

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

September 27, 1979

Councilors in Attendance

Chairman Michael Burton
Vice Chairman Donna Stuhr
Coun. Craig Berkman
Coun. Corky Kirkpatrick
Coun. Jack Deines
Coun. Jane Rhodes
Coun. Betty Schedeen
Coun. Caroline Miller
Coun. Cindy Banzer
Coun. Charles Williamson
Coun. Gene Peterson
Coun. Marge Kafoury

Others In Attendance

Mr. Garry Tucker
Mr. Gary Mittelstaedt
Mr. Bob Weil
Ms. Colleen Greenacres
Ms. Bebe Rucker
Mr. Paul Bay
Mr. Bill Cooley
Mr. Bill Gilham
Ms. Adrienne Brockman
Mr. Larry Kressel
Ms. Barbara Wiggins
Mr. Phil Adamsak

Staff In Attendance

Executive Officer, Rick Gustafson
Mr. Denton U. Kent
Mr. James Sitzman
Mr. William Ockert
Ms. Judith Bieberle
Ms. Peg Henwood
Ms. Sue Klobertanz
Mr. Mel Huie
Mr. Michael Butts
Ms. Deanna Mueller-Crispin
Mr. Jack Bails
Mr. John Gregory
Mr. Charles Shell
Mr. McKay Rich
Mr. Rod Boling
Ms. Jill Hinckley
Mr. Tom O'Connor
Mr. Warren Iliff
Ms. Michele Wilder
Ms. Mary Carder
Mr. Wayne Coppel
Mr. Lynn Dingler

**Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979**

CALL TO ORDER

After declaration of a quorum, the September 27, 1979, meeting of the Council of the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) was called to order by Presiding Officer Michael Burton at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chamber of the Metropolitan Service District offices at 527 S. W. Hall, Portland, Oregon 97201.

Chairman Burton announced that there would be a change in meeting dates for the meetings in November and December. The second meeting in November will be on November 20, 1979, rather than November 22, 1979, which is Thanksgiving. To accommodate the Christmas holidays, the December meetings of the Council will be on December 6 and December 20, which are the first and third Thursdays rather than the second and fourth Thursdays.

Chairman Burton announced that there would be a change in the agenda order to accommodate elected officials and members of the public who wished to be present at the Fairview and Multnomah County hearings. The Comprehensive Plan Acknowledgment Requests for the City of Fairview and for Multnomah County had been moved up on the agenda, following the Executive Officer's Report.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

There were no introductions.

2. WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS TO THE COUNCIL

There were no written communications to the Council to be introduced at this time.

3. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS TO THE COUNCIL ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

Mr. Garry Tucker, a citizen from the city of Durham, appeared in opposition to the investigation which was ongoing near the city of Durham to determine the feasibility of use of the Durham Pits as a landfill. He objected to the expenditure of funds saying that, in his opinion, it would take a tremendous amount of money to effectively seal that land. He said that the people of Durham were basically aware that there was a need but they were very much concerned with consideration of this particular site.

4. CONSENT AGENDA

4.1 Minutes of the meeting of August 23, 1979.

4.2 A-95 Review directly related to Metro.

Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979

4.3 Contracts

4.4 Resolution No. 79-87, Amending Transportation Improvement Program to Authorize Funding of the Banfield Transitway Project.

4.5 Resolution No. 79-88, Authorizing Funding for Right-of-Way Acquisition for Powell II Project.

Chairman Burton said that under Contracts, it had been requested that the second contract be pulled from consideration at this meeting. A third item, Authorization of Contract for Survey, would be added under this Contract item.

The Chairman requested that items 4.4 and 4.5 be taken from the Consent Agenda for consideration separately.

Coun. Stuhr moved, seconded by Coun. Kirkpatrick, that the items on the Consent Agenda be approved.

Coun. Rhodes said she had a correction to the minutes. On page 9, the section concerning the definition of Public Facilities, the third paragraph on the page, the last sentence, "All Councilors present voting aye," Coun. Rhodes asked to have it noted that she had voted "nay."

Coun. Banzer commented with respect to the authorization for contract survey that an informal task force on public information be involved in the questions that were asked through the survey.

Coun. Deines asked if an RFP had gone out on this proposal.

The Executive Officer said that one bid had been received and that he expected that a selection would be made within the next few days. Mr. Gustafson said that he would be prepared to make a recommendation at the next Council meeting.

Chairman Burton explained that the contract was for a public opinion survey to provide data to the newly formed Finance Task Force to aid the Task Force in its deliberations and to assist the Council in an eventual decision on replacement of funding that will expire in 1981 for both Metro and the Washington Park Zoo. Chairman Burton explained that the professionals would prepare questions they felt would obtain the best perspective on public attitudes, but that these questions would be reviewed by the Ways and Means Committee before the survey was conducted.

Question called on the motion. All Councilors present voted

Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979

aye, except Coun. Deines who voted nay. The motion carried.

4.4 Resolution No. 79-87, Amending Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), to Authorize Funding of the Banfield Transitway Project.

Coun. Peterson moved, seconded by Coun. Kafoury, that Resolution No. 79-87 be adopted.

All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

4.5 Resolution No. 79-88, Authorizing Funding for Right-of-Way Acquisition for Powell II Project.

Coun. Rhodes moved, seconded by Coun. Deines, that Resolution No. 79-88 be adopted.

Coun. Rhodes voiced an objection to the widening of Powell Blvd., since it was in her neighborhood. She said that she realized that the project was necessary, but that she was not happy with what the project would do to her area.

Question called on the motion. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

5. REPORTS

5.1 Report from Executive Officer.

A-95 designation for Metropolitan Service District: The Executive Officer explained that Governor Atiyeh had not signed into effect the designation of Metro as the A-95 Review agency for this administrative district. He had received no opinion from Columbia County Commissioners regarding the A-95 designation and wanted to contact them before signing this document.

Chairman Burton asked if Metro had not been assured that there was no difficulty with Columbia County. Mr. Kent said information had been received from the IRD that they would appreciate it if Metro did not pursue the course of taking Columbia County out of this district. Columbia County joins with Metro in A-95 and LEAA. Columbia County was aware that the designation would expire.

Coun. Miller asked what the consequences would be in holding programs in abeyance. Mr. Kent explained that there is one A-95 Review at the State level and one at

Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979

Metro level. Metro staff will continue to do its job as though approval had been given.

The Executive Officer told the Council that he had just returned from Washington, D. C., where he had met with many officials and discussed mutual interests. The meetings had been quite productive with one result being a proposal through the National Association of Regional Councils (NARC) to formulate a lobby effort for individual councils.

The Executive Officer continued that he had a very productive meeting with Congressman Ullman, who is investigating the use of tax exempt bonds for solid waste facilities.

Mr. Gustafson informed the Council that the Metro movie concerning solid waste disposal has been completed and will be shown Wednesday noon to staff. Any Councilors who can are invited to attend that meeting for a preview of the movie.

Coun. Berkman asked about revenue coming to the agency and interpretation of revenue data. Mr. Shell said that Metro has been able to pool investments with the City of Portland, thereby earning interest of at least one percent more than through past investments. This is an interim move while staff is investigating other alternatives. More emphasis will be placed on investing on our own.

5.2 Council Committee Reports

Metropolitan Development: Coun. Kafoury said that the Planning and Development Committee, at its last meeting, had discussed the goals and objectives document in detail, and approved it with some amendments. The Committee reviewed the Durham and Fairview acknowledgment requests and recommended that the Fairview request be approved. The Committee also reviewed the Multnomah County request and is recommending approval of that. An item on appealing a Clackamas County subdivision to LCDC was discussed by the Committee, and will come to the Council later for action.

Solid Waste/Public Facilities: Coun. Deines said the next meeting of the Public Facilities Committee will be Tuesday when the Committee will discuss the newly announced possible landfill sites. The Committee is beginning work on an LID ordinance. This will be an enabling ordinance

Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979

for Metro to set up LID's.

A contract has been written with SCS Engineering to do a solid waste flow and quantity study and water quality study to see if there is sufficient solid waste material for the Oregon City plant.

Coun. Rhodes said that the Johnson Creek Task Force is reviewing the Portland State University water quality sampling and testing contract with staff to establish an effective water quality sampling system.

Coun. Deines commented on the proposed landfill site at 106th and Division. He said the Committee is asking for formal Council approval to proceed with investigation of that site. Coun. Kafoury expressed concern that the public announcement of the sites was made before the Council had been informed. Mr. Gustafson explained that staff is investigating this as well as other sites in an ongoing investigative program to check out all available sites.

Coun. Berkman ^{T. J. J. J. J.} said that he had been informed that the owner of the sand and gravel pit had not expressed an interest in using the property for this kind of facility. Mr. Gustafson said the owner had talked to Metro staff and was not totally against use of that site as a landfill.

*Amended
10/25/79*

Transportation Committee: Coun. Williamson said the Transportation Committee met twice this month, once as the Transportation Committee and once as JPACT. He said that the Committee will be meeting next week to discuss air quality issues.

Chairman Burton asked for Council approval of appointments to the Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee. He recommended the following people for appointment: David O. Jermain; Bill Jastram; John Hankee; J. Richard Forrester; Mrs. E. Kimbark MacColl.

Coun. Schedeen moved, seconded by Coun. Kafoury, that the persons named by the Chairman be approved for membership on the Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee.

All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

Johnson Creek Task Force: Coun. Rhodes said Councilors have received a copy of her report on the "208" Conference

Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979

she attended in Seattle. Minutes will be distributed and a Work Statement has been approved by the Task Force which will be going to Committee. The Task Force has reviewed the LID and will be working directly with the City Council on the LID General Umbrella Statement.

Zoo Committee: Coun. Banzer said the Zoo Committee wanted to apologize for omitting Metro from the draft questionnaire which was handed out two weeks ago. This was an oversight and has been corrected.

Coun. Banzer reminded Councilors of the public meetings that will be held during the month of October. The first is scheduled Wednesday, October 3 at 7:00 p.m. at the Portland School District Administration Building. She asked that all Councilors attend these hearings, especially when they are held in their district.

Ways and Means Committee: Coun. Kirkpatrick reported that the Finance Task Force will meet Monday, October 1. Coun. Kirkpatrick said that the remaining members of the Task Force have been approved with the exception of one for the City of Portland, which has not at this time been designated.

Coun. Kirkpatrick moved, seconded by Coun. Stuhr, that the Council appoint the following persons to the Finance Task Force: Joyce Cohen, Keith Burns, Jim Atkinson, and Ted Hallock.

All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

Coun. Kirkpatrick informed the Council that the deadlines set in the original budget resolution had requested that the year end report and fund balance be given to the Council on August 15. That report will not be prepared until October 16, with the quarterly report following. The audit report will be ready the first of the year.

Coun. Kirkpatrick continued that the Council had instructed the Ways and Means Committee to structure a budget retreat. The Committee has suggested that this retreat be held December 1. She asked that each Council Committee prepare Council goals and objectives to be discussed at that meeting.

Chairman Burton announced that items 6.9 and 6.10 would be discussed at this time.

Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979

A short break was taken.

Mr. Bill Gilham of the Durham City Council asked permission to discuss submission of the Durham Comprehensive Plan to the LCDC and to Metro. He asked consideration of assistance to Durham in its Plan preparation.

Chairman Burton said that he understood that the Durham Plan would be before the Council at the next meeting. Coun. Stuhr asked if Mr. Gilham would like an informal get-together with the Council members to discuss these communications. Mr. Gilham said this would be a good thing. Coun. Rhodes suggested that the Durham Plan be presented to the Planning and Development Committee.

6.9 Resolution No. 79-97, Recommending Continuance of Multnomah County Request for Acknowledgment of Compliance with LCDC Goals.

Ms. Sue Klobertanz gave the background of the consideration of the Multnomah County Comprehensive Plan.

Coun. Kafoury said the Planning and Development Committee had met about a month ago with several representatives of Multnomah County planning staff and had a discussion of staff's acknowledgment review of Multnomah County Comprehensive Plan.

Coun. Kafoury moved, seconded by Coun. Schedeen, that Resolution No. 79-97 be adopted.

Mr. Ed Sullivan, representing people he had listed in a letter transmitted by him to the Council on September 19, outlined the basis of his objections to the approval of the Multnomah County Comprehensive Plan.

Ms. Adrienne Brockman, a representative of the Multnomah County staff, said that the Metro staff had a copy of Mr. Sullivan's petition when it prepared its staff report. She said that Multnomah County concurs with the staff report and will do the things required of Metro staff. She said that Multnomah County is anxious to get on with the Plan to get into compliance. She distributed a letter from County Executive Don Clark, saying that Multnomah County would undertake the work tasks required with the exception of the realignment of the Urban Growth Boundary in the West Hills.

Mr. Sullivan said that his letter of September 19 had contained materials in addition to those that were

Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979

detailed in the staff report. He outlined his objections to the Plan, saying that the process for approval of certain types of housing was deficient in that there was no opportunity for public input and no opportunity for appeal. Mr. Sullivan declared that the buildable land inventory was inconsistent and inadequate.

Ms. Brockman said that the petition would go to LCDC and that it was their place to answer these questions. She explained the County's mobile home policy.

Councilors questioned Ms. Brockman about provisions made by Multnomah County for substitutes to mobile homes in Multnomah County.

Mr. Duncan Brown, housing planner for Multnomah County, said provision was made for multiple unit structures in all zones which would allow other forms of housing at a cost comparable to that of mobile homes. Councilors questioned Mr. Sullivan further concerning housing and the rationale for the procedural policies adopted by the County.

Coun. Kafoury moved, seconded by Coun. Berkman, to amend the main motion to add that clear standards and equitable procedural requirements for approval of mobile home development were needed for compliance.

Several Councilors voiced their sentiments and said that they would vote against the amendment. Coun. Williamson asked if he was correct in his assumption that this resolution would have to be passed at this time in some form and asked what would happen if Council did not pass it.

Mr. Sitzman said that Metro would be become delinquent in its response to LCDC and that LCDC would go ahead with its report without any input on these issues. Ms. Jill Hinckley said that Metro staff had discussed this matter with the LCDC staff and asked if it was possible to get an extension; DLCD had said this was not a possibility.

Coun. Williamson moved to amend the amendment to delete the words "is needed" and say "should be considered." Coun. Kafoury accepted that as a friendly amendment. Coun. Berkman said that, as second, he would not accept this friendly amendment without discussion.

Chairman Burton called for a second to the amendment. Since no second was forthcoming, the motion failed.

Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979

Coun. Berkman said he had seconded Coun. Kafoury's amendment for two reasons: 1) the procedural issue--Council needs to make a decision and he did not want to see the Council do to Multnomah County what LCDC had done to Metro; 2) his feeling that the Council would consider the amendment to the main motion to show that Multnomah County, must bring before LCDC the basic rationale for making the distinction as to mobile homes.

There was further Council discussion of the motion.

Mr. Larry Kressel, of the Multnomah County Council staff, said that these identical issues would be raised and debated at length at the LCDC level. He felt that it was by no means clear that it was the duty of the Metro Council to make a decision about what these goals meant in this particular case.

Question was called on the motion. Roll call vote. Couns. Kafoury, Berkman, Kirkpatrick, Schedeen voted aye; Couns. Peterson, Burton, Stuhr, Williamson, Deines, Rhodes, Miller voted nay; Coun. Banzer abstained. The motion failed.

Coun. Kirkpatrick asked to offer an amendment on the sewer provision. She felt this language was not strong enough in that she did not feel that septic tanks should continue to be allowed in Multnomah County.

Coun. Kirkpatrick moved, seconded by Coun. Stuhr, that the language under Item No. 1 of Exhibit "B" be changed to say: "The County's policy is to protect groundwater quality through phasing out of septic tanks and systems and provision of sewer services for all new development. The County will work cooperatively with the DEQ to develop and implement a plan for this purpose."

Coun. Williamson moved, seconded by Coun. Stuhr, that the Council postpone consideration of this resolution until its next meeting.

Coun. Kafoury asked for a clear answer to the ramification of that action in terms of the Council review of this Plan with LCDC.

Ms. Klobertanz clarified that Metro staff had requested an extension of time to review the Plan and was told that it was not possible to receive one. If the Council forwarded its recommendation after the review date had passed, the

Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979

Metro report could be put before the LCDC at its meeting.

Councilors discussed the issue, and their concerns about the Multnomah County Plan.

Question was called on the motion. Roll call vote. Couns. Banzer, Peterson, Burton, Stuhr, Williamson, Berkman, Kirkpatrick and Deines voted aye. Couns. Rhodes, Schedeen, Miller and Kafoury voted nay. The motion carried.

6.10 Resolution No. 79-98, Recommending the City of Fairview's Request for Acknowledgment of Compliance with the LCDC Goals.

Mr. Tom O'Connor outlined the Fairview Plan and explained that the City's planning process was delayed by regional disputes over the UGB and Urban Planning Area agreements. After working with Metro staff very closely over the last year, the Planning Commission and the City Council have passed a revised Comprehensive Plan which has been submitted to Metro for review.

Coun. Kafoury said the Planning and Development Committee had reviewed the Fairview Plan and were aware of the issues raised by the city of Gresham. The Planning and Development Committee has recommended that the full Council recommend acknowledgment of the Fairview Plan.

Coun. Kafoury moved, seconded by Coun. Peterson, that Resolution No. 79-98 be adopted.

Coun. Schedeen congratulated the city of Fairview and said she thought they had done a very fine job.

Chairman Burton referred to letters from the city of Fairview and the city of Gresham, and said the Council has copies of this correspondence.

Mr. Jim Sitzman said the issue of sewage capacity raised by the city of Gresham concerns an area outside the city limits of Fairview, but within an area Fairview has identified as an urban planning area. Mr. Sitzman stated that Fairview was requesting acknowledgment for its city limits only. Mr. Sitzman suggested that the jurisdictions continue working through the consortium to resolve the sewer treatment problems and said that the staff is prepared to work with them, if necessary.

Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979

Roll call vote on the motion. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

6.1 Resolution No. 79-89, Authorizing new Positions Involving Reorganization of the Transportation Department.

Coun. Williamson moved, seconded by Coun. Miller, that Resolution No. 79-89 be adopted.

Mr. Ockert explained that a review of priority tasks facing the Transportation Department had revealed that a reorganization would bring about staff arrangements that would better respond to Council priorities. He said that adequate transportation planning funds exist to implement the proposal and that endorsement of the proposed concept will create two new positions and authorization for the Executive Officer to implement the reorganization.

Chairman Burton asked how this resolution related to the following one on the agenda, and said it appeared that eight new positions were being proposed.

Mr. Gustafson explained the change in the Personnel Rules and that temporary positions had been budgeted. Through this request for reorganization, two new positions would be created in the Transportation Department.

Coun. Williamson said that Metro is behind on the schedule for the Westside transportation projects and if construction is not started, the area will lose \$60 million. It is necessary to get the projects going in both this Corridor and in the Southern Corridor.

Question called on the motion. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

6.2 Resolution No. 79-90, Establishing Planning Technician Classification and Authorizing Six Positions in the Fiscal Year 1980 Budget.

Coun. Kafoury moved, seconded by Coun. Stuhr, that Resolution No. 79-90 be adopted.

Through the Agenda Management Summary, it was explained that the Personnel Task Force had recommended that temporary employees be limited to no more than 2,080 hours of work in an eighteen month period. Staff has prepared a job description for a Planning Technician to meet requirements for a new Entry Level position to take the

Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979

place of the temporary positions now authorized in the Budget. Funds previously budgeted for the temporary classifications will be transferred to the new regular positions. This action will carry out the intent of the Personnel Task Force recommendation to pay benefits on positions which are expected to be filled for a full fiscal year.

There being no discussion on this matter, the question was called on the motion. Couns. Stuhr, Williamson, Berkman, Kirkpatrick, Rhodes, Schedeen, Miller, Banzer, Kafoury voted aye; Couns. Deines and Burton voted nay; Coun. Peterson abstained. The motion carried.

6.3 Resolution No. 79-91, Establishing a Development Officer Classification for the Zoo, and Authorizing this Position in Fiscal Year 1980 Budget.

Coun. Banzer moved, seconded by Coun. Schedeen, that Resolution No. 79-91 be adopted.

Through the Agenda Management Summary, it was explained that the Council has endorsed establishment of a private, non-profit foundation to raise funds for the Zoo, and that it was necessary to establish a staff position to direct the fund raising activities of this foundation. Approval of the position will be consistent with the action taken by the Council at its previous meeting.

Chairman Burton asked what the position of Development Officer would entail. Mr. Iliff explained the requirements and duties expected of the person filling this position.

Mr. Gustafson said the Development Officer would be responsible to work with the foundation to raise money and funds and to investigate grants.

Question called on the motion. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

6.4 Resolution No. 79-92, Authorizing Interstate Funding for Preliminary Engineering and Construction of Phase II I-5 North Freeway Improvement and Management Program and Amending Annual Element of Transportation Improvement Program.

Coun. Stuhr moved, seconded by Coun. Peterson, that Resolution No. 79-92 be adopted.

**Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979**

Through the Agenda Management Summary, Council was informed concerning Phase II I-5 Freeway Improvement and Management Program. Traffic management improvements coupled with increased transit services appeared to represent an effective solution to many of the transportation problems in the Northern Corridor. Federal funds have previously been authorized by the Council for the Phase I I-5 North Freeway Project and the staff was instructed to perform a systems analysis and provide a system planning report for the Phase II I-5 North Freeway Improvement and Management Program which would involve widening segments of the freeway to eliminate key freeway bottlenecks. Also, both northbound and southbound connections to the east end of the Marquam Bridge would be widened. JPACT has recommended adoption of the Resolution.

Coun. Rhodes said that in making the decision between alternatives she did not believe there was any citizen involvement. She said there had been no public hearing, no testimony and no public notification.

Mr. Ockert said that as far as those specific alternatives were concerned that was true, but there had been a great deal of citizen input into the whole I-5 situation, and that there will be opportunity for much more, now that the project is getting started.

Question called on the motion. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

6.5 Resolution No. 79-93, Revising Study Schedule and Process for Establishing Priorities for Metro Regional Reserve.

This item was removed from the Agenda and will be scheduled at a later date.

6.6 Resolution No. 79-94, Authorizing Federal Funds for Preliminary Engineering on Sunset/Hwy. 217 Interchange Project.

Coun. Stuhr moved, seconded by Coun. Berkman, that Resolution No. 79-94 be adopted.

The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) has requested that Federal Interstate Transfer funds be authorized to conduct preliminary engineering studies on the Sunset/Hwy. 217 Interchange project. To accommodate the request, funds can be borrowed from the Westside Transitway Reserve and repaid from I-505 funds when the

Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979

I-505 withdrawal is approved by USDOT. Approval of funding studies for this project will allow continuing progress in development of this priority project.

There was no Council discussion at this time.

Question called on the motion. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

6.7 Resolution No. 79-95, Authorizing Federal Funds for Air Quality Monitoring Program on McLoughlin Blvd. Corridor Project.

Coun. Berkman moved, seconded by Coun. Stuhr, that Resolution No. 79-95 be adopted.

Through the Agenda Management Summary, Council was informed that ODOT has requested that \$22,700 in Federal Interstate Transfer funds be authorized to establish an air quality monitoring program relating to a future project in the McLoughlin Blvd. Corridor. Authorization of these funds will insure continuing progress in developing projects in this priority problem area.

Coun. Deines asked what area this project would cover. Mr. Ockert said that probably most of the work would be done from Milwaukie north. Some work probably would be done in the city of Milwaukie. Data are being collected in anticipation of a project being authorized by the Metro Council.

The question was called on the motion. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

6.8 Resolution No. 79-96, Approving Allocation of Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA) Funds to Priority Projects for 1980.

Coun. Kafoury moved, seconded by Coun. Peterson, that Resolution No. 79-96 be adopted.

Through the Agenda Management Summary, the Council was advised that agencies had been requested to submit proposals to implement the Criminal Justice Plan. Through these requests, 32 priority projects have been approved, which were listed in the Agenda for the Council's information.

Coun. Rhodes declared a possible conflict of interest.

**Metro Council
Minutes of September 27, 1979**

She has been appointed to a position on the YMCA Board of Directors, but she did not believe that position would in any way affect her decision; therefore, she intended to vote unless someone objected.

Coun. Berkman said he also had a possible conflict of interest. He was in business in one of the areas. He also intended to vote unless Council had some objection.

Chairman Burton asked if Columbia County was fully in agreement with this list. Mr. Jack Bails, Director of Criminal Justice Division, said that as far as he knew from their representation on the Advisory Committee, they agreed.

Question called on the motion. All Councilors present voted aye except Coun. Berkman, who abstained. The motion carried.

8. ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no announcements at this time.

ADJOURNMENT.

Respectfully submitted,



Mary E. Carder
Clerk of the Council

MEC/gl
5457A
0075A