# MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

## March 26, 1981

## Councilors in Attendance

Presiding Officer Jack Deines

Coun. Marge Kafoury Coun. Craig Berkman Coun. Corky Kirkpatrick

Coun. Jane Rhodes Coun. Cindy Benzer Coun. Bruce Etlinger

## Staff in Attendance

Denton Kent
Leigh Zimmerman
Jim Sitzman
Jill Hinckley
Joe Cortwright
Andrew Jordan
Mike Holstun
Sharon Kullberg
Harilyn Holstrom
Paula Godwin
Caryl Waters
Doug Drennan
Morm Wietting
Charles Shell
Marie Nelson

## Others in Attendance

Ruth Smith Todd Dougdale Eugene Stewart

#### CALL TO ORDER

After declaration of a quorum, the meeting was called to order by Presiding Officer Jack Deines at 7:35 p.m. in the Council Chamber, 527 S.W. Hall Street, Portland, Oregon.

1. WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

There were no written communications to Council at this meeting.

2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

There were no citizen communications to Council on non-agenda items at this meeting.

#### 3. CONSENT AGENDA

Presiding Officer Deines said page 4, paragraph 2, line 6 of the February 26, 1981, minutes should be corrected as follows: the date of January 1, 1981, should be changed to October 1, 1980.

Coun. Rhodes requested the spelling of her name be corrected on page 1 of the Council minutes of February 26, 1981, and March 5, 1981.

Presiding Officer Deines said that item 3.5, regarding Resolution No. 81-227, would be removed from the Consent Agenda at the request of Coun. Kirkpatrick and would be discussed after the approval of the Consent Agenda.

Coun. Kirkpatrick moved, seconded by Coun. Rafoury, to approve the Consent Agenda as corrected. The motion carried unanimously.

#### 4. RESOLUTIONS

3.5 Resolution No. 81-227, For the Purpose of Recommending a Continuance of the City of Sherwood's Request for Acknowledgement of Compliance with LCDC Goals

Coum. Kirkpatrick explained she had asked that Resolution No. 81-227 be removed from the Consent Agenda because the City of Sherwood Council had recently approved the Comprehensive Plan which successfully addressed the previous concerns of the Metro Council Planning Committee. She referred Council to a memo from Rick Gustafson, dated March 26, 1981, which outlined those previous concerns and the process by which they were addressed to the satisfaction of Metro staff, the Council Planning Committee and the City of Sherwood. Coun. Kirkpatrick then introduced three Sherwood citizens who wished to speak to the Council about the Comprehensive Plan: Todd Dougdale, Sherwood Planning Director; Eugene Stewart, Chairman of the Sherwood Planning Commission; and Ruth Smith, Sherwood property owner.

Todd Dougdale expressed his appreciation for Metro's help in achieving compliance with the regional plan and LCDC's goals and guidelines.

Mr. Cortwright said he was also pleased with the good working relationship with City of Sherwood staff and said the plan was now ready for LCDC acknowledgement.

Coun. Kirkpatrick moved for adoption of Resolution No. 81-227. Coun. Kafoury seconded the motion.

Ruth Smith said she was impressed with the ample opportunity given to Sherwood citizens for participating in the regional plan. She felt that every aspect of the plan had been thoroughly addressed at public meetings and she urged the Council to adopt the resolution.

Eugene Stewart told the Council he thought the cities of Sherwood and Tualatin had overlooked the potential problem of abutting industrial boundaries. Because of the number and variety of special districts crossing city boundaries, he questioned whether Metro would move to merge the two municipalities at some future point. Mr. Stewart also thought Metro should have had input earlier in the planning process. He said this would have saved much time and money. Other than those two concerns, Mr. Stewart was supportive of the plan.

Presiding Officer Deines, in response to Mr. Stewart's comments on the timing of Metro's assistance, said it would be preferable to define parameters in the initial stages of these projects.

Coun. Etlinger was pleased the planning had preserved the diversity of the Metro region and the uniqueness of Sherwood.

A vote was taken on the motion and it passed unanimously.

4.1 Resolution No. 81-234, For the Purpose of Establishing a New Classification "Public Information Specialist 2"; Authorizing Such a New Position in Public Information and Solid Waste

Presiding Officer Deines said this item had been removed from the agenda and would be addressed at the Council's meeting of April 2, 1981.

#### 5. TEMPORARY RULE

5.1 <u>Temporary Rule No. 81-5</u>, For the Purpose of Amending the Rules of Procedure for Contested Cases

Mr. Holstun explained this action was being proposed in the form of a temporary rule so it could be in effect in advance of anticipated contested cases on locational adjustments. Should the Council adopt the temporary rule, it would be followed with a permanent rule embodying the same terms, he said. A permanent rule must be advertised in the Secretary of State's bulletin which is a time consuming process. Mr. Holstun then briefly explained each change proposed, as outlined in the Agenda Management Summary, and said these rules were primarily being proposed so Metro would be in compliance with the State of Oregon 1979 Administrative Procedures Act.

Presiding Officer Deines asked if the temporary rule were adopted, would Netro them be in compliance with state law. Mr. Holstun said Metro would be in compliance.

Coun. Kirkpatrick moved, seconded by Coun. Etlinger, to adopt Temporary Rule No. 81-5.

Coun. Banzer asked how long a temporary rule could be in effect. Mr. Holstun said this rule would expire in 180 days. Coun. Banzer also asked, since this was Temporary Rule No. 81-5, if four other temporary rules had been approved. Mr. Holstun replied that all rules are numbered consecutively, whether temporary or permanent. One other temporary rule had been adopted which was followed by a permanent rule, he said.

There being no further discussion, a vote was taken on the motion. Voting aye were Couns. Berkman, Kirkpatrick, Rhodes, Banzer, Etlinger, Kafoury and Presiding Officer Deines. The Presiding Officer declared the temporary rule adopted.

#### 6. REPORTS

#### 6.1 Executive Officer Report

There was no report from the Executive Officer at this meeting.

#### 6.2 Committee Reports

Coun. Banzer said the hearing before the Regional Services Committee on the proposed Wildwood Landfill site would be held March 30, 1981 and that a memo from Judy Rumpf outlined a number of citizen concerns about the site. She urged Council to review this information before the hearing date.

#### 7. GENERAL DISCUSSION

### 7.1 Budget Status Report

Mr. Kent presented a brief budget update. He said the overall expenses would increase slightly due to increased zoo and solid waste capital programs. The general fund would show a dramatic reduction because of approximately \$481,000 cutbacks in grant aid. Major grants not available next year would be HUD 701 housing funds (\$120,000), the EDA 302-A demonstration land use planning grant (\$100,000) and EPA 208 funds for sever and runoff projects (\$100,000). In addition, other small grant funds would not be available. As a result of these reductions, employees would be terminated.

Mr. Kent reported the soo will continue to upgrade its operation and move ahead with major capital programs including the completion of the beaver-otter exhibit, remodeling the penguinarium and beginning the African plains exhibit.

The major solid waste effort will be promoting the full service solid waste disposal plant, Mr. Kent said.

Mr. Kent said a change in direction would be proposed in Metro's planning and development projects. Since many land use plans have been adopted and acknowledged, such as the Sherwood Plan, Metro would take a less active role in the Comprehensive Plan process. Mr. Kent said the Council would soon be receiving the Executive Officer's proposal for planning and development efforts for next fiscal year.

Coum. Kirkpatrick asked if any of the federal grants proposed to be cut would be caught in this year's recisions or would the funds be available through October 1, 1981. Mr. Kent said they would terminte at the end of this fiscal year which explained the conservative financial forecast. He also added that the FY 1982 budget was prepared assuming dues would be assessed from local jurisdictions. If dues cannot be collected, more staff positions would be cut, he said.

Coun. Etlinger commented on the irony of some federal budget cuts when funding had been approved for the Veterans Administration Hospital in Portland — a project he considered to be a waste of federal dollars. He said it was our obligation to urge more rational alternatives to veterans' health care.

Coun. Kafoury asked Mr. Kent if attempts would be made again to pursue federal revenue share funds. Mr. Kent replied that Metro now has a convincing case in seeking such funds and would do so at the next opportunity. Extensions to revenue sharing may be considered for next fiscal year, he said.

Coun. Banzer pointed out an error in the April 2, 1981, Council Meeting agenda. She said Ordinance No. 81-107 should be scheduled for a first reading and public hearing. The agenda had indicated the ordinance would be scheduled for a second reading.

There being no further business, Coun. Kirkpatrick moved the meeting be adjourned. Coun. Kafoury seconded the motion. The meeting adjourned at 8:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

A. Marie Nelson

Acting Clerk of the Council

7. Marie Melson