METRO



2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 503/221-1646

October 28, 1991

TO: Tanya Collier, Presiding Officer

Rena Cusma, Executive Officer

FROM:

The Portland Future Focus final report identifies Metro as the responsible (lead) agency in achieving results outlined under "Program/Action Items".

Memorani

Metro also is identified as having some responsibility for the accomplishment of other listed "Program/Action Items".

Follow-thru is expected of Metro and of other agencies designated as "lead".

The following paragraph from the report describes the Future Focus implementation process:

"The work of Portland Future Focus does not end with the A wide variety of community release of this plan. organizations will be working over the next three to five years to set their action steps in motion. In addition, an Implementation Committee of citizens will champion the plan. This committee will be charged not only with monitoring the action steps but also with coordinating any changes to the currently envisioned, subcommittees of the plan. As Implementation Committee will be formed to oversee each of the six action plans. These subcommittees will work closely with to facilitate implementation. The organizations implementation phase must be a dynamic process of interaction between the community, implementing organizations and the Implementation Committee."

I suggest the following steps be taken to assure Metro follow - thru occurs and is clearly understood.

(1) Program/Action Item(s) will be reviewed by the appropriate departmental staff. Written staff reviews utilizing the Future Focus format will expand, alter, or otherwise refine or elaborate upon the "action" contained in the report.

(2) Executive Officer will review expanded scenarios produced with staff.

- (3) Material prepared by staff and reviewed by Executive Officer will be forwarded to Presiding Officer for assignment to Council Committees.
- (4) Staff responds to Committee questions or requests for information, if any.
- (5) Following our internal policy discussion and conclusions, this office will contact the Future Focus Implementation Committee to convey Metro's resulting "lead" agency scenarios and anticipated timelines.
- cc: Department Heads Council

Strategy #1: Maintain livability in the Portland metropolitan region through an integrated planning process which focuses appropriate growth in the Central City, protects the natural environment and open spaces, strengthens cultural programs, and enhances neighborhoods.

	Program/Action Item	Responsibility	Timeline	Resources	Obstacles	Milestones
1.1	Recognize the region's Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) as a mech- anism to shape regional urban form based on a regional growth manage- ment plan. Such a plan will reflect a long-term vision for the regional urban form including satellite cities, increased densities, exception areas, urban reserves and linked greenways and natural areas. Implement the adopted Regional Growth Goals and Objectives (RUGGOs). Until that regional growth management plan is in place, there will be a presump- tion that the UGB will be main- tained in its current location. Amend Metro's statute or charter to enable it to adopt a regional growth management plan.	Metro (lead); Land Conservation and Devel- opment Commission; cities and counties in the region; Oregon Legisla- ture	Adoption of Re- gional Urban Growth Goals and Objectives by September 1991. Long-term vision and regional comprehensive plan in place by 1995.	In place	Pressure from developers to expand the boundary Working with State of Washing- ton to include Clark County in the plan. Lack of coopera- tion among counties	Adoption of long- term vision and regional growth management plan for Multnomah, Clackamas, Washington, Yamhill, Colum- bia and Clark counties. Enabling legisla- ton in 1993 session.

Strategy #1: Maintain livability in the Portland metropolitan region through an integrated planning process which focuses appropriate growth in the Central City, protects the natural environment and open spaces, strengthens cultural programs, and enhances neighborhoods.

1.2

Program/Action Item	Responsibility	Timeline	Resources	Obstacles-	Milestones
Create a regional system of linked natural areas, open space, trails, and greenways integrated with landscape features, natural areas, wildlife refuges, rivers, streams and crop lands.	Metro (lead); City of Portland; regional cities; business; Multnomah, Clark, Clackamas and Washington Counties	Program was initiated as a regional park inventory and study in 1989. The program entered Phase 3 (analysis, master plan and public outreach) in January 1991, and it will run through February 1992. Begin "Green- spaces" acquisi- tion, protection and preservation" in 1992.	This will be implemented as part of Metro's Green- spaces program. Over 60 parties are already participating in this project. Funding has been received from a variety of sources: Local govern- ments, nonprofits, foundations, neighbor- hoods, special districts, federal and state govern- ments, and Metro excise taxes. Conservation groups.	Lack of financing strategy for land acquisition; confusion about jurisdictional roles and respon- sibilities; uncer- tainty of future funding; resis- tance by some local jurisdictions to regional government.	Improve water quality and quantity; preserve natural habitat and biodiversity; expand pedestrian access; provide an environmental education pro- gram; watershed management pro- gram; institute multi-objective management of urban streams and rivers; change in the compre- hensive plans of all jurisdictions involved by 1995.

Maintain livability in the Portland Metropolitan region through an integrated planning process which focuses appropriate growth in the Central Strategy #1: City, protects the natural environment and open spaces, strengthens cultural programs, and enhances neighborhoods.

	Program/Action Item	Responsibility	Timeline	Resources	Obstacles	Milestones
1.3	Institute ecosystem protection, restoration & management. pro- gram that integrates landscape ecology, protection of open space, wildlife refuge parks, crop lands and the maintenance of air and water quality with economic development The programs should also implement waste management and recycling.	Environmental Commis- sion	Integration by 1993	City Council; Bureau of Planning; Bureau of Environmental Services ; Bureau of Parks; Office of Transportation; Bureau of Water; Businesses; Neighborhood groups; conservation groups; Metropolitan Green- spaces; Architect and planning organizations.	Budget process; resistance from development community	Attainment of all mandated re- quirements by 2010; watershed protection plans by 1993; assess- ment of long- term cost savings of program by 1995; integration of programs with air and transpor- tation elements of PFF. Increased pedestrian access to a regional sys- tem of paths by 1997.
1.4	Develop a functional plan for change in the current zoning structure to enhance mixed uses, increase density, encourage alterna- tives to automobile travel, and provide affordable housing along transit corridors.	Metro (lead); Land Conservation and Deve- lopment Commission; City of Portland; Associa- tion for Portland Pro- gress; Multi-family Housing Council; American Institute of Architects	Plan in place by 1993 Housing rule change by June 1992	In place Metro's ability to build functional plans along light rail transit corridors. The plan needs to reflect the density needed to support transit.	Some neighbor- hoods will oppose	Raise minimum density in LCDC and Metro Hous- ing Rule to 8, 10, 12 units per acre depending on city size and location; Metro plan by 1993.

Strategy #1:

1.5

#1: Maintain livability in the Portland metropolitan region through an integrated planning process which focuses appropriate growth in the Central City, protects the natural environment and open spaces, strengthens cultural programs, and enhances neighborhoods.

Program/Action Item	Responsibility	Timeline	Resources	Obstacles	Milestones
Recognize the arts commissions as mechanisms to shape, protect and enhance the built environment. Develop a regional approach to funding, planning and administer- ing arts programs.	Metro (lead); Metropoli- tan Arts Commission, small and large arts organizations in the region.	Full administra- tion of a regional arts program by 1994	New dedicated taxes will be required	Some local communities will resist regional planning Citizens will resist a new tax.	Completion of Arts Plan 2000 Plus by 1992
					8
					1

Strategy #2:

2.1

2.2

#2: Develop a transportation system that preserves basic mobility, conserves natural resources efficiently and at a sustainable level, and is paid for by its users.

Program/Action Item	Responsibility	Timeline	Resources	Obstacles	Milestones
Revise transportation investment evaluation criteria to give greatest weight to natural resource con- sumption factors (consumption of land, airshed, energy); other factors (traffic intensity; economic develop- ment) shall be a secondary part of the evaluation criteria.	Oregon Department of Transportation(lead); Land Conservation and Development Commis- sion; State Agency Council for Growth (coordination)	Revise the criteria by December 1992	In place	Resistance by affected agencies; lack of public acceptance; resis- tance by special interests	Density near trans. routes Air quality
Revise regional transportation plan to reflect the new criteria	Metro	Revise the plan by December 1993	In place for 1991-92 Fiscal Year; resources not allocated beyond 91-92	Lack of resources; government inertia	Decreasing urban space dedicated to roads. Increased density along transit routes, Improved air quality.
Initiate a Constitutional amendment to allow gas tax and vehicle registra- tion funds to be used for mass transit and direct Oregon Depart- ment of Transportation to allocate resources based on the criteria in action 2.1.	Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transpor- tation to lead the forma- tion of a citizen steering committee to lead this effort	1993 Session	In place; Mayor's Office	Automobile Asso- ciation of Amer- ica; Oregon Truc- kers; Rural cities and counties	Density near trans. routes Air quality

Strategy #2: Develop a transportation system that preserves basic mobility, conserves natural resources efficiently and at a sustainable level, and is paid for by its users.

	Program/Action Item	Responsibility	Timeline	Resources	Obstacles	Milestones
2.3	Seek legislative approval for a regional vehicle registration fee based on efficiency and miles traveled.	City of Portland(lead); Metro; Department of Environmental Quality; regional counties & cities	Prepare legis. by Dec. 1992	User pay	Lack of resources; Automobile Association of America	Reduction in miles driven in the region; Legislation in place.
2.4	After passage, impose a special vehicle registration fee within Portland's Inspection/Maintenance Boundary calculated to reflect 1) vehicle miles traveled (in registra- tion period); 2) vehicle weight (engine displacement); 3) engine combustion efficiency. Establish sliding scale to assess higher fees to less efficient vehicles and lower fees to less efficient vehicles.	Oregon Department of Transportation (lead); Department of Environ- mental Quality (assist in setting fees)	Implement fee by Jan. 1994	User pay	Lack of resources; Automobile Association of America; Oregon Truckers; public opposition	Reduction in vehicle miles traveled; higher average fleet fuel economy; reduced vehicle emissions.
2.5	Apply revenues from fee in 2.4 to improving air quality and upgrading the multi-modal transportation system, including arterial streets and non-motorized transportation. Expenditures should also work to address issues of social equity.	Oregon Department of Transportation; Metro	July 1995	User pay		

-

Strategy #2: Develop a transportation system that preserves basic mobility, conserves natural resources efficiently and at a sustainable level, and is paid for by its users.

2.6

2.7

Program/Action Item	Responsibility	Timeline	Resources	Obstacles	Milestones
Revise the Land Conservation and Development Commission transpor- tation rule #12 to set maximum parking transportation standards for commercial, industrial and institu- tional developments. The standards could decline over time as transit service improves. The revisions should assist in creating compact development, encouraging mass transit, carpools and vanpools and reducing congestion. Revise local transportation plans with measures to reduce auto usage.	Land Conservation and Development Commis- sion; Tri Met	 Revisions to the rule adopted by Land Conservation and Development Commission by August 1992. Revisions to local transportation plans complete by August 1993 	In place Each local government's transportation budget	Developers are generally opposed to parking limitations	Air Quality State Implementation Plan is changed to effect reduced vehicle travel to meet and main- tain air quality standards. The number of cit- ies with parking standards; the number of parking spaces reduced or restricted because of those standards
Establish a system of highway tolls collected electronically and keyed to peak hour travel. Seek legislative approval for a regional electronic toll system. Tolls would be based on peak/off-peak travel and calculated to pay for the true costs of conges- tion. Funds would be expended within the assessment area for tax reduction, transportation system improvements, and mitigation of impact on low income residents	City of Portland (lead); Oregon Department of Transportation; Metro; Department of Environ- mental Quality	Approval of 1993 legislature Implement by June 1994	Revenue bonds to be repaid by user fees	Need for both state and federal legislation; strong public opposition; high public costs	Decreased traffic congestion, de- crease in vehicle miles traveled, improved air qua- lity, increase in transit ridership.

Strategy #2: Develop a transportation system that preserves basic mobility, conserves natural resources efficiently and at a sustainable level, and is paid for by its users.

Program/Action Item	Responsibility	Timeline	Resources	Obstacles	Milestones
Create additional spokes of hubbed light rail system with corridors connecting Portland, Oregon City and Vancouver. Continue to focus on the Central City while adding light rail access to the airport, Milwaukie, Oregon City, Lake Oswego, Tigard, Hillsboro and Vancouver.	Tri- Met (lead); City of Portland; Metro; State of Oregon; State of Washington	Seek appropriation from 1995 Oregon Legislature for the Oregon City spoke. Seek approp. from the 1999 Oregon and Washington Legislatures for the Vancouver spoke	Additional funding will be necessary for the Oregon City and Vancouver spokes.	Obtaining the funding Failure to have a clear strategy for overall implemen- tation of light rail. Lack of commit- ment to a com- pact urban form.	Adequate funding for completion of the light rail system. No more than 1/2 an hour commute (one-way) for 80% of work trips. Reduced daily vehicle trips in the metropolitan area.

2.8

:

ه به به نه نه نه به به به به به به نه نه نه نه نه نه نه نه به

Portland Future Focus Managing Regional Growth Action Plan

Strategy #3: Consolidate programs and services at the most appropriate level of government for taxation and delivery purposes.

	Program/Action Item	Responsibility	Timeline	Resources	Obstacles	Milestones
3.1	Ensure that full municipal services are available throughout urban areas in the region by annexing all of the urban land to Portland or other existing cities.	Cities in the region	Full transition complete by 2000.	Traditional revenue sources supplemented by a local option sales tax	Resistance of smaller cities and service districts. The need for a broad coalition of public and business support	20% of unicorpo- rated urban land added to cities by 1995 Urban service agreement in place on Port- land's west-side boundary by 1995
3.2	In consultation with other govern- ments in the region, consolidate services now delivered by Metro and the three metropolitan counties under a single governmental unit and allocate urban functions and revenue between this unit and other local units.	Metro (lead); three metro counties; cities; service providers; Portland State Univer- sity; City Club	Begin immediately through Metro's charter review process. A package of implementing bills prepared for 1995 legislative session.	PSU could conduct a study of current regional demands and the feasibil- ity of regional govern- ment. Restructuring of revenue sources may be neces- sary.	Reluctance of jurisdictions to consolidate. Lack of a broad constituency in support of region- al government	

Strategy #4: Plan housing regionally to achieve increased density, infill, improved transitn, bicycle and pedestrian orientation, diversity, equal opportunity, and affordability.

Program/Action Item	Responsibility	Timeline	Resources	Obstacles	Milestones
Amend local and regional plans to promote the construction for a variety of affordable housing types in cohesive neighborhoods.	Metro (lead); LCDC; cit- ies; housing authorities	Amendments to Metropolitan Housing Rule by September 1993; local plans respond to rule changes by 1995	Land Conservation and Development Commis- sion staff; local govern- ments planning depts; Metro; neighborhood groups; planning com- missions; city councils		Greater variety of housing types; greater number of housing projects built at or near full densities
2 Develop a regional housing plan to address issues of affordability, den- sity, home ownership, neighbor- hoods and location. It should include: attainment of affordability targets, minimum density require- ments, affordable housing close to employment, variety of housing types, goals for mixed-use development.	Metro (lead); all local governments	1996	Metro staff; local govern- ment staff; businesses; Housing Authority of Portland	1986 Federal tax package; access to financing; lack of regional consen- sus; building codes; community development corporations.	Portland - Mult- nomah County Consolidated Housing Afforda- bility Study completed by November 1991; employer assisted programs in the city and suburbs; decline in the percentage of substandard housing; a higher percentage of projects built at or near maximum density; the number of units for low-income households.

Page 134

####