

**MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL  
OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT**

**January 24, 1985**

**Councilors Present:** Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Gardner, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Kafoury, Kelley, Myers, Van Bergen, Waker and Bonner

**Councilor Absent:** Councilor Oleson

**Also Present:** Rick Gustafson

**Staff Present:** Don Carlson, Eleanore Baxendale, Sonnie Russill, Chum Chitty, Jennifer Sims, Phillip Fell, Peg Henwood, Leigh Zimmerman, Dan Durig, Doug Drennen, Norm Wietting, Dennis Mulvihill, Mary Jane Aman, Janet Schaeffer, Randi Wexler, Wayne Rifer, Patrick Miner, Chuck Geyer, Kay Rich, Gayle Rathbun, Sam Collie, Ray Barker

A regular meeting of the Council was called to order by Presiding Officer Bonner at 5:30 p.m.

**1. INTRODUCTIONS**

None.

**2. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATIONS**

**2.1 Presentation of Proposed Priorities and Objectives**

Don Carlson directed the Council's attention to his memo dated January 24, 1985, regarding revised priorities and objectives for discussion at the Council/Executive Officer Workshop to be held January 31, 1985. Mr. Carlson requested Councilors review this information before the Workshop date and if they had any questions, additions or deletions, to contact himself or other staff assigned to specific objectives.

**3. EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS**

Executive Officer Gustafson presented highlights of information contained in the document he circulated to the Council entitled "1984-85 Program Progress Reports, Second Quarter, October-December 1984."

Metro Council Meeting  
Meeting of January 24, 1985  
Page 2

WTRC Update. The Executive Officer invited Doug Drennen to discuss progress in siting the Washington County Transfer & Recycling Center (WTRC). Mr. Drennen briefly reviewed the two-year history of siting the facility including the activities of the ad hoc local government advisory committee and their recommendation in July of 1983 to proceed with the project. The Metro Council voted in May of 1984 to accept that recommendation, he said.

Randi Wexler further explained 54 potential sites had been initially evaluated by the advisory group according to pre-determined criteria of distance from waste centers, land use zoning and transportation access and the sites were then narrowed down to 33. The 33 sites were evaluated according to total travel time, local traffic impacts, compatibility with adjacent sites and other physical characteristics. The sites were then screened to 15.

For the third major screening stage, Ms. Wexler said the following criteria were considered: environmental impacts, traffic impacts, availability of utilities and geotechnical considerations. Nine sites were found suitable in three major areas. These nine sites would be subject to further criteria such as the cost of the land and development opportunities. The real estate consulting firm of Bullier & Bullier would be assisting staff with this final evaluation, she said.

Mr. Drennen reviewed the public involvement process for siting the WTRC facility, explaining that more than 12 public meetings had been held with community planning organizations. He said interest and participation had been very encouraging. With the assistance of Peg Henwood, more meetings were planned when the final sites are known.

In summary, Mr. Drennen reported staff would present the Council with a final WTRC site recommendation early this spring. The Council would be asked to award an operations contract the following fall, he said.

In response to Council Gardner's question, Ms. Henwood answered that two meetings were planned in February with community planning organizations and an additional public meeting is planned for citizens living near the nine final sites.

Councilor Myers asked about the kinds of decisions local governments would be making in order to site the facility. Mr. Drennen replied the final sites being considered would most likely be subject to Washington County and city of Beaverton land use standards and a facility review process.

Metro Council Meeting  
Meeting of January 24, 1985  
Page 3

The Executive Officer said he was impressed with staff's siting efforts, the assistance of the local government advisory committee and in particular, the public involvement process. He was confident a suitable site would be recommended.

1984 CWFF Conference Followup Report. Executive Officer Gustafson reported that last fall the Columbia Willamette Futures Forum (CWFF) conducted a conference regarding the future of regional services, particularly transportation, libraries and parks. Metro provided financial assistance for the conference, he said. He introduced Adam Davis from CWFF to present a final report regarding the conference.

Mr. Davis explained nearly 200 people had participated in last fall's conference and of those responding to a questionnaire evaluating the conference, 80 percent rated it a success. Participants indicated it would be desirable to act on the recommendations made at the conference. Mr. Davis reported a summary report of the conference had been mailed to Councilors which included a followup plan. Three citizen committees had been established representing the areas of transportation, libraries and parks to implement the plan, he said. A half-time staff person would be assigned to work with the committees. The committees and staff would share with metropolitan citizen groups the information gained from the conference and encourage communities to consider this information in local action plans.

Councilor DeJardin asked what would be the major topic areas for the 1985 CWFF conference. Mr. Davis said a final decision had not been made but staff would be considering topics submitted by 1984 conference participants and community groups. A major area of staff concern was the "have nots," he said. Councilor DeJardin encouraged the CWFF staff to also consider the subject of solid waste.

Legislative Update. The Executive Officer introduced Phillip Fell to review recent developments in Metro's legislative proposals. Mr. Fell reported that he and Roger Martin appeared before the House Intergovernmental Affairs Committee the previous week to present Metro's legislative proposals which included a separate funding base for the Zoo and excise tax and local dues bills. Hearings had tentatively been scheduled for those bills on January 31 and February 5 at 1:30 p.m., he said. He also reported the cigarette tax bill was recently assigned to the House Revenue Committee and no hearings had yet been scheduled. Finally, Mr. Fell said the Clackamas County legislative delegation would be holding meetings with elected officials from the County the first and third Tuesdays of each month. He said Clackamas County Metro Councilors would receive invitations to these meetings. The Presiding Officer encouraged the Council's participation.

Transportation. Executive Officer Gustafson reported Councilor Waker had presided over a successful meeting with the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) and the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT). The Chairman of OTC indicated strong support for the 1¢ gas tax bill and for Metro's proposal that the state modernization portion of that tax be available for projects both on and off the state highway system, he said.

Personnel. In personnel related matters, the Executive Officer said Gene Leo would be assuming his position as Zoo director February 1. Recruiting efforts are continuing for a Public Affairs director and the Council would probably be asked to confirm a candidate on February 28.

Office Space Lease. Finally, Executive Officer Gustafson said negotiations for lease of new office space were continuing and the Council would be asked to approve the lease contract on February 14, 1985.

4. WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

None.

5. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

None.

6. CONSENT AGENDA

Motion: Councilor Kirkpatrick moved to approve the Consent Agenda and Councilor Kafoury seconded the motion.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Gardner, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Kafoury, Kelley, Myers, Van Bergen, Waker and Bonner

Absent: Councilor Oleson

The motion carried and the following items were approved or adopted:

6.1 A Three-year Contract with Peat, Marwick & Mitchell to Perform Independent Audit Services

6.2 Resolution No. 85-534, Amending Resolution No. 81-116 to Create a New Classification (Support Services Supervisor)

Metro Council Meeting  
Meeting of January 24, 1985  
Page 5

7. ORDINANCES

7.1 Consideration of Ordinance No. 85-185, for the Purpose of Setting Zoo Admission Fees and Amending Code Section 4.02.060 and Declaring an Emergency (Second Reading)

The Clerk read the Ordinance by title only.

Motion: The motion to adopt the Ordinance was made by Councilors Kirkpatrick and Waker on January 10, 1985.

There was no public testimony on the Ordinance.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Gardner, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Kafoury, Kelley, Myers, Van Bergen, Waker and Bonner

Absent: Councilor Oleson

The motion carried and the Ordinance was adopted.

Kay Rich invited Councilors to visit the newly renovated elephant viewing room at the Zoo. He explained the slanted floor had already resulted in fewer foot problems for the elephants because improved water drainage kept the elephants' feet drier.

7.2 Consideration of Ordinance No. 85-186, for the Purpose of Amending the FY 1984-85 Budget and Appropriations Schedule (First Reading)

The Clerk read the Ordinance by title only.

Motion: Councilor Kirkpatrick moved to adopt the Ordinance and Councilor Kafoury seconded the motion.

Don Carlson explained because of the size and nature of the budget adjustments, the Ordinance adoption process would require a hearing before and the approval of the Tax Supervising & Conservation Commission (TSCC). Therefore, he said, at the next reading of Ordinance on February 14, the Council would also be asked to adopt a resolution transmitting the budget to the TSCC. After TSCC approval, the Ordinance would be brought before the Council a third time for final adoption, he said. He also explained the Council was being called into session as the Budget Committee to consider this matter and requested the Presiding Officer continue the meeting of the Budget Committee to February 14 in order to simplify the public notice process.

Councilor Kafoury asked if new computer equipment being requested as a part of the budget amendment could be easily transferred to new office headquarters. Mr. Carlson said the equipment could be easily and inexpensively transferred.

Councilor Cooper asked why \$2,300 was being requested for the Zoo director's furniture. Mr. Carlson explained the former Zoo director owned his own furniture and took it with him when he moved. Councilor Cooper then asked staff to describe an elephant ear cart. Mr. Carlson said this cart would provide for the preparation and vending of a food concession called elephant ears.

Councilor Hansen asked if the recently adopted ordinance for Zoo admission increases would be calculated into the budget amendment figures. Mr. Carlson responded it would not.

There was no public testimony regarding this Ordinance. Presiding Officer Bonner said the session of the Budget Committee would be continued on February 14, 1985.

## 8. RESOLUTIONS

### 8.1 Consideration of Resolution No. 84-523, for the Purpose of Granting a Commercial Rate Increase to the Killingsworth Past Disposal Landfill

Motion: Councilor Hansen moved to adopt the Resolution and Councilor Kirkpatrick seconded the motion.

Ed Stuhr reviewed the history of the rate increase request, explaining the Council had first reconsidered the matter on December 13, 1984, had denied the increase and requested more information from staff regarding the effects of an increase of diverting waste to the St. Johns Landfill, more thorough financial data and a lower rate increase request. On January 10, 1985, at the request of Councilor Hansen, staff presented information about waste diversion and the Council then voted to reconsider the Resolution on January 24.

Mr. Stuhr said the report prepared by staff contained newly submitted data from Killingsworth Past Disposal Landfill (KPD) requesting a rate increase of 35¢ per yard or approximately 18 percent. The revised staff report also included updated financial information, as requested by the Council, and the proposed effective date of the Resolution would be March 1, 1985. Mr. Stuhr said the Council was being asked to consider this resolution as well as policy issues of whether prior years' gains or losses should be considered when granting future increases and what would constitute an appropriate rate of return for this type of activity.

Metro Council Meeting  
Meeting of January 24, 1985  
Page 7

Gary Newbore of Riedel International, representing KFD, thanked Councilor Hansen for requesting reconsideration of the rate increase and said the revised data submitted for Council review had addressed all the concerns previously noted by the Council.

Councilor Kafoury asked if the revised financial data submitted by KFD would change the recommendation of the Solid Waste Rate Review Committee. George Hubel of the Committee said he had not thoroughly reviewed the information but was confident the new data would not change the original recommendation of the Rate Review Committee.

Motion: Councilor Kirkpatrick moved the main motion be amended to allow for an effective date of April 1, 1985. Councilor Kelley seconded the motion.

Councilor Kirkpatrick said this effective date would respond to the public's request of more time to notify customers of a change in disposal rates.

Councilor Cooper asked Mr. Newbore if haulers had notified customers that rate increases were forthcoming. Mr. Newbore replied he knew of one hauler, his largest account, that had already sent out notices of increase to their customers. He said most haulers would need more than one month's advance notice in order to bill their customers accordingly and he thought most haulers were aware that a 35¢ increase was being considered by Metro.

Councilor Gardner, referring to Exhibits A-1 and B-1 of the staff report, asked Mr. Newbore why the percentage values were reported differently when converting to 1991 dollars. Mr. Newbore said he assumed the land value would increase at a less rate than the value of dollars.

Vote: The vote on the motion to amend the main motion resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Gardner, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Kafoury, Kelley, Myers, Waker and Bonner

Nay: Councilor Van Bergen

Absent: Councilor Oleson

The motion carried and the Resolution was amended to be effective April 1, 1985, if adopted.

Presiding Officer Bonner asked if members of the public wished to testify on the amended Resolution.

Metro Council Meeting  
Meeting of January 24, 1985  
Page 8

Mr. Dewey Mansfield of S & M Dropbox Service and Oregon State Dropbox Association, Portland, 2828 S.W. Taylors Ferry Road, Portland, Oregon, said he was opposed to the rate increase as were all small haulers. He said this was a very poor time to impose an increase, considering the condition of the state's economy. In response to Councilor Gardner's question, Mr. Mansfield said he would most likely dispose of more loads at the St. Johns Landfill if it were cheaper to do so. He also expressed dissatisfaction with the long lines of haulers waiting to dispose of waste at St. Johns.

Mr. Paul Gruetter, AGG Enterprises, Route 1, Box 179, Portland, Oregon, said his company would like to continue hauling material to KFD but if a rate increase were granted, he would probably dispose of more waste at the St. Johns Landfill because of the cheaper disposal rate and because the condition of the landfill is such that it is safer for his equipment. Mr. Gruetter questioned why Metro would grant an increase to KFD when their compaction methods were unsatisfactory.

Councilor Cooper said he met with Mr. Newbore regarding KFD's financial reports and said he was more comfortable with the revised reports and the lower rate increase request. He also urged the Council to address the policy issues of rate increases, particularly if Metro planned to franchise other landfills.

Councilor Kelley said she would support a compromise solution of lowering the increase for KFD, but said she was anxious to discuss the problems of part public and part private businesses and Metro's role in granting increases.

Councilor Waker said he was confused by Councilor Kelley's statement because he assumed KFD and other such operations were private businesses. Mr. Stuhr explained KFD was privately operated under a Metro franchise and one criterion for granting a franchise was the facility be in keeping with the region's Solid Waste Management Plan. The Management Plan and the franchise ordinance take into consideration the economic viability of the franchisee. This would include regulation of any competition such as denying a franchise to another landfill located near KFD. This practice was neither pure monopoly nor pure competition, he said.

Ms. Carmen Gales, AGG Enterprises, Inc., 2416 North Marine Drive, Portland, Oregon, said she received many phone calls from senior citizens effected by the ban on backyard burning. When they learned how much a dropbox would cost them, many callers did not order one because they thought the cost was already too high, she explained. Any increase would create a bigger problem for three people. Ms. Gales also said KFD's poor landfilling procedures had caused damage to AGG's trucks.



Metro Council Meeting  
Meeting of January 24, 1985  
Page 9

Councilor Hansen asked Ms. Gales what percentage of an average bill would cover the cost of the actual haul. Ms. Gales said it would cover about 50 percent of the bill. This percentage would vary according to the distance of the haul and according to the landfill in which the waste was disposed.

Councilor Rafoury said she would probably support the Resolution because it appeared KFD had responded to the Council's concerns. She also said the free enterprise system would remain intact if the Resolution were adopted because haulers were at liberty to dispose of waste at a number of landfills. However, Councilor Rafoury questioned whether the rate increase would have an adverse effect on the life of the St. Johns Landfill.

Mr. Stuhr said the increase would probably divert some waste to St. Johns. Assuming the rate increase diverted 10 percent of waste to St. Johns that normally would be deposited at KFD, the life of the St. Johns Landfill could be decreased by approximately three weeks, he said.

Councilor Hansen said he wanted the Council to consider at a later time whether the current level of Metro user fees were appropriate for limited use landfills and whether future increases to KFD would keep material from being disposed at KFD. Councilor Kirkpatrick said the Council should also consider the effects of rate increases on diversion. Councilor Gardner added the Council should consider what would constitute a reasonable rate of financial return for a landfill.

Vote: A vote on the main motion to adopt the Resolution, as amended, resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Rafoury, Kelley, Myers, Van Bergen, Waker and Bonner

Nay: Councilor Gardner

Absent: Councilor Oleson

The motion carried and Resolution No. 84-423 was adopted as amended. The rate increase would become effective April 1, 1985.

The Presiding Officer instructed staff to address the policy issues raised by the Council and provide recommendations to the Council for consideration in 1985.

Metro Council Meeting  
Meeting of January 24, 1985  
Page 10

Councilor Kelley suggested an informal workshop be scheduled to address the policy issues raised by Councilors. Presiding Officer Bonner said he would consider such a workshop after staff submitted their recommendations to the Council.

8.2 Consideration of Resolution No. 85-538, for the Purpose of Amending Resolution No. 84-491 and Adding Waste Reduction Policy to the Interim Management Strategy for the St. Johns Landfill

The Presiding Officer said the Council had previously adopted a resolution which established an Interim Management Strategy for the St. Johns Landfill. When the resolution was adopted, the Council instructed staff to draft language for a waste reduction policy that would be added to the overall strategy. He then invited Dennis Mulvihill to review the proposed waste reduction policy language.

Mr. Mulvihill explained the four key elements of the waste reduction policy proposed by staff for the St. Johns Landfill: 1) develop a model demonstration project for recycling collection from multiple family housing; 2) adopt a multi-year regional promotion marketing program; 3) conduct a demonstration project to determine the cost effectiveness of siting additional yard debris drop off centers; and 4) on an interim basis, waive Metro fees for franchised mixed waste sorting operations. He then referred Councilors to the revised Resolution which included the above proposals.

Councilor Hansen asked about the status of the yard debris demonstration project at the St. Johns Landfill. Mr. Mulvihill said about 10,000 cubic yards of yard debris has been stockpiled at the landfill, staff were negotiating to purchase processing equipment and the program would be in operation within five months. Most of the processed material would be sold for hog fuel or used for final landfill cover, he said.

Councilor Waker, in addressing staff's proposal to waive fees for franchised mixed waste sorting operations, asked if all fees would be waived. Mr. Mulvihill and Norm Weitting responded that Metro user fees and transfer fees would be waived when the mixed sorting operations received the mixed waste from St. Johns. After the mixed waste was sorted and the unacceptable waste was brought back to St. Johns, the operations would be assessed Metro fees. Eleanore Baxendale said the Council would have to adopt a separate ordinance to waive Metro fees. This would allow the Council to conduct a full policy discussion on this issue, she said. Councilor Waker said it was unclear to him, after reading the proposed resolution, which fees would be waived.

Presiding Officer Bonner suggested the following revisions be made to staff's proposed Resolution (new language is underlined and language to be deleted is in parenthesis):

- "4. Metro will pursue a reduction in the quality of waste being landfilled through the development and implementation of additional waste reduction efforts:
- \* A comprehensive, coordinated, multi-year regional promotion/marketing plan to be developed by July 1985 and to begin implementation by October 1985.
  - \* A demonstration project for recycling collection from multiple family dwellings to be completed by June 1986.
  - \* (A research project to assess siting additional yard debris drop off centers.)
  - \* Waive collection of user fees and regional transfer charges on mixed waste received at franchised mixed waste sorting operations. (Waive Metro fees for franchised mixed waste sorting operations.)"

The last two paragraphs of the Resolution be deleted.

The Presiding Officer said he thought this language was clearer, specific timelines were stated, and funds had been appropriated for these programs.

Motion: Councilor Kirkpatrick moved the Resolution be adopted incorporating all the changes suggested by the Presiding Officer (as listed above). Councilor Kelley seconded the motion.

Councilor Gardner asked why the Presiding Officer had removed reference to the yard debris program. Presiding Officer Bonner explained in the past he had failed to receive the Council's support regarding this program and wanted more time to prepare a specific proposal the Council would adopt.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Gardner, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Kelley, Myers, Van Bergen, Waker and Bonner

Absent: Councilors Kafoury and Oleson

The motion carried and the Resolution, as proposed by Presiding Officer Bonner, was adopted.

8.3 Consideration of Resolution No. 85-531, for the Purpose of  
Establishing a Legislative Position Relating to Jails

Steve Siegel said staff would present information at this time on Resolutions No. 85-531 and No. 85-536 because the two matters were closely related. He then introduced Deke Olmsted, Director of Justice Services for Multnomah County, and Don Barney of Don Barney & Associates, currently under contract with Metro to conduct criminal justice planning activities.

Mr. Siegel explained the Council had charged staff with recommending what role Metro should play in the area of criminal justice activities. The Council had awarded a contract to Barney & Associates and established a Criminal Justice Task Force to: 1) develop a criminal justice agenda for the 1985 legislative session in relation to overcrowding of state prisons; and 2) determine Metro's long-term role in the area of criminal justice.

Mr. Olmsted said the Metro Criminal Justice Task Force had provided the vehicle for reaching a consensus on regional jail policy. He said the recommendations of the Task Force, contained in Resolution No. 85-531, had been approved by the Commissions of Multnomah, Clackamas and Washington counties and would be submitted as draft legislation, probably through the State Senate Justice Committee. In summary, he said the Resolution would request the state to provide adequate space to house Class A or B felon sentenced to a period of incarceration. Mr. Olmsted explained this action would save local government thousands of dollars.

Councilor Van Bergen said Clackamas County jails housed a substantial number of federal prisoners awaiting trial while it appeared many county prisoners were being released due to lack of jail space. He asked if there were any provisions for a cooperative federal housing arrangement to correct this situation. Mr. Olmsted said this Resolution did not address that specific problem but he was familiar with the federal/county arrangement because it also existed in Multnomah County. Multnomah County, however, bought additional space and then rented it out at a profit to the federal government. This insured space for Multnomah County inmates, he said.

Mr. Barney spoke on behalf of the Task Force, recommending its continuation as a standing committee as proposed in Resolution No. 85-536. He said the Task Force was an important forum for local government officials to reach a consensus on criminal justice issues such as the issue addressed in Resolution No. 85-531.

Metro Council Meeting  
Meeting of January 24, 1985  
Page 13

Mr. Barney briefly reviewed a draft document entitled "Regional Criminal Justice Planning: Results of a National and Regional Survey on the State of the Art," prepared by Don Barney & Associates and dated January 1985. The findings of this report supported the continuation of the Regional Criminal Justice Task Force and Metro's key role in its success. He said the final report would contain commendations from the Task Force about what their first year agenda should be.

Councilor Waker said Mr. Barney had made an excellent proposal, but he was concerned that Metro could not provide the finances necessary to fund such an ambitious program. Mr. Siegel responded the Inter-governmental Resource Advisory Committee would review this matter and most likely, they would recommend the program would be funded from local dues at an approximate annual cost of \$10,000.

Councilor Myers asked if staff had reviewed a recently introduced bill that would create a state criminal justice planning council. Mr. Barney said he was familiar with the proposed legislation. It was his understanding the council would serve as an umbrella organization and there would still be a need for some regions, especially the Portland metropolitan area, to examine local needs and then communicate them to a state-wide council. Councilor Myers said he assumed if the bill were adopted, a careful effort would be made to avoid duplication of data collection efforts. He requested staff make copies of this proposed legislation available to all Councilors.

Presiding Officer Bonner proposed that item 4 of Resolution No. 85-536 be eliminated because it was redundant. Councilors Kirkpatrick, Waker and DeJardin disagreed, stating item 4 contained important elements not addressed in other parts of the Resolution.

Motion: Councilor Kirkpatrick moved adoption of Resolution No. 85-531 and Councilor Waker seconded the motion.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Gardner, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Kelley, Myers, Van Bergen, Waker and Bonner

Absent: Councilors Kafoury and Oleson

The motion carried and the Resolution was adopted.

Metro Council Meeting  
Meeting of January 24, 1985  
Page 14

8.4 Consideration of Resolution No. 85-536, for the Purpose of  
Establishing a Standing Regional Adult Corrections Task Force

Discussion about this Resolution is described under agenda item 8.3 above.

Motion: Councilor Gardner moved that Resolution No. 85-536 be adopted. Councilor Kirkpatrick seconded the motion.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Gardner, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Kelley, Myers, Van Bergen, Waker and Bonner

Absent: Councilors Rafoury and Oleson

The motion carried and the Resolution was adopted.

8.5 Consideration of Resolution No. 85-537, for the Purpose of  
Supporting a Postponement Until March 1985 of Final LCDC Action  
on Happy Valley's Request for Acknowledgment of Compliance

Motion: Councilor Hansen moved that Resolution No. 85-537 be adopted and Councilor DeJardin seconded the motion.

Councilor Gardner asked if this postponement was evidence of any reluctance to comply with the State Land Use Plan. Steve Siegel said city of Happy Valley staff had been doing an excellent job of preparing their Request for Acknowledgment and had been very cooperative with Metro staff.

Vote: A vote on the motion resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Cooper, DeJardin, Gardner, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, Rafoury, Kelley, Myers, Van Bergen, Waker and Bonner

Absent: Councilors Rafoury and Oleson

The motion carried and the Resolution was adopted.

9. OTHER BUSINESS

Presiding Officer Bonner circulated a letter he said would be mailed to all people on Metro's mailing list. The letter introduced the 1985 Metro Council, its officers and key efforts for the new year.

**Metro Council Meeting  
Meeting of January 24, 1985  
Page 15**

**The Presiding Officer also said an informal Council meeting was planned for February 7, 1985, at 5:30 p.m. to discuss Metro's 1985 legislative program.**

**Ray Barker requested Councilors submit nominations of citizens to serve on Metro's Budget Committee no later than February 7.**

**There being no further business, Presiding Officer Bonner adjourned the meeting at 8:10 p.m.**

**Respectfully submitted,**

*A. Marie Nelson*

**A. Marie Nelson  
Clerk of the Council**

**amn  
2863C/313-3  
2/05/85**