

MINUTES OF THE LAND USE, RECREATIONAL & CULTURAL ACTIVITIES COMMITTEE III  
MEETING

Held: June 15, 1976

MEMBERS PRESENT: Gisvold, Chairperson; Blunt; Brickley; Bullier; Jaeger; Herrell; Moshofsky; Stevenson

EXCUSED: M. Johnson, Kirkpatrick, Lang, Sprecher, Thorgerson

STAFF: Lamb, Martin, Garbutt

The chairperson called the meeting to order and explained that this meeting was a workshop session to determine levels of functional assignments for libraries and parks. "Today we would like to develop recommendations we can send to the librarians for their comments and opinions."

Stevenson suggested we send the revised charts to persons other than just librarians.

Ken Martin presented the suggested functional allocations for libraries (LU-18). The chart containing these suggestions is an attempt to visualize the matrix and was prepared on the suggestion of Mr. Gisvold. The discussion turned to the recent election, and it was noted that library levies in Multnomah and Washington counties had passed and that Clackamas County will be putting a levy on the ballot soon.

In answer to Jaeger's question, Gisvold said that the functional categories listed were the ones which the librarians recommended at the May 11 meeting of this committee.

Jaeger said if the state is going to provide any money for libraries, they will set some standards of their own such as how many people will be served and by how many books. Therefore, we cannot do all the planning on the local level without including the state.

Lamb suggested we substitute the word "coordination" for "planning" in the upper tier to avoid confusion with planning of the middle tier.

Herrell asked why it is considered logical to have libraries handled at the county level and suggested it be done at the metro level.

Lamb said that the resistance to a regional system comes from the Multnomah County Library. Mr. Burkhardt had told a Phase I committee that if all three counties were equally equipped a regional service would be feasible.

Moshofsky said that if we are aiming for a metro council, we should make only essential changes, such as coordination.

Herrell stated that if we are going to have a multi-purpose metro council, the concern is not whether we have two or three tiers. Herrell made a motion to combine the upper and middle tier and label it "upper tier." The motion was seconded by Stevenson but was withdrawn after it was agreed that there would be two charts; one as presented today and the other reduced to the two tier system.

It was decided that this second chart will be developed by the staff and mailed to librarians for their comment, along with the revised original chart.

Gisvold proposed we put traditional and outreach services under lower tier in an advisory capacity.

Moshofsky moved we label the lower tier on this chart advisory. Herrell seconded, and there were no objections.

Herrell said the matrix is usable for working purposes, but, for the report of this committee, we should use the narrative form.

There were no further comments on libraries. It was generally agreed to extend this meeting until 2:00 p.m.

Staff will schedule a guest speaker on code enforcement, etc. for the next committee meeting.

#### PARKS & RECREATION (Leisure Activities)

Brom Lamb outlined the categories of the narrative and chart which was handed out at this meeting (LU-18). The stadium, auditorium and coliseum are not included; they will be dealt with later under cultural activities.

The consensus was that the allocation as presented was acceptable.

Jaeger stated that the City of Portland is attempting to obtain newly available funds from the Fish and Wildlife Department for open spaces.

Martin said that the Parks and Recreation chart will also be developed in the two tier form for consideration by the committee.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:45 p.m.