



**METRO**

**MEETING:** FUTURE VISION COMMISSION  
(Joint meeting with Metro Council begins at 5:30 p.m.)

**DATE:** January 30, 1995

**DAY:** Monday

**TIME:** 3:00 p.m. (note earlier starting time)

**PLACE:** Metro Regional Center  
Room 370

3:00 Roll Call/Call to Order

**1. Develop Final Comments on Future Vision Map** 60 min..

4:00 **2. Develop Final Comments on Future Vision Commission Report** 90 min.  
(January 25, 1995 draft)

5:30 **3. Joint Meeting with Metro Council and Executive Officer to** 90 min.  
**Review Future Commission Report**

7:00 Adjourn

# MINUTES OF THE FUTURE VISION COMMISSION

January 23, 1995

Room 370A&B

Committee Members Present: Chair Len Freiser, Rod Stevens, Marilyn Wall, Bob Textor, Linda Peters, Peggy Lynch, Susan McLain, Robert Liberty, Alice Schlenker, Wayne Lei, John Magnano, Peter McDonald, Mike Gates, Mike Houck

Others Present: Ethan Seltzer, Ken Gervais, Casey Short, Mike Burton, Carole Kelsey, Sherry Oeser, Barb Duncan, John Fregonese, Mark Turpel, David Auscherman, Glen Bolen, Paulette Allen

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL
3. PUBLIC COMMENT AND OTHER BUSINESS

Chair Freiser called the meeting to order at 4:11 p.m.

4. MINUTES

Approval of Notes from December 5 and 19, 1994

**Motion:** Peggy Lynch moved for approval of the minutes as listed.

**Vote:** All those present voted aye. The vote was unanimous and the minutes were approved.

Councilor McLain noted Commissioner Linda Peters, Chair, Washington County Board of Commissioners, had replaced Lisa Nakajima for the duration of the Future Vision Commission.

5. TIMELINE AND SCHEDULE REVIEW

Councilor McLain explained her memorandum dated December 17, 1994 (printed in the agenda packet). She noted the Future Vision Commission's joint meeting with the Metro Council on January 30 would start at 5:30 p.m. The Commission as a whole discussed the memo further.

**Motion:** Robert Liberty moved, seconded by Ted Spence, to adopt the recommendations in Councilor McLain's memo.

The Commission as a whole discussed the motion. Discussion points included: 1) Items, work plan, budget and the insert. 2) That John Fregonese could contact organizations outside the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). 3) How the three proposed listening posts would work. 4) How the work done by the Commission would interface/link with the Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) and how MPAC would interface with local governments on the Regional Framework Plan.

Those present discussed when the Council would review the Future Vision Commission's final report. John Fregonese gave the schedule for the newsletter as proposed and reviewed logistical details related to same.

The group discussed the Future Vision Commission's final draft report as of January 16. Chair Freiser said the Commission could meet January 30 at 4:00 to discuss any outstanding issues/details related to the report.

Vote: All those present voted aye on the motion listed above. The vote was unanimous and the motion passed.

Executive Officer Burton said the Commission's final report was extremely important because it was Metro's first step in implementing the Regional Framework Plan. He said his only concern was that staff have sufficient time to complete their work and submit it to the Council.

Mike Houck discussed a pending two-day conference on flood plain management by the Northwest Association of Floodplain Managers focussing on Region 2040 Plan water quality issues.

The group as a whole discussed how to coordinate with governments outside the UGB. Mayor Schlenker said local governments were afraid that local planning would not be considered necessary or valuable.

Chair Freieser said the Commission would meet January 30 to select the final Future Vision map also.

Mike Houck gave a slide show presentation of pictures for possible use in the Future Vision newsletter. Those present discussed which pictures would be appropriate for which category. Categories included: Resource lands; Greenspaces/Regional Trails; Regional landmarks; Separation identity; Communities/Neighborhoods; and Transportation Distribution. Bob Textor said the category "concentrated neighborhoods" should be kept. Those present discussed terminology further. They discussed using pictures from a variety of sources such as the Visual Preference Survey.

6. DISCUSSION OF JANUARY 30TH MEETING WITH THE METRO COUNCIL  
Public involvement - strategy and budget

Those present discussed when the Future Vision Commission would brief the Council on the final report on January 30 and also discuss future outreach efforts.


Councilor McLain recommended that the Future Vision final report be sent to all jurisdictions that could be affected in nine counties and all school districts.

The Commission discussed the Future Vision Commission's possible future role. Ethan Seltzer said that issue had been covered in Councilor McLain's memo.

All business items having been attended to, Chair Freiser adjourned the meeting at 6:35 p.m.

Meeting record prepared by:

Paulette Allen  
Program Assistant I

PEGGY LYNCH 

(503) 646-4580  
(503) 646-6286 fax

3840 SW 102nd Avenue  
Beaverton, OR 97005-3244

January 30, 1995

Remarks to Metro Council and Future Vision Commission regarding the role of FVC and requested role of Metro Council - on behalf of the Future Vision Commission

The Future Vision Commission has been working on behalf of the Metro Council since May of 1993 to fulfill the requirements of the Metro Charter which calls for a Future Vision for the region. The briefing given you by Councilor Susan McLain last Tuesday covered the breadth of our work--from review of local government Visions and public opinion surveys to a broader review of other Vision documents; from receiving testimony from local "experts" in special areas of interest to researching information and receiving reports on targeted areas as called for by the Charter.

We have addressed those issues listed in the Charter, including carrying capacity (See lines 159-171 of Jan. 24th draft). We have listed a set of values we believe are shared region-wide (Lines 54-100). And we have used those values as a basis for developing a set of Vision Statements--the heart of our Vision document.

Developing a region-wide Vision has been challenging. Recently, I read about the City of Sherwood's visioning experience and their highest priority was to maintain the rural character of their community. The Commission's document respects their vision, but also needed to address those who live in the City of Portland who want a vibrant cosmopolitan urban center; therefore, you will see Vision Statements on Diversity (S-3), Vital Communities (S-5), Roots (S-6), Choice (P-2) and Downtowns (P-6).

We offer suggestions on how to achieve the Vision and list a set of Indicators to guide you in measuring whether or not we are moving toward the Vision. Please note that we attempted to offer you indicators whose data is already being gathered or may be easily obtained. We also wanted to find a few that would catch the imagination. In reading Jonathan Nicholas' column Sunday, however, I look forward to even more creative suggestions from the community. At the heart of our Vision is that we cannot save that which we treasure, change that which we don't and improve that which is wrong unless we do it TOGETHER (Vision Statements on Participation (I-3), Civic Life (S-4) and Vital Communities (S-5)).

For those of you who might have missed the column, let me share a couple of excerpts as he talks about "Americans' passion for civic engagement". He quotes Robert D. Putnam, a Harvard scholar, in "The Journal of Democracy" about the benefits of social interaction: "Better schools. Faster economic development. Lower crime. More effective government."

And then he shares statistics (translate that to Indicators) which show "We all are spending less and less time with our neighbors, more and more time with our televisions." And now the hooker: "In 1993, 80 million Americans went bowling. That may not sound to you like a big deal, but Putnam reminds us that's almost 30 million more than went out to vote in the 1994 congressional elections. In the past 10 years, whereas the number of bowlers increased by 10 percent, the number of bowling leagues dropped by 40 percent. There you have it: Society's problem in a nutshell. We're a people face-to-face with a distinctly unAmerican destiny. Bowling alone."

And that brings me to the section on Implementation. We have offered suggestions on how the Vision can become tomorrow's reality. Again, the heart of the strategy is that we must do it TOGETHER--individuals working together, society working together, governments working together--for the future of us all.

Now we turn to you, the Metro Council, and ask for your advice and direction as we begin the task of engaging the general public in responding to the VISION, moving toward YOUR adoption of YOUR VISION by July 1, 1995, as called for in the charter.

We have reviewed Councilor McLain's memo of January 17th and have unanimously adopted the recommendations contained therein and ask your advice on the best method to carry out those recommendations.

The final adopted VISION will be YOUR VISION for the future of our region. We ask that you assume the role of decision-makers for this document by attending the proposed listening posts as lead "listeners". The Future Vision Commission stands ready to support you by attending and acting as resource people, but the people need to be able to talk directly to you about their feelings regarding the VISION.

The Future Vision Commission hopes that you will become so acquainted with the document that there will be values and vision statements you can quote during speaking engagements throughout the region once the VISION is adopted. Therefore, we ask that you begin the process of taking ownership in the VISION and making it YOUR VISION. We stand ready to support you in any manner you request.

We also ask that, because the VISION includes a recognition that we all are a part of a much larger region, you reach out to those jurisdictions and citizens outside of Metro (but included in the nine-county area shown by the VISION map), and share the VISION with them, explaining that the map includes them because we recognize their importance to our future and express your desire to develop positive working relationships with them as they plan for their future.

Finally, we ask that you consider the importance of the VISION in your up-coming decision-making. If you can point to your VISION as the reason for making a particular decision, the public can better understand and accept those tough choices that lie ahead. (Mayor Alice Schlenker of Lake Oswego and a Future Vision Commissioner has stressed the importance of giving her a document she can use with her constituents as the tough decisions are made.) A reminder about our collective values and VISION is a positive method of communication. The

fact that the VISION contains issues and concerns of the everyday person may help us all put individual land use and transportation decisions in a much broader context.

Metro is charged with helping to plan for a large region, but individuals live, work and play here. The VISION can help to put the decisions regarding Urban Reserves and where to put major streets and roads in the broader context of what's the best decision for the people of the region. The fact that the VISION speaks to those who will live here fifty years from now may help with today's decisions--a fact our children and grandchildren may come to appreciate even more than those of us who reside here today.

Lastly, we have heard criticism about our focus on children, but let me leave you with this reminder for whom the Vision is written: In the Tri-County area's public schools alone (that's K-12 and does not include children ages 0-5 and those not in public schools) there are over 200,000 children. Recent coverage of the closing of salmon fishing in the Columbia validates our direction: Everyday people were quoted as supporting the decision--because they wanted their children and grandchildren to one day be able to experience fishing for salmon on the Columbia as they have in the past.

Please accept this Vision, make it your own and allow it to lead us into the future.

I leave you with a quotation from a great American:

"Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world: indeed it's the only thing that ever has."

-Margaret Mead

# ABOUT TOWN

Who's who and what's what around Portland

## Wanna know our problem? Answer's right up your alley

Ah, look at all the lonely people... America, the land of joiners, is turning into a country where nobody wants to belong

**B**owling alone. That, he said, was Portland's problem. Too many people bowling alone.

As a rule, when some old codger mumbles stuff like this to me, I just smile and quietly move to another seat on the bus.

But this guy looked different.

This guy looked like Ethan Seltzer, the hugely erudite director of the urban studies school at Portland State.

Two doubts rushed in an instant to the front of my finely tuned reporter's brain.

■ 1. If this guy was so smart, what was he doing on my bus?

■ 2. No way had this dude ever bowled better than a 165 in his life.

Are you sure, I said, trying to



sound compassionate, this story is up my alley?

It's not your alley I'm worried about, he whispered. It's your life.

Then he was gone.

Two days later it arrived. Whomp. It landed on the desk. The Journal of Democracy, Jan. 1995.

The article in question — quite a change of pace from my regular People magazine fare — was by Robert D. Putnam, a Harvard prof deeply versed in the art of waxing eloquent on something called "social capital."

Don't worry about being out of the loop. I'd never heard of it either. Basically, Putnam's thesis goes something like this.

Harvard profs, of course, always have to start by quoting Alexis de Tocqueville. It's in their contracts. Putnam does not disappoint.

It was the 19th-century Frenchman — sort of a Charles Kuralt wandering about in the 1830s — who first remarked on Americans' passion for civic engagement.

"Americans of all ages," he wrote, "all stations in life and all types of disposition are forever forming associations."

Putnam goes on to build a pretty persuasive case that a country of joiners is a country in which democracy flourishes and where all sorts of wonderful things can happen.

Places low on "social capital," on the other hand, include Rwanda and Bosnia.

Nuff said.

Putnam insists that both the quality of public life and the performance of social institutions are greatly influenced by what he calls these "norms and networks of civic engagement."

The fallout from an engaged citizenry, he says, is as widespread as it is welcome.

"Better schools. Faster economic development. Lower crime. More effective government."

Social connections and civic engagement, in other words, exert a dramatic influence both on our public life and our private prospects.

Comes now the scary part. (I can't believe this will hit you as a surprise.)

In recent years, our social capital has been eroding faster than the beach at Salishan.

It goes way beyond the tens of millions of people who no longer bother even to vote.

In the past 20 years, attendance "in the past year" at public town or school meetings has tumbled from 22 percent to 13 percent.

From church attendance and union membership through the PTA to the Boy Scouts, the story is the same: Public involvement is plummeting.

The only thing we are joining in record numbers is the mailing lists of those folks who send us junk.

We all are spending less and less time with our neighbors, more and more time with our televisions.

This "privatization" of leisure time may have consequences we're only just beginning to question.

But what does all this have to do with bowling?

I thought you'd never ask.

In 1993, 80 million Americans went bowling. That may not sound to you like a big deal, but Putnam reminds us that's almost 30 million more than went out to vote in the 1994 congressional elections.

In the past 10 years, whereas the number of bowlers increased by 10 percent, the number bowling in leagues dropped by 40 percent.

There you have it: Society's problem in a nutshell.

We're a people face-to-face with a distinctly unAmerican destiny.

Bowling alone.

Jonathan Nicholas writes his column four times each week — on Sunday, Monday, Wednesday and Friday. Reach him by phone at 221-8533, by fax at 294-4026 or by mail at 1320 S.W. Broadway, Portland 97201.



**METRO**

DATE: January 30, 1995 .  
TO: Len Freiser  
Ethan Seltzer  
Ken Gervais  
FROM: Susan McLain *sm/cs*  
RE: Planning for the Future Vision

I have a standing meeting with Council staff for Mondays from 1:00-2:00, and I would like to dedicate next week's meeting to a discussion of the next steps for Future Vision. I would like each of you to attend this meeting next Monday, February 6, if you can.

Please let me know whether you will be able to make it. Thank you.

TO: Future Vision Commission  
 FROM: Robert Liberty  
 DATE: 30 January 1995  
 RE: Suggested Changes In Draft Text of Future Vision Statement

1 A. INTRODUCTION  
 2

3 1. Sustainability: Delete Line 154 to 164, replace with:  
 4

5 ... much use in a metropolitan setting. Determining the sustainability of  
 6 current population levels at the current or a better quality of life is  
 7 greatly complicated by uncertainties regarding the consequences of  
 8 technological change and the effects on our region of global economics.  
 9 In addition, there are difficult questions of value which must be addressed  
 10 before we complete such an analysis since values can be the basis for an  
 11 analysis but cannot be derived from such analysis. For these reasons we  
 12 have been unable to choose a sustainable population level for the region,  
 13 although available information suggests that increases in population will  
 14 continue to degrade natural systems, absent significant changes in how we  
 15 grow. We hope and encourage other institutions to pursue the question  
 16 of what population is sustainable in order to further advance public  
 17 understanding of the consequences of growth.  
 18

19 B. Our Society  
 20

21 1. S-2 Economy: Amending lines 347-350.  
 22

23 -- Address the further diversification of our economy through government  
 24 policies and private investments which emphasize the creation of family  
 25 wage jobs, and The development of accessible employment centers  
 26 throughout the nine-county region in the Regional Framework Plan  
 27 elements for transportation, rural lands, urban design, housing and water  
 28 resources, will help make jobs accessible.  
 29

30 2. S-3 Diversity: Amend line 360  
 31

32 which include dedicated public space and a broad range of housing types  
 33 affordable by all citizens.  
 34  
 35  
 36

1 3. S-5 Vital Communities: Lines 393-394

2  
3 -- Recognize the presence of areas of chronic poverty as an issue for  
4 metropolitan action issue. Support regional and local initiatives to address  
5 chronic poverty etc.  
6

7 C. OUR PLACE

8  
9 1. P-2 CHOICE: Amend Heading at 431, add new objective at 437, amend  
10 second objective.

11  
12 P-2 Choice Variety In Our Neighborhoods And Communities

13  
14 -- Continue to provide a choice of neighborhood types, including new  
15 single family residential neighborhoods with suburban densities, along  
16 with single family residential neighborhoods of traditional (pre-WW II)  
17 densities and mixed use neighborhoods of a more urban design.

18  
19 *and other initiatives*  
20 -- Target Provide preferential funding for the acquisition of greenspaces,  
21 development of transportation facilities, and other preferential assistance  
22 funds to communities which act to provide a range of housing types for  
23 all income levels within their boundaries.  
24

25 2. P-3 A LIFE IN NATURE: Add a new section after line 448

26  
27 Given the directions in the Charter, I think we should have a new section  
28 discussing areas which are priorities for restoration. (I would leave the wording to  
29 Mike Houck, if this change is accepted by the Commission.)  
30

31 *To write*  
32 *like 469* P-4 WALKING: Add two new objectives after line 486

33 -- Design and operate light rail systems as the armature for regional  
34 development and redevelopment.

35  
36 -- Design and operate public transit systems as *complementary* adjuncts to pedestrian  
37 movement not as adjuncts to automobile trips.  
38

39  
40 4. P-7 Equity: Amend line 533

41  
42 -- Develop fair and equitable funding mechanisms *+ investment strategies* and investment criteria  
43 for etc.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

D. Implementation: Insert a third implementing action at line 601.

3) Public Discussion of Governance Structures - A public reevaluation of the appropriateness of the structures of governance our region has inherited from the 19th and early 20th centuries, to address 21st century problems and issues, especially those at the neighborhood and regional level.



**METRO**

**DATE:** January 30, 1995  
**TO:** Future Vision Commission  
**FROM:** Paulette Allen, Program Assistant *PA*  
**RE:** COMMENTS ON JANUARY 24, 1995 FUTURE VISION REPORT

Mr. Parker called me last week and asked if it would be possible to submit comments on the Future Vision Commission's report dated January 24, 1995 even if he was not able to attend today's meeting. I told him if he faxed his comments/corrections to me I would copy and distribute them to you. Thank you.

Attachment

To: Paulette Allen

Fax: 797-1794

From: Jim Parker 690 ~~5301~~ 5301

Date: January 30, 1995

Pages: 5 (including this page)

## 21 PREAMBLE

22

23 In 1805, Lewis and Clark came to this region, sent by President Jefferson on a journey of peace ??  
24 and friendship, scientific exploration, conquest and discovery. Beginning in the 1840's,  
25 thousands of pioneers made an arduous 2,000 mile, eight month trek along the Oregon trail to river  
26 valleys with rich farmlands and mountains with vast forests. Today, people are still attracted to  
27 this region for its jobs, natural beauty, and <sup>its</sup> culture of livability. Simply put, this is a great place to  
28 live. We want to keep it that way.

29

30 However, today we are on an equally arduous journey into the future, one that challenges our  
31 expectation that this will continue to be a place where people choose to invest their talents and  
32 energy to keep what is good and fulfill our hopes for this land and all of its peoples. We must act  
33 now and together. We offer this vision of the nine-county region in 2045 as a first step in  
34 developing policies, plans, and actions that serve our bi-state region and all its people.

35

36 The bi-state metropolitan area has effects on, and is affected by, a much bigger region than the land  
37 inside Metro's current boundaries. Our ecologic and economic region stretches from the crest of  
38 the Cascades to the crest of the Coast Range, and from Longview on the north to Salem on the  
39 south. Any vision for a territory as large and diverse as this must be regarded as both ambitious  
40 and a work-in-progress. We offer this document <sup>as both</sup> ~~in that spirit~~

41

42 This vision has been developed with the expectation that individual dreams and effort will matter.  
43 Our region is a place that rewards those who commit themselves to keeping and making it a great  
44 place to live. Our region is a place where people act to meet the future, rather than waiting to cope  
45 with its <sup>problems</sup> ~~circumstances~~. History teaches the often cruel lesson that a community that does not  
46 possess a clear vision of the kind of future it wants is not likely to be satisfied with the one it gets.

47 Making the effort to identify what we want, and then acting purposefully and collectively to  
48 achieve it, is critical.  
49  
50 Your Future Vision Commission has attempted to reflect the hopes and conscience of the people  
51 who live here - we are neither oracles nor social engineers. Rather, we affirm differences in  
52 thought and ways of life. We celebrate the individual as well as the community. We encourage  
53 self-reliance and self-fulfillment as well as civic participation and civic pride.

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79

## VALUES

Our way of life in this region embodies a number of interconnected values that are essential to facing the future wisely:

- We value taking purposeful action to advance our aspirations for this region, ~~shaped by the realization that~~ <sup>REALIZING</sup> we should not act to meet our needs today in a manner that limits or eliminates the ability of future generations to meet their needs and enjoy this landscape ~~that~~ <sup>are</sup> we ~~are~~ privileged to inhabit.
- We value natural systems for their intrinsic value, and ~~we~~ <sup>we</sup> recognize our responsibility to be stewards of the region's natural resources.
- We value ~~the greatest possible~~ individual liberty in politics, economics, lifestyle, belief, and conscience, with the full understanding that this liberty cannot be fully realized or long endure unless accompanied by shared commitments for community, civic involvement, and the health of our environment as a whole.
- We believe in the conservation and preservation of natural and historic landscape resources. Widespread land restoration and redevelopment must precede any future conversion of land to urban uses to meet our present and future needs.
- We value economic development because of the opportunities it affords us all, but ~~we~~ recognize that there can be true economic development only with <sup>(1)</sup> unimpaired and sustainable natural ecosystems <sup>(2)</sup> and suitable social mechanisms <sup>(3)</sup> to ~~insure~~ <sup>INSURING</sup> dignity and equity for all <sup>(3)</sup> and compassion for those in need

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

• We value our regional identity, sense of place, and unique reputation among metropolitan areas, and <sup>we</sup> celebrate the identity and accomplishments of our urban neighborhoods and suburban and rural communities ~~as well~~.

• We value participatory decisionmaking which harnesses the creativity inherent in a wide range of views, dissenting and consenting, about the past, present, and future.

• We value a life close to the beauty and inspiration of nature, incorporated into urban development in a manner that remains a model for metropolitan areas into the next century.

• We value vibrant cities that are both an inspiration and a crucial resource for commerce, cultural activities, politics, and community building.

• We value meeting the needs of our communities through grass-roots initiatives that are always aware of and in harmony with the collective interest of our overall metropolitan community.

• We value a cultural atmosphere and public policy that will insure that every child in every community enjoys the greatest possible opportunities to fulfill his or her potential in life. It is, after all, primarily for them, and for their children, that we propose this vision.

## FUTURE VISION COMMISSION ROSTER

### Commission Membership and Staff

<p>Len Freiser, Chair  1215 SE 16th Ave.  Portland, OR 97214-3707  232-4946 (Residence)  725-5933 (Message)</p>	<p>Judy Davis  7416 SE 32nd Ave.  Portland, OR 97202  274-7219 (Business)  274-1412 (Fax)</p>
<p>Mike Gates  1471 Burns  West Linn, OR 97202  656-0399 (Business)  656-5667 (Fax)</p>	<p>Mike Houck  2833 SW Upper Drive  Portland, OR 97201  225-9916 (Message)  797-1730 (Metro)</p>
<p>Wayne Lei  PGE Environmental Policy  121 SW Salmon  Portland, OR 97204  223-5365 (Residence)  464-8988 (Business)</p>	<p>Robert Liberty  1000 Friends of Oregon  534 SW Third Ave., #300  Portland, OR 97204  227-4379 (Residence)  497-1000 (Business)  223-0073 (Fax)</p>
<p>Peggy Lynch  3840 SW 102nd Ave.  Beaverton, OR 97005  646-4580 (Business/Residence)  646-6286 (Fax)</p>	<p>John Magnano  Clark County Commission  PO Box 5000  Franklin St.  Vancouver, WA 98688  (206) 699-2232 (Business)  (206) 737-6058 (Fax)</p>
<p>Peter McDonald  15700 SW Wilsonville Road  Wilsonville, OR 97070  625-7437 (Residence)</p>	<p>Susan McLain  2510 Mills Lane  Forest Grove, OR 97116  797-1553 (Metro)  357-9215 (Residence)</p>
<p>Alice Schlenker  257 Iron Mountain Blvd.  Lake Oswego, OR 97034  635-0213 (City Hall)  636-8258 (Business)  697-0594 (Fax)</p>	<p>Rod Stevens  2486 NW Westover Road, #104  Portland, OR 97210  222-3217 (Residence)  274-0417 (Business)  243-3805 (Fax)</p>

<b>Robert B. Textor</b> 3435 NW Luray Terrace Portland, OR 97210-2726 223-6370 (Residence/Business) 223-2521 (Fax)	<b>Marilyn Wall</b> 3385 SE Aldercrest Road Milwaukie, OR 97222 653-5700 (Residence) 238-0333 (Business)
--	--

**ALTERNATES:**

<b>Linda Peters</b> Washington County Commission 155 N First Ave., #300 Hillsboro, OR 97124 648-8681 (Business) 693-4545 (Fax)	<b>Ted Spence</b> 10430 SW 66th Ave. Portland, OR 97223 245-1549 (Residence) 799-4459 (Cellular)
<b>Fred Stewart</b> 5802 NE Mallory Portland, OR 97211 289-4970 (Residence) 283-4542 (Business) 289-3435 (Fax)	

**Metro Staff:**

**Ken Gervais**            797-1736  
**Paulette Allen**        797-1562  
**David Auscherman**    797-1733  
**Glen Bolen**            797-1597  
**John Fregonese**        797-1738  
**Andy Cotugno**         797-1794  
**Metro Fax\***            797-1794

**Metro:**

600 NE Grand Ave.  
 Portland, OR 97232-2736

**Ethan Seltzer**            725-5170  
**PSU Fax**                725-5199  
**Portland State University**  
**Institute of Metropolitan Studies**  
**PO Box 751**  
**Portland, OR 97207**

\*All fax communications may be sent to the Future Vision Commission via this number.  
 2/3/95