

## MINUTES OF THE LAND USE, RECREATIONAL &amp; CULTURAL ACTIVITIES COMMITTEE MEETING

Held: July 20, 1976

MEMBERS PRESENT: Chairperson Gisvold; Blunt, Bullier, Jaeger, Kirkpatrick, Moshofsky, Sprecher, Stevenson, Thorgerson

STAFF: Kelly, Kenny, Garbutt, McCarthy

REVIEW OF MAJOR CULTURAL FACILITIES

Sprecher felt the Coliseum, Stadium, and Auditorium should all be managed together as they are interrelated.

Gisvold said they are not being mis-managed now, therefore, would it be acceptable to change?

Thorgerson: It is financially beneficial to have these three facilities located in the downtown area.

Moshofsky: We have not studied the management of the three facilities - - we are not qualified to recommend changing the operation. Management will not necessarily be better at a regional level.

The Cultural Chart was accepted by the members as is.

REVIEW OF LAND USE CHART

Sprecher pointed out that we have left out any participation by the Lower Tier. "Review and Advise" was suggested for this function, but any major plan should be required to fit in with the entire area. The people should have an input through an elected official - - hearings and comment - - but the decisions should be made at a higher level.

Moshofsky: Our objective is to place responsibility at the lowest level which can successfully implement it. The state should use some restraint in distributing power. In our plan, I would see the metro council replacing CRAG and having more authority.

Sprecher expressed concern that we have not yet spoken to the matter of appeals.

Thorgerson questioned the equity of the present appeals system.

Gisvold: The placement of inspection services at the upper tier was meant to assist local jurisdictions, i.e. if they cannot afford a structural engineer for their area they could draw from the central pool.

Moshofsky asked Gisvold and the staff to write up what was done here today for presentation at next week's committee meeting.

Meeting adjourned.

els