

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL OF THE
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

July 27, 1989
Regular Meeting

Councilors Present: Mike Ragsdale (Presiding Officer),
Sharron Kelley (Deputy Presiding
Officer), Lawrence Bauer, Roger
Buchanan, Tanya Collier, Richard Devlin,
Jim Gardner, Gary Hansen, David Knowles,
George Van Bergen and Judy Wyers

Councilors Absent: Councilor Tom DeJardin

Presiding Officer Mike Ragsdale called the meeting to order at 5:35 p.m. and announced that Resolution No. 89-1125, For the Purpose of Confirming the Appointment of Councilor Tom DeJardin to the Council Solid Waste Standing Committee had been added to the agenda and would be considered immediately following Agenda Item No. 9.6.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

Councilor Buchanan introduced the citizens present who would be serving on the Composter Enhancement Fee Steering Committee: Ruth Jones (for Representative Ron Cease), Addie Lindstrom (Sandy Boulevard Business Association), Dr. Frank Shields (Rose City Park), Gordon Hunter and Ed Washington. Councilor Buchanan said that the Steering Committee would recommend the composition of the permanent Committee.

2. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATIONS

2.1 Resolution No. 89-1120, For the Purpose of Expressing Appreciation to Sharron Kelley for Services Rendered to the Council of the Metropolitan Service District

Councilor Gardner said that the resolution had been forwarded to the Council by the Intergovernmental Relations Committee in recognition of Councilor Kelley's contributions to the region.

Motion: Councilor Gardner moved, seconded by Councilor Buchanan to approve Resolution No. 89-1120.

Vote: The ten councilors present voted in favor of the motion. Councilors Bauer and DeJardin were absent.

The motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Mike Houck, Portland Audubon Society, Barbara Walker, Metro Regional Parks Study Committee and Dave Yamashita made a

presentations and read statements commending Councilor Kelley for her efforts and leadership in parks and natural areas issues. Presiding Officer Ragsdale then announced that with Councilor Kelley's resignation, he was appointing Councilor Gary Hansen as Deputy Presiding Officer.

3. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

Ms. T. R. Factor raised questions about Jack Gray Transport, Inc.'s safety record and submitted a written request to the Council for copies of documentation relating to performance bond and motor carrier rating. That request has been filed with the record and by reference, incorporated in these minutes. Presiding Officer Ragsdale asked Ms. Factor if she had received the material she had previously requested. Ms. Factor replied that she had.

Councilor Devlin requested that at the next Council meeting, a report on Jack Gray Transport's contract compliance be given. The Presiding Officer referred the request to Councilor Hansen, chair of the Solid Waste Committee.

4. EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS

None.

5. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES OF May 4, 1989

Motion: Councilor Gardner moved, seconded by Councilor Collier to approve the minutes of May 4, 1989.

Vote: The ten councilors present voted in favor of the motion. Councilors Bauer and DeJardin were absent.

The motion carried.

6. CONSENT AGENDA

The Presiding Officer announced that the following items were on the Consent Agenda:

- 6.1 Resolution No. 89-1106, For the Purpose of Requesting an Extension for the Completion of Metro's Periodic Review of the Urban Growth Boundary
- 6.2 Resolution No. 89-1109, For the Purpose of Amending the Transportation Improvement Program for Tri-Met's Section 9 and Section 3 Discretionary Programs

- 6.3 Resolution No. 89-1111, For the Purpose of Authorizing Federal Funds for a Section 16(b)(2) Special Transportation Project and Amending the Transportation Improvement Program
- 6.4 Resolution No. 89-1119, Amending the FY '90 Unified Work Program (UWP) to Incorporate a Bi-State Transportation Study

Motion: Councilor Gardner moved, Councilor Devlin seconded to approve the Consent Agenda.

Vote: The ten Councilors present voted aye. Councilors DeJardin and Bauer were absent.

The motion carried.

7. ORDINANCES: FIRST READING

- 7.1 Ordinance No. 89-300, For the Purpose of Dedicating the St. Johns Reserve Fund for Purposes Established by OAR 340-61-034.1

The Clerk read the ordinance by title only for a first time. The Presiding Officer referred the ordinance to the Solid Waste Committee.

- 7.2 Ordinance No. 89-302, Establishing and Regulating Charitable Solicitations Among Metropolitan Service District Employees

The Clerk read the ordinance by title only for a first time. The Presiding Officer referred the ordinance to the Internal Affairs Committee.

8. ORDINANCES: SECOND READINGS

- 8.1 Ordinance No. 89-297, Amending Ordinance No. 88-290 Which Revises the 1986 Waste Reduction Program and the 1988 Solid Waste Management Program Waste Reduction Chapter

The Clerk read the ordinance for a second time by title only. The presiding officer announced that the ordinance was first read before the Council on June 22, 1989 and referred to the Council Solid Waste Committee. The Solid Waste Committee held a public hearing on the ordinance on June 27.

Councilor Hansen, Chair of the Solid Waste Committee, presented the Committee's report and recommendations. He referred to the ordinance as a "housekeeping item" which would correct typographical errors and incorporate four additional activities to the Waste Reduction Chapter. He said that Solid Waste staff had stated at the Committee meeting that the ordinance would not alter the budget or work program.

Motion: Councilor Hansen moved, Councilor Devlin seconded to adopt Ordinance No. 89-297.

Councilor Devlin pointed out that there was still a typographical error in the amended program plan on page 16, Section E(1), where the "Estimated Completion" was listed as 1989, should have been corrected to 1990. Presiding Officer Ragsdale stated the error would be corrected when the ordinance was engrossed.

Vote: A roll call vote was taken resulting in the ten councilors present voting aye. Councilors Bauer and DeJardin were absent.

The motion carried unanimously, and the ordinance was adopted.

2. RESOLUTIONS

2.1 Resolution No. 89-1102, Authorizing an Agreement with the City of Forest Grove, Oregon, Pertaining to an Enhancement Fee for the Forest Grove Transfer Station (Action Requested: Motion to Adopt the Resolution)

Councilor Hansen said that Councilor Devlin would present the Solid Waste Committee's report and recommendation, however prior to Councilor Devlin's report, he said that he wanted to state that he felt the Solid Waste Committee should have communicated better with councilors who weren't a member of the Committee and apologized for not responding more promptly to questions and issues non-Committee members had raised.

Main Motion: Councilor Hansen moved, Councilor Bauer seconded to adopt Resolution No. 89-1102.

Councilor Devlin pointed out that the resolution before the Council differed considerably from a resolution the Council had previously considered on the same issue. Councilor Devlin referred the Council to an revised Exhibit "A" to the resolution which had been distributed to them. The exhibit has been filed with the record and is incorporated in these minutes by reference. Councilor Devlin said that he had reviewed the revisions with Forest Grove Mayor Clifford Clark and that Mayor Clark had agreed to additions and changes in Section B. 1. and B. 2.

1st Motion to Amend: Councilor Devlin moved, seconded by Councilor Hansen to adopt the "Revised" Exhibit "A" to Resolution No. 89-1102 as distributed to the Council.

Vote: Eleven councilors were present, and all voted aye. Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The motion to amend carried unanimously.

Councilor Gardner said that he had thought that two issues were important to Metro: 1) who has final authority to decide which projects would be funded and 2) future identification of the source of the program funds.

2nd Motion to Amend: Councilor Gardner moved, Councilor Collier seconded to amend Exhibit "A" to Resolution No. 89-1102 on page 1, Section A.1.(a) to add after the words "a separate, dedicated fund" the phrase: designated the "Metro Solid Waste Facility Fund." . . . (underlining indicates added language).

Councilor Hansen said that he did not support the amendment because he felt that titles for budget funds was an internal matter and the connection between the programs and funding source would eventually develop. He also said that he did not think budget documents were very widely read. Councilor Collier said that she thought the purpose of enhancement fees were to encourage communities to site solid waste facilities. She said that she supported the amendment, because it would further identify the source of the funds. Councilor Devlin said that he did not support the amendment and that he had discussed it with Mayor Clark who also was opposed to placing the amendment in the agreement. Councilor Devlin also said that the enhancement fees were generally associated with the area they were meant to enhance. Councilor Bauer said that he felt that the funds would be expended conscientiously regardless of whether or not the amendment was adopted.

Vote: A roll call vote on the amendment was taken, resulting in: Ayes: Councilors Buchanan, Collier and Gardner; Nays: Councilors Bauer, Devlin, Hansen, Knowles, Van Bergen, Wyers and Ragsdale. Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The motion to adopt the amendment was defeated.

Councilor Gardner said that specific regulations for implementing enhancement fee policies had not been adopted and once adopted, agreements with Forest Grove and Oregon City on enhancement fees would have to be renegotiated.

3rd Motion to Amend:

Councilor Gardner moved, seconded by Councilor Collier to amend page 3 of Exhibit "A" Section C. to read: "C. Term. The term of this Agreement is [~~indefinite~~] until such time as the Metro Council adopts specific regulations implementing the enhancement fee policy contained in the regional Solid Waste Management Plan. unless one of the parties shall terminate pursuant to this section (underlining indicates added language, brackets indicate deleted language).

Councilor Devlin said that he thought there should be some degree of certainty of how long the agreement would be in force. He said that the termination provisions already in the agreement allowed either party to terminate the agreement and renegotiate upon sufficient notice.

Councilor Gardner withdrew the motion, and Councilor Collier withdrew the second.

4th Motion to Amend:

Councilor Gardner moved, seconded by to amend page 3 of Exhibit "A" Section C. to read: "C. Term. The term of this Agreement is [~~indefinite~~] until such time as the Metro Council adopts specific regulations implementing the enhancement fee policy contained in the regional Solid Waste Management Plan, or December 31, 1990, which ever is later unless one of the parties shall terminate pursuant to this section (underlining indicates added language, brackets indicate deleted language).

Vote: Three councilors voted aye: Collier, Gardner and Knowles. Councilors Bauer, Devlin, Hansen, Van Bergen, Wyers and Ragsdale voted nay. Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The amendment was defeated.

5th Motion to Amend:

Councilor Gardner moved to amend page 4 of Exhibit "A" Section C. 4. to read: "Payments to FOREST GROVE

shall be made on all tonnage received at the FACILITY after [~~January-1~~] June 1, 1989, on a retroactive basis (underlining indicates added language, brackets indicate deleted language).

Councilor Knowles asked Bob Martin, Solid Waste Department Director, if enhancement fees were being collected at the Forest Grove facility. Mr. Martin said that to his knowledge, the fees had not been collected. Councilor Devlin pointed out that there was some confusion regarding whether the fee could be collected without an adopted enhancement agreement. Councilor Devlin said that he thought that in the future, enhancement fees should be imposed at the time of the impact. He said that in good faith, he thought the agreement should be retroactive to January 1. Councilor Knowles stated that an enhancement fee resolution had originally been introduced at the first Council meeting in January, 1989 and that he would oppose the amendment. Councilor Buchanan said he supported the fees being retroactive to January 1. Councilor Hansen said that there would be very little budgetary impact if the retroactive date remained as written in the agreement--January 1.

Councilor Gardner withdrew the motion, and Councilor Collier withdrew the second.

Councilor Van Bergen said that he was opposed to enhancement fees because he did not feel that there was a problem, but rather the fees were a means for a community to raise money. He said that particularly objected to this agreement and would vote nay on the resolution. He also stated that if Metro was going to give enhancement funds to Forest Grove, then Metro should let Forest Grove run the program. Councilor Bauer said that he would like to go on record as agreeing with Councilor Van Bergen in opposition to enhancement fees.

Vote on Main Motion: Seven councilors voted aye: Bauer, Buchanan, Devlin, Hansen, Knowles, Wyers and Ragsdale. Three councilors voted nay: Collier, Gardner and Van Bergen. Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The motion carried.

9.2 Resolution No. 89-1117, For the Purpose of Approving Projects and Programs for the One Percent for Recycling Program

Councilor Wyers, Solid Waste Committee member and chair of the One Percent for Recycling Committee, reported that \$300,000 had been budgeted in FY 1988-89 and carried over to FY 1989-90 to fund innovative recycling projects. Councilor Wyers said that 47 proposals had been received, and 12 projects had been recommended for funding by the One Percent for Recycling Committee. She noted that the selection process had complied with the evaluation criteria adopted by the Council and thanked both Committee members and staff, as well as the proposers for their efforts. Councilor Wyers also stated that the Committee was looking for a representative from Clackamas County as a member of the Committee. Councilor Knowles noted that one of the recipients was his collector to which Councilor Wyers noted that the proposals had been submitted to the Committee anonymously, and that her collector had also received an award.

Motion: Councilor Wyers moved, seconded by Councilor Buchanan to adopt Resolution No. 89-1117.

Vote: There were ten councilors present. All councilors present voted in favor of the motion. Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The motion carried unanimously.

Presiding Officer Ragsdale recessed the meeting at 7:07 p.m. and reconvened the meeting at 7:15 p.m.

9.2(A) Resolution No. 89-1112, For the Purpose of Authorizing Execution of a Service Agreement for Design, Construction and Operation of a Mass Composting Facility with Riedel Environmental Technologies, Inc.

Councilor Hansen, Solid Waste Committee Chair, presented the Committee report. He said that he thought that the project was workable and that the Committee had been satisfied with issues regarding responsibility.

Motion: Councilor Hansen moved, seconded by Councilor Bauer to adopt Resolution No. 89-1112.

Councilor Devlin said that, while there was not a conflict of interest, he would like the record to reflect that he had conversations with representatives of Riedel and Cogan Sharpe.

Presiding Officer Ragsdale opened the public hearing.

Judy Dehen, 2965 N. W. Verde Vista, representing the Sierra Club, testified in support of the composter.

Mary Palmer, 5540 N. E. Sandy Blvd., Portland, OR, 97213, said that she was concerned with the citizen participation process she said that she felt Metro should develop a more thorough citizen participation program. She said that while open meetings laws had been met, Metro had failed to meet a larger commitment to seek community input.

Gary Newbore, Riedel Environmental Technology, said that he felt adequate notification had been given to the public regarding deliberations on the composter. Mr. Newbore noted that the composter had been on meeting agendas 16 times.

Andrew Seltzer, P. O. Box 10791, Portland, OR, said that he did not feel that adequate public notice had been given that the composter decision would be on the agenda at the meeting. Mr. Seltzer raised several questions about whether Riedel was environmentally responsible and stated that Riedel had previously been cited by DEQ for problems with hazardous waste disposal.

There was no other public testimony and Presiding Officer Ragsdale closed the public hearing.

Councilor Knowles said that he felt the project would enhance the State's image nationwide as a state that cares about environmental issues and has sought innovative means of waste disposal. He also said that he thought the citizen participation plan for this project had been inadequate and that there had been no affirmative effort to notify and inform the community of the project.

Councilor Gardner asked what the system cost would be with the composter facility and without the facility. Debbie Gorham, Metro Waste Reduction Manager said the costs would be about the same.

Vote: The nine councilors present voted in favor of the motion. Councilors Bauer and DeJardin were absent.

Councilor Hansen noted that it had been a long process in citing the facility and asked the record to reflect an acknowledgement and thanks for the work of Solid Waste staff, legal counsel and consultants and Riedel.

9.2(B) Resolution No. 89-1103, For the Purpose of Establishing the Composter Community Enhancement Advisory Committee

Councilor Buchanan, presented the Solid Waste Committee's report and recommendations. He said that the resolution would establish a steering committee who would then develop a plan for establishing the permanent enhancement committee, guidelines for

the committee and geographic boundaries for the enhancement area. Councilor Buchanan said that the North Portland Enhancement Committee had been used as a model. He pointed out that Exhibit A to the resolution contained typographical errors; Gordon Hunter's affiliation was incorrectly listed; his affiliation should have been Cully Association of Neighbors; Addie Lindstrom should have been listed as representing the Upper Sandy Business Association; and Ed Washington should be listed as representing the Concordia Neighborhood Association.

Motion: Councilor Buchanan moved, seconded by Councilor Devlin to adopt Resolution No. 89-1103 as corrected.

Vote: Seven councilors voted in favor of the motion. Councilor Van Bergen voted nay. Councilors DeJardin, Bauer and Wyers were absent.

9.2(C) Resolution No. 89-1099, For the Adoption of a Model Purchasing Policy that Gives Preference to the Purchase of Retread Tires

Councilor Hansen presented the Solid Waste Committee's report and recommendations. He said that the resolution would create a preference for Metro to purchase retread tires and would help the region with the tire disposal problem by reusing materials.

Motion: Councilor Hansen moved, seconded by Councilor Collier to adopt Resolution No. 89-1099.

Councilor Van Bergen raised concerns about the safety of retread tires. He said that he had experience in his law practice with damages resulting from the use of retread tires. He noted that the quality varied between manufacturers. He strongly urged the Council not to adopt the resolution.

Councilor Wyers said that she had contacted a private firm that used retread tires and that she was told approximately 70 percent of commercial trucks had one or more retread tires. She also said that the representative had told her that he felt that retread tires were safe.

Councilor Collier asked if the Solid Waste Committee had looked at safety factors in depth during their deliberations. Councilor Hansen said that Solid Waste staff had done so and assured the Committee that retread tires were safe. Bob Martin said that the Federal Highway Administration endorsed retread tires and that almost all large fleets use retread tires.

Vote: Eight councilors voted in favor of the motion. Councilor Van Bergen voted nay. Councilors Bauer and DeJardin were absent.

The motion carried, and the resolution was adopted.

9.3 Resolution No. 89-1121. For the Purpose of Endorsing the Water Quality Issues Report

Councilor Gardner presented the Intergovernmental Relations Committee's report and recommendation. He said that the resolution would endorse the Issues Report prepared by Metro's Planning and Development Department. He said the Report was a summation of the requirements of the Clean Water Act and summarized historic activity by Metro. He said that the Report would provide a framework for evaluating what Metro's regional role should be in water quality.

Motion: Councilor Gardner moved, seconded by Councilor Collier to adopt Resolution No. 89-1121.

Councilors Devlin and Gardner complemented Planning and Development Department Regional Planning Supervisor Patrick Lee on the content and quality of the report.

Vote: Nine councilors voted in favor of the motion. Councilors DeJardin and Hansen were absent.

The motion carried, and the resolution was adopted.

9.4 Resolution No. 89-1118. Authorizing an Exemption to Metro Code Chapter 2.04.044 Competitive Bidding Procedures and Authorizing a Sole Source Agreement with Bergman Photographic Services for the Purchase of Aerial Photographs

The Presiding Officer announced that the Council, in its capacity as the District's Contract Review Board, would consider the exemption and recessed the Council and convene the Contract Review Board. Councilor Devlin presented the Intergovernmental Relations Committee's report and recommendation. Councilor Devlin said that a critical element of the parks and natural areas program was acquisition of infrared aerial photography for the region and subsequent analysis. He said that the resolution, if adopted would provide for the acquisition of the photographs which had already been taken. He said that the photographs needed to be taken in Spring, 1989, however, at that time, neither Metro or any other agency was able to fund the project. Therefore, he said that the Audubon Society committed with Bergman Photographic Services to take the photographs with the understanding that regional governments would reimburse the Society. Councilor Devlin said that local governments had chosen

to enter an agreement with Metro rather than the Society, and therefore, Metro would need to contract directly with the photographic firm instead of the Society. Councilor Devlin also stated that staff had solicited other vendors, but Bergman was the only firm capable and willing to perform the services.

Motion: Councilor Devlin moved, seconded by Councilor Knowles for the Contract Review Board to adopt Resolution No. 89-1118.

Councilor Van Bergen said that he did not feel that adequate sole source justification had been given and questioned whether there were other qualified firms. Councilor Collier said that she had raised the same issues at the committee level, however, she felt that the resolution should be approved. Councilor Devlin said that he had been convinced by staff's research that Bergman was the only qualified vendor willing to perform the services within the necessary time frame. He noted that the jurisdictions would ultimately be paying for the photographs, and that there originally had been no intent for Metro to contract with Bergman for the photographs, but rather to obtain them from the Audubon Society. He also noted that waiting until the following spring would significantly delay the program.

Vote: Seven councilors voted in favor of the motion. Councilor Van Bergen voted nay, and Councilors Bauer, DeJardin and Gardner were absent.

The motion carried.

Presiding Officer Ragsdale adjourned the Contract Review Board and reconvened the Council.

9.5 Resolution No. 89-1065A, Revising Expenditure Guidelines for Councilor Per Diem, Councilor Expense and General Council Materials and Services Accounts

Councilor Gardner, presented the Finance Committee's report and recommendations. Councilor Gardner explained that the Council had adopted a motion to establish a per diem rate equal to that of a member of the State House of Representatives and asked the Finance Committee to make recommendations on the budget adjustments to fund the increase. The Council adopted the budget but deleted the Finance Committee recommendations to fund increase per diem.

Main Motion: Councilor Gardner moved, seconded by Councilor Wyers to adopt Resolution No. 89-1065A.

1st Motion to Amend:

Councilor Gardner moved, seconded by Councilor Collier to amend Exhibit A to the resolution as follows: 1) on page 1 of Exhibit A in Section 1 of "Councilor Per Diem Accounts" amend annual per diem cap by deleting [~~\$6,336~~] and adding \$4,800 and amending the half year per diem rate by deleting [~~\$3,168~~] and adding \$2,400, 2) on page 2 of Exhibit A in Section 2 delete the phrase [~~a member of the Oregon House of Representatives~~] and insert \$50 and add the sentences after the word "meetings"--"The per diem rate shall be revised at the beginning of each fiscal year based on the change in the Portland All Urban Consumer CPI for the prior calendar year. The new per diem rate shall be rounded to the nearest dollar and the amounts of per diem authorized in subsection 1 of this section shall be revised based on the new per diem rate times 96 meetings per year or 48 meetings for each half year" (underlining indicates added language, brackets indicate deleted language).

Councilor Gardner said that based on an analysis of the CPI by Council staff, he felt that \$50 was a satisfactory rate. Councilor Bauer said that he opposed the amendment because he did not feel that \$50 was adequate compensation.

Vote on 1st Amendment:

Eight councilors voted in favor of the amendment. Councilors Bauer and Wyers voted nay. Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The motion carried.

2nd Motion to Amend:

Councilor Knowles moved, seconded by Councilor Hansen to amend Exhibit A on page 2 to delete section 3(d).

Councilor Knowles said that he felt that the section was too broad by allowing reimbursement for any meeting at which District

business was discussed. He said that he felt that the section created a potential for abuse.

Vote on 2nd Motion to Amend: Councilors Ragsdale and Knowles voted aye. The other eight councilors present voted nay. Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The motion failed to carry.

Council Administrator Carlson pointed out that in order to make the Exhibit consistent, the General Provisions section should delete reference to meetings approved by the Presiding Officer and insert "in accordance with these guidelines."

3rd Motion to Amend: Councilor Devlin moved, seconded by Councilor Collier to amend Exhibit A to Resolution No. 89-1065A in the "General Provisions" Section 1. as follows: A Councilor may receive per diem, plus mileage to the meetings, and/or reimbursement for actual authorized expenses incurred, for attendance at Council, Council committee, Council task force meetings or other meetings [~~approved-in-advance-in writing-by-the-Presiding-Officer~~] in accordance with these guidelines (underlining indicates added language, brackets indicate deleted language).

Vote: The ten councilors present voted aye. Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The motion carried unanimously.

Vote on Main Motion: Nine councilors voted in favor of adopting Resolution No. 89-1065A as amended. Councilor Knowles voted nay, and Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The motion carried, and Resolution No. 89-1065A was adopted as amended.

2.6 Resolution No. 89-1122, Appointing District 7 Citizens Advisory Committee

Motion: Councilor Buchanan moved, seconded by Councilor Collier to suspend the Council's rules requiring resolutions introduced at the Council level and not recommended by a Committee to be referred by the Presiding Officer to a Committee so that the Council as a whole could consider Resolution No. 89-1122 and Resolution No. 89-1125.

Vote: The ten councilors present voted in favor of the motion. Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The motion carried unanimously.

Motion: Councilor Collier moved, seconded by Councilor Buchanan to adopt Resolution No. 89-1122.

Presiding Officer Ragsdale explained that approval of the resolution would appoint a citizens committee to assist in appointing a person to fill the Council seat recently vacated by Sharron Kelley. He said that every city, chamber of commerce and known citizen participation group in District 7 had been contacted and asked to submit candidates for the Advisory Committee and that all the names submitted were on the Advisory Committee list. Council Administrator Carlson pointed out that two of the persons on the Advisory Committee list did not reside within District 7, but had been highly recommended from civic groups within District 7 with whom they were participants.

Vote: Ten councilors voted in favor of the motion. Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The motion carried unanimously.

Resolution No. 89-1125. For the Purpose of Confirming the Appointment of Councilor Tom DeJardin to the Council Solid Waste Standing Committee

Motion: Councilor Collier moved, seconded by Councilor Knowles to adopt Resolution No. 89-1125.

Councilor Van Bergen emphasized the importance of regular attendance at the Solid Waste Committee meetings.

10. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATIONS & COMMITTEE REPORTS

Councilors Devlin and Collier asked Council staff to prepare an ordinance to implement Resolution No. 89-1065A. Councilor Wyers commented on the citizens' participation process. She said that Metro needed to implement an active citizen participation process.

Motion: Councilor Collier moved, seconded by Councilor Wyers that the Presiding Officer direct Council Solid Waste Committee and staff to review and develop a citizens' participation process for Metro East Station.

Councilors Devlin, Hansen, Collier and Wyers discussed the importance of having an ongoing, coordinated citizen participation policy and program. Presiding Officer Ragsdale said that two levels of review would occur, the Solid Waste Committee would deal specifically with the issue of participation in the Metro East Station decisions, and the Internal Affairs Committee would deal with an consistent agency-wide policy.

Vote: The ten councilors present voted in favor of the motion. Councilor DeJardin was absent.

The motion carried unanimously.

There was no other business, and the meeting was adjourned at 9:25 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Gwen Ware-Barrett

Gwen Ware-Barrett
Clerk of the Council

gpwb
cn727.min