

Minutes of the Metro Council  
April 20, 1995  
Council Chamber

Councilors Present: Ruth McFarland (Presiding Officer), Rod Monroe (Deputy Presiding Officer),  
Jon Kvistad, Patricia McCaig, Susan McLain, Don Morissette, Ed Washington

Presiding Officer McFarland called the meeting to order at 2:05 p.m.

**1. INTRODUCTIONS**

None.

**2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS**

None.

**3. EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS**

Mike Burton, Executive Officer, spoke to the favorable budget deliberation process. Presiding Officer McFarland concurred and thanked the Executive Officer, Councilors, and staff.

Executive Officer Burton noted the Legislature had not funded the high speed rail project.

**4. EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Held Pursuant to ORS 192.660 (1) (e) To Conduct Deliberations With Persons Designated By The Governing Body to Negotiate Real Property Transactions.

The Council held an executive session pursuant to ORS 192.660 (1) (e). Staff Present: Dan Cooper, General Counsel; Charlie Ciecko, Parks Director; Todd Sadlo, Senior Counsel, Nancy Chase, Senior Regional Planner; Casey Short, Senior Council Analyst.

Consideration of Resolution No. 95-1987, For the Purpose of Authorizing the Executive Officer to Enter into Agreement to Option Property in the Tualatin River Greenway.

Consideration of Resolution No. 95-2128, For the Purpose of Authorizing the Executive Officer to Enter into Agreement to Option Property in the Newell Creek Target Area.

Consideration of Resolution No. 95-2129, For the Purpose of Authorizing the Executive Officer to Enter Into Agreement to Option Property in the Newell Creek Target Area.

Consideration of Resolution No. 95-2130, For the Purpose of Authorizing the Executive Officer to Enter into Agreement to Option Property in the Forest Park Target Area.

Consideration of Resolution No. 95-2132, For the Purpose of Authorizing the Executive Officer to Enter into Agreement to Option Property in the Forest Park Target Area.

Motion: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor McLain to recommend adoption of Resolution Nos. 95-1987, 2128, 2129, 2130, and 2132.

Vote: All those present voted aye. The vote was unanimous and the motion passed.

**5. CONSENT AGENDA**

**5.1** Consideration of Minutes for the April 11, 1995 Council Work Session and the April 13, 1995 Council Meeting.

Motion: Councilor Washington moved, seconded by Councilor McCaig, to approve the minutes.

Vote: All those present voted aye. The vote was unanimous and the motion passed.

## **6. ORDINANCES FIRST READINGS**

- 6.1 **Ordinance No. 95-595**, Amending The FY 1994-95 Budget and Appropriations Schedule For the Purpose of Reflecting Funding Increases Due to Costs Associated With Household Hazardous Waste Events, Delivered Solid Waste Tonnage in Excess of Budget Expectations, and Transferring Appropriations Within the Solid Waste Revenue Fund and the Rehabilitation and Enhancement Fund; and Declaring an Emergency.

The clerk read the Ordinance for the first time by title only.

Terry Peterson, Planning and Technical Services Manager, presented the staff report, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting. Roosevelt Carter, Budget & Finance manager, was present to answer any questions. Staff responded to questions from the Council. Council called for more careful budgeting in future years.

Presiding Officer McFarland opened the public hearing, not citizens appeared to testify and the public hearing was closed.

- 6.2 **Ordinance No. 95-599**, Amending the FY 1994-95 Budget and Appropriations Schedule to Reflect Operational Needs at the Metro Washington Park Zoo; and Declaring an Emergency.

The clerk read the Ordinance for the first time by title only.

Councilor Washington introduced Kathy Kiaunis, Assistant Zoo Director, who presented the staff report, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting.

Presiding Officer McFarland opened the public hearing, not citizens appeared to testify and the public hearing was closed.

- 6.3 **Ordinance No. 95-598**, Amending the FY 1994-95 Budget and Appropriations Schedule to Reflect Actual Election Costs of the November, 1994 Election Appropriated for the Support Services Fund; and to Reflect Expected Election Costs of the May, 1995 Election Appropriated in the General Fund; and Declaring an Emergency.

The clerk read the Ordinance for the first time by title only.

Councilor McCaig explained elections costs were higher than anticipated and the Ordinance would amend the budget appropriately.

Jennifer Sims, Finance and Management Information Director, noted two elections were included in the FY 1994-95. She noted the bills for the May election would not arrive until the close of the fiscal year and needed to be amended at this time.

Presiding Officer McFarland opened the public hearing, not citizens appeared to testify and the public hearing was closed.

- 6.4 **Ordinance No. 95-600**, Amending the FY 1994-95 Budget and Appropriations Schedule by Transferring \$12,000 From Personal Services to Capital Outlay in the General Fund Executive Office; and Declaring an Emergency.

The clerk read the Ordinance for the first time by title only.

Executive Officer Burton presented the staff report, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting.

Presiding Officer McFarland opened the public hearing, not citizens appeared to testify and the public hearing was closed.

Councilor Morissette introduced Paul Cook and thanked him for attending.

Councilor Morissette asked Executive Officer Burton about the purchase of \$5,000 in computers for use at Oxbow Park. Executive Officer Burton noted two computers needed to be purchased and had submitted a memorandum as an update. He noted the \$5,000 were savings realized through another capital improvement project and the savings were used to purchase computers.

**6.5 Ordinance No. 95-596, Amending the FY 1994-95 Budget and Appropriations Schedule for the Purpose of Adopting the FY 1994-95 Supplemental Budget; and Declaring an Emergency.**

The clerk read the Ordinance for the first time by title only.

Kathy Rutkowski, Principal Administrative Services Analyst, described the procedure for adoption of a supplemental budget. Heather Teed, Accountant, noted the need for the supplemental budget was a result of the hotel/motel tax transfer to the PCPA and the income from the Broadway series.

Presiding Officer McFarland opened the public hearing, not citizens appeared to testify and the public hearing was closed.

In response to Councilor Washington, Ms. Rutkowski noted the TSCC would conduct the public hearing.

**7. RESOLUTIONS**

**7.1 Resolution No. 95-2124, For the Purpose of Approving the FY 1994-95 Supplemental Budget and Transmitting the Approved Budget to the Tax Supervising and Conservation Commission.**

Motion: Councilor Washington moved, seconded by Councilor McCaig, to adopt the resolution.

Councilor Washington noted the resolution was procedural to forward the budget to the TSCC for consideration.

Vote: All those present voted aye. The vote was unanimous and the motion passed.

**7.2 Resolution No. 95-2123, For the Purpose of Endorsing the Citizens Advisory Committee For the Update of the 1996 Regional Transportation Plan.**

Motion: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor Morissette, to adopt the resolution.

Councilor Monroe presented the resolution, a copy of the staff report is included in the record of this meeting.

Vote: All those present voted aye. The vote was unanimous and the motion passed.

Presiding Officer McFarland recessed the Metro Council and convened the Contract Review Board.

**8. CONTRACT REVIEW BOARD**

**8.1 Resolution No. 95-2131, For the Purpose of Authorizing the Executive Officer to Enter Into an Agreement With Norseman Plastics to Manufacture and Distribute Home Compost Bins.**

Motion: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor Washington, to adopt the resolution.

Leigh Zimmerman, Market Development Manager, presented the staff report, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting.

Vote: All those present voted aye. The vote was unanimous and the motion passed.

Presiding Officer McFarland adjourned the Contract Review Board and reconvened the Metro Council.

## 9. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATIONS

Councilor Kvistad read a memo into the record regarding the rate review committee, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting.

Presiding Officer McFarland recessed the Council at 3:30 p.m. Presiding Officer McFarland reconvened the Council at 4:00 p.m.

7.3 **Resolution No. 95-2126**, For the Purpose of Expressing Council Intent to Amend Metro's Urban Growth Boundary for Contested Case No. 94-1:Richards.

Motion: Councilor McLain moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad, to adopt the resolution.

Councilor McLain presented background information on UGB amendments. Mr. Cooper continued the explanation of the quasi-judicial proceeding. Larry Epstein, Hearings Officer, presented the hearings officer record and recommendation for contested case no. 94-01, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting. Mr. Epstein responded to questions from the Council.

Vote: Councilors McLain, Kvistad, Morissette, Monroe, Washington, and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig abstained the vote was 6/0 and the motion passed.

## 10. LEGISLATIVE ITEMS

Noel Kline, Western Advocates, distributed and discussed a Legislative Update as of April 20, 1995, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting. Mr. Kline responded to questions from the Council.

Judith Mandt, Administrative Manager, and Councilor Kvistad distributed and presented Metro volunteer t-shirts for the SOLV event on Saturday 22, 1995.

With no further business before the Council, Presiding Officer adjourned the meeting at 5:00 p.m.

Prepared by,



Susan Lee, CMC  
Council Assistant

## Preliminary Options for Standards and Tools for Implementing Mainstreets - Regional Framework Plan

From Mainstreet Advisory Committee/Metro Council Joint Discussion, April 27, 1995

<b>MINIMUM STANDARD</b>	<b>PREFERRED STANDARD</b>	<b>TOOLBOX</b>
Permit residential uses.	Require residential uses.	Waive one local fee for projects which meet more than the minimum requirement.
Low minimum parking requirement.	No minimum parking required / maximums?	Shared parking and financing for structured parking or public lots.
Simplify the permitting process.	Allow outright specific uses or design review.	Permitting incentives.
Mainstreet sign codes.	Pedestrian oriented signage.	Grants to "buy out" old signage and make improvements.
No net housing loss.	Housing gain.	Tax abatement. Trading of development rights. Clearinghouse of information on development rights.
Pedestrian District designation.	Pedestrian District Plan.	Funding for infrastructure in the Pedestrian District.
Maximum distance between building fronts across the right of way.	Building orientation/maximum setbacks.	
Allow shared driveways.	Develop criteria for the spacing of driveways.	Access management plan (forces the shared parking issue).
Minimum standards for area, not just site specific.	Mix of uses at the district level, not just at site level.	
Sidewalks -minimum standards (5').	Wider sidewalks, buffers from traffic, pedestrian scale lighting.	Permit sidewalk uses/Regional Transportation Plan funds for new sidewalks and improvements in the right of way.
Maximum Setbacks.	No setbacks.	Storefront standards, transparent store fronts.
Comply with the Transportation Planning Rule.	No setbacks in the pedestrian district.	Specific Pedestrian Plan (area refinements).

20 minute transit service	10 - 15 minute transportation service	Fee associated with parking to supplement transit cost.
Maintain pedestrian connections on main street and to/from the neighborhood.	Block standards	Urban design guidelines
A building entry on the mainstreet, or visual/physical connection to the entry.		
Maintain auto circulation, reduce speeds in the pedestrian district.		
Permissive redevelopment so older buildings can be used.		

**Other Discussion Points**

Demonstration projects, market acceptance - can it work. City Life Project as demonstration (urban “Street of Dreams” development).

Need **texture** in design, standardized setbacks can result in a monotonous “cookie cutter”. environment. Variety that small businesses provide and is so attractive to consumers.

Incentives, reduce Traffic Impact Fees.

Current development and forecast information for investors “opportunity sites”, access to information, an RLIS storefront (Regional Land Information Survey).

**Safety** is very important - more activity equals more safety.

A clear easy path to get Mainstreet type development, allowed in the code and deal with “conflicts in the code”.

**Urban design** workshops in key areas. Work with small developers on design alternatives. Small developers create a range of development design types.

There is no magic bullet for all - it will take **many different solutions**. Mainstreets evolve over time. Don’t forget local services on mainstreets.

2040 coordination with local neighborhood plans.

## Preliminary Options for Standards and Tools for Implementing Mainstreets - Regional Framework Plan

From Mainstreet Advisory Committee/Metro Council Joint Discussion, April 27, 1995

<b>MINIMUM STANDARD</b>	<b>PREFERRED STANDARD</b>	<b>TOOLBOX</b>
Permit residential uses.	Require residential uses.	Waive one local fee for projects which meet more than the minimum requirement.
Low minimum parking requirement.	No minimum parking required / maximums?	Shared parking and financing for structured parking or public lots.
Simplify the permitting process.	Allow outright specific uses or design review.	Permitting incentives.
Mainstreet sign codes.	Pedestrian oriented signage.	Grants to "buy out" old signage and make improvements.
No net housing loss.	Housing gain.	Tax abatement. Trading of development rights. Clearinghouse of information on development rights.
Pedestrian District designation.	Pedestrian District Plan.	Funding for infrastructure in the Pedestrian District.
Maximum distance between building fronts across the right of way.	Building orientation/maximum setbacks.	
Allow shared driveways.	Develop criteria for the spacing of driveways.	Access management plan (forces the shared parking issue).
Minimum standards for area, not just site specific.	Mix of uses at the district level, not just at site level.	
Sidewalks -minimum standards (5').	Wider sidewalks, buffers from traffic, pedestrian scale lighting.	Permit sidewalk uses/Regional Transportation Plan funds for new sidewalks and improvements in the right of way.
Maximum Setbacks.	No setbacks.	Storefront standards, transparent store fronts.
Comply with the Transportation Planning Rule.	No setbacks in the pedestrian district.	Specific Pedestrian Plan (area refinements).

20 minute transit service	10 - 15 minute transportation service	Fee associated with parking to supplement transit cost.
Maintain pedestrian connections on main street and to/from the neighborhood.	Block standards	Urban design guidelines
A building entry on the mainstreet, or visual/physical connection to the entry.		
Maintain auto circulation, reduce speeds in the pedestrian district.		
Permissive redevelopment so older buildings can be used.		

**Other Discussion Points**

Demonstration projects, market acceptance - can it work. City Life Project as demonstration (urban “Street of Dreams” development).

Need **texture** in design, standardized setbacks can result in a monotonous “cookie cutter”. environment. Variety that small businesses provide and is so attractive to consumers.

Incentives, reduce Traffic Impact Fees.

Current development and forecast information for investors “opportunity sites”, access to information, an RLIS storefront (Regional Land Information Survey).

**Safety** is very important - more activity equals more safety.

A clear easy path to get Mainstreet type development, allowed in the code and deal with “conflicts in the code”.

**Urban design** workshops in key areas. Work with small developers on design alternatives. Small developers create a range of development design types.

There is no magic bullet for all - it will take **many different solutions**. Mainstreets evolve over time. Don’t forget local services on mainstreets.

2040 coordination with local neighborhood plans.