# MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

# March 13, 1980

## Councilors in Attendance

Presiding Officer Marge Kafoury Vice Presiding Officer Jack Deines

Coun. Mike Burton Coun. Donna Stuhr

Coun. Charles Williamson Coun. Corky Kirkpatrick

Coun. Jane Rhodes Coun. Betty Schedeen Coun. Ernie Bonner Coun. Cindy Banzer Coun. Gene Peterson

# Others in Attendance

Mr. Mark Greenfield

Mr. Bob Weil

Mr. Donald L. Trotter

Mr. Tim Holder
Mr. Ken Whorton
Mr. Stephen Hall
Mr. Mel Paulsen
Mr. Bob Ewald
Ms. Joy Burgess
Mr. Allen Manuel
Mr. Ted Sieckman

Coun. Craig Berkman was absent.

## In Attendance

Executive Officer Rick Gustafson

## Staff in Attendance

Mr. Denton U. Kent

Mr. Andrew Jordan

Mr. Tom O'Connor

Mr. Charlie Shell

Ms. Caryl Waters

Mr. C. William Ockert

Mr. John Gregory

Ms. Michele Wilder

Ms. Sonnie Russill

Ms. Berta Delman

Mr. Mike Butts

Ms. Mary Carder

#### CALL TO ORDER

After declaration of a quorum, the March 13, 1980, meeting of the Council of the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) was called to order by Presiding Officer Marge Kafoury at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chamber, 527 S. W. Hall Street, Portland, Oregon 97201.

#### 1. INTRODUCTIONS

There were no introductions.

#### 2. WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS TO THE COUNCIL

Presiding Officer Kafoury called attention to a letter addressed to the Council relative to the Milwaukie plan. This will be discussed under agenda item 6.1.

#### 3. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS TO THE COUNCIL ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

Ms. Jan Spencer asked to address the Council. She reported that she had located an alternative site to the proposed recycling center which was to be located at 49th and Belmont. She volunteered her time and promised assistance of others who are opposed to the site at 49th and Belmont, to facilitate consideration of the alternative site. She asked Councilors and staff to check out the location and its possibilities.

Councilors congratulated Ms. Spencer on her initiative in searching out an alternative site to the 49th and Belmont location. After Council discussion of possible Council action to take this site under consideration, the Presiding Officer said that, without objection from the Council, she would refer this matter to the Regional Services Committee.

#### 4. CONSENT AGENDA

- 4.1 A-95 Review, directly related to Metro.
- 4.2 Minutes of the meeting of February 14, 1980.

Coun. Stuhr moved, seconded by Coun. Rhodes, that the items on the Consent Agenda be approved. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

#### 5. REPORTS

5.1 Report from Executive Officer.

The Executive Officer reported that the Zoo had been fortunate to purchase a Lesser Panda. He informed the

> Council that April 24 has been proposed as the date for the opening Elephant House facility.

The Executive Officer reported that Johnson Creek is now on active status with the Corps of Engineers.

On Monday, March 10, the Executive Officer had appeared before the Emergency Board with regard to the status of State Pollution Control funds.

The Local Government staff has organized a Regional Forum for local government officials to discuss solid waste problems which will be held March 31 at the Metro offices.

The Budget Task Force will commence its meetings in the coming week.

The Executive Officer reported that meetings had been held with Happy Valley regarding an extension request on their comprehensive plan. They have responded to some of the density requirements imposed on their plan.

Metro has been contacted by the City Manager of the city of Cornelius regarding their plan. They have been awarded a 60-day continuance from LCDC and could be considered for acknowledgment.

Coun. Bonner moved, seconded by Coun. Stuhr, that the Executive Officer forward to staff a communication commending them for their work on the city of Cornelius plan. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

Regarding Resource Recovery, the Executive Officer said a press conference has been called for Tuesday at 9:30 p.m. to report on the progress of negotiations for a resource recovery system. The Executive Officer explained some of the work that had been done to reach this point.

#### 5.2 Council Committee Reports

Zoo Committee: Coun. Banzer reported that the minutes of the Zoo Committee were in the packet and that they were self-explanatory.

Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation: Coun. Williamson said that JPACT had met this day and that several items will be brought to the next Council meeting.

Johnson Creek: Coun. Rhodes reported that the Johnson

> Creek Task Force had met this day and has finally decided on a boundary. The project is approximately three weeks behind schedule, but staff has agreed to get the task finished by the deadline.

#### 6. NEW BUSINESS

6.1 Resolution No. 80-134, City of Milwaukie Request for Acknowledgment of Compliance with LCDC Goals.

The Executive Officer commented on the past hours of effort that had gone into producing the city of Milwaukie comprehensive plan. He introduced Mayor Allen Manuel, Ms. Joy Burgess, Mr. Mel Paulson, Mr. Don Trotter and Mr. Kenneth Whorton, all of Milwaukie; and Mr. Steve Hall and Mr. Tim Holder, consultants to Milwaukie.

Mr. Tom O'Connor explained what had occurred in the past in relationship to the Milwaukie plan adoption and the work that has been done since that time on the present plan. He complimented the City on the fine work they have done on their comprehensive plan.

Mr. Mike Butts said Milwaukie has done a commendable job in producing an excellent plan. He brought the attention of the Council to three letters received since completion of review of the project. He said that 1000 Friends had written a letter of objection to the plan. Metro staff feels that there is some merit in the objection, but that the Planning and Development Committee favorable recommendation should stand. If LCDC concludes that Milwaukie should amend their plan, staff thinks that it would be appropriate that conditional acknowledgment be granted to the city of Milwaukie.

Coun. Peterson said the Planning and Development Committee had been very pleased to meet with the delegation from Milwaukie, to discuss the requested acknowledgment of their comprehensive plan. He agreed with staff that they have done an excellent job and said that the Planning and Development Committee approved the Milwaukie plan subject to certain amendments being adopted by Milwaukie prior to its final acceptance. Coun. Peterson said that he understood that these conditions had been met.

Coun. Deines moved, seconded by Coun. Rhodes, that the Council adopt Resolution No. 80-134 to recommend acknowledgment of the city of Milwaukie compliance with LCDC Goals.

> Mayor Allen Manuel said that the City had worked through many long sessions to reach this point and had hopes that they were approaching the end of a long road.

Mr. Steve Hall said that it has been a long process for the city of Milwaukie. He pointed out that there would be an annual review by a citizens committee to be sure that the plan continued to conform with the Goals.

Ms. Joy Burgess said that she had been involved from the beginning on the plan, and had participated with many citizens. She appreciated the Planning and Development Committee's recommendation.

Mr. Donald Trotter said that it was a pleasure to come to the Metro Councilors with a complete plan.

Mr. Mark Greenfield, attorney for 1000 Friends of Oregon, voiced his objections to acknowledgment of the Milwaukie plan. He said that 1000 Friends had found there were no standards covering zone changes and, therefore, they had written a letter to LCDC recommending denial. The 1000 Friends recommended that the Metro Council condition its recommendation for acknowledgment upon an amendment to the zoning ordinance which would place clear and objective standards to all zoning changes.

Coun. Bonner asked to be excused from the meeting. He said he felt that he had a conflict of interest in this case, since he is on the Board of 1000 Priends.

Council discussed the questions raised by Mr. Greenfield.

Mr. Jim Sitzman said that he would prefer that the Council send the plan to LCDC, that it generally was a good plan. If LCDC felt that the plan was not in compliance they could grant conditional acknowledgment for the correction of that one item.

Mayor Manuel said that his Council had not had an opportunity to discuss this matter. They had not been advised of this objection until this very evening. He felt that it would be just as well to let LCDC attach the condition.

Coun. Deines felt the Council should act on the plan and should forward it to LCDC. This might encourage LCDC to adopt some kind of policy.

Coun. Williamson moved, seconded by Coun. Burton, that the

motion be amended to make the acknowledgment of the Milwaukie Comprehensive Plan conditional upon adoption of clear and objective standards for zone changes within the Milwaukie zoning ordinance.

There was Council discussion of the motion and the length of time required for City hearings before the plan could go before LCDC. Mr. Tom O'Connor explained the process that the amendment would necessitate.

Roll call vote on the amendment to the main motion. Couns. Williamson and Burton voted aye; Couns. Kirkpatrick, Deines, Rhodes, Schedeen, Banzer, Peterson, Stuhr and Kafoury voted nay; Couns. Berkman and Bonner were absent. The motion failed.

Vote on main motion. Couns. Burton, Stuhr, Williamson, Kirkpatrick, Deines, Rhodes, Schedeen, Banzer, Peterson and Kafoury voted aye; Couns. Berkman and Bonner were absent; the motion carried unanimously.

Coun. Bonner returned to the meeting.

6.2 Resolution No. 80-135, New Job Description for Project Manager.

Executive Officer Gustafson explained that Council was requested to approve establishment of a Project Manager classification at range 14.5, salary \$30,051 - \$37,722, and to authorize establishment of positions for the Westside Corridor project, and the solid waste resource recovery project.

Coun. Kirkpatrick moved, seconded by Coun. Deines, that Resolution No. 80-135 be adopted.

Coun. Rhodes said she fully supported the idea of a Project Manager, but she disapproved of the salary range. She suggested that range 13.5 would be a more logical range for this position.

Coun. Rhodes moved, seconded by Coun. Kirkpatrick, that the Resolution be amended so that the salary range would read "13.5."

Coun. Rirkpatrick said she supported the amendment because she thought it was a mistake to make the Project Manager on the same level as Department Heads.

Coun. Burton asked what the recommendation had been from

the Ways and Means Committee. Coun. Rirkpatrick said this matter had not come before the Ways and Means Committee.

Coun. Burton moved, seconded by Coun. Deines, to table this matter.

There was Council discussion of the motion. Question was called on the motion. Couns. Rhodes, Schedeen, Williamson, Stuhr and Kirkpatrick voted aye; Couns. Banzer, Peterson, Kafoury, Deines and Burton voted nay; Coun. Bonner abstained. The motion failed.

There was further Council discussion of the placement of the position and the salary level. Coun. Deines asked how this matter had come before the Council without going before a Committee. He felt that the matter should be tabled and go back to a Committee for recommendation to the Council.

Coun. Peterson moved, seconded by Coun. Deines, that Resolution No. 80-135 be referred to the Coordinating Committee for a recommendation to the Council.

Coun. Peterson asked to make a recommendation that the Coordinating Committee include in their consideration whether this would be an exempt position, and what process would be followed under the Personnel Rules.

All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

# 6.3 Charge to Budget Task Force.

Mr. Charles Shell pointed out that a Charge to the Budget Task Force had been recommended which represents a continuing effort by the Executive Officer and the Council to establish the most effective process for including citizen input in the budget process, and involving the Council in early deliberations on the budget. He said that the Budget Task Force proposal represents the most effective way to receive initial comment on the FY 1981 budget.

Coun. Kirkpatrick moved, seconded by Coun. Burton, that the Charge to the Budget Task Force be approved.

Coun. Banzer moved, seconded by Coun. Peterson, to amend the second paragraph of the Charge to the Budget Task Force to add a sentence "The Task Force recommendations on Council priorities will be forwarded to the appropriate

Council Committees."

Councilors discussed the motion and the opportunity for Council review of the budget. Question called on the amendment to the Charge to the Budget Task Force. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

Question called on the motion to approve the Charge to the Budget Task Force as amended. All Councilors present voting aye, the motion carried unanimously.

Presiding Officer Kafoury reported that the Council Coordinating Committee had proposed a change in meeting days for the Council from the second and fourth Thursdays to the first and fourth Thursdays and explained the reasoning behind this request.

Councilors discussed the proposed change in meeting days. There were many objections and suggestions for change; therefore, it was decided to discuss the matter further at a future Council meeting.

There being no further business, the regular meeting of the Council was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary Carder Clerk of the Council

MC/gl 7500/87