

## May GTAC/Trails Meeting Announcement

Wednesday, May 8 1 to 3 p.m.  
Metro Regional Center, Room 370



**METRO**

### AGENDA

1 – 1:15

(15 min)

Introductions and announcements

1:15 – 1:35

(20 min)

Council resolution of intent to seek future additional funding for acquisition, development and maintenance of Metro's regional parks system and continuing implementation of the Greenspaces Master Plan (see attached)

Charlie Ciecko and Jim Desmond

two year sunset on the tipping fee in order to ensure a good broad-based discussion about how to come up with a long-term funding solution. the resolution of intent is intended to jump-start this process.

jim desmond

combines mpac parks subcommittee stuff and the green ribbon committee work, etc.

language of the resolution

provides authority to metro to begin putting properties under option; also asks staff to start working on the details of the next funding measure.

survey passed out; need it back by next wednesday.

Important to emphasize (charlie) that the list associated with the resolution is not exclusive. the approval of this resolution does not legally bind the council to following through on the measure.

jayne . . . seems like all the feedback from the council in the last year has been that we wouldn't do more acquisition. charlie agreed but thinks that they have been rethinking it in light of the winding down of the bond measure.

sjulin – should state clearly what is still to be decided: local share, trails, inside/outside ugb

also jim asked . . . do recommendations for additions to the options list have a good chance of being added. jim d said absolutely and that they had been asked specifically to ask that question.

don – public access concerns, be clear

we don't know if this is going to move forward

mike north clackamas – we're all dying on the vine. we need to focus on how we take care of what we have. help us solve the o&m problem; then we can talk about buying more

chris w – agreed. credibility issue with the public

barbara – could be even less support than we might expect because of the split over stewardship vs. more acquisition

john sewell –

mike – more important to fund a sustainable stewardship program; open up properties' ten by more

Ken w – don't disagree with mike, but we also need to maintain (and not lose ground)

dawn h – lands could be developed

sitting next to heather, short blonde hair – get the land while you still can. shortsighted to do otherwise

charlie tried to get people to say they were leaning one way or the other

bob – says you need a balanced program, can't choose between

all elements are in the resolution, but it looks like the acquisition stuff is the main emphasis because there was money involved

jim s – also looking for balance. this needs to move forward, but we need to be clear as possible about the process.

barbara – green rib comm thought it was important to future support of more acquisition to open some of the current properties to the public.

mike – we have a responsibility to provide active parks

charlie – this issue is very possibly something we'll consider at the gtac level

mary – local needs may have very different priorities down the road

kelly – linear, don't stack your concerns so that when things become possible

duane – metro's focus on natural area stuff and acquisition has really allowed local jurisdictions to focus and improve their active park offerings.

charlie – element of surprise; nonetheless, there's a desire to proceed with a broad-based dialogue; lots of differing opinions on what the priorities should be; process needs to be open and transparent and be written into the resolution itself; questionnaires back by

---

1:35 – 1:55

(20 min)

MTIP Questionnaire

Heather Nelson Kent and Mel Huie

**heather** – Do we want to advocate for a new category called "regional trails". Otherwise we are competing with bike or ped projects.

other reason to do so is that bike&ped have a funding source dedicated

bob – when you make a new category then someone is saying how much that category is worth

**heather** – should the region focus its efforts within each funding cycle – different foci . . . by theme, regional centers, etc.

**heather** – engineering funding . . .

doesn't need to be the survey, can send letter as a group/committee or individual.

---

1:55 – 2:55

(1 hour)

Trail funding priorities