the result of a formal Tri-Met Board position it did reflect the views of individual members.

Mr. Feeney said that the Board felt that the hearing notification process was inadequate, he suggested the role of local governments in the process be increased, and that the study should not presuppose that there are benefits nor should it conclude that there are benefits by having elected officials control the agency.

Metro Council
July 12, 1990
Page 12

Mr. Feeney expressed concern that a merger effort would hurt the vote on a bond issue for Westside Light Rail.

He said that it was arguable that the 1969 Legislature's intent with the "marriage" clause in Metro's enabling legislation was supporting a merger. He said rather, the clause was the result of a compromise. He urged the Council to consider a resolution that did not presuppose an outcome. He questioned if the set of issues for transit were any different for the Metro Council than Tri-Met Board or if the potential for transit service would differ greatly if the Metro Council were the governing body or the Tri-Met Board. Mr. Feeney asked that the Council re-write the resolution with the idea that the Tri-Met Board would also adopt the resolution and requested the Council to ask the Tri-Met Board to do so. He said that the Tri-Met Board was interested in working with and cooperating with Metro.

Councilor Hansen suggested that a joint meeting between the Metro Council and the Tri-Met Board be scheduled. There was no further testimony, and the public hearing was closed.

Amendment: Councilor Gardner moved, seconded by Councilor Buchanan to adopt Resolution No. 90-1293A.

Motion to refer: Councilor Knowles moved, seconded by Councilor Van Bergen to refer Resolution No. 90-1293 back to the Intergovernmental Relations Committee.

Councilor Knowles explained that he thought the resolution needed additional work and that he felt referral back to committee would give the Committee an opportunity to work with the Tri-Met Board and craft a resolution that would be satisfactory to both bodies. Councilor Knowles said that he was also concerned about public notice. He said specific invitations to the meetings should have been sent to JPACT members and elected officials in each jurisdiction. Councilor Knowles said that the referral would provide additional opportunity for dialogue on the merger. He also said that he would like to see the resolution be more neutral.

Vote on referral: A roll call vote was taken resulting in ayes: Councilors DeJardin, Knowles, Saucy and Van Bergen; nays: Councilors Bauer, Buchanan, Devlin, Gardner, Hansen, McFarland, Wyers and Collier.

The motion to refer back to committee failed to carry.

The Council was recessed at 9:10 p.m. and reconvened at 9:22 p.m.

Metro Council
July 12, 1990
Page 13

Amendment: Councilor Knowles moved, seconded by Councilor Gardner to amend Resolution No. 90-1293A as follows: in the third "Whereas" recital, amend to read "Whereas, In order to pursue a merger of Tri-Met with the District, a process must be established to develop comprehensive information on potential [merger results] costs and benefits of a merger, to actively involve representatives of all interested parties, and to prepare specific actions to [achieve] remove impediments to a merger [in an efficient and effective manner]; and," In Exhibit A to the resolution, amend the "Charge" section to read as follows " . . . to develop strategies for a merger; to coordinate with JPACT on the study provided in item 2; and if a merger appears justified, to identify the best model to pursue with a specific plan for implementation." (Bracketed text is deleted; underlined text is added.)

Vote on Amendment: Eleven of the twelve Councilors present voted aye. Councilor Van Bergen voted nay.

The motion carried and the amendment was adopted.

Vote on Main Motion: Nine councilors voted aye. Councilor Van Bergen voted nay; Councilor Saucy, Jr. abstained, and Councilor Bauer was absent for the vote.

The motion carried, and Resolution No. 90-1293A as amended was adopted.

Motion: Councilor Hansen moved, seconded by Councilor Buchanan that the Intergovernmental Relations Committee be instructed to prepare an invitation to the Tri-Met Board to attend a joint meeting with the Metro Council at the Board's earliest convenience.

Vote: The eleven councilors present voted aye. Councilor Bauer was absent for the vote.

Presiding Officer Collier recessed the Council at 9:30 p.m. and convened an Executive Session held under the authority of OARS 192.660(1)(e). The Executive Session was held in Conference Room 240; present were Councilors Buchanan, DeJardin, Devlin, Gardner, Hansen, Knowles, McFarland, Saucy, Jr., Van Bergen, Wyers and

Metro Council
July 12, 1990
Page 14

Collier; Executive Officer Cusma; Deputy Executive Officer Engstrom; General Counsel Cooper; Financial Manager Jennifer Sims, Marc Madden of Coldwell Banker and the Clerk of the Council. Pending real estate transactions regarding acquiring the Sears building were discussed.

The Presiding Officer Collier adjourned the Executive Session at 10:05 p.m. and reconvened the Council.

7.7 Resolution No. 90-1274, For the Purpose of Reorganizing Council Standing Committee Membership and Making Appointments for the Remainder of 1990

Motion: Councilor DeJardin moved, seconded by Councilor Saucy, Jr. to suspend the Council rules requiring resolutions introduced at the Council level to be referred to a committee in order that the Council as a whole could consider the resolution.

Vote: The twelve Councilors present voted aye.

The motion carried.

Motion: Councilor DeJardin moved, seconded by Councilor McFarland to adopt Resolution No. 90-1274.

Vote: The eight Councilors present voted aye. Councilors Bauer, Buchanan, Van Bergen and Wyers were absent for the vote.

The motion carried.

7.8 Resolution No. 90-1292, Adopting the Metro Washington Park Zoo Strategic Plan "Visions and Values" as Principles for Metro and for the FY90-91 Zoo Master Plan Update

Motion: Councilor Gardner moved, seconded by Councilor DeJardin to adopt Resolution No. 90-1292.

Councilor Gardner presented the Zoo Committee's report. He said that almost every Zoo staff person had participated in the developing the visions and values. Councilor Gardner said that the planning process had taken a year.

Vote: The eight Councilors present voted aye. Councilors Bauer, Buchanan, Van Bergen and Wyers were absent for the vote.

The motion carried.

Metro Council
July 12, 1990
Page 15

8. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATIONS & COMMITTEE REPORTS

8.1 Water Resources Management Work Plan

Councilor McFarland reviewed the materials in the agenda packet. No action was requested.

8.2 Finance Committee Report on the Committee's Review of the "Procedures Report Regarding the Exposition Recreation Commission of the City of Portland"

Dominic Buffetta briefed the Council on progress toward addressing issues and questions which had arisen as a result of the financial audit done by Peat Marwick. In each area, actions had been initiated to address or correct the situation.

Councilor Devlin reported that the Governor's Office had requested that Metro participate in a project to establish guideposts to measure the progress of the State over the next 20 years. A subcommittee consisting of Councilors Devlin, DeJardin and Saucy, Jr. was appointed to prepare a draft report to be presented to the Council September 1.

There was no other business, and the meeting was adjourned at 10:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Gwen Ware-Barrett

Gwen Ware-Barrett
Clerk of the Council