Thursday, December 21, 1995

Council Chamber

<u>Councilors Present:</u> Ruth McFarland (Presiding Officer), Rod Monroe (Deputy Presiding Officer), Jon Kvistad, Susan McLain, Don Morissette, Ed Washington

Councilors Absent: Patricia McCaig

Presiding Officer McFarland called the meeting to order at 2:00 PM.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

None.

2. CITIZEN COMMUNICATIONS

None.

3. EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMUNICATIONS

None.

4. CONSENT AGENDA

4.1 Consideration of Minutes for the December 14, 1995 Metro Council Meeting

The minutes of the December 14, 1995 Council meeting were not available.

5. **RESOLUTIONS**

5.1 <u>Resolution No. 95-2243. For the Purpose of Studying the South/North Downtown</u> Portland Alignment Options and an Amended North Terminus Option in the DEIS. Concurring with the South/North Steering Group's Selection of Design Options, and Adopting the Major Investment Study Final Report

Motion: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for adoption of Resolution No. 95-2243.

Councilor Monroe called upon Mike Burton, Executive Officer, who made introductory remarks in support of Resolution No. 95-2243. Executive Officer Burton said many groups had worked in a fair and thorough process to make the recommendation contained in the resolution. A copy of the resolution, committee and staff reports are included as part of the meeting record.

Executive Officer Burton introduced Richard Brandman, Assistant Transportation Planning Director. He also introduced Chuck Armstrong, Chair of the Downtown Oversight

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 2

Committee, and Rick Williams, Chair of the Citizens Advisory Committee who appeared to present reports on their respective committees' findings.

Mr. Brandman reported that the resolution is the unanimous recommendation of the South/North Steering Group as well as Joint Policy Advisory Group on Transportation (JPACT). He gave an overview of the Design Option Narrowing Final Report, a copy of which is included as part of the meeting record.

Also included as part of the meeting record are several documents pertaining to the resolution including the Downtown Portland Tier 1 Final Report, and the Major Investment Study Final Report.

Mr. Brandman gave reasons for recommending the 5th/6th Avenue downtown alignment. The cost and impact would be higher on other streets in the downtown area and the ridership would be lower.

Mr. Brandman reported the 10th/11th Avenue option would have much lower ridership because it requires longer travel times due to out of direction travel; it has longer walk distances to reach the destination of transit riders; and the existing transit mall would be under-utilized. Construction costs would be much higher because construction would be building face to building face. In the 5th/6th Avenue alignment, the construction will be contained curb to curb. Many businesses, including Blitz-Weinhard, Powell's Books, as well as Portland State University oppose the 10th/11th Avenue option.

Mr. Brandman reported on the 4th Avenue/Broadway option. He said Broadway is the major retail street in Portland, and both 4th Avenue and Broadway are the only two through streets from one end of the downtown to the other. He said the addition of light rail would require the removal of one lane of traffic as well as one lane of parking on both of those streets. Metered parking is essential on both of those streets. He said many buildings have been constructed so that their access to pedestrians is on 5th and 6th Avenue, and their access to automobiles is on 4th Avenue and Broadway. This would create many conflicts with automobiles needing to cross tracks to enter parking lots and driveway access to buildings. Also the alignment would require many cars to turn left or right over tracks, because you cannot prohibit left or right turns on four streets through the heart of downtown. He said their are many hotels on Broadway who have loading zones which would be eliminated with a Broadway light rail alignment.

Mr. Brandman reported that cost of a subway alignment was prohibitive. He said that Metro is committed to studying the street car alignment on 10th and 11th Avenues. He said Metro has committed to working with Tri-Met, the city of Portland, and the private sector to make sure that the street car project moves forward.

Mr. Brandman concluded his remarks by reporting that the resolution will allow the technical analysis to commence for the environmental impact statement, using the 2015 population and employment forecast, which is based on the 2040 Growth Concept.

Presiding Officer McFarland relinquished the chair to Councilor Monroe on this agenda item. Councilor Monroe made brief comments and introduced Mr. Armstrong.

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 3

Mr. Armstrong made comments on behalf of the Downtown Oversight Committee in support of the 5th/6th Avenue alignment. He described the process the committee used in coming to its conclusions. He said the committee recommended the four-lane options be disregarded. He also said the committee recognized that for 20 years, the spine of transportation has been centered around the 5th/6th Avenue alignment, and it that it made sense to continue in that manner.

Councilor Monroe then introduced Mr. Williams, who appeared to speak on behalf of the Citizens Advisory Committee. Mr. Williams reported that the committee found itself in agreement with steering group recommendations in most areas, including the South terminus decision, the Railroad Avenue/Hwy. 224 decision, downtown Milwaukie, the Ross Island crossing, Caruthers crossing, Steel Bridge to Kaizer, Columbia River, and downtown Vancouver. There were three non-downtown areas where the CAC recommendation differed from that of the steering group, including the Minimum Optical Segments, crossover language regarding the North Portland to Kaizer, and the Hayden Island decision.

With regard to the downtown alignment, Mr. Williams reported the CAC ultimately reached an agreement to recommend the 5th/6th Avenue alignment. He gave reasons for recommending this alignment. He pointed out that originally, the CAC voted 18/1 in favor of moving ahead with the tunnel option.

Daniel Cooper, General Counsel, notified the Council that before the vote is taken, all of the reports pertaining to this resolution should be formally received into the record. At the request of Councilor Monroe, Presiding Officer McFarland received the documents into the record.

Councilor Monroe opened a public hearing.

- 1. Greg Goodman, President of City Center Parking, 715 SW Morrison, Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in favor of the 5th and 6th Avenue alignment.
- 2. Lili Mandel, 1511 SW Park Avenue, Portland, appeared before the Council to report that the public has not had adequate opportunity for input regarding the decision to put light rail on the transit mall. She spoke in support of the 10th/11th Avenue option.
- 3. Irwin Mandel, 1511 SW Park Avenue, Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in support of the 10th/11th Avenue option.
- 4. Dan McFarling, 20585 SW Cheshire Court, Aloha, appeared before the Council to speak in opposition to the 5th/6th Avenue alignment. A written copy of his comments is included as part of the meeting record.
- Michael Powell, owner of Powell's Books, director of the Portland Street Car Board, 7 NW 9th, Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in opposition to the 10th/11th Avenue alignment.
- 6. Ray Polani, CBT, 6110 SE Ankeny, Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in favor of a downtown light rail tunnel option.

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 4

- 7. Robert J. Parsons, The Broadway Coalition, The Benson Hotel, 309 SW Broadway, Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in opposition to the 4th Avenue/ Broadway option, and in favor of the 5th/6th Avenue alignment. He also read a letter from Tammy Hickel, vice president and general manager of Nordstrom, who wrote in opposition to the 4th Avenue/Broadway option, and in favor of the 5th/6th Avenue alignment. A copy of Ms. Hickel's letter was not available for the record.
- 8. Clayton Herring, Association for Portland Progress, 520 SW Yamhill, #1000, Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in support of 5th/6th Avenue alignment.
- 9. Zack Semke, Coalition for a Livable Future, 497-1000, appeared before the Council to speak in opposition to 5th/6th Avenue alignment.
- 10. Terry Parker, 1527 NE Glisan Avenue, Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in opposition to the 5th/6th Avenue alignment, and to urge the Council to consider other options.
- 11.Earl Blumenauer, Portland City Council Commissioner, appeared before the Council to speak in support of the 5th/6th Avenue alignment.
- 12.BJ Seymour, 1405 SW Park Avenue, #34, Portland, appeared before the Council to urge that alternatives to the 5th/6th Avenue alignment be considered.
- 13. Ann Robinson, 3337 NE 66th, Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in opposition to the 5th/6th Avenue alignment.
- 14. Art Lewellan, 27 SE 74th, Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in support of an East Bank proposal. He asked that Councilors read the report he previously mailed to them on this proposal.
- 15. Jim Mark, Melvin Mark Companies, 111 SW Columbia, #1380, Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in support of the 5th/6th Avenue alignment.
- 16. Louise Beaudreau, 1511 SW Park Avenue, #1201, Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in opposition to the 5th/6th Avenue alignment.
- 17.Len Bergstein, Northwest Strategies, 621 SW Morrison, Portland, appeared before the Council on behalf of a coalition of property owners and managers who operate in the South Auditorium district, to speak in support of the South Auditorium alignment along Harrison, in support of the S-1 decision, to urge a study of station location that is other than one that bridges over Harvard (sp?), and to request Metro staff consider traffic and circulation assumptions involving the rebuild of Harrison Street, Front, and First Avenues. He expressed the appreciation of the coalition to Metro and citizen committee members for listening to the coalition's concerns. He distributed materials to the Council which are included as part of the meeting record.
- 18. David Zagel, AORTA-Portland, 3104 NE Schuyler, Portland, appeared before the Council to thank Mr. Williams and the S/N CAC for listening to AORTA's input. He spoke in support of the 4th Avenue subway option.

- 19. Fred Nussbaum, AORTA-Statewide, 6510 SW Barnes Rd, Portland, appeared before the Council to express concern about the decision-making process. He spoke in opposition to the 5th/6th Avenue alignment.
- 20.Ernie Munch, Red Lion Hotels and Inns, 111 SW Oak St., Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in favor of the 5th/6th Avenue alignment.
- 21.Bill Naito, Norcrest China, Portland, appeared before the Council to speak in support of the 10th/11th Avenue option.

Councilor Monroe was called away from the meeting during public testimony. Presiding Officer McFarland closed the public hearing.

Councilor Kvistad said he had tried unsuccessfully to move a secondary alignment in the Transportation Committee. He said it was difficult for him to decide whether or not to go forward, and that he was not yet comfortable yet with moving ahead with any of the options at this time.

Councilor McLain said there were four reasons to cause her to move forward: 1) mall efficiency, 2) the need to make sure the existing mall works for tomorrow, 3) other alignment options do not have enough support or technical weight, and 4) the possibility of using a trolley to deal with 10th and 11th Avenue issues.

Councilor Washington thanked the public for their participation. He said he has looked at the issue closely. He said he hoped the future trolley project would benefit the 10th and 11th Avenue folks. He pointed out that 5th and 6th Avenues are geographically located in the middle of the Front Avenue and 10th and 11th Avenues. He plans to support the 5th/6th Avenue alignment, however, he said three years is too long for construction.

Councilor McFarland thanked the public for their participation. She said following significant research into the matter, she plans to go forward with 5th/6th Avenue alignment.

Councilor Kvistad said that given the stated intention of other councilors, he would not bring forth an amendment to the resolution.

<u>Vote</u>: Councilors Morissette, Washington, McLain, Kvistad, and McFarland voted aye. Councilors McCaig and Monroe were absent. The vote was 5/0 in favor and the motion passed.

6. CONTRACT REVIEW BOARD

Presiding Officer McFarland recessed the Council Regular Session and convened the Contract Review Board.

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 6

6.1 <u>Resolution No. 95-2253, For the Purpose of Amending the Contract Between Metro</u> and JHK and Associates (Contract No. 903828) For Consultant Services Associated With the Completion of the Portland Metropolitan Area Congestion Management System Study

Motion: Councilor Washington moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad for adoption of Resolution No. 95-2253.

Councilor Washington reported on Resolution No. 95-2253, which would amend the contract between Metro and JHK and Associates for consultant services associated with the completion of the Portland Metropolitan Area Congestion Management System Study. A copy of the committee report which contains an explanation of the resolution was distributed to the Council and is included as part of the meeting record.

<u>Vote</u>: Councilors Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette, and McFarland voted aye. Councilors McCaig and Monroe were absent. The vote was 5/0 in favor and the motion passed.

6.2 <u>Resolution No. 95-2247, For the Purpose of Authorizing Change Order No. 18 to the</u> <u>Contract for Operating Metro South Station</u>

<u>Motion</u>: Councilor McLain moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad for adoption of Resolution No. 95-2247.

Councilor McLain reported on Resolution No. 95-2247, which would authorize Change Order Number 18 to the contract for operating Metro South Station. She said the change order would modify the existing load checking procedures to improve the ability to identify the handling of unacceptable waste. A copy of the committee and staff reports which contain background information on this resolution are included as part of the meeting record.

<u>Vote</u>: Councilors McLain, Kvistad, Washington, and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Morissette voted no. Councilors McCaig and Monroe were absent. The vote was 4/1 in favor and the motion passed.

Presiding Officer McFarland adjourned the Contract Review Board and reconvened the Council Regular Session.

5. **RESOLUTIONS (Continued)**

5.2 <u>Resolution No. 95-2251, For the Purpose of Recommending Creation of the</u> South/North Light Rail Public-Private Task Force

Motion: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for adoption of 95-2251A.

Councilor Kvistad reported on Resolution No. 95-2251 which would recommend the creation of the South/North Light Rail Public Private Task Force to discuss funding issues. A copy of the committee and staff reports to the resolution containing background information are included as part of the meeting record.

In response to a question by Councilor Washington regarding his request that a lay citizen be added to the task force, John Houser, Council Analyst, said the amended resolution includes the lay citizen requirement.

<u>Vote</u>: Councilors Kvistad, Morissette, Washington, McLain, and McFarland voted aye. Councilors McCaig and Monroe were absent. The vote was 5/0 in favor and the motion passed.

5.3 <u>Resolution No. 95-2245, For the Purpose of Authorizing Release of a Request for</u> <u>Proposals for a Multi-Year Study of Commercial Waste Generators and Authorizing the</u> <u>Executive Officer to Enter into a Contract</u>

Motion: Councilor McLain moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad for adoption of Resolution No. 95-2245.

Councilor McLain reported on Resolution No. 95-2245, which wou ithorize the release of a request for proposals (RFP) for a multi-year study of commerc aste generators. She introduced Doug Anderson, Technical Services Senior Management Analyst, who was available to answer questions. A copy of committee and staff reports which contain background to the resolution are included as part of the meeting record.

In response to a question by Councilor Morissette, Mr. Anderson reported that previous studies had cost \$81,000 and \$65,000. He said the study of commercial sector is more complex. In response to additional questions by Councilor Morissette, Councilor McLain said the goal of the program is to reach a 50% recycling goal by the year 2000. The resolution will set in process the gathering of information that will help facilitate the recycling goal.

<u>Vote</u>: Councilors Morissette, Washington, McLain, Kvistad, and McFarland voted aye. Councilors McCaig and Monroe were absent. The vote was 5/0 in favor and the motion passed.

5.4 <u>Resolution No. 95-2258, For the Purpose of Declaring Certain Property Surplus and</u> <u>Authorizing the Execution of a Lease</u>

Motion: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for adoption of Resolution No. 95-2258.

Councilor Kvistad reported on Resolution No. 95-2258, which will permit the lease of space at the Metro Central transfer station to Jack Gray Trucking.

<u>Vote</u>: Councilors Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette, and McFarland voted aye. Councilors McCaig and Monroe were absent. The vote was 5/0 in favor and the motion passed.

(Editor's Note: The remainder of the minutes were transcribed by temporary Metro Council employee David Aeschilman. Council Assistant Lindsey Ray modified the format of the

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 8

voting record in order to provide clarification and comply with Council minutes standards. Ms. Ray did not make material changes, nor edit or modify the text.)

5.5 <u>Resolution No. 95-2244, For the Purpose of Amending Urban Reserve Study Areas</u>

The 2040 Urban Reserve Study Area portion of the meeting was opened at 4:45 PM by Presiding Officer Ruth McFarland. Metro Council Growth Management Committee Chair Susan McLain described the process to date and discussed what the future would bring.

Sandy Quadrangle: Site No. K9, proposed by Councilor Kvistad. The Executive Officer has recommended that this not be brought into the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #1: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad for inclusion of site K9 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #1:</u> Councilors Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilors Morissette and Kvistad voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 3/2 in favor and the motion passed.

The Council believed a vote in favor of Councilor Morissette's motion to include site K9 in the URSA would exclude it, and a vote against Councilor Morissette's motion to include site K9 in the URSA would include it. Therefore, they believed their vote removed Parcel K9 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Councilor Morissette requested a reconsideration of the vote on Parcel K9 based on his own research on this particular portion of real estate.

Motion to Reconsider #1: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the reconsideration of Parcel K9.

<u>Vote on Motion to Reconsider #1:</u> Councilors Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette and McFarland voted aye. Councilors McCaig and Monroe were absent. The vote was 5/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Motion #1 Revisited: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad for the inclusion of Parcel K9 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Presiding Officer McFarland made a point of clarification that a "yes" vote puts it in the Urban Reserve Study Areas and a "no" vote removes it.

Second Vote on #1: Councilors Kvistad, Morissette and Washington voted aye. Councilors McLain and McFarland voted nay. Councilors McCaig and Monroe were absent. The vote was 3/2 in favor and the motion passed.

Damascus Quadrangle: Site No. 53, proposed by CDA. The Executive Officer has recommended that this not be brought into the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #2: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor Morissette for the inclusion of Parcel 53 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #2:</u> Councilors Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Damascus Quadrangle: Site No. 54, proposed by Martin/Dalenberg. Councilor Kvistad moved that K10 as a whole and any areas within, including this site, be included in one vote.

Motion #3: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor Monroe for the deletion of Parcel K10 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas including Parcel 54.

<u>Vote #3:</u> Councilors Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Damascus Quadrangle: Site No. 57, proposed by Emmert. The Executive Officer has recommended that this not be brought into the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #4: Councilor Monroe moved for the inclusion of Parcel 57 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas. There was no second and the motion failed.

Councilor McLain stated that she would like to see the Council act upon this matter so that a firm deliberation would ensue.

Motion #4A: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for the exclusion of Parcel 57 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #4A:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain, Morissette, and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Kvistad voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 5/1 in favor and the motion passed.

Councilor Morissette stated that his vote was due to the fact that this area ranked poorly in his own ranking of the various sites.

Damascus Quadrangle: Site No. 115, proposed by Calderwood. The Executive Officer has recommended that this not be brought into the Urban Reserve Study Areas. The vote is to delete Parcel 115 since it is already in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #5: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the deletion of Parcel 115 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Councilor Kvistad stated that since this area already is included in an Urban Reserve Study Areas, it not be deleted at this time.

<u>Vote #5:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington and McFarland voted aye. Councilors Morissette, McLain and Kvistad voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 3/3 and the motion failed.

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 10

Parcel 115 will remain in the Urban Reserve Study Areas minus the area that overlapped with Parcel K10.

Damascus Quadrangle: Site No. 116, proposed by Calderwood. The Executive Officer has recommended that this not be kept in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #6: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor Monroe for the inclusion of Parcel 116 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #6:</u> Councilors McLain, Kvistad, Morissette, Monroe, Washington and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Damascus Quadrangle: Site No. 81, proposed by Patton. The Executive Officer has recommended that this be placed in the Urban Reserve Study Areas. The Council, at its last meeting, voted that this remain in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

In summarizing the proceeding voting, Mr. Fregonese stated that his department would split off the difference between Parcel 115 and K10 and add those parcels in. Those two would then be given new numbers.

Gladstone Quadrangle: Parcel No. 68, proposed by Nordquist. The Executive Officer has recommended that this be brought into the Urban Reserve Study Areas but with the caveat that he was skeptical, because of the slope, that the entire parcel could be developed. It is a 26% slope, a fairly steep area.

<u>Motion #7:</u> Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor Morissette for the inclusion of Parcel 68 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #7:</u> Councilors Kvistad, Morissette, Monroe, Washington and McLain voted aye. Councilor McFarland voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 5/1 in favor and the motion passed.

Gladstone Quadrangle: Parcel No. K7, proposed by Councilor Kvistad. The Executive Officer did not recommend on any of Councilor Kvistad's proposals.

Motion #8: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor Morissette for the inclusion of Parcel K7 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #8:</u> Councilors Morissette, Kvistad and Washington voted aye. Councilors Monroe, McLain and McFarland voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 3/3 and the motion failed.

Gladstone Quadrangle: Parcel No. 77, proposed by Gramor Development Incorporated. The Executive Officer has not made a recommendation on this parcel due to the fact that it was submitted to him too late for proper consideration.

Motion #9: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the inclusion of Parcel 77 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #9:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Oregon City Quadrangle: Parcel K8, proposed by Councilor Kvistad. Mayor Dan Fowler of Oregon City supported the recommendation that this be included in the Urban Reserve Study Areas. This area originally included a staff error, being shown as farther north in Oregon City secondary to a mapping error. This was proposed by Mr. Harem for deletion. It is 1030 acres in size.

Motion #10: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad for the inclusion of Parcel K8 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #10</u>: Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Oregon City Quadrangle: Parcel 112, proposed by Haram.

Motion #11: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad for the inclusion of Parcel 112 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #11:</u> Councilors Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Canby Quadrangle: Parcel 83, proposed for inclusion in the Urban Reserve Study Areas by the City of Oregon City. The Executive Officer recommended that this parcel be included in the Urban Reserve Study Areas. Mr. Fregonese pointed out that in the RUGGOs adopted by ordinance at the December 14, 1995 meeting contain rural reserves in this area.

Motion #12: Councilor McLain moved, seconded by Councilor Monroe for the exclusion of Parcel 83 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #12:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilors Morissette and Kvistad voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 4/2 in favor and the motion passed.

Canby Quadrangle: Parcel 79, proposed for addition to the Urban Reserve Study Areas by Rumgay. It is basically in the Stafford area but happens to be in the Canby Quadrangle. The Executive Officer recommended that this parcel be included in the Urban Reserve Study Areas. Presiding Officer McFarland stated that this would be included as one discussion piece with the rest of the Stafford Triangle area.

Canby Quadrangle: Parcel 62, proposed for addition to the Urban Reserve Study Areas by Rumgay. 172 acres and very close to the present Urban Growth Boundary. Relatively poor proximity to urban centers but better than average access to arterials. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 12

<u>Motion #13:</u> Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the exclusion of Parcel 62 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #13:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington, Kvistad, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Morissette abstained. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 5/0/A in favor and the motion passed.

Lake Oswego Quadrangle - Stafford Triangle: Parcel K6 was moved by Councilor Kvistad. This includes all the parcels which are on the current Quadrangle map. Councilor McLain stated that in K6's current configuration as an amendment that has been whittled down, she would support this today. This includes Parcels 78 (White), 73 (Peterson), and 50 (Brock / Hoff). The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #14: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the inclusion of Parcel K6 of the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #14:</u> Councilors Morissette, Washington, McLain, Kvistad and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Monroe voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 5/1 in favor and the motion passed.

Lake Oswego Quadrangle: Parcels 13. City of West Linn has asked for this as a potential site for a new school. It is in an existing Urban Reserve Study Area in the Stafford area. There are three parcels.

<u>Motion #15:</u> Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the retention of Parcels 13, 14, and 15 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #15:</u> Councilors Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 39, proposed by the City of Wilsonville who wishes Metro to retain the existing Urban Reserve Study Area that has already been approved and that Metro not, at this time, recommend any deletions. The City of Wilsonville will come forward with deletions as the Growth Management Committee moves forward toward the April - May process. Parcel 39 is not currently in the Urban Reserve Study Area. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Motion #16:</u> Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor Morissette for the inclusion of Parcel 39 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #16</u>: Councilors Morissette, Washington and Kvistad voted aye. Councilors Monroe, McLain and McFarland voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 3/3 and the motion failed.

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 13

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 40, proposed by the City of Wilsonville. It is on the east side of Wilsonville and spans the Sherwood and Canby Quadrangles. Medium access to arterials and poor proximity to centers. It is 17% average slope. It is 22% wetlands. Class VI soil. 100% exception lands. It has a positive jobs/housing balance. The Executive Officer recommended that this parcel be included in the Urban Reserve Study Areas. This was voted into the Urban Reserve Study Areas at the last Metro Council meeting.

Motion #17: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the inclusion of Parcel 40 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #17:</u> Councilors Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Monroe and McFarland voted aye. Councilors Morissette abstained. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 5/0/A in favor and the motion passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 58, proposed by Dyches. It is southwest of Wilsonville Road between Wilsonville and the Willamette River. 47 acres. It has good access to arterials. Good proximity to urban centers. It is 7% slope with 6.5% flood plain. Class II and Class I soils. 100% EFU. It has a positive jobs / housing balance because it is in the Wilsonville area. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Motion #18:</u> Councilor Monroe moved for the exclusion of Parcel 58 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas. There was no second and the motion failed.

Councilor Kvistad requested the Council to vote to retain at least the existing study area. Councilor McLain suggested that the Council should add the southern portion since the area north was already in the Urban Reserve Study Areas. If Parcel 58 is voted as a single unit, land will taken out that has been part of the original Urban Reserve Study acreage.

Motion #18 Revisited: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the exclusion of Parcel 58 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #18</u>: Councilors Monroe, Washington and McFarland voted aye. Councilors Morissette, McLain and Kvistad voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 3/3 and the motion failed.

Councilor McLain stated that this means that the uppermost piece of the area under consideration, that portion which was already in the Urban Reserve Study Areas is still in the URSA study acreage and the additional that was added is not included. Nothing was changed with regard to Parcel 58 with this action.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 55, propose by Haertl. It is on the south side of the City of Sherwood. Moderate access to arterials. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Motion #19</u>: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor Monroe for the exclusion of that portion of Parcel 55 which is not included in K3 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #19</u>: Councilors Kvistad, Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel K4. West of Wilsonville and very close the Urban Growth Boundary and has excellent access to arterials. It has poor proximity to urban centers. It has 3% slope with 60% flood plain. Class II soils with 61% EFU. Positive jobs / housing balance. Councilor Kvistad stated that he would be willing to withdraw this area from the Urban Reserve Study Areas and ask the Council to approve Parcel 69. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #20: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the exclusion of Parcel K4 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #20:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel K3, south of Sherwood. 632 acres and close to the Urban Growth Boundary. Good access to arterials and poor proximity to centers. 7% average slope. 8.5% flood plain. 5.5% wetlands. Class II soil with 31% EFU. It is a housing-rich area with at -.5. The Executive Officer did not make a recommendation on this parcel.

Motion #21: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor Morissette for the inclusion of Parcel K3 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #21:</u> Councilors Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Monroe voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 5/1 in favor and the motion passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 41, proposed by Hill. 24 acres just west of Sherwood. Good access to arterials, a little lower than average proximity to centers, 5% slope, no flood plain or wetlands. Class III with some class II soil. 100% EFU. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #22: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the exclusion of Parcel 41 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #22:</u> Councilors Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel K5. East side of Wilsonville in northeast quadrant. 212 acres in the Sherwood and Canby Quadrangles. It is close to the Urban Growth Boundary, it has good access to arterials, poor proximity to centers. 7% slope, 5.6% wetlands, Class II soil, 99.5% EFU. There is a positive jobs / housing balance.

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 15

Councilor Kvistad announced that this parcel would encompass both the 84 and 76 proposals and asked that it be voted forward with an aye vote to include them.

Motion #23: Councilor Kvistad moved to include Parcel K5 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas. There was no second and the motion failed.

Mr. Fregonese announced that this parcel is presently out of the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #23A: Councilor McLain moved, seconded by Councilor Monroe for the exclusion of Parcels K5, 84 and 76 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote on #23A:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Kvistad voted nay. Councilor Morissette abstained from voting. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 4/1/A and the motion passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 101, proposed by the City of Wilsonville for deletion from the Urban Reserve Study Areas. 119 acres on the west side of Wilsonville. It is 2600 feet from the Urban Growth Boundary on average. Good access to arterials and poor access to centers. It has an average 6% slope, 5% wet lands, Class II soil, 16% EFU. Councilor Kvistad stated that although Wilsonville had originally requested that this be excluded from the URSA, this morning, the City had telephone him and requested that it be left in the URSA for this round so that they could complete some work and make recommendations going into the actual urban reserve decision in April, 1996. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #24: Councilor Kvistad moved that Parcel 101 be included in the URSA. There was no second and the motion failed.

Motion #24A: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the exclusion of Parcel 101 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #24A:</u> Councilors Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Kvistad abstained. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 5/0/A in favor and the motion passed.

Councilor Morissette stated that his comments regarding the above Parcel's rating were inappropriate and requested another vote.

<u>Motion to Vacate Vote #24A:</u> Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for reconsideration of Parcel 101.

<u>Vote on Motion to Vacate Vote #24A:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Councilor Morissette stated that this parcel rated approximately as high as any other parcel in his research process. He stated that it is good on EFU, high jobs / housing balance, terrain is good, arterials are good, proximity to urban areas is a bit low. Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 16

Motion #24 Revisited: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for the inclusion of Parcel 101 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #24:</u> Councilors Kvistad and Morissette voted aye. Councilors Washington, McLain, Monroe, McFarland voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 2/4 opposed and the motion failed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 102, proposed by the City of Wilsonville. Northwest of Wilsonville. It is 60% flood plain and 10% wetland. It is 100% EFU, Class III and I soils. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #25: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor McLain to table the inclusion of Parcel 102 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #25:</u> Councilors Morissette and Kvistad voted aye. Councilors McLain, Monroe, Washington and McFarland voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 2/4 opposed and the motion failed.

Motion #25A: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad for the exclusion of Parcel 102 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #25A:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilors Kvistad and Morissette voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 4/2 in favor and the motion passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 103. Proposed by the City of Wilsonville. Delete 47 acres from the Urban Reserve Study Areas. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #26: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad for the inclusion of Parcel 103 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #26:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington, Kvistad and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McLain voted nay. Councilor Morissette abstained. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 4/1/A in favor and the passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 82. Proposed by Dane. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #27: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for the deletion of Parcel 82 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #27:</u> Councilors Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Kvistad abstained from voting. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 5/0/A in favor and the motion to passed.

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 17

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 63. Proposed by Brush. Northeast section of Sherwood. It is ten acres of land. It is very close to the Urban Growth Boundary and has excellent access to arterials. Good proximity to urban centers. It is 8% slope; 10% flood plain. Soil Class IV with some II. It is 100% EFU. It is in a rural reserve between Wilsonville and Tualatin. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #28: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the exclusion of Parcel 63 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #28:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilors Kvistad and Morissette voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 4/2 in favor and the motion passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 59, proposed by Builder's Group Realty. 62 acres; 1300 feet from the Urban Growth Boundary and has excellent access to arterials; poor access to centers; 7% average slope; Class II soil and 100% EFU. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #29: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the exclusion of Parcel 59 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #29</u>: Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 114, proposed by Reynolds. It is a request up for deletion from an area that is currently an Urban Reserve Study Area. 191 acres; west of Sherwood; 743 feet from the Urban Growth Boundary on average; excellent access to arterials; good proximity to centers; 5% average slope; 27% EFU; Class II and I soils. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #30: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad for the inclusion of Parcel 114 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #30:</u> Councilors Morissette and Kvistad voted aye. Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 2/4 opposed and the motion failed.

Councilor Monroe discussed the fact that the action of defeating a motion to keep it in is the same as a motion to remove it. He pointed out the fact that the Council just defeated a motion to keep this parcel within the Urban Reserve Study Areas. Councilor McLain pointed that the Council left it out but Councilor Monroe again stressed the fact that Parcel 114 is now IN the Urban Reserve Study Areas. There was no motion to take it out of the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Motion #30A:</u> Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for the exclusion of Parcel 114 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

page 18

<u>Vote #30A</u>: Councilors Washington, Monroe, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilors Morissette and Kvistad voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 4/2 in favor and the motion passed.

Parcel 104: Proposed by the City of Wilsonville. Request for deletion 188 acres from the City of Wilsonville. Close to Urban Growth Boundary; good access to arterials; poor access to centers; 2.5% flood plain; 2% wetlands; Class II and I soils; 93% EFU. This is the property just south of Dammasch Hospital and is owned by the State of Oregon. Metro is working with the State of Oregon to acquire large sections of this property for the Greenspaces Program.

Motion #31: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the inclusion of Parcel 104 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #31</u>; Councilors Morissette, Washington, McLain, Kvistad and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Monroe voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 5/1 in favor and the motion passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 74. Proposed by Matrix Development. South of Tualatin; eleven acres; poor access to arterials; poor access to centers; 7% slope; 9% flood plain; 9% wetland; Class IV and II soil. It is 100% exception land; positive jobs / housing balance in this parcel. The Executive Officer recommended that this parcel be included in the Urban Reserve Study Areas. At the last meeting, Metro Council voted to place this parcel of land in the Urban Growth Boundary.

Motion #32: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad for the inclusion of Parcel 74 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #32:</u> Councilors McLain, Kvistad, Morissette, Monroe, Washington and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Sherwood Quadrangle: Parcel 69. Proposed by Hartford/Bobosky. Eleven acres just north of Wilsonville on Graham's Ferry Road; 1500 feet from the Urban Growth Boundary; 11 acres; excellent access to arterials; very poor proximity to centers; 2% slope; 72% flood plain; 90% wetlands; Class III and II soil; 100% EFU. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel within the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #33: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for the exclusion of Parcel 69 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #33:</u> Councilors Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Beaverton Quadrangle: Parcel K2; 472 acres, proposed by Councilor Kvistad. 1500 feet from the UGB on average; good access to arterials; good proximity to centers; average of 9% slope; 3% wetland; 50% EFU with Class II soils; housing right, 1.08 in terms of

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 19

housing. The Executive Officer recommended that Parcels 42 and 46 (included in the K2 group) be included in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #34: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the inclusion of Parcel K2 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #34:</u> Councilors Kvistad and McFarland voted aye. Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain and Morissette voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 2/4 opposed and the motion failed.

Beaverton Quadrangle: Parcel 46, proposed by Balodis. Nine acres; good access to arterials; good proximity to centers; 12% slope; 100% exception land; better jobs / housing balance; relatively housing rich. The Executive Officer recommended that this parcel be included in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #34A: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the inclusion of Parcel 46 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Councilor McLain asked if the Council was interested in amending Parcel 46 to include all the exception lands from Parcel K2 as well as 42 in the K2 area. Councilor Monroe stated that this proposal looks logical to him.

<u>Motion to Amend Motion #34A:</u> Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor McLain to amend the motion before the Council to include Parcel 42 as well as the exception lands from Parcel K2 in the standing motion.

Councilor Kvistad offered a point of clarification that this was the original intent of the K2 proposal and it was taken down to Beef Bend road to be clear about an exact boundary for the study.

<u>Vote on Motion to Amend Motion #34A</u>, Monroe, Washington, McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

It was noted a yes vote will take in Parcel 42 as well as the exception lands from proposal K2.

<u>Vote on #34A as Amended:</u> Councilors Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette, Monroe, McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Beaverton Quadrangle: Request for deletion from the Urban Reserve Study Areas by Mr. And Mrs. Meyer. 267 acres; SW of King City; close to the UGB; good access to arterials; good proximity to centers; 5% slope; 16% flood plain; 3.5% wetland; Class II soils; 93% exception land; housing rich area. The Executive Officer recommended that this parcel be included in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #35: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the inclusion of Parcel 107 within the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #35:</u> Councilors Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Beaverton Quadrangle: Parcel 113, proposed by Petitioners for Cooper Mountain. The Executive Officer recommended that this parcel be included in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #36: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor Monroe for the inclusion of Parcel 113 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #36</u>: Councilors Monroe, Washington, Kvistad, McLain, and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Morissette abstained. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 5/0/A in favor and the motion passed.

Scholls Quadrangle: Parcel 45, proposed by Segel. South of Hillsboro; outside the current Urban Reserve Study Area; 90% Exclusive Farm Use. Parcel K1 includes Parcels 45 and 64.

Motion #37: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for inclusion of Parcel K1 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Councilor Monroe stated that he was unable to support inclusion of these parcels secondary to the fact that they are prime farm land. Mr. Fregonese stated that a nursery was abandoned in this in this location and there are 20' spruce and ornamental trees now growing there in neatly ordered rows. Mr. Fregonese stated that this was at one time farm land and the testimony is that the Hanauer property is not suitable for use as farmland although this has not been independently certified by Metro Growth Management staff.

<u>Vote #37</u>: Councilors Washington, McLain and Kvistad voted aye. Councilors Morissette, Monroe and McFarland voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 3/3 and the motion failed.

Schools Quadrangle: Parcel 105, proposed by Mr. Hill. The Executive Officer recommended that this parcel be included in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #38: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for the inclusion of Parcel 105 within the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #38:</u> Councilors Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Kvistad abstained. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 5/0/A in favor and the motion passed.

Forest Grove Quadrangle: Parcel 65, proposed by Wilkinson. North of Cornelius; 232 acres; 3000 feet on average from the UGB; excellent access to arterials; good proximity to centers; 1% slope; 12% flood plain; Class II and I soils; 74% EFU; moderate housing richness. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

page 21

<u>Motion #39:</u> Councilor McLain moved, seconded by Councilor Monroe for the exclusion of Parcel 65 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #39</u>: Councilors Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad and Morissette voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Forest Grove Quadrangle: Parcel 110; proposed by Wilkinson. 47 acre area; request to remove an area from the Urban Reserve Study Areas. 1450 feet from the UGB; good access to arterials; good proximity to centers; very flat land; 4.26% flood plain and wetlands; 76% EFU with Class II soils. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas. It is now in the Urban Reserve Study Areas and the request is to take it out.

Motion #40: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for the removal of Parcel 110 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #40:</u> Councilors Kvistad, Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Forest Grove Quadrangle: Parcel 109, proposed by Van Dyke; 65 acres just north of the City of Cornelius; near the Cornelius - Forest Grove boundary; close to the UGB; 561 acres; good access to arterials; low to medium access to centers; very flat; 35% flood plain with Class III and I soils. It is 98% EFU. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #41: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for the removal of Parcel 109 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #41:</u> Councilors Morissette, Monroe and McFarland voted aye. Councilors Kvistad, McLain and Washington voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 3/3 and the motion failed.

The parcel remains out of the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Forest Grove Quadrangle: Parcel 48, proposed by the City of Cornelius. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas. Much EFU land.

<u>Motion #42:</u> Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the inclusion of Parcel 48 within the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #42:</u> Councilors Morissette, McLain and Kvistad voted aye. Councilors Monroe, Washington and McFarland voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 3/3 and the motion failed.

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 22

Forest Grove Quadrangle: Parcel 49, proposed by the City of Cornelius. Near eastern boundary of Cornelius; 241 acres; 1209 feet from the UGB; poor access to arterials; good proximity to centers; flat with 2% slope; 32% flood plain; 3% wetland; 99% EFU with Class II soils. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #43: Councilor McLain moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for the exclusion of Parcel 49 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #43:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Forest Grove Quadrangle: Parcel 47, proposed by Van Domelen; 79 acres; between Hillsboro and Cornelius; 3000 feet from the UGB; excellent access to arterials; good proximity to centers; flat; 8% flood plain; 2.5% wetland; 100% EFU with Class II soils; in a rural reserve between Cornelius, North Plains and Hillsboro. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #44: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor Monroe for the exclusion of Parcel 47 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #44:</u> Councilors Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette, Monroe and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Forest Grove Quadrangle: Parcel 108; proposed by Mr. Taghorn; delete from the current Urban Reserve Study Areas. North of Cornelius near Susbauer Road; 46 acres; 1700 feet from the UGB; excellent access to arterials; good proximity to centers; 2% slope; 17% flood plain; Class II and I soils and is 100% EFU. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Motion #45:</u> Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad for the exclusion of Parcel 108 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #45:</u> Councilors Kvistad, Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Hillsboro Quadrangle: Parcel 70; proposed by Mr. Lewis. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #46: Councilor Morissette moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the inclusion of Parcel 70 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #46:</u> Councilors Kvistad, Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 23

Hillsboro Quadrangle: Parcel 71 proposed by Oberhelmen. The Executive Officer recommended that this parcel be included in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #47: Councilor McLain moved, seconded by Councilor Monroe for the inclusion of Parcels 71, 43, and 44 in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #47:</u> Councilors Morissette, Washington, McLain and Kvistad voted aye. Councilors Monroe and McFarland voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 4/2 in favor and the motion passed.

Hillsboro Quadrangle: Parcel 67; proposed by Mr. Standring; north of Highway 26; 76 acres; excellent access to arterials; good access to centers approximately 100% prime farm land. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #48: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor McFarland for the exclusion of Parcel 67 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #48</u>: Councilors Monroe, Washington and McFarland voted aye. Councilors McLain, Kvistad and Morissette voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 3/3 and the motion failed.

Hillsboro Quadrangle: Parcel 67; proposed by Mr. Standring.

Motion #49: Councilor Kvistad moved, seconded by Councilor McLain to include the half of Parcel 67 that was not in the URSA.

<u>Vote #49:</u> Councilors Morissette, McLain and Kvistad voted aye. Councilors Washington, Monroe and McFarland voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 3/3 and the motion failed.

Hillsboro Quadrangle: Parcel 51; proposed by Mr. Grossen; 47 acres. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

Motion #50: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor McLain that Parcels 51, 56, 60, 61 and 66 be left out of the URSA.

<u>Vote #50</u>: Councilors Kvistad, Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Linnton Quadrangle: Parcel 111, proposed by Wanzenreid; 794 acres; 1669 feet from UGB; access to arterials good; proximity to centers is good; slope is 7%; 8.3% flood plain; 3% wetlands; Class III and II soils; 56% EFU. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas. It is now in the URSA.

Motion #51: Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor Kvistad to remove Parcel 111 from the URSA.

Thursday, December 21, 1995 page 24

<u>Vote #51:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington and McFarland voted aye. Councilors Kvistad, McLain and Morissette voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 3/3 and the motion failed.

Linnton Quadrangle: Parcels 72, 106 and 80, proposed Zahler, Old Germantown Neighborhood Association and Homes.

<u>Motion #52:</u> Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor McLain for the exclusion of Parcel 72, 106, and 80 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #52:</u> Councilors Morissette, Monroe, Washington, McLain and McFarland voted aye. Councilor Kvistad voted nay. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 5/1 in favor and the motion passed.

Linnton Quadrangle: Parcel 75, proposed by Angel. 44 acres; near Forest Park off Skyline Road; very close to the UGB; has acreage access to arterials; good proximity to centers; 16% slope; 95% EFU with Class VI soils being predominantly and Class III soils otherwise. The Executive Officer recommended against the inclusion of this parcel in the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Motion #53:</u> Councilor Monroe moved, seconded by Councilor Washington for the deletion of Parcel 75 from the Urban Reserve Study Areas.

<u>Vote #53:</u> Councilors Monroe, Washington, McLain, Kvistad, Morissette and McFarland voted aye. Councilor McCaig was absent. The vote was 6/0 in favor and the motion passed.

7. COUNCILOR COMMUNICATIONS

None.

There being no further business before the Council, Presiding Officer McFarland adjourned the meeting at 9:30 PM.

Prepared by,

Lindsey Ray Council Assistant

c:\lr\legcncl\minutes\122195mn