MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, COMMITTEE CHAIRMEN AND VICE-CHAIRMEN MEETING

Held: June 2, 1976, 7:30 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Cease, Chairperson; Bonyhadi, Coleman, Gisvold, Herrell

Mays, Nightingale, Kirkpatrick, Roberts, Stahl, Stevenson,

Simpson. Stuhr, Tippens, Yost

Staff: Rich, Garbutt

The chairperson called the meeting to order and the minutes of the May 19 meeting were approved.

FALL CONFERENCE

There was a general discussion on whether we should have a weekend conference, where it should be held and what the content should be.

Rich reported on the staff's findings on Marylhurst as a suggested site for the conference. The only cost would be lunches at \$2.50 per person and a \$130 cost for the room and coffee, which would be taken care of by the commission. This amount is allocated in the conference budget.

<u>Cease</u> asked the opinion of the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen - this subject had already been discussed at a previous Executive Committee meeting.

Because of the fact that a specific time must be set and arrangements made in advance we could use this as a target date by which to accomplish certain tasks. By the end of this conference the commission should be in a position to make positive recommendations.

Prior to the fall conference we will use the general commission meetings to discuss some of the substantive issues and recommendations. The time frame and the legal and political feasibility will be discussed with legislators. Roberts will explore what can be done in terms of legislature.

There was considerable discussion re the need for an earlier conference.

<u>Tippens</u>: There will be no need for a two day session of the commission prior to the fall conference, which will be the completion of Phase II.

A motion to hold a fall conference was passed. October 2 and 3 were generally agreed on by those present.

JUNE COMMISSION MEETING - Thursday, June 17

In answer to Elsa Coleman's question, Cease replied: By the end of July we should have our structural models fairly well fleshed out. Long before the fall conference we will have to agree on the model we will use as the working document for this commission.

Roberts stated that the committees need a framework in terms of adopting programs - so far most of our concepts are theoretical. Prior to the October

Minutes of the Executive Committee Meeting - June 2

conference the Executive Committee should recommend the working model in preparation for making our legislative recommendations.

Rich said one of the concerns of some of the committees was the loss of the lower level in Model III. Rich emphasized that before we make our structural recommendations for that level we must decide what functions should be assigned to the lower tier.

It was generally agreed that August should be the target date for discussion and resolution of our model.

Mays suggested a workshop session for the June Commission meeting.

Rich reinterated the decision of the Commission at the Otter Crest Conference to examine the ideal (Model II) as well as the practical (Model III) approach to government. Rich also outlined a structure of Model III prepared by an ad hoc group of the Finance Committee that would create a metropolitan council. Rich asked if the members wanted the staff to concentrate on working toward Model III, or develop Model II as well as a more ideal goal.

<u>Stuhr</u> felt that part of their assignment was to develop long term recommendations using Model II.

<u>Yost</u>: The creative process and the judicial process must be separate when we prepare models. Model II is where we want to get in ten years; Model III is what we can do in the meantime.

The larger the group the more difficult it is to get a consensus on the models. In two or three weeks this committee hopes to have a structureal model established so that members of other committees may participate in the discussions.

<u>Cease</u>: The issue of the components of the models will be further discussed at the next meeting. The purpose of today's meeting is to discuss any problems the committees may be having.

COMMITTEE WORK

<u>Coleman</u> would like to have any information which the other committees may have on fire and police protection.

<u>Stahl</u>: One of our tasks should be to include in our Model III recommendations for the mechanism to implement Model II.

Cease said the next two to three months will probably be the most productive and decisive for the Commission and stressed the importance of attendance at the meetings. To summarize our objectives: We are looking at the ideal and the practical approach - the long term and the short term.

BUSINESS

A motion to approve the telephone bill for May, \$154.26, was made and seconded by Mays and Stevenson, and the motion passed.

A motion to approve payment of the Boise Cascade invoice for \$109 for office supplies was also approved.

Rich requested approval to open a second bank account for the Tri-County Local Government Commission to handle separately our cash received from employee's coffee fund and from the sale of sandwiches to the members at meetings. This would simplify our bookkeeping. The account would also have the requirement of two signatures on all checks.

It was moved and seconded to approve the bank account, and it was passed.

Meeting adjourned 9:15 a.m.