

Minutes of the Metro Council Work Session
February 7, 1995
Council Chamber

Councilors Present: Ruth McFarland (Presiding Officer), Rod Monroe (Deputy Presiding Officer), Jon Kvistad, Patricia McCaig, Susan McLain, Don Morissette, Ed Washington

Councilors Absent: None

Presiding Officer McFarland called the work session to order at 2:00 p.m.

1. Introductions

None.

2. Citizen Communications

None.

3. Executive Officer Communications

None.

4. Other Business

4.1 Review of Feb. 9, 1995 JPACT Agenda.

Councilor Monroe noted the Open Spaces Bond Measure discussion scheduled for the JPACT meeting would be rescheduled to March, 1995. He noted Councilor McLain would be chairing the meeting in his absence.

Richard Brandman, Assistant Planning Director, reviewed items on the JPACT agenda. He explained the proposed changes to the TPAC Bylaws. Councilor Kvistad proposed an amendment to the bylaws related to the citizen alternates. He called for alternates to be selected by the Council, not the citizen member. Councilor Monroe noted the amendment needed to be presented at the JPACT meeting for consideration.

Motion: Councilor Kvistad moved to recommend JPACT amend section 2c by replacing the language with the following: Citizen representatives and their alternates will be nominated by the jurisdictions and through a public application process, confirmed by the Metro Council, and appointed by the Presiding Officer of the Metro Council.

Councilor McLain expressed concern about the proposed language achieving the desired result. In response to Councilor Washington, Mr. Brandman noted on advisory committees most citizen appointees select their own alternate. Casey Short, Senior Council Analyst, noted the MCCI alternates were selected by the Council.

Peggy Lynch, citizen, 3840 SW 102nd Ave., Beaverton, 97005 appeared to testify. She noted selection of alternates should be performed with predetermined guidelines to achieve the goals of the agency. She expressed concern about continuity if members did not self select their alternates. She stated if the alternate served as a voting member in the absence of the member, the alternate should have interests similar to the member.

The Council discussed how to deal with the proposed amendment at JPACT. The consensus was to bring the concern to JPACT for discussion.

Councilor Washington noted perhaps the members should be appointed by Councilors according to District. Councilor McLain noted she was not comfortable with the proposed language and favored additional discussion of the proposal.

Advisory Vote: Councilors Kvistad, Monroe, Morissette, Washington, and McFarland voted aye. Councilors McCaig and McLain voted nay. The vote was 5/2 and the advisory motion passed.

Councilor Washington noted his favorable vote was a desire to encourage additional discussion of the issue at JPACT.

Mr. Brandman reviewed Resolution No. 95-2090, Establishing a Finance Plan for the South/North Light Rail Project; and Resolution No. 95-2094, Amending the TIP to Include a \$1.6 Million Section 3 "Livable Communities" Project in Clackamas County, copies of which are included in the record of this meeting.

Mike Hoglund, Transportation Planning Manager, reviewed the evaluation criteria for the RTP, Arterial Fund, \$27 million allocation.

4.5 Report on Council's Performance Audit of the Cost Allocation Plan Presented by Mr. Jack Talbot of Talbot, Korvola and Warwick.

Mr. Talbot presented an update on the audit of the cost allocation plan, a copy of which is included in the record of the meeting. He distributed and summarized a handout, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting.

Jennifer Sims, Director of Finance and Management Information, distributed and summarized a cost allocation plan handout, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting.

Councilor Monroe noted the most important component of the report was the need to provide budget subsidy to those programs unable to directly support the Support Services Fund.

Councilor McCaig noted the recommendations would be incorporated into the 1995-96 Metro budget.

4.2 Discussion of Future Vision Public Outreach.

Councilor McLain noted three issues were identified for discussion: citizen outreach, the continued function of the Future Vision Commission, and the timing of Council review of the content of the Future Vision document.

Ken Gervais, Senior Management Analyst, discussed a copy of the timelines for the Future Vision, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting.

Councilor McLain referred to the public involvement schedule, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting. In response to Councilor McCaig, Sherry Oeser, Senior Public Involvement Specialist, noted approximately \$35,000 remained in the budget to complete the work plan of the Future Vision for the current fiscal year.

Councilor Morissette noted he had comments with regard to the draft document. He called for an overall statement of the intent of the document. He stated some of the language sounded regulatory and might need to be adjusted to reflect the appropriate tone.

Councilor Kvistad noted the Future Vision Commission had taken a direction different from the original intent. He stated he found the first version objectionable. He stated the document was supposed to be an overview document, not a guiding document. He said the Future Vision Commission should present the document to the Council and then the Council should revise the document and move forward.

Councilor McCaig stated she did not agree with dismissing the work of the Future Vision Commission.

Councilor Washington noted the document was not regulatory and the intent was clear in the language.

Councilor Morissette noted his intent was to work together with the Future Vision Commission. He noted while the language intended to be visionary not regulatory, it was not always clear. He noted members of the commission had supported working on document to change any regulatory tone to visionary.

Councilor Kvistad noted a disagreement about policy did not indicate any disrespect to any individual group.

Councilor McLain spoke to the history of the development of the Future Vision.

The Council approved an advisory vote to have the newsletter and listening post at the end of April to mid May, asking Future Vision support to the Council. Councilors McCaig, McLain, Morissette, Washington, and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Kvistad voted nay. Councilor Monroe was absent.

The Council approved an advisory vote to have the document be a combined document, with review in February of the map and document. Councilors McCaig, McLain, Morissette, Washington, and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Kvistad voted nay. Councilor Monroe was absent.

The Council approved an advisory vote to have the Future Vision Commission attend and participate in the February 21, 1995 listening post/work session. Councilors McCaig, McLain, Morissette, Washington, and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Kvistad voted nay. Councilor Monroe was absent.

The Council approved and advisory vote to have the Future Vision Commission invited informally to meeting on February 21, 1995. Councilors Kvistad, McCaig, McLain, Morissette, Washington, and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Monroe was absent.

Councilor Kvistad stated he objected to the method of voting used.

4.3 Update from Solid Waste Enforcement Staff.

Councilor Kvistad introduced the staff.

Sam Chandler, Solid Waste Facilities Manager and Steve Kraten, Senior Solid Waste Planner, distributed and summarized the activities of the Solid Waste Enforcement Staff, copies of which are included in the record of this meeting.

4.4 Report on Proposed Recycling Advertising Program.

Debbie Gorham, Waste Reduction Manager, stated the most recent campaign was complete and a new campaign was underway. She noted the curbside recycling programs were coordinated throughout the region. She stated industry sponsors had also contributed to the campaign. She said numerous complaints were received, specifically with regard to the creative side of the campaign, causing the need to start over.

In response to Councilor McLain, Ms. Gorham noted the review committee consisted of industry members. Councilor McLain suggested lay person representation on the review committee.

6. Legislative Issues

Burton Weast, Western Advocates, distributed a list of hearings for the next week, a copy of which are included in the record of this meeting. He discussed recent activity at the Legislature.

5. Councilor Communications

Councilor Washington introduced Resolution No. 95-2098, Expressing Appreciation to Sam Brooks for Services Rendered to the Region as a member of the Metropolitan Exposition-Recreation Commission, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting.

Motion: Councilor Washington moved, seconded by Kvistad suspend the rules and allow introduction of the resolution.

Vote: Councilors Kvistad, McCaig, McLain, Morissette, Washington, and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Monroe was absent. The vote was 6/0 and the motion passed.

Motion: Councilor Washington moved, seconded by Kvistad to adopt the resolution.

Vote: Councilors Kvistad, McCaig, McLain, Morissette, Washington, and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Monroe was absent. The vote was 6/0 and the motion passed.

With no further business before the Council, Presiding Officer adjourned the meeting at 5:20 p.m.

Prepared by,



Susan Lee, CMC
Council Assistant

h:\misc\020795M.DOC

APPROVED
Date 02/16/1995 as REVISED