

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL
OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

December 20, 1979

Councilors in Attendance

Chairman Mike Burton
Vice Chairman Donna Stuhr
Coun. Charles Williamson
Coun. Craig Berkman
Coun. Corky Kirkpatrick
Coun. Jack Deines
Coun. Jane Rhodes
Coun. Betty Schedeen
Coun. Caroline Miller
Coun. Cindy Banzer
Coun. Gene Peterson
Coun. Marge Kafoury

Others in Attendance

Mr. Lynn Dingler
Mr. Frank Angelo
Mr. Douglas Allen
Mr. Poly Schedeen
Mr. Ken Tollenaar
Mr. Paul Bay
Mr. Carl Wilson
Mr. Winston Kurth
Mr. Rick Gleason
Mr. Steven Fisher
Mr. Dale Morrow
Mr. Ted Spence
Mr. David Lawrence
Ms. Renny Vowell

In Attendance

Executive Officer Rick Gustafson

Staff in Attendance

Mr. Denton U. Kent
Mr. Andrew Jordan
Mr. William Ockert
Mr. John LaRiviere
Ms. Peg Henwood
Mr. Andrew Cotugno
Mr. Wayne Coppel
Ms. Michele Wilder
Ms. Priscilla Ditewig
Mr. Bob Haas
Ms. Berta Delman
Ms. Sue Klobertanz
Mr. Tom O'Connor
Ms. Marilyn Holstrom
Mr. John Gregory
Mr. Merle Irvine
Ms. Mary Carder

**Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979**

CALL TO ORDER

After declaration of a quorum, the December 20, 1979, meeting of the Council of the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) was called to order by Presiding Officer Michael Burton at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chamber of the Metropolitan Service district at 527 S. W. Hall Street, Portland, Oregon 97201.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

There were no introductions.

2. WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS TO THE COUNCIL

Chairman Burton said that he received a letter from Jerry Powell of the Solid Waste Committee saying that he wished to resign. Chairman Burton suggested that this matter be referred to the Committee for suggestions for a new appointment.

Chairman Burton said that Northwest Oregon Health Systems had sent a letter indicating that they intended to file application with the Department of Health, Education and Welfare requesting continuation of their designation as the health services organization for Oregon. He said that he would refer this letter to the Chief Administrative Officer for follow-up.

Chairman Burton continued that he had received a letter from the National Association of Regional Councils saying that they were in the process of enlarging their Metropolitan Council Advisory Committee. They asked Metro review of a report and recommendation by January 4. Chairman Burton suggested that Coun. Kirkpatrick be designated to serve as representative for Region X. With Council consensus he would appointed Coun. Kirkpatrick as a representative and as alternate he designated the Executive Officer.

Chairman Burton said a letter had been directed to Metro concerning a landfill at N. E. 75th and Killingsworth. Councilors had received copies of that letter.

3. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS TO THE COUNCIL ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

Ms. Renny Vowell said she was attending the meeting as a concerned citizen. She asked to speak in regard to selection of Durham Pit. She asked about the current status of this site.

Chairman Burton said that there was an item on the agenda which related to this matter and asked if she would wait until that time to speak.

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

4. CONSENT AGENDA

4.1 Minutes of meeting of November 20, 1979.

4.2 A-95 Review directly related to Metro.

Coun. Stuhr moved, seconded by Coun. Schedeen, that the items on the Consent Agenda be approved.

Coun. Rhodes said she had several comments and corrections regarding the minutes. She said that on the bottom of page 2 there was a reference to a representative to Multnomah County Community Action Agency (MCCAA) and that the fourth line did not make sense. She suggested that it be corrected to state "requesting that MCCAA inform Metro when regional issues are on their agenda, so that the Council may send a representative to those meetings." On page 5, paragraph 2, regarding a discussion of an umbrella ordinance for drainage, Coun. Rhodes said it was not made clear in that the LID was changed to restrict it to strictly drainage and this was done to accommodate the local concerns. She was not sure in the last sentence of the second paragraph what was meant because the LID assessments would certainly be used for the Johnson Creek problem. On page 6, the second paragraph regarding groundwater in Multnomah County, statement #4 was not correct.

Coun. Peterson said that he had previously contacted the Clerk of the Council with suggestions about corrections in this section and had realized that there had been two consecutive Council meetings concerning this issue. This item referred to the first meeting and the comments made here were correct. At a subsequent meeting a different conversation had ensued and this would be recorded in those minutes.

Question was called on the motion. With amendments made, all Councilors present voted aye. The motion carried unanimously.

5. REPORTS

5.1 Report from Executive Officer.

Chief Administrative Officer Kent said that the Executive Officer had been detained and would be present a little later in the meeting. Therefore, he would make the Executive Report.

Mr. Kent said that Chairman Burton had appeared on Elaine Cogan's radio show and that he had done an excellent job in his comments about the new Metro government.

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

With regard to the Urban Growth Boundary, Mr. Kent said that a historic process had resulted in an LCDC vote to approve an Urban Growth Boundary for the region. He said that no one should feel that the controversy surrounding the issue in any way diminished the final result. He complimented Mr. Sitzman and Mr. Bartlett for their exemplary work over a long period of time, which resulted in approval of the Boundary. Mr. Kent said staff had received very good support from each of the Councilors and thanked them for the amount of time they had given. He said this was a great step forward and that Metro would be acknowledged nationally for its achievements in this area.

Mr. Kent said a status report on the affirmative action plan had been included in the Councilors packets. The plan is on file in the Metro office.

Staff is in the process of preparing information concerning Metro's accomplishments for the first fiscal year of operation. A draft report will be circulated to Councilors and staff would appreciate their review and comment prior to publication of the report.

Coun. Banzer asked Mr. Kent concerning H.B. 2328 which specifically deleted the right of Metro Councilors or the Executive Officer to have a 12 word ballot slogan. She said she had checked with the Secretary of State's office and that they were not aware that this had gone through. She asked that the General Counsel report at the next informal meeting why this had happened without Council not being aware it had occurred.

5.2 Council Committee Reports

JPACT: Coun. Williamson reported that JPACT had met since the last Council meeting. There were several items on the agenda which related to transportation. Coun. Williamson said that the Committee would like to remove from the agenda the item relating to the Metropolitan Reserve. Chairman Burton agreed that this item be removed, since there was no objection from anyone on the Council.

Planning and Development Committee: Coun. Kafoury said the Planning and Development Committee had nothing to report. The Committee had forwarded an item that would appear later on the agenda.

Ways and Means Committee: Coun. Kirkpatrick said the Ways and Means Committee had circulated a report to the Council which was in the packet. She said that on January 15 the

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

Ways and Means Committee would meet again and would study a draft ordinance for filling vacant Council positions.

Coun. Kirkpatrick said that Ms. Holstrom had presented a staff recommendation for restructuring the Local Officials Advisory Committee (LOAC), which had been approved by Steering Committee. The Committee suggested that LOAC get involved in the A-95 Review. The Ways and Means Committee had discussed this proposal.

Coun. Kirkpatrick said the Committee had discussed the budget schedule and had asked that all committees wishing to present items for consideration put them in priority status because they would be listed that way. According to the budget schedule, staff will start creating a budget January 24. If Council members determine the need to meet, the Ways and Means Committee will try to schedule a meeting.

The Committee had looked at the audit and had made a recommendation that will come up on the agenda at a later time. They had discussed changing the Committee structure and there was an indication that some members of the Council might propose changes.

The Ways and Means Committee voted to postpone any Committee changes until February.

Zoo Committee: Coun. Banzer said the Council had before it a document from the Zoo Committee which contained recommendations for proposals for public subsidy of Zoo Operations and Capital Improvements. She said this would be discussed at the next informal meeting of the Council. The Committee had formulated recommendations around the Task Force recommendations on how to proceed with funding beyond Zoo and general Metro needs.

Chairman Burton said the Finance Committee report would be discussed at the informal meeting on January 10.

There was further discussion of the Zoo Committee report. Coun. Banzer said that a suggestion for foundation funding was included in the plan. The Committee felt that this should be aggressively pursued.

Solid Waste/Public Facilities: Coun. Berkman said the Solid Waste/Public Facilities Committee had met and discussed a number of items which should be of interest to the Council. He asked Coun. Rhodes to summarize the status of the Johnson Creek activities. Coun. Rhodes said

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

an ordinance is on the agenda which deals with development guidelines. The Gresham City Council had unanimously approved support of the LID. She said the Committee is still looking at the legal aspects and it may be possible that it will be necessary to have three LIDs.

Coun. Berkman said there were several items later on the agenda which had been reviewed by the Solid Waste/Public Facilities Committee. The Committee heard a presentation from persons seeking commitment of a site for a landfill at 122nd. The Committee received a report from the Corps of Engineers concerning dredging of the Willamette River. This will be presented to the Council with a request for authorization to release the reports, along with the other Metro study reports, for public review and comment. Other items considered by the Committee included a proposal to site a landfill near Mira Monte. The Public Facilities Committee had voted to suspend any further work at that site, pending resolution of a number of questions.

Coun. Berkman said that the Executive Officer had several meetings with the DEQ staff regarding a Memorandum of Agreement between DEQ and Metro. Coun. Berkman urged Council support for the Memorandum of Agreement which was signed by the Executive Officer and Mr. Bill Young of the DEQ. Coun. Berkman said that this Memorandum of Agreement represented an excellent step forward in the process of landfill siting. This was an outstanding way to place emphasis on working with the DEQ to find a site outside the Metro boundaries.

Coun. Berkman referred to a letter from DEQ in which he said there was no indication that the DEQ had environmental concerns in reference to gravel pits for use as landfill sites. He understood from the letter that the DEQ would prefer to look in a cooperative way at other alternatives, based on more clearly defined criteria, before coming back to the gravel pit sites.

Chairman Burton said he understood that, under this Memorandum of Agreement, Metro would not be looking at gravel pits. Coun. Berkman agreed that that was correct.

Coun. Kafoury said that it was her understanding that the schedule indicated that Metro should have a site in operation by February of 1983 and that Metro is behind in that schedule. Coun. Berkman agreed that Metro is behind and said that emphasis is being placed on expansion of St. Johns Landfill, predicated on a requirement of EPA that Metro will have a landfill sited and approved by

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

1984. The possibility of going forward with resource recovery could positively impact this situation and take a little pressure off. The City Council of Portland has resolved that, with the amount of waste flow coming into the St. Johns Landfill from outside the metropolitan region, they will not allow any waste from outside the metropolitan boundary in that landfill. It will be necessary to have a site identified and begin the process of getting approval in the next calendar year, specifically in the next six months.

Coun. Peterson asked if, within the next few months, primary emphasis will be placed on looking for potential landfill sites outside the Urban Growth Boundary. Mr. Irvine said that staff had met with members of DEQ to outline the next three-month program. There was discussion of the possibility of siting potential landfills outside Metro's boundary. The meetings had been very encouraging.

5.3 Fiscal Year 1979 Audit Report

This item was heard later in the meeting.

6. PUBLIC HEARINGS

6.1 Ordinance No. 79-81, Adopting the Interim Johnson Creek Basin Stormwater Runoff Plan (First Reading).

It having been ascertained that it was the consensus of the Council to do so, the Clerk read Ordinance No. 79-81 the first time by title only.

Coun. Rhodes explained that the Ordinance adopts a functional plan rather than a rule. The investigation undertaken by the Metro staff and the Council Committee indicated that adoption of an Interim Functional Plan was a preferred way of implementing the Johnson Creek Interim Development Guidelines. Since five or six jurisdictions have already adopted development guidelines, the decision was made that the plan would be incorporated into the Ordinance. The Task Force has not reviewed the Ordinance but has approved it in substance and has always supported the guidelines.

Coun. Kirkpatrick asked if the Task Force had prepared a model ordinance for those jurisdictions who wished to adopt such an ordinance. Coun. Rhodes said that they had not--that Happy Valley had requested that Metro prepare an ordinance for their use. When this has been done, it can be used as a model ordinance.

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

The public hearing was opened. Since there was no one who wished to speak at this time, the public hearing was closed.

There was no motion made at this hearing. A motion for adoption will be made at the next Council meeting.

A short break was taken.

7. NEW BUSINESS

7.1 Resolution No. 79-110, Granting Variances to Metro Waste Disposal Code to Multnomah County.

Coun. Deines moved, seconded by Coun. Kafoury, that Resolution No. 79-110 be adopted.

Coun. Berkman said that the Management Summary summarizes very completely the issues the Committee discussed with Multnomah County. One aspect of the report was that the Council should take note of the concern expressed by Couns. Rhodes and Deines in reference to adequate notice to immediately effected property owners. The Committee had received assurance that the people who lived within the perimeters involved would be notified; therefore, the Committee supported the Resolution.

Chairman Burton questioned the removal of a requirement for a 30-day public hearing. Coun. Berkman said it was his understanding that discussions in reference to the site had been going on for a number of months and there had been an opportunity for citizen involvement in those counties. A specific question as to the project now before the Council had been spoken to and notice would be provided to those individuals immediately affected. This would be sufficient to meet the requirements.

Chairman Burton said that it was not clear that this would allow public comment. After further discussion Coun. Miller asked to make some suggestions to deal with the problem. She asked for assurances that concerns would come to the Council as written. With respect to public notice, notice could go out immediately and the notice could include the name of Metro and provide information so that the public could contact staff to assure that Council would be aware of any problems immediately.

Coun. Miller moved, seconded by Coun. Rhodes, that the County be requested to send out notices, effective immediately.

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

Coun. Berkman asked if the notices which were sent out immediately should have specific times citizens could respond to Metro, as well as to the County. Coun. Miller said this response should be only to Metro. Mr. Irvine said the staff had requested that the County place Metro's name and telephone number on anything that they sent out.

There was further discussion of this matter. Coun. Kafoury said it seemed the County had advertised this project at great length. She questioned whether, if in that notice, there was a discussion of the fact that materials would be moved and there would be digging. Coun. Peterson said that, according to the County, the people had been notified. They had had public hearings and an opportunity for neighbors to express their views.

A vote was taken on the amendment. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

Vote on Resolution No. 79-110 as amended. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

5.3 Fiscal Year 1979 Audit Report.

Chairman Burton said that copies of the Audit Report had been distributed to the Council and the Ways and Means Committee had reviewed the report. No action was required by the Council at this time. He reminded the Council that the audit is required by law. As part of their role the auditors have presented a Management Letter to the Council with a recommendation for adjustments. The Ways and Means Committee had reviewed the staff report and had endorsed the approach presented by staff. Corrective measures are already being taken.

Mr. Jim Savage of Coopers and Lybrand introduced Mrs. Ann Hawkins who had been the auditor in charge on this project. He said this was a difficult audit in that CRAG and MSD had merged. Subsequent to the merger the CRAG audit had to be done at the same time the new Metro budget process started. At that time the accounting department was understaffed, which put a great deal of burden on the staff people. Mr. Savage thought the Council should understand this when it read the management letter.

Chairman Burton thought that at the Ways and Means Committee one major concern was the question of inventorying and that this had not been done. Mr. Savage said that CRAG and MSD had not had much in the way of fixed assets until they acquired the Zoo. The City of Portland had an

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

adequate record but this record has not been kept up-to-date by Metro. Mr. Savage suggested that Metro have the responsibility to carry accountability of those assets. He said the inventory was extremely important. Councilors questioned Mr. Savage further about various aspects of the audit and how it was conducted.

Mr. Kent said it was staff intent to, as a normal part of the audit, start in May of this year. An interim report would be provided prior to the end of the fiscal year. Mr. Savage said that the auditors would schedule interim work in April or May and could have a report to the Council by the latter part of June.

There was no action necessary on this item.

7.2 Resolution No. 79-111, Authorizing Funds for McLoughlin Blvd. Corridor Project.

Coun. Miller moved, seconded by Coun. Williamson, that Resolution No. 79-111 be adopted.

Coun. Williamson said the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) had unanimously recommended adoption of this Resolution. He said all affected jurisdictions had forwarded resolutions approving this project.

Mr. Gustafson said he felt that staff deserved a great deal of credit and that this project represented a decision five or six months ahead of schedule. The staff has recommended that Council approve preliminary engineering so that the project may be forwarded to Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT). It will be necessary to find the required local matching funds. In the Resolution the Council requested the Oregon Transportation Commission to provide the matching funds.

Mr. Doug Allen, 2247 S. E. 51st Street, read from a document concerning the Banfield Freeway and said he hoped that the insight provided by the Banfield experience might contribute to the Council decision. He felt that light rail should be included in the McLoughlin Corridor and that the suggested project was completely inadequate for transportation planning in the 1980's.

Mr. Ockert explained that light rail had been evaluated and that it was found it could not solve the transportation problems, whereas the proposed concept, including HOV, would solve the problems.

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

Coun. Miller told Mr. Allen that there would be extensive public hearings through the ODOT proceedings and that she hoped that Mr. Allen would be in attendance at those hearings.

Coun. Williamson explained that the Resolution stated that the option of light rail would be preserved with the project. This action represents a model for improvement over the longer period of time.

Council continued discussion of the report. It was pointed out that one of the advantages of this proposal was that, while it provided for improvement of the road system, it did not preclude options.

Question was called on the motion. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

7.3 Resolution No. 79-112, Including the Portland Traction Company Right-of-Way in the Study of Transportation Alternatives in the Southern Corridor.

Coun. Williamson moved, seconded by Coun. Stuhr, that Resolution No. 79-112 be adopted.

Coun. Williamson said that the Portland Traction Company Right-of-Way had been abandoned for a number of years. The current owners are interested in selling the property and Tri-Met, Clackamas County and various citizens groups were concerned that the potential light rail route might be lost to the public. A systems analysis conducted by Metro staff placed the implementation of a southern rail route far in the future. Tri-Met has requested that Metro evaluate the utility of purchasing this right-of-way and reserving it for future development of light rail transit. Both TPAC and JPACT have approved this recommendation and have recommended adoption of the attached resolution.

There was discussion by the Council of the proposal to purchase the right-of-way.

Coun. Williamson declared a potential conflict of interest.

Mr. Ockert pointed out that this would not only concern whether the right-of-way should be preserved, but would involve a determination of whether this would be an appropriate place for light rail.

Question called on the motion. All Councilors present

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

7.4 Resolution No. 79-113, Proceeding Into Phase II Planning of the Westside Transitway Program.

Coun. Berkman moved, seconded by Coun. Kafoury, that Resolution No. 79-113 be adopted.

Mr. Norman Griffith, attorney, spoke, proposing that an alternate route should be studied which would run by way of a tunnel to Garden Home along Multnomah Blvd. Mr. Griffith asked that this route be studied in more detail. There was Council discussion of Mr. Griffith's proposal.

Mr. Bob Bothman of Oregon Department of Transportation suggested that the study could take a look at Mr. Griffith's proposal as part of the alignment on Multnomah Blvd. Coun. Williamson thought this option could be examined further within the bounds of the existing resolution. Coun. Miller said she would vote for the resolution with the understanding that staff will once again look at the tunnel issue.

Question called on the motion. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

7.5 Resolution No. 79-114, this item was removed from the Agenda at the request of JPACT.

7.6 Resolution No. 79-115, Commenting on Draft Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Draft for Determination of Air Quality Consistency for the Urban Areas of Clark County.

Coun. Kafoury moved, seconded by Coun. Williamson, that Resolution No. 79-115 be adopted.

Through the Agenda Management Summary, Council was informed that each metropolitan planning organization prepares a TIP describing a projects program for its planning area. Coordination of these documents is set forth in the Metro Regional Planning Council Memorandum of Agreement. JPACT has reviewed this Resolution and recommends adoption.

Question called on the motion. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

7.7 Resolution No. 79-116, Cornelius Compliance Acknowledgment Request.

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

Coun. Miller moved, seconded by Coun. Kafoury, that Resolution No. 79-116 be adopted.

Coun. Kafoury reported that the issues had been resolved and, therefore, the Committee had recommended approval.

Question called on the motion. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

- 7.8 Resolution No. 79-117, Authorizing Executive Officer to Sign Grant/Loan Offer and Acceptance for Expansion of the St. Johns Landfill.

Coun. Kafoury moved, seconded by Coun. Williamson, that Resolution No. 79-117 be adopted.

Mr. Irvine reported to the Council that Metro in 1976 had received approximately \$11.4 million of Pollution Control Bonds from the State Emergency Board. These funds were allocated for implementation of a resource recovery facility. The Emergency Board required that all loan funds be spent prior to receiving any grant funds. To-date Metro has received \$2.1 million of the original allocation. The City of Portland has requested a commitment of construction funds to expand the St. Johns Landfill. The DEQ has indicated that the St. Johns expansion costs are eligible for State Pollution Control Bond funds. These funds must be provided from Metro's allocation. Since the St. Johns Landfill expansion costs were not included in the allocation it will be necessary to request an increase to cover the \$1.9 million. This request will be made when staff determines the amount of additional funding for Metro's solid waste facilities. Adoption of the Resolution will facilitate expansion of the St. Johns Landfill and is consistent with Metro's Solid Waste Management Plan.

Council discussed the recommendation. Coun. Berkman said he would support this motion, but felt that Metro should have control over the facilities and should set the tipping fees throughout the area. It was his understanding that the Portland City Council was not willing to give Metro that authority at this time. Perhaps if a rider was attached that they should repay this loan, it would give Metro the opportunity to get some of these problems ironed out.

Coun. Kafoury moved, seconded by Coun. Williamson, that Resolution No. 79-117 be amended to include such language.

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

Chairman Burton said he had very serious questions about this procedure. He felt that the Council was extending the life of the St. Johns Landfill another ten years without making provision for improvement of the site. Chairman Burton moved that this motion be held over until the meeting of January 24. Coun. Miller said there was already a motion on the floor.

Chairman Burton then moved to table. A vote was taken on that motion. The motion failed.

Coun. Kafoury moved, seconded by Coun. Williamson, to amend the resolution to include a paragraph that would speak to entering into a contract with the City of Portland to repay the amount of money being loaned to Portland for a landfill.

Coun. Williamson said he would be willing to make a motion to postpone, after discussion.

Coun. Rhodes said the contract was questioned in the Committee meeting and she had asked about the possibility of attaching strings. At that time she was told that this was a function of the Executive Officer and that it would definitely be a part of the loan.

Mr. Irvine explained that attaching strings at this time such as controlling site operations, could cause more harm because he did not believe the City Council was in a position to turn over management of the landfill to Metro and that this might stall landfill expansion. A new contract would go out for the operation and would be effective in June, 1980.

Coun. Berkman asked Mr. Irvine and Mr. Gustafson if the amendment offered by Coun. Kafoury would give an opportunity to look into a tipping fee as a method of repayment by the City; if this approach could be used to reopen that discussion and get uniform fees throughout.

Mr. Gustafson said that the City of Portland had been very sympathetic to Metro's need to establish uniform rates.

Question called on the motion for amendment. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Burton asked to propose some amendments. He would ask that the Council wait on this matter until they could be more specific as to what the Metro Landfill

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

Siting Policy was going to be. He hoped that the Landfill Siting Policy would address some very specific items.

There was further Council discussion of the matter. Coun. Peterson suggested that the Executive Officer simply add the word "improvement." It would be adequate if this was inserted in the first BE IT RESOLVED on the second page, with a similar insertion in the second WHEREAS.

Mr. Irvine said that staff had to approve all contracts for the actual work that is being done and that he could certainly agree to bring those before the Council so that the Council would be fully apprised of issues or concerns.

Coun. Stuhr asked if the City of Portland had a problem with regard to the shredder.

Chairman Burton said that he would entertain a motion directing the Executive Officer to come back with a contract.

Coun. Peterson moved, seconded by Coun. Williamson, to amend the Resolution in the second WHEREAS, to insert after the word "expansion" "and improvement of the design and operation" and insert similar language on the first BE IT RESOLVED on the last line after the word "expanding."

All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

Question called on the motion as amended. All Councilors present voted aye, except Chairman Burton who was opposed. The motion carried.

Coun. Berkman said that the Executive Officer had signed a Memorandum of Agreement with the staff of DEQ. He offered a Resolution modifying the procedure for siting sanitary landfills in accordance with the Memorandum of Agreement.

Coun. Berkman moved, seconded by Coun. Kafoury, that Resolution No. 79-118, Modifying the Procedure for Siting Sanitary Landfills, be adopted.

Coun. Rhodes said the Committee had not seen the Resolution, but it did discuss and approve the concept.

Coun. Kafoury said she endorsed the Resolution, but she would like to have some idea what the Advisory Committee membership was at some point in the future. Coun. Berkman suggested that staff come back to the Council with a

Metro Council
Minutes of December 20, 1979

recommendation as to how the Siting Advisory Committee should be structured with a request for Council approval.

Mr. Gustafson clarified that there is one Siting Advisory Committee for every site identified.

Question called on the motion. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously. Coun. Banzer abstained from voting.

Coun. Rhodes moved, seconded by Coun. Berkman, that the Council give a vote of confidence in support of the Memorandum of Agreement made between staff of Metro and staff of DEQ. All Councilors voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Chairman Burton announced that at the next Council meeting there would be an election of new officers. He said that, on the informal agenda, the only matter of business would be the Finance Task Force report.

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully,


Mary E. Carder
Clerk of the Council

MC/gl
6701/87