

May 00

GTAC Meeting Notice

To: Greenspaces Technical Advisory Committee

When: May 10, 2000
Wednesday
1:00 pm to 3:00 pm

Where: Metro Regional Center
600 NE Grand Ave
Portland, OR 97232
Room 370 A & B

AGENDA

1	Local Share Events Presentation	Amy Kirschbaum	1:00 - 1:15 pm	15 min.
2	Schools Inventory Presentation	Jane Hart/ Mark Bosworth	1:15 - 1:30 pm	15 min.
3	Parks/Schools Partnership Model Presentation	David Judd, City of Portland Parks/ Eric Owens, THPRD	1:30 - 1:50 pm	20 min.
4	Regional System Mapping Update	Jennifer Budhabhatti	1:50 - 2:10 pm	20 min.
5	Regional Trails Criteria Presentation	Mel Huie	2:10 - 2:30 pm	20 min

Next GTAC meeting Wednesday, June 14, 2000, 1:00 – 3:00 pm at Metro.

may 00

Schools Inventory Agenda Item for May 10, 2000 GTAC Meeting

Introduction

Reviews speaker / presenter line up

- Jane Hart – background, purpose, inventory overview, maps
- Mark Bosworth – Inventory demonstration
- David Judd – City of Portland Park/schools partnerships
- Eric Owens – THPRD park/schools partnerships

Jane's Speaking Points

- Background and purpose of inventory
- Geographic area inventory covers
- Data attached to graphics

Mark's Speaking Points

- Schools inventory located on Metro's FTP site, same as park inventory
- Jane is providing a letter with the maps explaining how to download the park and school inventory information off of the FTP site. Explain that roads and water bodies (other) are also on FTP site and that most jurisdictions have access to adding more detail with streets etc. if they would like.
- Show overview of Lake Oswego's school layer.
- Add park layer at same scale and see how they relate to each other spatially
- Zero in on a school site that is adjacent to a park.
- Click on attributes for that school site. Verbally highlight important attributes, name of school, phone number, school district, type of school. Mention that may be a site that city parks would like to explore partnership opportunities for expanding their programs.
- Explain that they can print out a map showing geographic orientation of school and park and print out the attributes for a particular school.

Q&A

- Mark and Jane field questions

All members of GTAC REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Name</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Email</u>
<u>MIKE HOUCK</u>	AUDUBON SOCIETY OF PORTLAND	houckm@teleport.com
<u>VERONICA SMITH</u>	CITY OF BEAVERTON	vsmith@ci.beaverton.or.us
<u>RICHARD REYNOLDS</u>	CITY OF CORNELIUS	building@europa.com
<u>ROEL LUNDQUIST</u>	CITY OF DURHAM	durhamcity@aol.com
<u>JOHN ANDERSEN</u>	CITY OF FAIRVIEW	andersenj@ci.fairview.or.us
<u>WILLIAM CUNNINGHAM</u>	CITY OF FAIRVIEW	cunningb@ci.fairview.or.us
<u>TIM O'BRIEN</u>	CITY OF FOREST GROVE	tobrien@ci.forest-grove.or.us
<u>JONATHAN BLOCK</u>	CITY OF GLADSTONE	glad@spiritone.com
<u>JULEE CONWAY</u>	CITY OF GRESHAM	conway@ci.gresham.or.us
<u>JESSICA CALDWELL</u>	CITY OF HAPPY VALLEY	jcaldwell@ci.happy-valley.or.us
<u>SCOTT TALBOT</u>	CITY OF HILLSBORO	scottt@ci.hillsboro.or.us
<u>DEBORAH LEV</u>	CITY OF LAKE OSWEGO	dlev@ci.oswego.or.us
<u>CHARLENE RICHARDS</u>	CITY OF MILWAUKIE	richardsc@ci.milwaukie.or.us
<u>DEE CRAIG</u>	CITY OF OREGON CITY	dcraig@ci.oregon-city.or.us
<u>JOHN SEWELL</u>	CITY OF PORTLAND	jsewell@ci.portland.or.us
<u>REED RAINEY</u>	CITY OF SHERWOOD	raineyr@sherwood.or.us
<u>DUANE ROBERTS</u>	CITY OF TIGARD	duane@ci.tigard.or.us
<u>VALERIE LANTZ</u>	CITY OF TROUTDALE	vlantz@ci.troutdale.or.us
<u>PAUL HENNON</u>	CITY OF TUALATIN	phennon@ci.tualatin.or.us
<u>KEN WORCESTER</u>	CITY OF WEST LINN	kworcester@ci.west-linn.or.us
<u>CHRIS NEAMTZU</u>	CITY OF WILSONVILLE	neamtzu@ci.wilsonville.or.us
<u>MIKE MCLEES</u>	CLACKAMAS COUNTY PARKS	mikemcl@co.clackamas.or.us
<u>CHARLIE CIECKO</u>	METRO	cieckoc@metro.dst.or.us
<u>SUSAN L MUIR</u>	MULTNOMAH COUNTY	susan.l.muir@co.multnomah.or.us
<u>DIANE KEAN CAMPBELL</u>	NORTH CLACKAMAS PARKS & RECREATION DISTRICT	dianecam@co.clackamas.or.us
<u>HOLLY MICHAEL</u>	ODFW	Holly.B.Michael@state.or.us
<u>PRESTON BECK</u>	PORT OF PORTLAND	beckp@portptld.com
<u>JACK WILES</u>	STATE OF OREGON	jack.wiles@state.or.us
<u>JAYNE CRONLUND</u>	THREE RIVERS LAND CONSERVANCY	trlc@teleport.com
<u>STEVE BOSAK</u>	TUALATIN HILLS PARK & RECREATION DISTRICT	sbosak@thprd.com
<u>JENNIFER THOMPSON</u>	USFWS	Jennifer_Thompson@fws.gov
<u>LARRY EISENBERG</u>	WASHINGTON COUNTY	larry_eisenberg@co.washington.or.us
<u>MATT DUNNAHOE</u>	WASHINGTON COUNTY SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION	matt-dunnahoe@or.nacdnet.org

All members of GTAC INTERESTED PERSONS FULL PACKET

Name	Organization	Email
<u>BOB AKERS</u>	40 MILE LOOP LAND TRUST	NO ENTRY
<u>BARBARA WALKER</u>	40 MILE LOOP LAND TRUST	NO ENTRY
<u>BARBARA FRYER</u>	CITY OF BEAVERTON	bfryer@ci.beaverton.or.us
<u>WILLIAM CUNNINGHAM</u>	CITY OF FAIRVIEW	cunningb@ci.fairview.or.us
<u>KEN LANTER</u>	CITY OF HAPPY VALLEY	wandak@ci.happy-valley.or.us
<u>NANCY BANTZ</u>	CITY OF LAKE OSWEGO	nancy@ci.oswego.or.us
<u>RON BUNCH</u>	CITY OF LAKE OSWEGO	rbunch@ci.oswego.or.us
<u>GARY EVANS</u>	CITY OF LAKE OSWEGO	gary@ci.oswego.or.us
<u>NANCY KRAUSHAAR</u>	CITY OF OREGON CITY	nancy-k@ci.oregon-city.or.us
<u>AL BURNS</u>	CITY OF PORTLAND	aburns@ci.portland.or.us
<u>IVY FRANCES</u>	CITY OF PORTLAND	ivyf@bes.ci.portland.or.us
<u>CHARLES JORDAN</u>	CITY OF PORTLAND	cjordan@ci.portland.or.us
<u>DAVID YAMASHITA</u>	CITY OF PORTLAND	dmy52@aol.com
<u>JIM SJULIN</u>	CITY OF PORTLAND	pkjims@ci.portland.or.us
<u>KELLY PUNTENEY</u>	CITY OF VANCOUVER	kpunteney@ci.vancouver.wa.us
<u>MARY SWANSON</u>	CLACKAMAS COUNTY	marys@co.clackamas.or.us
<u>DAN ZINZER</u>	CLACKAMAS COUNTY	danz@co.clackamas.or.us
<u>MEL HUIE</u>	METRO	huiem@metro.dst.or.us
<u>SUSAN MCLAIN</u>	METRO	mclains@metro.dst.or.us
<u>JENNIFER BUDHABHATTI</u>	METRO	budhabhattij@metro.dst.or.us
<u>JANE HART</u>	METRO	hartj@metro.dst.or.us
<u>HEATHER NELSON KENT</u>	METRO	nelsonkenth@metro.dst.or.us
<u>MIKE HENLEY</u>	NORTH CLACKAMAS PARKS & RECREATION DISTRICT	mhenley@co.clackamas.or.us
<u>DON ROBERTSON</u>	NORTH CLACKAMAS PARKS & RECREATION DISTRICT	donr@co.clackamas.or.us
<u>SEAN LOUGHRAN</u>	OREGON PARKS & RECREATION	sean.loughran@state.or.us
<u>NAN EVANS</u>	OREGON STATE PARKS	nan.evans@state.or.us
<u>MARY GIBSON</u>	PORT OF PORTLAND	gibsom@portptld.com
<u>DEANE FUNK</u>	PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC	Deane_Funk@pgn.com
<u>JOE PORACSKY</u>	PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY	poracskyj@pdx.edu
<u>JIM MCELHINNY</u>	THPRD	jmcelhinny@thprd.com
<u>BIANCA STREIF</u>	US FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE	Bianca_Streif@mail.fws.gov
<u>STEVE WILLE</u>	US FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE	steve_wille@fws.gov
<u>CHRIS WAYLAND</u>	WASHINGTON COUNTY PARKS	hagglake@gte.net

All members of GTAC INTERESTED PERSONS AGENDA

Name	Organization	Email
<u>DAVE ESHBAUGH</u>	AUDUBON SOCIETY OF PORTLAND	deshbaug@audubonportland.org
<u>DON BAACK</u>	DON BAACK & ASSOCIATES	donbaack@k-com.net
<u>DAWN UCHIYAMA</u>	UNIFIED SEWERAGE AGENCY	uchiyamd@usa-cleanwater.org

PRINTABLE

1995 OPENSOURCE CONTRIBUTION \$100-\$499
1995 OPENSOURCE CONTRIBUTION <\$100
1995 OPENSOURCE CONTRIBUTIONS >\$500
1998 GM/TRANS TEACHERS
1998 PARKS INVENTORY CONTACT LIST
1999 GM/TRANS TEACHERS
2000 PARKS FORUM ATTENDED

Data items to be printed

sort columns by to display:	sort columns by to display:	sort columns by to display:
<input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Courtesy Title	<input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Address	<input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Interoffice Building
<input type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Name (required)	<input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> City	<input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Interoffice Room
<input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Job Title	<input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> State	<input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Phone
<input checked="" type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organization	<input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> ZIP Code	<input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> FAX
<input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Department	<input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Country	<input type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email

May⁰⁰ STAC



METRO
Regional Parks and Greenspaces
600 NE GRAND AVE. PORTLAND, OR 97232-2736 (503) 797-1850

DRAFT

**Criteria for
Determining Regionally Significant Trails and Greenways**

and
**Proposed Additions to the Regional Trails and Greenways Component of the
Regional System Plan**

Metro Regional Parks and Greenspaces
April 2000

The Greenspaces Master Plan, which was adopted in July 1992 by the Metro Council, included a regional trails and greenways component and system map. This map is proposed to be incorporated into Metro's new Regional System Plan (RSP) as the regional trails and greenways component. The existing and proposed 35 trails and greenways from the 1992 master plan will be grandfathered into the new RSP.

The screening process to add additional trails and greenways:

First Level to Be Met

Trail or Greenway Corridor must be primarily off-road (e.g. at least 75% of the trail's length). The Greenspaces Program deals with primarily off-road trails.

Trails primarily in the public street right-of-way or on a sidewalk are addressed by Metro's Regional Transportation Plan's (RTP) regional bike and pedestrian systems.

Second Level to Be Met

Criteria for Regional Significance (at least three must be applicable)

- A. Located along the Willamette Greenway (state LCDC guideline)
- B. Multi-Jurisdictional
- C. Connects Regionally Significant Parks & Greenspaces
- D. Connects to Other Regionally Significant Trails (e.g. forms a loop system)
- E. Connects Regional Centers, Town Centers and Main Streets
- F. Significant Habitat Area / Wildlife Corridor

Proposed Additions to the Regional Trails and Greenways System Map

DRAFT

These four corridors have been previously discussed at GTAC meetings during the past year.

Trail Descriptions

- The trails are conceptual only.
- Exact alignments have not been determined. Alignments would need to be thoroughly studied (e.g. feasibility study).
- Public involvement and local governmental review would be necessary prior to any alignment designation.
- They have been discussed at previous GTAC meetings. No action was taken.

North Willamette River Greenway Trail – a six-mile multi-use trail adjacent to the eastbank of the Willamette River between the Steel Bridge and the St. Johns Bridge in Portland. The trail would connect to the Eastbank Esplanade and OMSI to Springwater Corridor Trail, Tom McCall Waterfront Trail, Peninsula Crossing Trail, 40-Mile Loop (Marine Dr.) and the proposed Sullivan's Gulch/Banfield/I-84 Corridor Trail.

The trail would connect Downtown Portland to the Oregon Convention Center, Rose Quarter Entertainment District, Lloyd District, and St. Johns Main Street/Business District. The trail would connect Waterfront Park to Willamette Cove and Smith and Bybee Lakes Natural Area.

Meets these Regional Criteria:

A, C, D, E

Willamette Trolley Shoreline Trail – a seven mile "Rail with Trail" corridor along the westbank of the Willamette River between downtown Lake Oswego and River Place in downtown Portland. A consortium of governments (e.g. Portland, Lake Oswego, Tri-Met, Metro, etc.) currently owns most of the corridor for transit use. An excursion trolley currently runs in the corridor. The Consortium plans to carry out a "Rail with Trail" feasibility study in the near future if funding can be obtained.

The trail connects to Tom McCall Waterfront Trail, OMSI to Springwater Corridor Trail (at the Sellwood Bridge), 40-Mile Loop at Terwilliger in Lake Oswego, and the proposed Willamette Greenway Trail between Lake Oswego and West Linn (major segments in Lake Oswego are currently being designed and built).

The trail would be the region's second "Rail with Trail" project, offering multi-modes of transportation without using a car (e.g. walk, bike, transit). The corridor would connect the downtowns of Portland and Lake Oswego.

Tryon Creek State Park would be connected to the Willamette Greenway.

Meets these Regional Criteria:

A, B, C, D, E

(continued on reverse side)

DRAFT

East Buttes Loop Trail - a ten mile trail system in east Multnomah County connecting regionally significant greenspaces purchased by Metro and the cities of Gresham and Portland on and around the east buttes. Metro has purchased 408 acres of open space adjacent or near the proposed loop trail. The loop trail system would connect to the Springwater Corridor Trail, Powell Butte Nature Park, Jenne Butte, Gresham Butte, Butler Ridge, Hogan Cedars / Ambleside and Gabbert Hill:

The trail would also provide connections to Clackamas County via the Springwater Corridor and proposed Scouter Mt. Trail.

Adjacent segments of the proposed corridor could provide habitat areas for wildlife corridors.

Meets these Regional Criteria:

B, C, D, F

Sullivan's Gulch / Banfield / I-84 Corridor Trail – a sixteen mile urban trail which would parallel I-84 from the Willamette River in Portland to the planned Gresham-Fairview Trail. The proposed trail would connect the Eastbank Esplanade Trail and proposed N. Willamette River Greenway Trail to the I-205 Bike/Ped. Trail and east to the Gresham-Fairview Trail. Between the river and I-205 the trail would be on the north side of the freeway, MAX Light Rail Line and Union Pacific Railroad Line. East of I-205, the trail would be on the south side of the freeway connecting to an existing trail along the freeway.

The trail would provide a much-needed off-road trail corridor in the heart of the city of Portland. The trail could be the Springwater Corridor Trail for northeast Portland. Downtown Portland, northeast neighborhoods, Hollywood District, Parkrose, and Gateway District would all be connected to Gresham and Fairview. Trail users and bikers could easily transfer to the existing MAX line and the new Air MAX line at the Gateway Transit Center and future Interstate Max (IMAX) line at the Rose Quarter.

Even though the corridor is located in a narrow corridor, there does appear to be enough space to fit in a trail. To ensure safety, a fence could be built between the trail and rail lines. The corridor would provide both recreational and commuter trail access to a highly urbanized area which is currently under served by an off-road trail system.

Meets these Regional Criteria:

A, B, D, E

Other Nominations

Other trail nominations and one deletion were offered to the Metro Parks and Greenspaces staff at a Metro workshop on April 26. These are shown on a map available from Metro. These proposals will need additional analysis.

Questions or more information: Mel Huie at Metro, 797-1731 or huiem@metro.dst.or.us

Greenspaces Protection Plan Questionnaire

We want your opinions!

Metro is working with residents, interest groups, local governments and natural resource agencies to develop a plan to identify a regional system of greenspaces and lay out strategies for protecting them. The Greenspaces Protection Plan is intended to assure that the natural environment in the region remains a vital part of our communities and the region as a whole.

1. Which values are most important to you for identifying what should be protected in a regional greenspaces system; in other words, an interconnected system of parks, natural areas, open space, trails and greenways?

	Very important	Somewhat important	Not as important
Ecological values:			
Size of habitat	___	___	___
Quality of the habitat	___	___	___
Rareness of habitat type	___	___	___
Connection with other habitats	___	___	___
Presence of threatened, endangered, state-sensitive or state-listed species	___	___	___
Presence of wetlands and waterways	___	___	___
Feasibility of ecological restoration	___	___	___
Other values? _____	___	___	___
Community values:			
Proximity to public access (roads, trails)	___	___	___
Ability to provide trail linkages	___	___	___
Lack of available natural areas in vicinity	___	___	___
Community support for natural area protection	___	___	___
Prominent views	___	___	___
Historical and cultural significance	___	___	___
Other values? _____	___	___	___

2. Are publicly accessible greenspaces distributed equally in the region? ___ Yes ___ No ___ Don't know
If no, where do you feel the greatest shortages of greenspaces are?

3. There are two broad categories of protection strategies:
- Regulatory (such as environmental and land-use laws, and local development codes and ordinances)
 - Non-regulatory (such as education, tax incentives and voluntary programs).
- Which strategies are most effective in accomplishing greenspaces protection?

	Very important	Somewhat important	Not as important
Regulatory	___	___	___
Non-regulatory	___	___	___
Combination	___	___	___

Comments? _____

4. Which of the following non-regulatory strategies for greenspaces protection are most important?

	Very important	Somewhat important	Not as important	Don't know
Financial incentives for landowners	___	___	___	___
Public funding for land acquisition	___	___	___	___
Designating conservation easements	___	___	___	___
Voluntary landowner stewardship	___	___	___	___
Community service projects and partnerships	___	___	___	___
Landowner recognition programs	___	___	___	___
Restoration/enhancement grant programs	___	___	___	___
Public funding for ongoing greenspaces protection	___	___	___	___
Other? _____	___	___	___	___

5. Planning is Metro's top job. Because open spaces, streams and forests don't stop at city limits or county lines, Metro provides a regional forum for planning the protection of an interconnected system of parks, natural areas open spaces and greenways for fish, wildlife and people. Is this regional coordination important?

Very important ___ Somewhat important ___ Not important ___

Comments? _____

6. Are you familiar with the programs and activities of Metro's Regional Parks and Greenspaces Department?

	Very familiar	Somewhat familiar	Not familiar
Greenspaces Master Plan	___	___	___
Open space acquisition program	___	___	___
Restoration and education grant program	___	___	___
Environmental education classes	___	___	___
Volunteer activities	___	___	___
The Metro GreenScene publication	___	___	___

7. Do you visit Metro's regional parks? (Oxbow, Howell, Blue Lake, Smith and Bybee lakes) ___ Frequently ___ Occasionally ___ Rarely

8. Additional comments or suggestions?

Thank you for filling out this survey. Your opinion matters to us.

We welcome any comments you may have on our presentation and materials.

If you would like to continue to be updated on this planning process, please provide the following information:

Name _____
 Affiliation _____
 Address _____
 E-mail _____

If mailing back, return to:
 Metro
 Regional Parks and Greenspaces Department
 600 Grand Ave.
 Portland, OR 97232-2736
 Or fax to (503) 797-1849



Metro Regional Services
Creating livable communities

104 SURVEYS
COMPLETED

comments

other ecological values

- Slow building
- Access where appropriate.
- Economic cost
- In our area there are no greenspaces that could connect. All greenspace is important because of lack of available land.
- air/noise pollution
- slope--erosion concerns
- Plants are important--get them on the maps.
- Not manmade wetlands caused by diversion of historical stream channels & improperly placed & undersized culverts that act as dams to create wetlands & stop fish passage.
- Preserve existing wetlands.
- These are all good things to look for, but GET THE LAND while it is still available and do what can be done to improve it.
- If not feasible, don't do it!
- Develop uneven-age native forests.
- Location in conjunction with urban areas.
- Development pressures
- Value return.
- Pristine areas.
- Proximity to neighborhoods. Large trees!
- Representative systems e.g. oak, prime forest

other community values

- Next to schools.
- We need to protect areas regardless of local community support.
- "wild" "overdeveloped" condition
- Close to or inside urban growth boundary.
- Blue heron nests, eagle roosting trees
- Educational opportunities
- Places of quiet, repose, in a busy urban setting
- Aesthetic value
- Density proposed.
- Access to economically disadvantaged
- Wildlife diversity.
- Proximity to housing and schools.
- Again, trees.
- "special" places
- Proximity to density neighborhoods

where the shortages are

- Clark County
- It will be, but currently access is still an issue.
- Outer SE Portland
- NE Portland virtually has no greenspaces or even parks.
- Downtown, north Portland
- City of Portland, Gresham, North Portland, Lake Oswego
- North Portland—all this has gotten better. Tualatin Basin.
- Is a greenspace valuable only if publicly accessible?
- Portland eastside near the Willamette River.
- David Douglas School District—please keep what we have now and buy more. We need greenspace for our children, to relax, for wildlife and for less stress!
- Former waterways/creeks of eastside Portland
- We are fortunate to have as much greenspace as we do in our cities' limits. As compared to California communities, we are blessed.
- SE and NE Portland
- Along creeks, lakeshores, Columbia Slough wetlands. We need protection for drinking water areas.
- Inside the urban growth boundary.
- Inner NE Portland (not counting Smith/Bybee Lakes watershed)
- Gresham/Outer East County
- Washington County
- I don't think the east side has as much.
- South and West, far Northwest
- Within Urban Growth Boundary
- We are in a catch-up situation in Clackamas. The new planning is helping a lot to provide parks in the Sunnyside area.
- N/NE urbanized areas, downtown Beaverton, newly annexed areas of Portland and Gresham. Industrial areas need greenspaces!
- East and west sides of Willamette waterfront, Columbia River access at Oregon side.
- NE Portland
- Inner city—east side.
- Inside Portland, east of 82nd Ave. Portland is parks poor.
- Along waterways and wetlands. The outer reaches of natural areas are needed as well.
- SE Portland, Beaverton
- In developed areas where the natural areas were obliterated years ago by downtown Portland, North Macadam.
- But this IS important.
- Inner Southeast
- In the areas near rivers and creeks near large cities.
- East side of Portland, inner SE, N & NE urban areas.
- Inner NE Portland and N Portland. The bluffs above the Willamette could be a potential greenspace park.
- Near all the apartments and condos.
- Washington County
- Washington County
- Northeast

- West side
- Inner urban areas. Remaining greenspaces closer to urban core areas should be purchased first as they are more likely to be developed.
- Northeast has many developed parks but few undeveloped greenspaces.
- Where urbanization has been more complete due to lack of natural barriers-- east Portland for example.
- SE Portland
- East Portland
- NE Portland, outer SE

comments on protection strategies

- Good to have a mix of both. Regulatory can tend to have more "teeth."
- All are important.
- The best is to have a variety of strategies available. Gives more options for managers and landowners to find a solution that fits each individual situation.
- I support public buying to protect green spaces by taxes, land trusts or whatever.
- Try voluntary, with education and assistance freely available before going to regulatory.
- Need the carrot and the stick approach. Totally voluntary efforts are not effective.
- For some reason SB1010, Resolution 91.47 and other regulatory requirements are not being enforced in the Tualatin Basin. In the Basin, "voluntary" means ignore.
- Regulatory strategies are vital in the urban environment because of the intensity of development and the dynamic & speculative urban land market that makes voluntary stewardship more difficult.
- As a private owner, the regulations make it very difficult to work with the system, yet I see developers and their paid advisors getting permission to bulldoze large parcels within a floodplain.
- Education (in schools)
- The voluntary (non-regulatory) are not making improvements to the environment at the rate endangered species require.
- Voluntary is only as good as the "whole" package combined.
- volunteers and education can accomplish many things
- I can't say I've seen much success with non-reg. strategies when left as a sole strategy. Bad development is a current & continuing cause for significant damage.
- If you want our property, then pay for it! Government takeover of property we paid for, pay taxes on, & now YOU say we can't do anything with property 200 ft from the stream. This is not right!
- 95% of the natural area of the 18+plus mile Columbia Slough is gone. The last 5% is still disappearing--even with planting by BES.
- Use existing laws and enforce them. Non-regulatory approach is certainly preferable to the public, but it must be backed by regulatory strengths.
- Both is the only way.
- Stronger elected officials who will enforce laws, codes & ordinances that are already on the books & not deviate from the above to favor one applicant or development at the expense of others & the environment.
- Sometimes government has to lead. Ideally, government can use iron fist in velvet glove technique.
- Need to win people's hearts and minds.
- Cannot have success unless these two are treated as equally important.
- Different strokes for different folks. Both are needed. Education is extremely important.
- I'm concerned that greenspace infrastructure needs be met before any lands are added to UGB, i.e. Any added lands come in with greenspace, schools & other needs met before development starts. If this is done by community vote, development can proceed.
- Let's get this to work for everyone's benefit--people and critters.

- Regulation and acquisition are all that seem to work. People rarely put the good of the community ahead of individual consumption and comfort (witness SUV's)
- Must combine two strategies and include local nonprofits to enhance government's ability to do these strategies.
- We need all strategies.
- Save the best, restore the rest.
- These seem to be legal strategies that are not in an individual's area.
- Everyone needs to know how to help improve greenspaces.
- Local permits must adhere to plan.
- MUST have both-one alone doesn't work.
- Doesn't work without strong regulatory component.
- It will take a combination of efforts.
- Not really sure, but feel that "combination" approach may best be headed up with regulatory leadership.
- Enforcement of regulations must be insured.
- Everything obeys money. Our greenspaces are too important to be left in the hands of the unholy alliance between local governments and ecologically unconscious businessmen.
- Need both for best results.
- Regulations are good only if they can be enforced.
- We need all available tools. The part sadly neglected so far is working with rural property owners at the metro edge to improve our stewardship.
- Strategies have to be varied to maximize protection.

comments on regional coordination

- It would be a waste of time for everyone if the efforts stopped at the lines.
- Clark County
- Metro is not truly needed. Plenty of planning and activities by governments and groups can occur without Metro.
- Absolutely important!
- Need to have an agency looking at the big picture--regional context, cumulative impacts, etc that cross jurisdiction boundaries.
- View of the "big picture" is absolutely critical.
- Need to map and acquire areas where sensitive, rare, threatened, endangered species occur first.
- Extremely important!
- How do you keep the public from wandering into privately held natural areas which abut public natural areas?
- In our area most/all of the land was gone before Metro was around. We are concerned with keeping the greenspaces we have in the area!
- The planning is great but we need funding to carry out more land acquisition (i.e. Completion of Springwater Corridor Trail)
- Doesn't do much good to protect "downstream" when "upstream" is destroyed--have to protect it all.
- Need coordination with counties that are larger than Metro.
- Metro is just another layer of government we have to deal with-get rid of Metro! It stops at county lines so let the state do it.
- We know what happens when individuals control all the little pieces--the ecosystem slowly dies.
- You're doing a good job with a complex system! Thank you for making your planning information available both in person & via the web.
- Must continue to educate people about the whole ecosystem here--not just "my" neighborhood.
- The world does not stop at the Urban Growth Boundary.

- Regional rules allow for better development.
- What's important is that we do it, not who does it. Regional perspective is not as important as political will, but that seems more likely to come from Metro/Regional government than, say, Clackamas County.
- Need to provide jurisdictions with tools and resources.
- n
- Metro's accomplishments are terrific--keep doing what you're doing. You are right!
- The value of nature may not be fully understood, but for some it's already priceless.
- We need all greenspace we can get.
- Without a coordinated effort, success won't come.
- Island effect on isolated habitats has proportionately greater negative impact on wildlife. Continuity is very important!
- Trails like the Springwater Corridor, I-205 Trail and the Banfield Corridor Project are the key to an interconnected system.
- It is important but not at the current cost of the Metro organization.
- Must include all aspects of resources protection and use.
- I'm glad planning is Metro's top job--it is needed!
- Plan to act. Don't plan to plan. This is where you are wasting money and talent.
- It needs to be coordinated
- Greenspaces/open spaces inside UGB must get more focus.

additional comments

- Tend to go to parks closest to our home the most--which are not those mentioned above.
- Need more dollars for acquisition.
- Secure funding for management maintenance.
- Want to go more!
- Good presentation--attended at Metro.
- Oxbow and Blue Lake
- I like your outreach! More neighborhood workshops!
- Metro staff are the best government communicators in the Portland metropolitan area!
- We visit Smith & Bybee Lakes. Metro should do more outreach on the neighborhood level.
- A very good presentation. Keep up this kind of outreach.
- Please save and protect all of our greenspaces in the David Douglas School District (especially the areas next to schools) Buy more of Kelly Butte!
- If we count Springwater Corridor, then frequently.
- Not only is the "space" important, but equally so is the "condition" of that space.
- Consistent, state/region-wide enforcement of existing laws/codes that protect our natural resources. Financial gain by a few should not over-ride common good of the community. Hold developers accountable.
- I am working with Nancy Chase of Metro on purchase of Scouters Mountain in Happy Valley--200 acres of prime resource land.
- I am especially interested in Johnson Creek maintenance and restoration. Please let me know of any volunteer group working for this purpose.
- We visit Blue Lake. We were on the Metro greenspaces list twice and then removed by city of Fairview's demands.
- Don't lock the barn door after the cow is gone. We need to get ahead of urban sprawl.
- Advertise in local papers, Oregonian, park districts, libraries, CPO's.
- My main concern is that by giving more access to greenways & spaces, the spaces will be degraded. Unfortunately, not everyone leaves nature untouched. I would want access coupled with strong focus on education/awareness.

- Metro should not be allowed
- The presentation was good, clear, and easy to understand.
- Coordinate with county and city governments!
- I believe local control under regional goals is the way to go. Don't micro-manage; let local groups handle day to day things.
- Thanks for asking for comments on presentation and materials!
- Two factors affect quality of life: population size & material consumption. Metro should begin a study to determine maximum population size for our region with anticipated material consumption patterns & high quality-of-life standards.
- Best use of a power point presentation I've ever seen--at Parks Forum on Jan. 24 (Jennifer)
- There is much to be gained in promoting an integrated strategy that focuses not just on greenspaces but other regional issues such as storm water management, clean water, ESA, etc.
- Be careful with the improvements at Blue Lake Park so that the neighbors around the lake are included in the plan. Your 2 slide shows are excellent--show them to the public especially in high schools.
- Dream large, and do what you can. Invite some kids to observe the next meetings.
- Stop growth in Metro region, encourage remodeling, renovation, put more people in same number of houses.
- I do use local parks frequently including Irving, Laurelhurst, Grant, Washington, and Forest Parks. These parks improve the quality of my life dramatically.
- Your presentation was excellent as was the layout in the lobby. Thank you!
- Am very supportive of Metro in general & it's acquisition & restoration programs. I am concerned by Willamette Week's 2/2 article regarding misspending of funds. It made it sounds like Metro paid much more to acquire properties than necessary.
- Stop urban sprawl. Do not extend the Urban Growth Boundary.
- It was Oregon's determined protection of greenspaces that brought my family here to begin with.
- I already use Mt Scott and Canemah Bluff frequently. Thanks for buying these.
- My sense is that this survey won't be very helpful, We need more of a "vision" developed better than a list of stuff.
- Please consider Scouters Mountain--Mt. Scott Lodge and property. (Large open space, great pioneer cemetery, trail linkage.)
- If more land is purchased, it should be targeted on land nearest developing or developed areas inside the UGB.

DRAFT

3/31/00

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into in duplicate this ____ day of _____, 2000 by and between Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District, a quasi-municipal corporation of the State of Oregon, acting by and through its duly constituted officers, hereafter designated as the "Park District," and School District No. 48, Washington County, Oregon, a quasi-municipal corporation of the State of Oregon, acting by and through its duly constituted officers, hereafter designated as the "School District."

WHEREAS, the Park District and the School District are the owners of real property in Washington County, Oregon, hereinafter termed the "Property," and

WHEREAS, the Park District and the School District have heretofore entered into Agreements for the past (40) years and have worked cooperatively in coordinating programs and sharing athletic and recreational facilities, and

WHEREAS, the parties desire to consolidate their Agreements with respect to the Property and provide for future uses of other real property owned by each District, and

WHEREAS, the parties deem it appropriate to enter into an Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement for the ownership and use of the Property under the authority granted to the parties by ORS 190.010 to 190.030.

WITNESSETH

That each District hereby grants to the other District the use and occupancy of the Property as listed in the Exhibit for the purposes and on the terms and conditions as hereinafter stated:

1. The District using the Property of the other shall use the respective parcels of the Property for the purposes set forth in the Exhibit for the term commencing on the date of this Agreement and ending when either party decides to terminate. If any changes in the Intergovernmental Agreement are to be addressed, the party making the changes will complete those changes, with all appropriate approvals, by Friday of the third week of March.
2. The District utilizing the property of the other District agrees to pay within 30 days of invoice receipt all required fees, which may include utilities, custodial and/or monitors as described in the Exhibit.
3. All rules and regulations governing the use of a facility shall be endorsed by either District utilizing the facility.

4. The Districts agree to provide priority use to each other. Programs that have preference over this Intergovernmental Agreement are identified as follows:
 - a) Programs directly provided by either District or affiliated with THPRD as specified in the appendix;
 - b) Programs directly a function of their governing body, e.g.: LSC, Site Councils, PTOs (school wide fundraiser), and Board meetings.

5. Using District agrees to:
 - a) Use the Property for the purposes and in the manner specified with respect to Exhibit and physically maintain all improvements heretofore or hereafter constructed by the using District on the property to a standard reasonably acceptable to District owning said property.
 - b) Ensure building security during and after scheduled use. Provide proper first aid care to participants for whom they are responsible. Notify owning District with incident report within three (3) working days of incident.
 - c) Comply with all laws, ordinances, rules, and regulations of any public authority, as now or hereafter enacted or amended, as they relate to or affect the Property or the use thereof.
 - d) Indemnify and defend the owning District from any claim, loss or liability arising out of or related to any activity of the District using the Property.
 - e) The using District shall maintain during the term of the Agreement (with a carrier acceptable to the owning District) commercial General Liability Insurance for the protection of the owning District (directors, officers, employees, and volunteers) insuring owning District for damages because of personal injury, bodily injury, death or damage to Property, including the loss of use thereof, and occurring on or in anyway related to activities on the Property or any condition of the Property with limits (equal to the owning District's coverage, by) not less than \$500,000 combined a single limit per occurrence annual aggregate. Such insurance shall provide that the owning District shall be given a thirty (30) day prior written notice of cancellation. Using District agrees to furnish, in compliance with the above, evidence of self insurance or insurance to the owning District within sixty (60) days of this signed Agreement.

6. Owning District agrees to:
 - a) Provide cancellation notice within five (5) workdays of scheduled use.
 - b) Provide response to using District requests for facility use within forty-five (45)

days of request.

- c) Inform using District of any other approved usage in which parking is expected to be excessive (or more than normal).
7. No part of the property may be assigned, mortgaged or subleased without the prior written consent of owning District. This provision shall apply to all transfers by operation of law and transfers to and by trustees in bankruptcy, receivers, administrators, executors and legatees. No consent in one instance shall prevent the provision from applying to a subsequent instance.
8. Failure of using District to follow the terms or conditions or fulfill any obligation of this Intergovernmental Agreement and/or Exhibit may constitute a default of this Agreement. The owning District will verbally notify those in charge of said facility and provide written notice to the using District of those violations. If the violation is not remedied within a 30-day period after written notice from owning District, the using District may be deemed to have lost their use of said facility(s). If the using District proceeds with reasonable diligence and in good faith to effect the remedy as soon as practicable, then the thirty (30) day limit may be extended by the owning district.
9. If a condemning authority takes any parcel of the Property or a portion sufficient to render the remainder reasonably unsuitable for the use to which using District was then making of such parcel, this Agreement shall terminate with respect to such parcel as of the date title vests in the condemning authority. Owning District shall be entitled to all the proceeds of the condemnation resulting from a taking of any parcel of the property or any portion thereof, but shall reimburse the using District for that portion of the award attributable to improvements placed upon the ground by the using District, less depreciation costs. Sale of all or part of any parcel of the Property to purchaser with the owner of eminent domain in the face of a threat or probability of the exercise of the power shall be treated for the purposes of this section as a taking by condemnation.
10. If suit or action is instituted in connection with any controversy arising out of this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover, in addition to costs, such sum as the Court may adjudge reasonable as attorneys fees.
11. The Districts will work cooperatively in coordinating programs and activities conducted on the properties so as to avoid conflicting or competing uses. The Districts will communicate with their boards, committees and the patrons they serve about the implications of this Agreement in regards to their own facilities.
12. While using facilities, each District agrees to repair any damage to the other District's property, which occurs during the period of use.
13. Any notice required or permitted under this Agreement shall be given when actually

delivered or when deposited in the United States mail as certified mail addressed as follows:

To School District: Beaverton School District #48
16550 SW Merlo Road
Beaverton, OR 97006-5152

To Park District: Tualatin Hills Park & Recreation District
15707 SW Walker Road
Beaverton, OR 97006

14. The owning District agrees to have all construction, reconstruction alteration or installation contracts be written to include indemnity and insurance requirements in favor of both the owning District and using District during times when one District is using the other District's facility(s). Evidence of insurance will be furnished to the using District prior to their use of the facility(s).

15. Subject to the above-stated limitations, on transfer of each District's interest this Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties, their respective successors and assigns.

THIS INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT is entered into by School District pursuant to resolution of its Superintendent adopted _____, and by Park District pursuant to resolution of its General Manager adopted _____.

By _____
Yvonne Katz
Superintendent

By _____
Ron Willoughby
General Manager

EXHIBIT

The School and Park Districts have reached a number of Agreements over the last forty (40) years. These Agreements continue to provide outstanding recreational and athletic programs for our community by sharing facilities. This Agreement provides for the mutual interest of both Districts with respect to terms and conditions for use of each other's athletic and recreational facilities. The following are the uses specific to this Agreement.

ATHLETIC FIELDS

1. **Priority Use:** With the noted exclusions and within times specified below, the Park District shall have priority use of School District fields to coordinate and schedule Park District and community uses.
2. **Scheduling:** The Park District will schedule field use on weekends and after 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday as follows:
 - a) Elementary and Middle Schools: April 1 through October 1.
 - b) High Schools: June 1 through August 20.
2. **Meets at THPRD Facility:** The School District is allowed priority use of Howard Terpenning Recreational Complex soccer fields and cross-country courses for meets when requested by June 15.
3. **Final Approval:** Final approval of Terpenning Recreational Complex will be provided to the School District within forty-five (45) days.
4. **Field Responsibility:** The Park District will coordinate, schedule and maintain the District's fields during the times specified above.
5. **Exclusions:** The following fields are excluded from Park District use:
 - a) Beaverton High School football field.
 - b) All varsity and JV baseball and softball fields except as coordinated with the school athletic director. The athletic director will work with the Park District to schedule use of these fields around school programs.
 - c) Approved use of fields does not include any use of adjacent building facilities (i.e., bathrooms).
6. **Fees:** In lieu of fees, the Park District maintains School District fields.

GYMNASIUMS

The School District agrees to allow the Park District priority use of school gymnasiums to provide recreational programs. The two Districts agree to the following:

1. **Priority Use:** THPRD has priority use of District gyms November through March, five (5) nights a week between 6:00 p.m. and 10:00 p.m., and weekends. Prior to 6:00 p.m. and during the months of September, October, April, and May schools are encouraged to accommodate Park District use if gym is available. Priority scheduling by Park District is superseded only by school programs.
2. **Local School Committee Responsibility:** The School District apprises each LSC of this Agreement. LSCs must honor this Agreement and consider its impact as they approve other facility use.
3. **Fall Schedule:** On or before May 1, the Park District will provide the School District a schedule of fall programs for the upcoming school year.
4. **Winter & Spring Schedule:** By October 1, the Park District will provide winter and spring schedules for the current school year.
5. **District Fees:** District fees are set by the school district administration, not the Local School Committee.
 - a) **Charges:** During the term of this Agreement (September - May), the Park District is charged for building monitors and electricity only. Cost is delineated in the District's fee schedule.
 - b) **Monitor Costs:** The Park District is responsible for monitor and gym supervisor costs. When additional community groups use the facility, at the same time of the Park District, monitor costs will be shared proportionately. Failure to utilize a scheduled-approved gym will result in a minimum two (2) hours of monitor time charged to the Park District.
 - c) **Invoicing:** Within thirty (30) days following gym schedules for each season (i.e., fall, winter, and spring), the School District will invoice the Park District for applicable fees.
6. **Building Monitor/Gym Supervisor:**
 - a) **Responsibility:** The responsibility of the building monitor is to assure building security and that school and gym rules are followed. The gym supervisor will assure that the people participating in the Park District activity are following school and gym

rules.

- b) **Supervision:** A School District monitor and a gym supervisor are provided during times of use as follows:
- 1) **Elementary Schools:** The Park District provides a building monitor three (3) evenings a week. A monitor is not required on "free" nights. On weekends and all other occasions when more than two (2) teams are using the gym at the same time, a gym supervisor is also provided.
 - 2) **Middle Schools:** The Park District provides a building monitor and gym supervisor for all Park District-sponsored activities. A monitor is not required prior to 6 p.m.
 - 3) **High Schools:** The Park District provides a gym supervisor for all Park District-sponsored activities. A building monitor is necessary only if a custodian is not already working.
 - 4) **Exception:** At all schools, a monitor is not required when the Park District is the only building user and the school can be secured by use of a gate.
 - 5) **Training:** The two districts will provide joint training for building monitors and gym supervisors.
7. **YMCA:** The Park District and YMCA agree to submit joint building use requests. The Park District is responsible for any shared cost billing to the YMCA. Any questions of program balance with the YMCA will be addressed with the Park District.
8. **Gym Responsibility:** The Park District personnel are responsible for the gyms during the times that said facilities are subject to the exclusive use of the Park District. This includes but is not limited to the following:
- a) **Safety:** Responsible for administering any first aid and emergency response. Documentation of such incidents must be reported to the School and Park Districts. Park District personnel must take responsibility for cleanup of any blood or body fluid spills following such incidents. All School District first aid supplies used will be replaced by the Park District.
 - b) **Damage:** The Park District will reimburse the School District for damage incurred to gyms during the time of exclusive Park District use, due to negligence or acts of personnel and/or students. Repairs for damage due to ordinary wear and tear shall not be the responsibility of the Park District. Park District personnel must report any damage immediately to facility staff which has occurred during Park District use hours.
9. **Disputes:** Any disputes between the Local School Committees and the Park District,

related to this Agreement, are resolved by the School District.

SWIMMING POOLS

The Park District agrees to allow the School District priority use of school swimming pools to provide recreational programs. The swimming pool facilities include the pool, shower rooms, dressing rooms, and a limited amount of storage space to be agreed upon between the staff person in charge of each of the respective facilities. Such facilities do not include non-swimming related space or facilities of the Park District at any of the pools. The two Districts agree to the following:

1. **Purpose:** The use of pool facilities by the School District shall be for the purpose of instructing students, and sponsoring high school teams for athletic competition.
2. **Priority Use:** The School District shall have priority use of the Park Districts swimming pools based on the approved schedule during the school term of August 22 - February 22.
3. **Schedule:** The School District shall submit a schedule of the dates and times requested to the Park District no later than June 15. The Park District will review the schedule for approval and respond back to the School District by July 1, for the schedule commencing in August of the same year. The Park District will be unable to accommodate additional requests for dates and times on or after July 1.
4. **Meets & Matches:** The School District shall also have the exclusive right to use the pool facilities for swim meets and water polo matches held between the teams of the School District and teams of other schools provided, however, that all meets, matches, and workouts, and the times thereof, shall be scheduled and approved by the Park District on or before July 1 of each school year for the following school year.
5. **Fees:** During the term of this Agreement, the School District is charged for building monitors during the approved, scheduled hours. The School District shall be responsible for providing and paying instructors and other personnel that may be necessary for the safety of persons using the pools. The School District shall be responsible for the supervision and control of said persons and the pool facilities, including security of the building itself, during all times of School District exclusive use.
 - a) **Excess Time:** In the event a scheduled water polo match or swim meet exceeds the allotted time schedule, the School District will reimburse the Park District for revenue lost due to the cancellation of any scheduled class. The School District personnel must notify pool facility staff a minimum of 30 minutes prior to the scheduled ending time.
6. **Pool Responsibility:** The School District personnel are responsible for the pools during the times that said pool facilities are subject to the exclusive use of the School

District. This includes but is not limited to the following:

- a) **First Aid:** Responsible for administering any first aid and emergency response. Documentation of such incidents must be reported to the Park and School District. School District personnel is responsible for cleaning up of any blood or body fluid spills following such incidents. All Park District first aid supplies used will be replaced by the School District.
 - b) **Damage:** Damage to the swimming pool facilities, during the time of exclusive School District use, due to negligence or acts of personnel and/or students, will be reimbursed for replacement or repair thereof by the School District. However, repairs for damage, which is due to ordinary wear and tear, shall not be the responsibility of the School District. School District personnel must report any damage immediately to facility staff, which has occurred during School District use hours.
 - c) **Loss of Revenue:** Should the Park District lose revenue due to the cancellation of scheduled classes to accommodate a School District water polo match or swim meet that exceeds its scheduled time, the School District will reimburse the Park District for said loss.
7. **Maintenance:** The Park District agrees to keep said swimming pool facilities in good repair and to furnish all utilities, including heat, light, water, chemicals, custodial services, and to maintain said swimming pool facilities according to generally accepted procedures and standards for the safe and sanitary operation of a public swimming pool while being used by the School District. All pool maintenance, both emergency and regularly scheduled, shall be coordinated to have the least possible effect upon the School District athletic programs.
 8. **State Regulations:** The School District is solely and exclusively responsible for maintaining all state regulations in regard to the operation of the swimming pools during the period of time they have possession of the pools. This will include but not be limited to **lifeguard certification**, communicable disease control, food, street shoes and other objects on the deck of pools, and control of all foreign substances allowed in a pool area. All state regulations and swimming rules will be reviewed by District coaches with the help of the pool supervisor at the beginning of each sport season. The School District will also provide photocopies of all current certifications required by the Park District to the Aquatic Facility Supervisor prior to the first practice of each competitive season. The Park District will provide a list of all required certifications on or before March 1 of each year for the following school year.
 9. **Equipment:** School District equipment shall be removed during the summer and secured by the School District. All seasonal equipment will be removed and stored by the School District at the end of the competitive season. Telephones are to be used only for emergencies and essential business when authorized by staff. The School District will pay the cost of long distance calls or damage to the phones, which occur during the time the

District, is using the pools.

TENNIS COURTS

1. **Maintenance:** The School District has built and maintains the tennis courts at Aloha, Beaverton and Sunset High Schools. The Park District has built and maintains the tennis courts at the middle schools. The two Districts jointly built and maintain Sunset Park and Westview High tennis courts.
2. **Schedule:**
 - a) All Courts: The School District utilizes all tennis courts on their property for instructional purposes during school hours from the last week in February to the end of May.
 - b) High School Courts: The high school courts are also used by the School District before and after school for practice and matches for school tennis teams.
 - c) Non-School Season: All other times, including summer vacation, the School District relinquishes scheduling to the Park District. All fees for the Park District complex are set when the facility is scheduled. There are no fees for the other courts used by the School District and Park District.

APPENDIX

THPRD Affiliated Programs

Tualatin Hills Dive Club

Tualatin Hills Swim Club

Tualatin Hills Synchro Club

Tualatin Hills Water Polo Club

Tualatin Hills Barracudas Masters Swim Club

Tualatin Hills Junior Soccer League

District Area Little Leagues

West Hills Baseball/Softball Federation

Babe Ruth Baseball

Tualatin Hills Youth Football

ECOLOGICAL AND COMMUNITY MAPPING PROCESS

A Summary

This memo summarizes the two workshops at Metro on April 12, 2000 to identify ecological sites, and on April 26, 2000 to identify community sites.

Ecological Mapping Process

Process:

Metro staff mapped the top 200 sites inside the Metro Boundary and the top 100 sites outside the Metro boundary that met the following criteria:

1. Proximity to other natural areas
2. Proximity to Goal 5 areas
3. Relative Size
4. Mean species richness based on ONHP database

The natural areas with high ecological ranking were mapped into four quadrants NW, NE, SW and SE. Natural area sites with high ecological values that coincided with sites with high community values were identified on the map.

Mapping exercise:

Approximately 25 participants from local jurisdictions, park districts, non-profit agencies and Metro staff gathered together on April 12, 2000 to identify important ecological sites and link them through riparian and upland corridors. Participants relied on base maps and local knowledge to complete the mapping process.

Community Mapping Process

Process:

Metro staff mapped the top 200 sites inside the Metro Boundary and the top 100 sites outside the Metro boundary that met the following criteria:

1. Population density
2. Presence of Schools
3. Trails

The natural areas with high community ranking were mapped into the following quadrants NW, NE, SW and SE. Natural area sites with high community values that coincided with sites with high ecological values were identified on the map.

Mapping exercise:

Approximately 13 participants from local jurisdictions, park districts, non-profit agencies and Metro staff gathered together on April 26, 2000 to identify important community sites and trails that could complement the map generated through the ecological mapping effort. Participants were also given a mylar sheet with the results of the ecological mapping workshop. Participants were asked to overlay the mylar over the community maps and identify sites that would complement the ecological system. Participants also were asked to identify additional trails, if they met the criteria for regionally significant trails (see Mel Huie's handout).

Next Steps

1. Based on comments, Metro will generate the first iteration of the Regional Map by combining the ecological and community maps.
2. Metro staff will visit local jurisdictions, local state and federal fish and wildlife experts and community experts to get further feedback on the maps.
3. Metro staff will also identify deficiency criteria for the Regional System map. Opportunities and constraints will also be identified.
4. The Regional Greenspaces Map will be drafted.

Public outreach

*What is it
to be in
the
regional
system*

May 00

GREENSPACES TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Please sign in . . .

name	organization	email
STEPHEN BOSAK	THPRD	sbosak@thprd.com
ERIC OWENS	THPRD	ewens@thprd.com.
Kim Miller	Happy Valley	jcauldwell@ci.happy-valley.or.us.
Leonard Gard	SWNI	lgard@teleport.com
MARGARET BRADSON	S.M.I.H.E	MBtwin@teleport.com
Bina Walker	40-mile Loop	
MEL HUIE	METRO REGIONAL PARKS & GREENSPACES	huiem@metro.dst.or.us
Richard Reynolds	City of Cornelius	RREYNOLDS@CI.CORNELIUS.OR.US.
Jim Sjulian	PORTLAND PARKS	pkjims@ci.portland.or.us
Charles Cicco	Metro	
Heather Kent	Metro Parks	
Veronica Smith	Co. Beaverton	vsmith@ci.beaverton.or.us
Chris Wayland	WASH. CO. PARKS	hagglake@gte.net
Duane Roberts	City of Tigard	duane@ci.tigard.or.us

