



600 NE Grand Ave.
Portland, OR 97232-2736

Council meeting agenda

Thursday, June 30, 2022

10:30 AM

<https://zoom.us/j/615079992> (Webinar ID:
615079992) or 929-205-6099 (toll free)

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Please note: To limit the spread of COVID-19, Metro Regional Center is now closed to the public. This work session will be held electronically.

You can join the meeting on your computer or other device by using this link:
<https://zoom.us/j/615079992> (Webinar ID: 615079992) or 929-205-6099 (toll free)

If you wish to attend the meeting, but do not have the ability to attend by phone or computer, please contact the Legislative Coordinator at least 24 hours before the noticed meeting time by phone at 503-797-1916 or email at legislativecoordinator@oregonmetro.gov.

2. Public Communication

Public comment may be submitted in writing and will also be heard by electronic communication (videoconference or telephone). Written comments should be submitted electronically by emailing legislativecoordinator@oregonmetro.gov. Written comments received by 4pm the day before the meeting will be provided to the council prior to the meeting.

Those wishing to testify orally are encouraged to sign up in advance by either: (a) contacting the legislative coordinator by phone at 503-797-1916 and providing your name and the agenda item on which you wish to testify; or (b) registering by email by sending your name and the agenda item on which you wish to testify to legislativecoordinator@oregonmetro.gov. Those requesting to comment during the meeting can do so by using the "Raise Hand" feature in Zoom or emailing the legislative coordinator at legislativecoordinator@oregonmetro.gov. Individuals will have three minutes to testify unless otherwise stated at the meeting.

3. Presentations

3.1 Financial Condition of Metro: FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21

[22-5739](#)

Presenter(s): Brian Evans (he/him)

Attachments: [Financial Condition of Metro FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21](#)
[Financial Condition of Metro FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21 PowerPoint](#)

4. Consent Agenda

- 4.1 Resolution No. 22-5276, For the Purpose of Accepting the May 17, 2022 Primary Election Abstract of Votes for Metro [RES 22-5276](#)

Attachments: [Resolution No. 22-5276](#)
[Exhibit A](#)
[Exhibit B](#)

- 4.2 Consideration of the May 3, 2022 Council Meeting Minutes [22-5744](#)

Attachments: [050322c Minutes](#)

5. Ordinances (First Reading and Public Hearing)

- 5.1 Ordinance No. 22-1481, For the Purpose of Annexing to the Metro District Boundary Approximately 23.85 acres located in the vicinity of SW Frog Pond Lane in Wilsonville [ORD 22-1481](#)

Presenter(s): (Tim O'Brien (he/him))

Attachments: [Ordinance No. 22-1481](#)
[Exhibit A](#)
[Staff Report](#)
[Attachment 1](#)

6. Chief Operating Officer Communication

7. Councilor Communication

8. Adjourn

Metro respects civil rights

Metro fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and other statutes that ban discrimination. If any person believes they have been discriminated against regarding the receipt of benefits or services because of race, color, national origin, sex, age or disability, they have the right to file a complaint with Metro. For information on Metro's civil rights program, or to obtain a discrimination complaint form, visit oregonmetro.gov/civilrights or call 503-797-1890. Metro provides services or accommodations upon request to persons with disabilities and people who need an interpreter at public meetings. If you need a sign language interpreter, communication aid or language assistance, call 503-797-1890 or TDD/TTY 503-797-1804 (8 a.m. to 5 p.m. weekdays) 5 business days before the meeting. All Metro meetings are wheelchair accessible. Individuals with service animals are welcome at Metro facilities even where pets are generally prohibited. For up-to-date public transportation information, visit TriMet's website at trimet.org

Thông báo về sự Metro không kỳ thị của

Metro tôn trọng dân quyền. Muốn biết thêm thông tin về chương trình dân quyền của Metro, hoặc muốn lấy đơn khiếu nại về sự kỳ thị, xin xem trong www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Nếu quý vị cần thông dịch viên ra dấu bằng tay, trợ giúp về tiếp xúc hay ngôn ngữ, xin gọi số 503-797-1700 (từ 8 giờ sáng đến 5 giờ chiều vào những ngày thường) trước buổi họp 5 ngày làm việc.

Повідомлення Metro про заборону дискримінації

Metro з повагою ставиться до громадянських прав. Для отримання інформації про програму Metro із захисту громадянських прав або форми скарги про дискримінацію відвідайте сайт www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. або Якщо вам потрібен перекладач на зборах, для задоволення вашого запиту зателефонуйте за номером 503-797-1700 з 8.00 до 17.00 у робочі дні за п'ять робочих днів до зборів.

Metro 的不歧视公告

尊重民權。欲瞭解Metro民權計畫的詳情，或獲取歧視投訴表，請瀏覽網站 www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights。如果您需要口譯方可參加公共會議，請在會議召開前5個營業日撥打503-797-1700（工作日上午8點至下午5點），以便我們滿足您的要求。

Ogeysiiska takooris la'aanta ee Metro

Metro waxay ixtiraamtaa xuquuqda madaniga. Si aad u heshid macluumaad ku saabsan barnaamijka xuquuqda madaniga ee Metro, ama aad u heshid warqadda ka cabashada takoorista, booqo www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Haddii aad u baahin tahay turjubaan si aad uga qaybqaadatiid kullanka dadweynaha, wac 503-797-1700 (8 gallinka hore illaa 5 gallinka dambe maalaha shaqada) shan maalmo shaqo ka hor kullanka si loo tiixgaliyo codsashadaada.

Metro의 차별 금지 관련 통지서

Metro의 시민권 프로그램에 대한 정보 또는 차별 항의서 양식을 얻으려면, 또는 차별에 대한 불만을 신고 할 수 www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. 당신의 언어 지원이 필요한 경우, 회의에 앞서 5 영업일 (오후 5시 주중에 오전 8시) 503-797-1700을 호출합니다.

Metro의差別禁止通知

Metroでは公民権を尊重しています。Metroの公民権プログラムに関する情報について、または差別苦情フォームを手にするには、www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights。までお電話ください。公開会議で言語通訳を必要とされる方は、Metroがご要請に対応できるよう、公開会議の5営業日前までに503-797-1700（平日午前8時～午後5時）までお電話ください。

សេចក្តីជូនដំណឹងអំពីការមិនរើសអើងរបស់ Metro

ការការពារសិទ្ធិពលរដ្ឋរបស់ ១ សំរាប់ព័ត៌មានអំពីកម្មវិធីសិទ្ធិពលរដ្ឋរបស់ Metro ឬដើម្បីទទួលបានការបណ្តឹងរើសអើងសូមចូលទស្សនាគេហទំព័រ www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights។ បើលោកអ្នកត្រូវការប្រកាសប្រែភាសានៅពេលអង្គប្រជុំសាធារណៈ សូមទូរស័ព្ទមកលេខ 503-797-1700 (ម៉ោង 8 ថ្ងៃក្នុងមួយម៉ោង 5 ភ្នាក់ងារ ថ្ងៃច័ន្ទ) ប្រសិនបើអ្នក ចង់ទទួលបាន ឬដើម្បីប្រជុំដើម្បីអាចទទួលបានសេវាបំប្លែងភាសា។

إشعار بعدم التمييز من Metro

تحتزم Metro الحقوق المدنية. للتعرف من المعلومات حول برنامج Metro للحقوق المدنية أو لإيداع شكوى ضد التمييز، يُرجى زيارة الموقع الإلكتروني www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. إن كنت بحاجة إلى مساعدة في اللغة، يجب عليك الاتصال عندما برقم الهاتف 503-797-1700 (من الساعة 8 صباحاً حتى الساعة 5 مساءً، أيام الاثنين إلى الجمعة) قبل خمسة (5) أيام عمل من موعد الاجتماع.

Paunawa ng Metro sa kawalan ng diskriminasyon

Iginagalang ng Metro ang mga karapatang sibil. Para sa impormasyon tungkol sa programa ng Metro sa mga karapatang sibil, o upang makakuha ng porma ng reklamo sa diskriminasyon, bisitahin ang www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Kung kailangan ninyo ng interpreter ng wika sa isang pampublikong pulong, tumawag sa 503-797-1700 (8 a.m. hanggang 5 p.m. Lunes hanggang Biyernes) lima araw ng trabaho bago ang pulong upang mapagbigyan ang inyong kahilingan.

Notificación de no discriminación de Metro

Metro respeta los derechos civiles. Para obtener información sobre el programa de derechos civiles de Metro o para obtener un formulario de reclamo por discriminación, ingrese a www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Si necesita asistencia con el idioma, llame al 503-797-1700 (de 8:00 a. m. a 5:00 p. m. los días de semana) 5 días laborales antes de la asamblea.

Уведомление о недопущении дискриминации от Metro

Metro уважает гражданские права. Узнать о программе Metro по соблюдению гражданских прав и получить форму жалобы о дискриминации можно на веб-сайте www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Если вам нужен переводчик на общественном собрании, оставьте свой запрос, позвонив по номеру 503-797-1700 в рабочие дни с 8:00 до 17:00 и за пять рабочих дней до даты собрания.

Avizul Metro privind nediscriminarea

Metro respectă drepturile civile. Pentru informații cu privire la programul Metro pentru drepturi civile sau pentru a obține un formular de reclamație împotriva discriminării, vizitați www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Dacă aveți nevoie de un interpret de limbă la o ședință publică, sunați la 503-797-1700 (între orele 8 și 5, în timpul zilelor lucrătoare) cu cinci zile lucrătoare înainte de ședință, pentru a putea să vă răspunde în mod favorabil la cerere.

Metro txoj kev ntxub ntxaug daim ntwavv ceeb toom

Metro tributes cai. Rau cov lus qhia txog Metro txoj cai kev pab, los yog kom sau ib daim ntwavv tsis txaus siab, mus saib www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Yog hais tias koj xav tau lus kev pab, hu rau 503-797-1700 (8 teev sawv ntxov txog 5 teev tsaus ntu) weekdays) 5 hnub ua hauj lwm ua ntej ntwawm lub roj sib tham.

Agenda Item No. 3.1

Financial Condition of Metro: FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21

Presentations

Metro Council Meeting
Thursday, June 30th, 2022



Financial Condition of Metro: FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21

June 2022
A Report by the Office of the Auditor

Brian Evans
Metro Auditor



Knighton Award for Auditing

Audit receives recognition

The Office of the Metro Auditor was the recipient of the “Distinguished Award” for Small Shops by Association of Local Government Auditors (ALGA). The winning audit is entitled “Affordable Housing Bond Preparedness: Develop Clear and Consistent Guidance to Improve Bond Operations.” Auditors were presented with the award at the ALGA conference in Dallas, Texas in May 2022. Knighton Award winners are selected each year by a judging panel of peers and awards are presented at the annual conference.

Metro Accountability Hotline

The Metro Accountability Hotline gives employees and citizens an avenue to report misconduct, waste or misuse of resources in any Metro or Metro Exposition Recreation Commission (MERC) facility or department.

The Hotline is administered by the Metro Auditor's Office. All reports are taken seriously and responded to in a timely manner. The auditor contracts with a hotline vendor, EthicsPoint, to provide and maintain the reporting system. Your report will serve the public interest and assist Metro in meeting high standards of public accountability.

To make a report, choose either of the following methods:

Dial 888-299-5460 (toll free in the U.S. and Canada)

File an online report at www.metroaccountability.org



Brian Evans
Metro Auditor

600 NE Grand Ave
Portland, OR 97232-2736
TEL 503 797 1892, FAX 503 797 1831

MEMORANDUM

Date: June 8, 2022

To: Lynn Peterson, Council President
Shirley Craddick, Councilor, District 1
Christine Lewis, Councilor, District 2
Gerritt Rosenthal, Councilor, District 3
Juan Carlos González, Councilor, District 4
Mary Nolan, Councilor, District 5
Duncan Hwang, Councilor, District 6

From: Brian Evans, Metro Auditor

BE

Re: Audit of Metro's Financial Condition FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21

The following report is a review of Metro's financial condition over the last ten years. My office completes this audit every two years and this is the eighth report in the series. It provides a check-up of how well Metro is doing financially, based on indicators that are recommended by the International City/County Management Association.

Most of the information in this report is derived from the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) prepared each year by Finance and Regulatory Services and audited by the external financial auditor. It is intended to give a long-term review for Metro's financial history.

The report shows most indicators remained positive leading to stable financial health as of June 30, 2021. However, seven indicators showed negative trends that should be monitored. Three of the seven were directly impacted by Covid-19. As the region recovers, trends in these indicators may improve more quickly than others. The other four indicators that showed negative trends were not directly related to Covid-19. Trends in these indicators require additional attention to ensure long-term financial health.

We have discussed our findings and recommendations with management of Finance and Regulatory Services, the Deputy Chief Operating Officer, and the Chief Operating Officer. I would like to thank them for their assistance and cooperation in preparing and reviewing the report.

Summary

This report provides an overview of Metro’s financial condition. A government in good financial condition is better positioned to respond to changes in economic conditions that affect the resources or costs associated with providing programs and services.

Financial condition is assessed by reviewing long-term trends in the areas of revenues, expenditures, debt, and assets. It also includes long-term demographic and economic trends of the government’s service area. This report covers the 10-year period from fiscal year (FY) 2011-12 through FY 2020-21.

COVID-19 had an immediate and significant effect on economic conditions in the region beginning in March 2020. Metro’s venues that host events like the Oregon Convention Center, P’5 Centers for the Arts, Portland Expo Center, and Oregon Zoo were particularly hard hit by restrictions on in-person gatherings. The indicators in this report are based on data from June 30, 2021 or earlier which provide an opportunity to understand how the global pandemic has impacted Metro’s financial health.

Metro experienced strong revenue growth (20%) over the last 10 years, but expenditures grew faster (41%). The overall trends reflect variations within Metro. New voter-approved property, business, and personal income taxes significantly boosted revenue for affordable housing, supportive housing services, and parks and natural areas. At the same time, COVID-19 reduced spending and revenue dramatically for Metro’s visitor venues whose operations were restricted to prioritize public health and safety.

Similar variability was also evident in economic and demographic trends in the region which experienced strong overall growth despite dramatic changes in the most recent years. This uneven performance was also evident in many of the indicators of financial health.

At the end of FY 2020-21, seven of the indicators showed negative 10 year trends. For some measures, COVID-19’s impact on Metro’s financial condition may be temporary. For others, more caution is warranted to ensure long-term financial stability.

Directly Related to COVID-19

- Charges for services revenue
- General fund revenue
- General fund balance

Not Directly Related to COVID-19

- Total expenditure
- Risk management spending
- Capital spending
- Total debt

Trends and conclusions

The table below includes all indicators in the report. It shows:

- the change from the previous year: increase (+), decrease (-), no change(↔).
- the change over a 10-year period: increase (↑), decrease(↓), no change(↔).
- the effect of the 10-year trend on Metro’s financial health.

“Positive/negative” is used to describe 10-year trends. Colors are used to help interpret the trends since not all decreases are negative and not all increases are positive.


| | Indicator | Change from previous year | 10-year trend | Effect on overall financial health |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------|---|
| Revenue | Total revenues | - | ↑ | Positive |
| | Revenue per capita | - | ↑ | Mixed |
| | Charges for services | - | ↓ | Negative |
| | Property taxes | - | ↑ | Positive |
| | Excise taxes | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Grants | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | General Fund revenue over/under budget | - | ↓ | Negative |
| Expenditure | Total expenditures | - | ↑ | Negative, increased faster than total revenue |
| | Expenditure per capita | - | ↑ | Mixed |
| | Risk Management Fund | + | ↑ | Negative, increased faster than total revenue |
| | Employee costs | - | ↔ | Positive |
| | Fixed costs | - | ↑ | Positive, increased slower than total revenue |
| | Capital expenditure | - | ↓ | Negative |
| Financial Health | Total debt | - | ↑ | Negative |
| | Net assets | - | ↔ | Positive |
| | Capital assets | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | General Fund balances | ↔ | ↓ | Negative |
| Demographic and Economic Trends | Population | + | ↑ | Mixed |
| | Per capita personal income | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Unemployment rate | - | ↓ | Positive |
| | Number of jobs | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Number of businesses | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Value of new construction | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Real market property values | + | ↑ | Positive |

Sources: International City/County Management Association (ICMA) *Evaluating Financial Condition: A Handbook for Local Government* for most criteria. Office of the Auditor analysis of trends.

Revenue

The amount of money Metro receives determines its capacity to deliver services. The sources of Metro’s revenue are diverse; some programs charge for their services, while others are funded by taxes. This section of the report shows trends in each type of revenue.

Total revenues
FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
(adjusted for inflation)




Despite a decline last year, total revenue has increased by 20% since FY2011-12. There are four primary sources of revenue at Metro: taxes; charges for services; grants and contributions; and general revenues.

In the last 10 years, revenue from taxes, general revenue, and grants and contributions increased. Tax revenue increased by 91%. General revenue and grants and contributions also increased. Increased tax revenue resulted from voter-approved ballot measures for parks and nature (operating levy and new bonds), affordable housing, and supportive housing services.


In contrast, revenue from charges for services declined by 19% compared to FY2011-12 levels. Less activity at Metro’s visitor venues (Oregon Convention Center, Oregon Zoo, P’5 Centers for the Arts, Portland Expo Center) and parks starting in March 2020 caused the decline in charges for service revenue.

Revenue sources
FY 2020-21




In FY 2020-21, the largest source of revenue was taxes. This was driven by increased property tax revenue from voter-approved ballot measures and decreased charges for services resulting from COVID-19.

Revenue per capita
FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
(adjusted for inflation)



Revenue per capita measures changes in revenue relative to changes in the population of the region. As the population increases, it might be expected that revenues and the need for services would increase at a similar pace, all else being equal. In Metro’s case, services have changed with voters approving new programs and services related to housing. Since FY 2011-12, revenue per capita increased by 9%. This was caused by total revenue growing faster (20%) than the population (11%).


Charges for services
FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
(adjusted for inflation)



Service charges are collected for some Metro operations. Solid waste facilities, regional parks, and the Oregon Zoo all charge for providing services. In addition, each of the three venues that makes up the Metropolitan Exposition and Recreation Commission (MERC) charge for services. These include the Oregon Convention Center, Portland Expo Center, and Portland’s Centers for the Arts.

In the last 10 years the amount of revenue collected from service charges declined by 20%. This was mostly driven by the closure of the MERC venues and reduced operations at the Oregon Zoo beginning in March 2020. Conversely, charges for services related to solid waste were up by 19% compared to FY 2011-12 levels.

Property taxes
 FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
 (adjusted for inflation)



Some property taxes fund Metro’s general government services (General Fund). These revenues can be used for a variety of government operations. Other property tax revenue is used to repay general obligation bonds. General obligation bonds are used for specific programs projects that were approved by voters for investments in the Oregon Zoo, parks and natural areas, and affordable housing.

The amount of revenue collected to repay general obligation bonds doubled over the last 10 years. This was due to two voter-approved ballot measures to increase property taxes for natural areas (2018) and affordable housing (2019). Property taxes increased beginning in FY 2013-14 as a result of the voter approved Parks and Natural Areas Local Operating Levy. Revenue from that tax increased by 57% over the last eight years. Property taxes for general government services increased by 19% over the last 10 years.


Excise taxes
 FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
 (adjusted for inflation)



Another source of revenue is excise tax. Historically it was collected when people used Metro’s facilities and services. Starting in FY 2018-19, it only applies to Metro’s solid waste facilities and other solid waste facilities not operated by Metro. It is also assessed when new construction permits are issued. The construction excise tax is intended to fund the planning required to make land ready for development. This tax was scheduled to end in 2020, but was extended permanently by Metro Council in 2018.

Total revenue from excise taxes increased by 11% over the last 10 years. Revenue from construction excise taxes increased by 53% in the last 10 years. General excise taxes decreased from FY 2016-17 to FY 2019-20. Beginning in FY 2018-19, Metro exempted users of the Oregon Convention Center and Portland Expo Center from paying excise tax. However, general excise taxes still increased by 5% compared to FY 2011-12 levels.

Grants
FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
(adjusted for inflation)



Most revenue from grants was for work conducted by Planning and Development Department and Research Center. Grants are provided for a specific purpose and cannot be used outside that purpose. Grant revenue was 28% higher in FY 2020-21 compared to 10 years ago. The spike in FY 2014-15 was caused by a \$10 million grant from the State of Oregon for the Convention Center Hotel that was completed in 2019.

**General Fund revenue
over/under budget
FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21**




This indicator shows how the amount of General Fund revenue received compared to the amount of revenue estimated when the annual budget was created. From FY 2016-17 to FY 2019-20, General Fund revenue exceeded budget estimates. That was a positive trend. Unfortunately, the impact of the global pandemic is evident in the most recent year, when General Fund revenue was 12% below what was expected and about three times as large as the previous low point in FY 2011-12. When less revenue is collected and there are insufficient reserves, it can lead to mid-year cuts in services.

Expenditure

Expenditures show the cost of providing government services. There are several ways to analyze expenditures. This section shows total spending and trends in various categories of spending, such as personnel costs, debt payments, and department expenditures.

Total expenditures
FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
(adjusted for inflation)




Total expenditures include all departments and services operated by Metro and the three venues that make up the Metropolitan Exposition and Recreation Commission (MERC), as well as non-departmental costs and debt service. Included are costs for employee salaries and benefits, and materials and services. Total expenditures increased by 41% in the last 10 years which was larger than the increase in revenue during that time (20%).

Higher expenditures beginning in FY 2017-18 had different causes. The large increase in FY 2017-18 was the result of construction expenditures for Metro's part of the Convention Center Hotel project. Expenditures decreased the following year, but remained significantly higher than the trend during the previous six years. This was due to renovations to the Oregon Convention Center's outdoor plaza and interior spaces to align with the opening of the Hotel. In the last two years, higher expenditures was the voter-approved ballot measure for affordable housing.


Metro's Charter includes a provision that limits expenditures from non-voter approved sources. The Consumer Price Index is used to gradually increase the expenditure limit each year. The annual budget includes analysis of proposed expenditures in relation to the Charter limitation. For FY 2020-21, the analysis stated that Metro's budget would use 79% of the limit.

Expenditure per capita
 FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
 (adjusted for inflation)




Expenditures per capita show the average amount of money spent to provide services to each person who lives in the region. Expenditures per capita increased by 27% over the last 10 years as expenditures grew faster (41%) than the population (11%). Increased spending per capita was caused by higher expenditures in existing operations, as well as new programs and services that were approved by voters beginning in FY 2018-19.

Risk Management Fund
 FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
 (adjusted for inflation)



The Risk Management Fund accounts for expenses related to insurance premiums, claims, and studies related to insurance needs. The large increase in FY 2015-16 was the result of increased claims paid. There was also an increase in the amount of funds reserved for claims incurred, but not yet paid. Risk Management expenditures declined the following two years, but have increased recently, resulting in a 49% increase compared to 10 years ago. Increases during the last two years resulted from higher claims paid and insurance costs. Some personnel costs were also moved into the Risk Management Fund in FY 2020-21 that had previously been included in the General Fund.


Employee costs
 FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
 (adjusted for inflation)



Over the last 10 years, expenditures for employee salaries and benefits were unchanged. This was caused by benefit costs for health care and retirement contributions increasing by 18% during that time. Salaries and wages declined by 7% due to budget cuts associated with COVID-19. Benefits accounted for about 33% of all employee costs in FY 2020-21, which was higher than the average (30%) over the last 10 years.


Metro typically has less control over the costs of benefits compared to salaries and wages. This is because benefit costs are impacted by changes in the health insurance market and funding requirements to provide a fixed investment return to some public sector retirees. Nevertheless, managing employee costs will continue to be an important part of maintaining Metro’s financial stability.

Fixed costs
 FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
 (adjusted for inflation)



Fixed costs include the principal and interest on long-term debt. They are considered fixed because Metro cannot adjust these payments when there is a change in resources available. Metro’s long-term debt was primarily from the sale of general obligation bonds, which are paid by property tax increases approved by voters. Metro uses these tax revenues to pay debt. Fixed costs in FY 2020-21 were 5% higher compared to ten years ago, which was lower than revenue growth. The increase in principal payments in FY 2014-15 was the result of issuing new bonds to repay those issued in 2007 for the Natural Areas program. Higher fixed costs in FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 were from principle payments to pay off bonds issued in 2014 and 2016, and new payments for bonds issued in 2019 and 2020. It was also caused by increased interest payments associated with the Affordable Housing and Parks and Natural Areas bonds.

Capital expenditure
 FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
 (adjusted for inflation)



Capital spending is used to acquire or add to any physical asset. Spending on new capital projects often results in increased spending over a couple of years that shows up as a spike in the trend line. In contrast, capital spending to maintain existing assets should be more consistent from year-to-year to ensure adequate stewardship of public assets. Deferring maintenance can result in safety hazards and liability risks, as well as shorter useful lives, decreased efficiency, and increased costs to bring assets up to standard.

Over the last 10 years capital expenditures declined by 19%. This was due to fewer land purchases in the Natural Areas program and completion of improvements at the Oregon Zoo. Spending on renewal and replacement projects increased by 47% in the last 10 years, but from a relatively low starting point.

Spending by department

Department growth rates can vary based on the type of programs and services of each department as well as their sources of revenue. Trends in some departments/venues that depend on service charges, excise taxes, or bonds are closely tied to the economy. For example, the three MERC venues, Oregon Zoo, and Waste Prevention and Environmental Services had differing trends that partially reflected their ability to generate revenue. This is especially pronounced during COVID-19 when many of Metro’s visitor venues operations were restricted, but solid waste operations were not.


Other departments provide support services to other parts of the organization and do not generate their own revenue. For example, the Council Office, Communications, Human Resources, Information Services, Finance and Regulatory Services, Metro Attorney, and Metro Auditor are all funded through internal service charges and the general fund. Historically, a couple of departments (Planning and Development and Research Center) relied on grant funds, which can vary by year depending on what projects are in process. This changed in the most recent years because of voter-approved ballot measures that significantly increased spending in Planning and Development.

This table provides a summary of FY 2020-21 expenditures and 10 year trends for each of Metro’s 16 departments or venues. The list is ranked by total expenditure in FY 2020-21. The accompanying charts for each department/venue are in alphabetical order.

| Department/Venue | FY 2020-21 expenditure | 10-year change |
|---|------------------------|----------------|
| Waste Prevention and Environmental Services | \$92,329,357 | 52% |
| Planning and Development | \$71,911,673 | 511% |
| Parks and Nature | \$25,261,027 | 9% |
| Oregon Zoo | \$25,162,178 | -32% |
| Portland’s Centers for the Arts | \$10,222,770 | -10% |
| Oregon Convention Center | \$9,501,784 | -68% |
| Metro Council and Chief Operating Officer | \$5,636,977 | 42% |
| Information Services | \$5,501,607 | 30% |
| Finance and Regulatory Services | \$5,085,744 | -2% |
| Research Center | \$3,964,813 | -23% |
| Human Resources | \$3,368,680 | 40% |
| Office of Metro Attorney | \$2,754,246 | 18% |
| Portland Expo Center | \$2,370,245 | -58% |
| Communications | \$1,806,259 | -32% |
| MERC Administration | \$845,379 | -58% |
| Metro Auditor | \$732,013 | -10% |


Communications
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

Communications provides media relations, public involvement, writing, marketing, graphic and web design services to Metro’s other departments. In the last 10 years, expenditures declined by 32%. This was mostly due to decreased spending on personnel (salaries and benefits). In FY 2020-21, 97% of the expenditures were for personnel.




Finance and Regulatory Services
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

This department provides business services, such as accounting, procurement and budgeting for Metro’s other departments. Expenditures decreased by 2% between FY 2011-12 and FY 2020-21 mostly due to lower personnel costs. Personnel services made up 82% of the department’s expenditures in FY 2020-21.




Human Resources
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

The Human Resources Department is responsible for employee recruitment, compensation and benefits, and organizational development for all Metro departments. Expenditures increased by 40% in the last 10 years. This was mostly caused by increases in personnel services costs. In FY 2020-21, 88% of expenditures were for personnel.




Information Services
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

Metro’s Information Services Department develops and maintains hardware and software systems to support the entire agency. Expenditures for this department increased by 30% in the last 10 years. This was caused by increases in both personnel services and materials and services. In FY 2020-21, 70% of its expenditures were for personnel.




MERC Administration
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

This department provides additional business services, such as accounting, information services, project management and administration for the venues that make up MERC. In the last 10 years expenditures decreased by 589%. In FY 2020-21, personnel made up 61% of expenditures.



Metro Auditor
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)


The Office of the Metro Auditor conducts performance audits of Metro’s services and programs, oversees the financial audit by an outside accounting firm and administers the agency’s Accountability Hotline. Expenditures decreased by 10% in the last 10 years. This was mostly caused by lower personnel costs. Personnel accounted for 97% of expenditures in FY 2020-21.



Metro Council and Chief Operating Officer
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)


Council is the governing body of Metro. It consists of six elected officials who represent districts in the Metro region and one region-wide elected official, the Council President. The Office also includes the Chief Operating Officer’s Office; the Government Affairs and Policy Development program; and Diversity, Equity and Inclusion program.

In the last 10 years expenditures increased by 42%. This was caused by increases in personnel services. In FY 2020-21, 93% of expenditures were for personnel.




Office of Metro Attorney
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

The Office of Metro Attorney provides legal research, evaluation, analysis and advice to Metro departments. In the last 10 years, expenditures increased by 18%. This was caused by increases in personnel services. In the most recent year, personnel accounted for 98% of expenditures.




Oregon Convention Center
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

The Oregon Convention Center hosts conventions, trade, and consumer shows. Expenditures decreased by 68% in the last 10 years. The decrease was caused by operating restrictions related to COVID-19. The large spike in FY 2018-19 was mostly from a \$30 million renovation of the outdoor plaza and interior finishes, which was paid from operating revenues. In FY 2020-21, spending was evenly divided between personnel, and materials and services.




Oregon Zoo
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

The Oregon Zoo houses animals and exhibits, and provides wildlife education and conservation programs. Over the last 10 years, expenditures decreased by 32%. This was caused by lower spending when operations were restricted by COVID-19. Personnel costs accounted for 63% of the total in the most recent year.




Parks and Nature
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

Parks and Nature manages Metro’s parks, natural areas and cemeteries. It also plans and develops a regional system of parks and trails. Over the last 10 years, expenditures increased by 9%. This was due to increased personnel costs. In FY 2020-21, 56% of operating expenditures were for personnel.




Planning and Development
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

The Planning and Development Department develops policies and programs that guide land use and transportation planning. Over the last 10 years, expenditures increased by 511%. This was caused by two voter-approved ballot measures related to housing. In FY 2020-21, 89% of the department’s budget was spent on materials and services. Most that funding was to build affordable housing or provide housing services.




Portland Expo Center
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

The Portland Expo Center is a multi-purpose exhibition facility including meeting rooms, exhibit halls, outdoor space, and a restaurant. Since FY 2011-12, expenditures decreased by 58%. This was caused by COVID-19 restricting operations in the most recent two years. In FY 2020-21, materials and services (goods and services supplied by external providers) accounted for 70% of expenditures.




Portland's Centers for the Arts
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

The performing arts center includes five spaces for events such as concerts, dance performances, and plays. Expenditures decreased by 10% over the last 10 years. Decreases were caused by operating restrictions during COVID-19. In the most recent year, materials and services accounted for 75% of expenditures.




Research Center
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

This department provides data, mapping, forecasting and technical services to Metro's other departments. Expenditures decreased by 23% in the last 10 years. This was due to decreases in both personnel, and materials and services expenditures. The department was merged with Planning and Development on July 1, 2021, so that change was not reflected in this data.



Waste Prevention and Environmental Services
(FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21)

This department is responsible for ensuring that all solid waste generated in the region is managed in a manner that protects public health and safeguards the environment. In FY 2020-21, some parts were split off to form a new department (Capital Asset Management). The new department manages capital projects and the Metro Regional Center building. The new department will be reported separately from WPES in the next version of the financial condition report.




Over the last 10 years, expenditures increased by 52%. This was caused by increases for contracted professional services, as well as personnel costs.

Financial health


The indicators in this section reflect Metro’s overall financial position. Local governments in a sound financial condition can afford to provide services with less risk of not being able to fund them. Sound financial condition also implies the ability to withstand local, regional or national economic changes. Some of these economic and demographic trends are summarized in the next section of the report.

Total debt
(as of June 30,
adjusted for inflation)



Total debt increased by 154% in the last 10 years. The majority (89%) of Metro’s long-term debt in FY2020-21 was from general obligation bonds. Repayment of general obligation bonds occurs through separate property tax levies. General obligation bonds issued in response to voter-approved ballot measures are not subject to the annual property tax revenue limitations in Metro’s Charter. The increase in 2019 was from new bonds issued to pay for the Affordable Housing ballot measure. Additional bonds were issued in 2020 for the Parks and Natural Areas ballot measure. General obligation bond debt decreased in 2021, but new revenue bonds were issued that year to begin implementation of the Supportive Housing Services ballot measure.

Net assets
(as of June 30,
adjusted for inflation)




Net assets measure the difference between what Metro owns and what it owes. Some of Metro’s assets are monetary and some of them are physical things, such as buildings and land. Both types of assets are included in the indicator.

Metro’s business activities include solid waste operations, Oregon Convention Center, Portland Center for the Performing Arts, and Portland Expo Center. In FY 2015-16 the Oregon Zoo was moved from government activities to business activities. All other Metro programs, such as regional planning and parks are included in governmental activities.

Metro’s total net assets increased by 1% between 2012 and 2021. Net assets for business activities increased by 25% since 2012. Most of the increase was caused by moving Oregon Zoo assets into the business activities category. Net assets for government activities decreased by 20% since 2012 for the same reason.


Capital assets
(as of June 30,
adjusted for inflation)



Capital assets include both depreciable and non-depreciable assets. Examples of depreciable assets are buildings, zoo exhibits, equipment, software, and office furniture. Examples of non-depreciable assets are land, easements and artwork.

Overall, capital assets increased by 8% in the last 10 years. Trends for depreciable and non-depreciable assets were identical. As assets depreciate, governments should invest in new assets, or improvements to existing assets, to increase their value.

General Fund balance
(as of June 30)




The size of the unassigned fund balance can affect a local government’s ability to withstand financial emergencies like those arising from COVID-19. This measure is the ratio of unassigned fund balances to operating revenues in the General Fund. The General Fund pays for Metro’s primary government programs and support services. It does not include the Oregon Convention Center, Oregon Zoo, Portland’s Centers for the Arts, Portland Expo Center, and solid waste operations.

In 2021, the ratio of unassigned fund balances to operating revenues was 34%. Although lower than some previous years, it remained above the 10 year average despite the financial effects of COVID-19, which was a positive outcome. The large decrease in FY 2018-19 resulted from assigning about \$15 million of the fund balance to be appropriated in the budget. That ensured Metro’s budget included reserves for specific purposes, but left the unassigned amount significantly smaller than in previous years. Metro’s financial policies require it to replenish general fund balances the following budget year before committing to new spending.

Demographic and economic trends


Metro's ability to raise revenue and deliver services is directly related to the economic and demographic trends of the region. The following indicators measure those trends to provide context for changes seen in the other indicators contained in this report.



Changes in population can affect government finances in two ways. The amount of revenue received is partially related to the number of taxpayers in the region. Similarly, the demand for services can change based on the number of people in the region. The population of the tri-county region grew by 11% since 2012.

In the last 10 years, Washington and Clackamas counties both grew at similar rates (11.5%). Growth in Multnomah county was slightly slower (10%). Multnomah accounted for the largest share of the tri-county population (44%) followed by Washington (33%) and Clackamas (23%).


Per capita personal income
 CY 2011 to CY 2020
 (Metropolitan Statistical Area,
 adjusted for inflation)



Per capita income is a measure of the average income per person. Credit rating firms use this measure to estimate a government’s ability to repay debt. A decline in per capita income can cause a drop in consumer spending, which could affect the local economy.


Per capita income has increased by 21% since 2011. Other than a small decrease in 2013, per capita income increased each year since 2011. However this data does not include potential decreases resulting from COVID-19.

Unemployment rate and number of jobs
 CY 2012 to CY 2021
 (Metropolitan Statistical Area,
 Oregon portion)



The unemployment rate and number of jobs measure business activity. After eight years of steady increases in the number of jobs and decreasing unemployment, COVID-19 reversed both trends in FY 2019-20. Both trends showed some improvement in FY 2020-21.


Number of businesses
CY 2012 to CY 2021
(Tri-county)



The number of businesses affects Metro’s revenues that rely on business activity like meetings and events at visitor venues and construction debris processed at solid waste facilities. A decline in business activity can reduce employment, income and property value.

The number of businesses increased since 2012 and the total number of businesses in 2021 was at its highest level over the last 10 years which is surprising given the economic uncertainty caused by COVID-19. Over the last 10 years, business growth was similar in all three counties at about 35%.


Value of new construction
CY 2012 to CY 2021
(Metropolitan Statistical Area, adjusted for inflation)



New construction is important to Metro in several ways. Metro is responsible for planning for urban growth and transportation in the region. The rate of new construction can affect these plans. In addition, some of Metro’s services are funded through taxes that are affected by construction activity.

The value of new construction increased steadily from 2012 to 2017. Since then the pattern has been up and down between years. Despite the uneven trend, values were about twice as large in 2021 compared to 10 years ago.

Real market property values
FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21
(Metro taxing district, adjusted for inflation)



Growing market values are positive trends for governments because of the impact on revenue from property taxes. In FY 2020-21, 45% of Metro’s revenue came from property taxes. Real market property values increased by 28% in the last 10 years, which was a key driver of Metro’s financial health. Values in 2021, reached the highest levels in the last 10 years.

Scope and methodology

The purpose of this audit was to evaluate the financial condition of Metro. We used a methodology based upon the Financial Trend Monitoring System recommended by the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). We obtained information from Metro's accounting systems and budget documents. We combined it with economic and demographic data, and created a series of financial indicators. When plotted over time, the indicators can be used to monitor changes in financial condition and provide information to assist decision-makers.

Our scope included both general government operations and business-type operations, such as those of the Metropolitan Exposition and Recreation Commission, Oregon Zoo, and solid waste system. We obtained data from the Annual Comprehensive Financial Reports (ACFR) and more detailed information about revenue sources, personnel costs, and other expenditures from Metro's financial accounting and budgeting systems, PeopleSoft, and Team Budget. Economic and demographic data was acquired from the US Bureau of Economic Analysis, US Census Bureau, Oregon Employment Department, and Portland State Population Research Center. All figures were adjusted for inflation where appropriate.

Most of the data collected for demographic and economic measures was reported either for the three counties in the region or by Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). The MSA is larger than the Metro region and includes two counties in the State of Washington, Yamhill and Columbia counties in Oregon, as well as the regional counties Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington. Where available, only data from the Oregon portion of the MSA was used. Very little of the population in Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington Counties (10%) is outside Metro's jurisdictional boundary.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. These standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The majority of the financial information in this report is from the ACFR. We relied on the work of Metro's external financial auditors to ensure data reliability. We reviewed other information for reasonableness and consistency. We did not audit the accuracy of source documents or reliability of data in accounting systems.

Management response



600 NE Grand Ave.
Portland, OR 97232-2736
oregonmetro.gov

Date: June 6, 2022
To: Brian Evans, Metro Auditor
From: Marissa Madrigal, Chief Operating Officer
Brian Kennedy, Chief Financial Officer
Subject: Financial Condition of Metro – Management Response

First, thank you and your office for producing this 10-year view of Metro’s financial condition. We always find it useful to review the trends in our financial performance and consider ways to improve that performance over time. As your report notes, the COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on Metro’s financial condition. While this report only covers through fiscal year 2021, we believe the data will show in future years that Metro has not only weathered that fiscal storm, but in many areas will have emerged stronger and more financially resilient. We would also like to comment on the trends you noted that warrant caution.

Revenues Your report points out a concern over both revenue trends at the agency level and General Fund revenues as compared to the budget. In both cases, the pandemic was the primary factor leading to the revenue declines. We continue to closely monitor revenues across the agency, but expect that those trends will rebound as venue activity improves.

Expenditures Over the last ten years expenditures have increased as a faster rate than revenues. While this is clearly a trend to continue to monitor, we believe it is more reflective of the timing of expenditures in the last 10 years. As the report notes, there was a large increase in expenditures in FY 2017-18 for construction at the Oregon Convention Center. That project was entirely self-financed through accumulated fund balance. Metro’s budget also includes significant expenditures for projects funded by bond proceeds.

The report also notes an increase in risk management expenditures over the last ten years. We agree that this is a trend to closely monitor. It is also important to recognize that the last ten years also included major expansions to Metro’s work, including the Affordable Housing Bond, the Parks and Nature Local Option Levy and significant growth in Waste Prevention and Environmental Services. All of those changes also influenced Metro’s risk profile and will be continue to be monitored.

This section closes with a negative trend related to Metro’s capital expenditure. We do not disagree with this finding, as it is clear that in many areas across Metro additional investment in capital assets is needed.

Total Debt

As your report notes, there was a significant increase in Metro's total debt in 2019 and 2020 as a result of issuance of voter-approved bonds for affordable housing and parks and nature investments. Management disagrees that this represents a negative trend. Our assessment is based on several factors:

- Oregon's constitutional protections for voter-approved general obligation debt mean that dedicated revenue streams for bond repayment are very secure and those debt service requirements do not threaten Metro's operating revenues.
- The affordable housing and parks and nature bonds both received the highest possible ratings from both Moody's Investors Service (Aaa) and S&P Global Ratings (AAA) and demonstrate that the investor community regards this debt as among the safest in the United States.
- Bonds are an important mechanism for ensuring intergenerational equity in major capital investments.

While Metro's debt obligations have clearly increased, we view that as a positive trend reflecting the confidence of voters in Metro's ability to leverage the large regional property tax base to make investments to address the most important issues facing the region.

General Fund Balances

The report indicates that unassigned fund balances have been trending down over the last ten years. We continue to monitor these balances and have set a new target for General Fund balances of 16.5%, consistent with recommendations from the Government Finance Officers Association. We believe this report will show improved, positive trends in the future.

Again, we would like to thank you for producing this report and providing us and the public with a ten-year review of our financial condition. As your analysis shows, our financial condition is strong and that it is critical for us to continue to diligently monitor that condition to ensure that Metro can deliver essential services to the residents of the region.



Office of the Metro Auditor
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97232
503-797-1892
www.oregonmetro.gov

Financial Condition of Metro

FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21



Office of the Metro Auditor

Scope & Methodology


- **Based on International City/County Management Association**
- **Data primarily from Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)**
- **Analysis of 10-year trends**
 - Revenue
 - Expenditure
 - Financial Health
 - Demographic and Economic

Summary of Trends


| | Indicator | Change from previous year | 10-year trend | Effect on overall financial health |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------|---|
| Revenue | Total revenues | - | ↑ | Positive |
| | Revenue per capita | - | ↑ | Mixed |
| | Charges for services | - | ↓ | Negative |
| | Property taxes | - | ↑ | Positive |
| | Excise taxes | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Grants | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | General Fund revenue over/under budget | - | ↓ | Negative |
| Expenditure | Total expenditures | - | ↑ | Negative, increased faster than total revenue |
| | Expenditure per capita | - | ↑ | Mixed |
| | Risk Management Fund | + | ↑ | Negative, increased faster than total revenue |
| | Employee costs | - | ↔ | Positive |
| | Fixed costs | - | ↑ | Positive, increased slower than total revenue |
| | Capital expenditure | - | ↓ | Negative |
| Financial Health | Total debt | - | ↑ | Negative |
| | Net assets | - | ↔ | Positive |
| | Capital assets | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | General Fund balances | ↔ | ↓ | Negative |
| Demographic and Economic Trends | Population | + | ↑ | Mixed |
| | Per capita personal income | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Unemployment rate | - | ↓ | Positive |
| | Number of jobs | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Number of businesses | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Value of new construction | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Real market property values | + | ↑ | Positive |

Total Revenue

Adjusted for inflation




Revenue Sources – FY 2020-21




Revenues

Adjusted for inflation

Charges for Services




Grants




Tax Revenues

Adjusted for inflation

Property Taxes




Excise Taxes




Revenue Shortfalls – General Fund

Adjusted for inflation




Total Expenditure

Adjusted for inflation




Employee Costs

Adjusted for inflation




Risk Management Fund

Adjusted for inflation




Fixed Costs

Adjusted for inflation



Capital Spending

Adjusted for inflation




Departmental Expenditure

| Department/Venue | FY 2020-21 expenditure | 10-year change |
|---|------------------------|----------------|
| Waste Prevention and Environmental Services | \$92,329,357 | 52% |
| Planning and Development | \$71,911,673 | 511% |
| Parks and Nature | \$25,261,027 | 9% |
| Oregon Zoo | \$25,162,178 | -32% |
| Portland's 5 Centers for the Arts | \$10,222,770 | -10% |
| Oregon Convention Center | \$9,501,784 | -68% |
| Metro Council and Chief Operating Officer | \$5,636,977 | 42% |
| Information Services | \$5,501,607 | 30% |
| Finance and Regulatory Services | \$5,085,744 | -2% |
| Research Center | \$3,964,813 | -23% |
| Human Resources | \$3,368,680 | 40% |
| Office of Metro Attorney | \$2,754,246 | 18% |
| Portland Expo Center | \$2,370,245 | -58% |
| Communications | \$1,806,259 | -32% |
| MERC Administration | \$845,379 | -58% |
| Metro Auditor | \$732,013 | -10% |


Total Debt

Adjusted for inflation




Capital Assets

Adjusted for inflation



General Fund Balance

Adjusted for inflation



Demographic/Economic Trends

- **Per capita income increased by 21%**
- **The number of jobs and the unemployment improved compared to a year ago and are both in a better position than they were 10 years ago**
- **The value of new construction in 2021 was about twice as large as it was in 2012**
- **Real property values increased by 28% compared to 10 years ago**

Questions?

Agenda Item No. 4.1

**Resolution No. 22-5276, For the Purpose of Accepting the May 17, 2022 Primary
Election Abstract of Votes for Metro**

Consent Agenda

Metro Council Meeting
Thursday, June 30th, 2022

BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

FOR THE PURPOSE OF ACCEPTING THE MAY) RESOLUTION NO. 22-5276
17, 2022 PRIMARY ELECTION ABSTRACT OF)
VOTES FOR METRO) Metro Attorney Carrie MacLaren in
) concurrence with Council President
) Lynn Peterson

WHEREAS, the State of Oregon held a primary election on May 17, 2022; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to ORS chapter 268, the following candidates for Metro appeared on the primary election ballot in accordance with ORS Chapter 249:

- 1. Metro Council President
- 2. Metro Councilor, Sub District 1
- 3. Metro Councilor, Sub District 2
- 4. Metro Councilor, Sub District 4
- 5. Metro Councilor, Sub District 6
- 6. Metro Auditor; and

WHEREAS, ORS 255.295 requires that the Multnomah County Director of Elections canvass the official abstract of votes cast for members of the Metro Council and for the Metro Auditor, deliver the official abstract of votes to Metro for acceptance and determination of qualification, and issue certificates of election to the winners; and

WHEREAS, the Metro Charter, Chapter V, section 19 sets forth the qualification criteria for Metro Councilors and the Metro Auditor and provides that the Metro Council “is the judge of the election and qualification of its members”; and

WHEREAS, the Metro Charter, Chapter VI, section 29(1) further provides that “if one candidate for a Metro office receives a majority of the votes cast at the primary election for all candidates for that office, that candidate is elected. If no candidate receives a majority of the votes cast at the primary election, the candidates receiving the two largest numbers of votes cast for the office are the only names to appear on the general election ballot that year as candidates for that office”; and

WHEREAS, the abstract of votes and regional results of the primary election for the Metro area were received by the Metro Council on June 14, 2022, and are attached to this Resolution as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the Metro Council finds that each of the five candidates who received a majority of votes cast at the primary election is qualified to serve as a Metro Councilor pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Metro Charter; and

WHEREAS, the Metro Council finds that the candidate who received a majority of votes cast at the primary election for Metro Auditor is qualified to serve as the Metro Auditor pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Metro Charter; now therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED:

1. That the Metro Council hereby accepts the results of the abstract of votes for the May 17, 2022 primary election, attached as Exhibit A to this Resolution; and
2. That the voters of the Metro Area have elected Lynn Peterson for the position of Metro President, for a four-year term commencing on the 1st Monday of January 2023 and ending on the 1st Monday of January 2027; and
3. That the voters of the Metro Area, Sub District 1, have elected Ashton Simpson for the position of Metro Councilor, for a four-year term commencing on the 1st Monday of January 2023 and ending on the 1st Monday of January 2027; and
4. That the voters of the Metro Area, Sub District 2, have elected Christine Lewis for the position of Metro Councilor, for a four-year term commencing on the 1st Monday of January 2023 and ending on the 1st Monday of January 2027; and
5. That the voters of the Metro Area, Sub District 4, have elected Juan Carlos González for the position of Metro Councilor, for a four-year term commencing on the 1st Monday of January 2023 and ending on the 1st Monday of January 2027; and
6. That the voters of the Metro Area, Sub District 6, have elected Duncan Hwang for the position of Metro Councilor, for the remaining two years of the original four-year term commencing on the 1st Monday of January 2023 and ending on the 1st Monday of January 2025; and
7. That the voters of the Metro Area have elected Brian Evans for the position of Metro Auditor, for a four-year term commencing on the 1st Monday of January 2023 and ending on the 1st Monday of January 2027; and
8. That the Metro Council authorizes Metro Council President Peterson to sign the County Elections Division statement attached to this Resolution as Exhibit B instructing the County elections officer to issue certificates of election to the above persons.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this ___ day of June, 2022.

Lynn Peterson, Council President

Approved as to Form:

Carrie MacLaren, Metro Attorney

EXHIBIT A TO RESOLUTION 22-5276

Canvass Results Report

Official

Run Time 3:57 PM

Run Date 06/13/2022

Clackamas County

May 17, 2022 Primary Election

5/17/2022

Page 173

Official Results

Registered Voters
115314 of 306188 = 37.66%

Precincts Reporting
86 of 86 = 100.00%

Metro Council President - Nonpartisan Party - Vote for one

| Precinct | Gregory A Horner | Lynn Peterson | Alisa Pyszka | Gary Lyndon Dye | Write in (W) | Write-in (W) | Cast Votes | Undervotes | Overvotes | Miscellaneous Write-ins | Vote by Mail Ballots Cast | Total Ballots Cast | Registered Voters | Turnout Percentage |
|----------|------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 100 | 75 | 309 | 236 | 75 | 0 | 1 | 696 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 860 | 860 | 2,677 | 32.13% |
| 101 | 137 | 559 | 401 | 145 | 3 | 2 | 1,247 | 295 | 0 | 0 | 1,542 | 1,542 | 5,852 | 26.35% |
| 102 | 36 | 120 | 111 | 38 | 3 | 0 | 308 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 395 | 395 | 1,422 | 27.78% |
| 103 | 134 | 577 | 412 | 141 | 1 | 0 | 1,265 | 314 | 0 | 0 | 1,579 | 1,579 | 4,390 | 35.97% |
| 104 | 18 | 99 | 80 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 211 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 264 | 264 | 611 | 43.21% |
| 105 | 53 | 278 | 258 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 657 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 802 | 802 | 2,627 | 30.53% |
| 131 | 248 | 1,166 | 756 | 176 | 9 | 1 | 2,356 | 709 | 0 | 0 | 3,065 | 3,065 | 6,890 | 44.48% |
| 132 | 158 | 1,014 | 639 | 159 | 9 | 1 | 1,980 | 582 | 1 | 0 | 2,563 | 2,563 | 5,002 | 51.24% |
| 133 | 60 | 411 | 220 | 63 | 3 | 0 | 757 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 957 | 957 | 2,121 | 45.12% |
| 135 | 157 | 1,205 | 659 | 167 | 4 | 1 | 2,193 | 682 | 0 | 0 | 2,875 | 2,875 | 5,747 | 50.03% |
| 151 | 72 | 615 | 373 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 1,126 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 1,350 | 1,350 | 2,806 | 48.11% |
| 153 | 139 | 1,274 | 862 | 135 | 10 | 2 | 2,422 | 551 | 1 | 0 | 2,974 | 2,974 | 6,300 | 47.21% |
| 155 | 69 | 635 | 477 | 69 | 4 | 2 | 1,256 | 269 | 1 | 0 | 1,526 | 1,526 | 3,774 | 40.43% |
| 156 | 100 | 1,063 | 677 | 131 | 2 | 4 | 1,977 | 476 | 0 | 0 | 2,453 | 2,453 | 4,953 | 49.53% |
| 158 | 105 | 965 | 536 | 81 | 1 | 1 | 1,689 | 386 | 2 | 0 | 2,077 | 2,077 | 4,777 | 43.48% |
| 159 | 68 | 572 | 360 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 1,057 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 1,325 | 1,325 | 3,028 | 43.76% |
| 160 | 37 | 351 | 199 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 627 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 776 | 776 | 1,922 | 40.37% |
| 201 | 114 | 681 | 488 | 115 | 4 | 0 | 1,402 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 4,756 | 35.98% |
| 202 | 127 | 689 | 493 | 153 | 1 | 2 | 1,465 | 379 | 0 | 0 | 1,844 | 1,844 | 5,898 | 31.26% |
| 203 | 111 | 518 | 403 | 115 | 4 | 1 | 1,152 | 357 | 0 | 0 | 1,509 | 1,509 | 2,422 | 62.30% |

Canvass Results Report

Official

Run Time 3:57 PM

Run Date 06/13/2022

Clackamas County

May 17, 2022 Primary Election

5/17/2022

Page 174

Official Results

Registered Voters
115314 of 306188 = 37.66%

Precincts Reporting
86 of 86 = 100.00%

Metro Council President - Nonpartisan Party - Vote for one

| Precinct | Gregory A Horner | Lynn Peterson | Alisa Pyszka | Gary Lyndon Dye | Write in (W) | W in (W) | Cast Votes | Undervotes | Overvotes | Miscellaneous Write-ins | Vote by Mail Ballots Cast | Total Ballots Cast | Registered Voters | Turnout Percentage |
|----------|------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 204 | 88 | 417 | 254 | 78 | 4 | 0 | 841 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 1,061 | 1,061 | 3,137 | 33.82% |
| 251 | 66 | 319 | 328 | 68 | 1 | 1 | 783 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 959 | 959 | 2,206 | 43.47% |
| 280 | 37 | 284 | 143 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 506 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 607 | 607 | 1,505 | 40.33% |
| 281 | 40 | 260 | 148 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 492 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 595 | 595 | 1,466 | 40.59% |
| 282 | 24 | 102 | 51 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 192 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 230 | 571 | 40.28% |
| 283 | 9 | 87 | 73 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 217 | 454 | 47.80% |
| 284 | 4 | 73 | 46 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 161 | 330 | 48.79% |
| 321 | 120 | 516 | 401 | 140 | 3 | 1 | 1,181 | 266 | 0 | 0 | 1,447 | 1,447 | 3,259 | 44.40% |
| 322 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 33.33% |
| 323 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 36 | 72.22% |
| 400 | 252 | 594 | 414 | 335 | 1 | 3 | 1,599 | 444 | 0 | 0 | 2,043 | 2,043 | 4,983 | 41.00% |
| 404 | 31 | 65 | 51 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 174 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 214 | 585 | 36.58% |
| 405 | 150 | 271 | 238 | 136 | 7 | 1 | 803 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 1,040 | 1,040 | 2,715 | 38.31% |
| 410 | 113 | 507 | 310 | 128 | 1 | 2 | 1,061 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 1,252 | 1,252 | 5,004 | 25.02% |
| 411 | 102 | 501 | 304 | 103 | 3 | 2 | 1,015 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 1,301 | 1,301 | 3,761 | 34.59% |
| 412 | 110 | 372 | 219 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 802 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 1,009 | 1,009 | 3,186 | 31.67% |
| 416 | 139 | 625 | 362 | 142 | 7 | 1 | 1,276 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 1,547 | 1,547 | 5,229 | 29.59% |
| 417 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 34 | 17.65% |
| 418 | 68 | 325 | 216 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 680 | 164 | 1 | 0 | 845 | 845 | 2,788 | 30.31% |
| 420 | 106 | 501 | 247 | 98 | 1 | 0 | 953 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 1,176 | 1,176 | 3,470 | 33.89% |

Canvass Results Report

Official

Run Time 3:57 PM

Run Date 06/13/2022

Clackamas County

May 17, 2022 Primary Election

5/17/2022

Page 175

Official Results

Registered Voters
115314 of 306188 = 37.66%

Precincts Reporting
86 of 86 = 100.00%

Metro Council President - Nonpartisan Party - Vote for one

| Precinct | Gregory A Horner | Lynn Peterson | Alisa Pyszka | Gary Lyndon Dye | Write in (W) | Write-in (W) | Cast Votes | Undervotes | Overvotes | Miscellaneous Write-ins | Vote by Mail Ballots Cast | Total Ballots Cast | Registered Voters | Turnout Percentage |
|----------|------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 421 | 113 | 368 | 235 | 75 | 3 | 0 | 794 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 990 | 990 | 4,039 | 24.51% |
| 422 | 50 | 207 | 139 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 441 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 522 | 522 | 1,935 | 26.98% |
| 423 | 74 | 282 | 154 | 62 | 1 | 1 | 574 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 695 | 695 | 2,985 | 23.28% |
| 500 | 68 | 270 | 126 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 521 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 616 | 616 | 1,728 | 35.65% |
| 501 | 101 | 1,108 | 419 | 110 | 2 | 0 | 1,740 | 351 | 2 | 0 | 2,093 | 2,093 | 4,717 | 44.37% |
| 502 | 172 | 963 | 486 | 167 | 3 | 0 | 1,791 | 362 | 1 | 0 | 2,154 | 2,154 | 5,442 | 39.58% |
| 503 | 175 | 822 | 447 | 152 | 4 | 2 | 1,602 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 1,977 | 1,977 | 4,390 | 45.03% |
| 504 | 202 | 958 | 548 | 196 | 8 | 4 | 1,916 | 428 | 0 | 0 | 2,344 | 2,344 | 6,325 | 37.06% |
| 506 | 147 | 569 | 350 | 135 | 7 | 0 | 1,208 | 303 | 2 | 0 | 1,513 | 1,513 | 4,487 | 33.72% |
| 510 | 34 | 106 | 77 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 314 | 314 | 838 | 37.47% |
| 512 | 73 | 205 | 123 | 71 | 5 | 1 | 478 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 580 | 580 | 1,593 | 36.41% |
| 600 | 163 | 821 | 440 | 185 | 2 | 2 | 1,613 | 414 | 2 | 0 | 2,029 | 2,029 | 6,507 | 31.18% |
| 602 | 174 | 688 | 441 | 166 | 2 | 1 | 1,472 | 413 | 1 | 0 | 1,886 | 1,886 | 4,814 | 39.18% |
| 604 | 13 | 55 | 36 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 110 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 156 | 308 | 50.65% |
| 606 | 85 | 324 | 257 | 95 | 3 | 0 | 764 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 970 | 970 | 3,194 | 30.37% |
| 608 | 249 | 780 | 554 | 205 | 8 | 0 | 1,796 | 484 | 0 | 0 | 2,280 | 2,280 | 5,787 | 39.40% |
| 610 | 42 | 229 | 154 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 479 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 603 | 603 | 2,036 | 29.62% |
| 614 | 105 | 412 | 256 | 96 | 2 | 2 | 873 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 1,060 | 1,060 | 3,229 | 32.83% |
| 616 | 152 | 588 | 347 | 144 | 3 | 1 | 1,235 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 1,572 | 1,572 | 4,797 | 32.77% |
| 618 | 26 | 100 | 77 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 263 | 724 | 36.33% |

Canvass Results Report

Official

Run Time 3:57 PM
Run Date 06/13/2022

Clackamas County

May 17, 2022 Primary Election

5/17/2022

Page 176

Official Results

Registered Voters
115314 of 306188 = 37.66%

Precincts Reporting
86 of 86 = 100.00%

Metro Council President - Nonpartisan Party - Vote for one

| Precinct | Gregory A Horner | Lynn Peterson | Alisa Pyszka | Gary Lyndon Dye | Write in (W) | Write-in (W) | Cast Votes | Undervotes | Overvotes | Miscellaneous Write-ins | Vote by Mail Ballots Cast | Total Ballots Cast | Registered Voters | Turnout Percentage |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 620 | 12 | 56 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 127 | 355 | 35.77% |
| 622 | 82 | 535 | 233 | 77 | 1 | 4 | 932 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 1,092 | 1,092 | 2,822 | 38.70% |
| 626 | 114 | 1,012 | 412 | 123 | 3 | 1 | 1,665 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 2,016 | 2,016 | 5,336 | 37.78% |
| 630 | 219 | 1,373 | 711 | 221 | 5 | 4 | 2,533 | 531 | 0 | 0 | 3,064 | 3,064 | 7,717 | 39.70% |
| 632 | 99 | 328 | 214 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 741 | 206 | 1 | 0 | 948 | 948 | 3,545 | 26.74% |
| Totals | 6,227 | 32,091 | 19,703 | 6,164 | 162 | 52 | 64,399 | 15,634 | 15 | 0 | 80,048 | 80,048 | 212,347 | 37.70% |



**CERTIFIED COPY OF THE ORIGINAL
SHERRY HALL, COUNTY CLERK**

BY: Sherry Hall

Canvass Results Report

Official

Run Time 3:57 PM

Run Date 06/13/2022

Clackamas County

May 17, 2022 Primary Election

5/17/2022

Page 177

Official Results

Registered Voters
115314 of 306188 = 37.66%

Precincts Reporting
86 of 86 = 100.00%

Metro Auditor - Nonpartisan Party - Vote for one

| Precinct | Brian Evans | Write in (W) | Write-in (W) | Cast Votes | Undervotes | Overvotes | Miscellaneous Write-ins | Vote by Mail Ballots Cast | Total Ballots Cast | Registered Voters | Turnout Percentage |
|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 100 | 445 | 2 | 2 | 449 | 411 | 0 | 0 | 860 | 860 | 2,677 | 32.13% |
| 101 | 829 | 3 | 5 | 837 | 705 | 0 | 0 | 1,542 | 1,542 | 5,852 | 26.35% |
| 102 | 183 | 10 | 3 | 196 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 395 | 395 | 1,422 | 27.78% |
| 103 | 805 | 5 | 1 | 811 | 768 | 0 | 0 | 1,579 | 1,579 | 4,390 | 35.97% |
| 104 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 264 | 264 | 611 | 43.21% |
| 105 | 416 | 4 | 2 | 422 | 380 | 0 | 0 | 802 | 802 | 2,627 | 30.53% |
| 131 | 1,643 | 14 | 1 | 1,658 | 1,407 | 0 | 0 | 3,065 | 3,065 | 6,890 | 44.48% |
| 132 | 1,330 | 14 | 3 | 1,347 | 1,216 | 0 | 0 | 2,563 | 2,563 | 5,002 | 51.24% |
| 133 | 503 | 2 | 2 | 507 | 450 | 0 | 0 | 957 | 957 | 2,121 | 45.12% |
| 135 | 1,478 | 12 | 4 | 1,494 | 1,381 | 0 | 0 | 2,875 | 2,875 | 5,747 | 50.03% |
| 151 | 741 | 0 | 1 | 742 | 608 | 0 | 0 | 1,350 | 1,350 | 2,806 | 48.11% |
| 153 | 1,567 | 9 | 2 | 1,578 | 1,396 | 0 | 0 | 2,974 | 2,974 | 6,300 | 47.21% |
| 155 | 871 | 8 | 1 | 880 | 646 | 0 | 0 | 1,526 | 1,526 | 3,774 | 40.43% |
| 156 | 1,385 | 6 | 4 | 1,395 | 1,058 | 0 | 0 | 2,453 | 2,453 | 4,953 | 49.53% |
| 158 | 1,211 | 2 | 1 | 1,214 | 863 | 0 | 0 | 2,077 | 2,077 | 4,777 | 43.48% |
| 159 | 721 | 3 | 2 | 726 | 599 | 0 | 0 | 1,325 | 1,325 | 3,028 | 43.76% |
| 160 | 423 | 1 | 0 | 424 | 352 | 0 | 0 | 776 | 776 | 1,922 | 40.37% |
| 201 | 936 | 1 | 0 | 937 | 774 | 0 | 0 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 4,756 | 35.98% |
| 202 | 1,019 | 6 | 2 | 1,027 | 817 | 0 | 0 | 1,844 | 1,844 | 5,898 | 31.26% |
| 203 | 864 | 4 | 2 | 870 | 639 | 0 | 0 | 1,509 | 1,509 | 2,422 | 62.30% |

Canvass Results Report

Official

Run Time 3:57 PM
Run Date 06/13/2022

Clackamas County

May 17, 2022 Primary Election

5/17/2022

Page 178

Official Results

Registered Voters
115314 of 306188 = 37.66%

Precincts Reporting
86 of 86 = 100.00%

Metro Auditor - Nonpartisan Party - Vote for one

| Precinct | Brian Evans | Write in (W) | Write-in (W) | Cast Votes | Undervotes | Overvotes | Miscellaneous Write-ins | Vote by Mail Ballots Cast | Total Ballots Cast | Registered Voters | Turnout Percentage |
|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 204 | 555 | 3 | 1 | 559 | 502 | 0 | 0 | 1,061 | 1,061 | 3,137 | 33.82% |
| 251 | 544 | 0 | 1 | 545 | 414 | 0 | 0 | 959 | 959 | 2,206 | 43.47% |
| 280 | 344 | 3 | 3 | 350 | 257 | 0 | 0 | 607 | 607 | 1,505 | 40.33% |
| 281 | 349 | 2 | 2 | 353 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 595 | 595 | 1,466 | 40.59% |
| 282 | 130 | 2 | 0 | 132 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 230 | 571 | 40.28% |
| 283 | 106 | 1 | 0 | 107 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 217 | 454 | 47.80% |
| 284 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 161 | 330 | 48.79% |
| 321 | 766 | 3 | 0 | 769 | 678 | 0 | 0 | 1,447 | 1,447 | 3,259 | 44.40% |
| 322 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 33.33% |
| 323 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 36 | 72.22% |
| 400 | 862 | 9 | 2 | 873 | 1,170 | 0 | 0 | 2,043 | 2,043 | 4,983 | 41.00% |
| 404 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 94 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 214 | 585 | 36.58% |
| 405 | 476 | 4 | 0 | 480 | 560 | 0 | 0 | 1,040 | 1,040 | 2,715 | 38.31% |
| 410 | 686 | 7 | 2 | 695 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 1,252 | 1,252 | 5,004 | 25.02% |
| 411 | 669 | 4 | 2 | 675 | 626 | 0 | 0 | 1,301 | 1,301 | 3,761 | 34.59% |
| 412 | 549 | 5 | 0 | 554 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 1,009 | 1,009 | 3,186 | 31.67% |
| 416 | 877 | 5 | 3 | 885 | 662 | 0 | 0 | 1,547 | 1,547 | 5,229 | 29.59% |
| 417 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 34 | 17.65% |
| 418 | 460 | 7 | 1 | 468 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 845 | 845 | 2,788 | 30.31% |
| 420 | 619 | 5 | 1 | 625 | 551 | 0 | 0 | 1,176 | 1,176 | 3,470 | 33.89% |

Official

May 17, 2022 Primary Election

Registered Voters
115314 of 306188 = 37.66%

Precincts Reporting

86 of 86 = 100.00%

Run Time 3:57 PM
Run Date 06/13/2022

5/17/2022

Page 179

Metro Auditor - Nonpartisan Party - Vote for one

| Precinct | Brian Evans | Write in (W) | Write-in (W) | Cast Votes | Undervotes | Overvotes | Miscellaneous Write-ins | Vote by Mail Ballots Cast | Total Ballots Cast | Registered Voters | Turnout Percentage |
|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 421 | 573 | 4 | 3 | 580 | 410 | 0 | 0 | 990 | 990 | 4,039 | 24.51% |
| 422 | 299 | 7 | 0 | 306 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 522 | 522 | 1,935 | 26.98% |
| 423 | 414 | 4 | 0 | 418 | 277 | 0 | 0 | 695 | 695 | 2,985 | 23.28% |
| 500 | 354 | 4 | 3 | 361 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 616 | 616 | 1,728 | 35.65% |
| 501 | 1,136 | 7 | 0 | 1,143 | 950 | 0 | 0 | 2,093 | 2,093 | 4,717 | 44.37% |
| 502 | 1,113 | 9 | 3 | 1,125 | 1,029 | 0 | 0 | 2,154 | 2,154 | 5,442 | 39.58% |
| 503 | 976 | 11 | 4 | 991 | 986 | 0 | 0 | 1,977 | 1,977 | 4,390 | 45.03% |
| 504 | 1,264 | 12 | 9 | 1,285 | 1,059 | 0 | 0 | 2,344 | 2,344 | 6,325 | 37.06% |
| 506 | 835 | 10 | 4 | 849 | 663 | 1 | 0 | 1,513 | 1,513 | 4,487 | 33.72% |
| 510 | 160 | 7 | 0 | 167 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 314 | 314 | 838 | 37.47% |
| 512 | 335 | 4 | 0 | 339 | 241 | 0 | 0 | 580 | 580 | 1,593 | 36.41% |
| 600 | 1,047 | 6 | 5 | 1,058 | 971 | 0 | 0 | 2,029 | 2,029 | 6,507 | 31.18% |
| 602 | 932 | 8 | 4 | 944 | 942 | 0 | 0 | 1,886 | 1,886 | 4,814 | 39.18% |
| 604 | 84 | 0 | 2 | 86 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 156 | 308 | 50.65% |
| 606 | 532 | 5 | 0 | 537 | 433 | 0 | 0 | 970 | 970 | 3,194 | 30.37% |
| 608 | 1,185 | 10 | 1 | 1,196 | 1,084 | 0 | 0 | 2,280 | 2,280 | 5,787 | 39.40% |
| 610 | 333 | 2 | 2 | 337 | 266 | 0 | 0 | 603 | 603 | 2,036 | 29.62% |
| 614 | 599 | 5 | 4 | 608 | 452 | 0 | 0 | 1,060 | 1,060 | 3,229 | 32.83% |
| 616 | 779 | 5 | 1 | 785 | 787 | 0 | 0 | 1,572 | 1,572 | 4,797 | 32.77% |
| 618 | 140 | 0 | 1 | 141 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 263 | 724 | 36.33% |

Canvass Results Report

Official

Run Time 3:57 PM
Run Date 06/13/2022

Clackamas County

May 17, 2022 Primary Election

5/17/2022
Page 180

Official Results

Registered Voters
115314 of 306188 = 37.66%
Precincts Reporting
86 of 86 = 100.00%

Metro Auditor - Nonpartisan Party - Vote for one

| Precinct | Brian Evans | Write in (W) | Write-in (W) | Cast Votes | Undervotes | Overvotes | Miscellaneous Write-ins | Vote by Mail Ballots Cast | Total Ballots Cast | Registered Voters | Turnout Percentage |
|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 620 | 79 | 1 | 0 | 80 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 127 | 355 | 35.77% |
| 622 | 620 | 3 | 4 | 627 | 465 | 0 | 0 | 1,092 | 1,092 | 2,822 | 38.70% |
| 626 | 1,015 | 4 | 2 | 1,021 | 995 | 0 | 0 | 2,016 | 2,016 | 5,336 | 37.78% |
| 630 | 1,591 | 7 | 3 | 1,601 | 1,463 | 0 | 0 | 3,064 | 3,064 | 7,717 | 39.70% |
| 632 | 495 | 5 | 0 | 500 | 448 | 0 | 0 | 948 | 948 | 3,545 | 26.74% |
| Totals | 42,583 | 308 | 111 | 43,002 | 37,045 | 1 | 0 | 80,048 | 80,048 | 212,347 | 37.70% |



**CERTIFIED COPY OF THE ORIGINAL
SHERRY HALL, COUNTY CLERK**

BY: Sherry Hall

Canvass Results Report

Official

Run Time 3:57 PM
Run Date 06/13/2022

Clackamas County

May 17, 2022 Primary Election

5/17/2022

Page 181

Official Results

Registered Voters
115314 of 306188 = 37.66%

Precincts Reporting
86 of 86 = 100.00%

Metro Councilor, District 1 - Nonpartisan Party - Vote for one

| Precinct | Ashton Simpson | Write in (W) | Write-in (W) | Cast Votes | Undervotes | Overvotes | Miscellaneous Write-ins | Vote by Mail Ballots Cast | Total Ballots Cast | Registered Voters | Turnout Percentage |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 101 | 107 | 1 | 2 | 110 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 213 | 814 | 26.17% |
| 102 | 121 | 6 | 4 | 131 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 289 | 289 | 1,122 | 25.76% |
| 105 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 34 | 193 | 17.62% |
| 400 | 816 | 8 | 10 | 834 | 1,209 | 0 | 0 | 2,043 | 2,043 | 4,983 | 41.00% |
| 404 | 85 | 1 | 0 | 86 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 214 | 585 | 36.58% |
| 405 | 441 | 9 | 3 | 453 | 587 | 0 | 0 | 1,040 | 1,040 | 2,715 | 38.31% |
| 412 | 337 | 5 | 0 | 342 | 359 | 0 | 0 | 701 | 701 | 2,086 | 33.60% |
| Totals | 1,923 | 30 | 19 | 1,972 | 2,562 | 0 | 0 | 4,534 | 4,534 | 12,498 | 36.28% |



**CERTIFIED COPY OF THE ORIGINAL
SHERRY HALL, COUNTY CLERK**

BY: Sherry Hall

Canvass Results Report

Official

Run Time 3:57 PM
Run Date 06/13/2022

Clackamas County

May 17, 2022 Primary Election

5/17/2022

Page 182

Official Results

Registered Voters
115314 of 306188 = 37.66%
Precincts Reporting
86 of 86 = 100.00%

Metro Councilor, District 2 - Nonpartisan Party - Vote for one

| Precinct | Christine Lewis | Mei Wong | Write in (W) | Write-in (W) | Cast Votes | Undervotes | Overvotes | Miscellaneous Write-ins | Vote by Mail Ballots Cast | Total Ballots Cast | Registered Voters | Turnout Percentage |
|----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 100 | 426 | 237 | 1 | 3 | 667 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 860 | 860 | 2,677 | 32.13% |
| 101 | 665 | 341 | 6 | 2 | 1,014 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 1,329 | 1,329 | 5,038 | 26.38% |
| 102 | 56 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 106 | 300 | 35.33% |
| 103 | 800 | 405 | 6 | 0 | 1,211 | 368 | 0 | 0 | 1,579 | 1,579 | 4,390 | 35.97% |
| 104 | 148 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 194 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 264 | 264 | 611 | 43.21% |
| 105 | 389 | 198 | 2 | 0 | 589 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 768 | 768 | 2,434 | 31.55% |
| 131 | 1,657 | 607 | 10 | 2 | 2,276 | 789 | 0 | 0 | 3,065 | 3,065 | 6,890 | 44.48% |
| 132 | 1,393 | 515 | 4 | 1 | 1,913 | 650 | 0 | 0 | 2,563 | 2,563 | 5,002 | 51.24% |
| 133 | 547 | 189 | 4 | 1 | 741 | 215 | 1 | 0 | 957 | 957 | 2,121 | 45.12% |
| 135 | 1,602 | 524 | 6 | 2 | 2,134 | 737 | 4 | 0 | 2,875 | 2,875 | 5,747 | 50.03% |
| 151 | 790 | 281 | 1 | 1 | 1,073 | 277 | 0 | 0 | 1,350 | 1,350 | 2,806 | 48.11% |
| 153 | 1,753 | 531 | 9 | 2 | 2,295 | 677 | 2 | 0 | 2,974 | 2,974 | 6,300 | 47.21% |
| 155 | 903 | 307 | 4 | 1 | 1,215 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 1,526 | 1,526 | 3,774 | 40.43% |
| 156 | 1,406 | 482 | 3 | 3 | 1,894 | 559 | 0 | 0 | 2,453 | 2,453 | 4,953 | 49.53% |
| 158 | 1,228 | 400 | 5 | 1 | 1,634 | 443 | 0 | 0 | 2,077 | 2,077 | 4,777 | 43.48% |
| 159 | 749 | 276 | 1 | 1 | 1,027 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 1,325 | 1,325 | 3,028 | 43.76% |
| 160 | 458 | 133 | 0 | 1 | 592 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 776 | 776 | 1,922 | 40.37% |
| 280 | 339 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 488 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 607 | 607 | 1,505 | 40.33% |
| 281 | 344 | 120 | 4 | 0 | 468 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 595 | 595 | 1,466 | 40.59% |
| 282 | 137 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 230 | 571 | 40.28% |

Canvass Results Report

Official

Run Time 3:57 PM

Run Date 06/13/2022

Clackamas County

May 17, 2022 Primary Election

5/17/2022

Page 183

Official Results

Registered Voters
115314 of 306188 = 37.66%

Precincts Reporting
86 of 86 = 100.00%

Metro Councilor, District 2 - Nonpartisan Party - Vote for one

| Precinct | Christine Lewis | Mei Wong | Write in (W) | Write-in (W) | Cast Votes | Undervotes | Overvotes | Miscellaneous Write-ins | Vote by Mail Ballots Cast | Total Ballots Cast | Registered Voters | Turnout Percentage |
|----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 283 | 133 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 217 | 454 | 47.80% |
| 284 | 92 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 128 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 161 | 330 | 48.79% |
| 321 | 789 | 322 | 7 | 0 | 1,118 | 329 | 0 | 0 | 1,447 | 1,447 | 3,259 | 44.40% |
| 410 | 640 | 378 | 2 | 2 | 1,022 | 229 | 1 | 0 | 1,252 | 1,252 | 5,004 | 25.02% |
| 411 | 657 | 324 | 1 | 2 | 984 | 317 | 0 | 0 | 1,301 | 1,301 | 3,761 | 34.59% |
| 412 | 164 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 308 | 308 | 1,100 | 28.00% |
| 416 | 787 | 432 | 10 | 2 | 1,231 | 316 | 0 | 0 | 1,547 | 1,547 | 5,229 | 29.59% |
| 417 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 34 | 17.65% |
| 418 | 464 | 192 | 3 | 0 | 659 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 845 | 845 | 2,788 | 30.31% |
| 420 | 638 | 275 | 0 | 0 | 913 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 1,176 | 1,176 | 3,470 | 33.89% |
| 421 | 508 | 257 | 3 | 0 | 768 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 990 | 990 | 4,039 | 24.51% |
| 422 | 300 | 132 | 6 | 0 | 438 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 522 | 522 | 1,935 | 26.98% |
| 423 | 366 | 197 | 2 | 1 | 566 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 695 | 695 | 2,985 | 23.28% |
| 500 | 341 | 152 | 2 | 1 | 496 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 616 | 616 | 1,728 | 35.65% |
| 501 | 1,295 | 374 | 3 | 0 | 1,672 | 418 | 3 | 0 | 2,093 | 2,093 | 4,717 | 44.37% |
| 502 | 1,173 | 529 | 7 | 0 | 1,709 | 444 | 1 | 0 | 2,154 | 2,154 | 5,442 | 39.58% |
| 503 | 1,077 | 477 | 2 | 0 | 1,556 | 419 | 2 | 0 | 1,977 | 1,977 | 4,390 | 45.03% |
| 504 | 1,253 | 601 | 13 | 4 | 1,871 | 473 | 0 | 0 | 2,344 | 2,344 | 6,325 | 37.06% |
| 506 | 785 | 395 | 5 | 1 | 1,186 | 327 | 0 | 0 | 1,513 | 1,513 | 4,487 | 33.72% |
| 510 | 162 | 83 | 2 | 0 | 247 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 314 | 314 | 838 | 37.47% |

Canvass Results Report

Official

Run Time 3:57 PM
Run Date 06/13/2022

Clackamas County

May 17, 2022 Primary Election

5/17/2022

Page 184

Official Results

Registered Voters
115314 of 306188 = 37.66%

Precincts Reporting
86 of 86 = 100.00%

Metro Councilor, District 2 - Nonpartisan Party - Vote for one

| Precinct | Christine Lewis | Mei Wong | Write in (W) | Write-in (W) | Cast Votes | Undervotes | Overvotes | Miscellaneous Write-ins | Vote by Mail Ballots Cast | Total Ballots Cast | Registered Voters | Turnout Percentage |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 512 | 290 | 160 | 4 | 1 | 455 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 580 | 580 | 1,593 | 36.41% |
| 600 | 1,084 | 477 | 1 | 4 | 1,566 | 463 | 0 | 0 | 2,029 | 2,029 | 6,507 | 31.18% |
| 602 | 978 | 454 | 3 | 0 | 1,435 | 450 | 1 | 0 | 1,886 | 1,886 | 4,814 | 39.18% |
| 604 | 82 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 111 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 156 | 308 | 50.65% |
| 606 | 478 | 260 | 5 | 0 | 743 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 970 | 970 | 3,194 | 30.37% |
| 608 | 1,170 | 573 | 6 | 0 | 1,749 | 529 | 2 | 0 | 2,280 | 2,280 | 5,787 | 39.40% |
| 610 | 306 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 473 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 603 | 603 | 2,036 | 29.62% |
| 614 | 573 | 268 | 4 | 1 | 846 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 1,060 | 1,060 | 3,229 | 32.83% |
| 616 | 818 | 383 | 2 | 1 | 1,204 | 366 | 2 | 0 | 1,572 | 1,572 | 4,797 | 32.77% |
| 618 | 145 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 209 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 263 | 724 | 36.33% |
| 620 | 73 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 127 | 355 | 35.77% |
| 622 | 665 | 242 | 3 | 1 | 911 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 1,092 | 1,092 | 2,822 | 38.70% |
| 626 | 1,181 | 454 | 7 | 0 | 1,642 | 374 | 0 | 0 | 2,016 | 2,016 | 5,336 | 37.78% |
| 630 | 1,769 | 665 | 7 | 3 | 2,444 | 617 | 3 | 0 | 3,064 | 3,064 | 7,717 | 39.70% |
| 632 | 478 | 267 | 2 | 1 | 748 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 948 | 948 | 3,545 | 26.74% |
| Totals | 37,507 | 15,565 | 180 | 47 | 53,299 | 15,082 | 22 | 0 | 68,403 | 68,403 | 181,391 | 37.71% |

VERIFIED COPY OF THE ORIGINAL
TERRY HALL, COUNTY CLERK

BY: *Terry Hall*



Official Precinct Results - Metro
 May 2022 Primary Election - Multnomah County
 All Precincts, All Districts, All ScanStations, Metro Auditor, Metro Council President, All Boxes
 Total Ballots Cast: 201543

Metro Council President (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Total Votes | Gregory A Horner | | Lynn Paterson | | Allsa Pyszka | | Gary Lyndon Dye | | Write-In | | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------|---------------|--------|--------------|--------|-----------------|--------|----------|-------|---------------|----------------|
| Precinct 2801 | 3311 | 77 | 2.33% | 1855 | 56.03% | 1277 | 38.57% | 101 | 3.05% | 1 | 0.03% | 0 | 387 |
| Precinct 2802 | 475 | 24 | 5.05% | 215 | 45.26% | 218 | 45.89% | 18 | 3.79% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 85 |
| Precinct 2803 | 929 | 24 | 2.58% | 530 | 57.05% | 345 | 37.14% | 27 | 2.91% | 3 | 0.32% | 0 | 109 |
| Precinct 2804 | 3242 | 92 | 2.84% | 1660 | 51.20% | 1405 | 43.34% | 79 | 2.44% | 6 | 0.19% | 4 | 440 |
| Precinct 2805 | 3797 | 125 | 3.29% | 2130 | 56.10% | 1393 | 36.69% | 144 | 3.79% | 5 | 0.13% | 1 | 501 |
| Precinct 2806 | 3771 | 123 | 3.26% | 2243 | 59.48% | 1251 | 33.17% | 147 | 3.90% | 7 | 0.19% | 0 | 418 |
| Precinct 3301 | 444 | 15 | 3.36% | 243 | 54.73% | 160 | 36.04% | 26 | 5.86% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 60 |
| Precinct 3302 | 3093 | 84 | 2.72% | 1613 | 52.15% | 1296 | 41.90% | 92 | 2.97% | 8 | 0.26% | 1 | 340 |
| Precinct 3303 | 2438 | 97 | 3.98% | 1421 | 58.29% | 827 | 33.92% | 82 | 3.36% | 11 | 0.45% | 0 | 290 |
| Precinct 3304 | 3391 | 95 | 2.80% | 1787 | 52.70% | 1394 | 41.11% | 107 | 3.16% | 8 | 0.24% | 0 | 419 |
| Precinct 3305 | 8 | 0 | 0.00% | 3 | 37.50% | 4 | 50.00% | 1 | 12.50% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 1 |
| Precinct 3306 | 1820 | 65 | 3.57% | 1009 | 55.44% | 681 | 37.42% | 54 | 2.97% | 11 | 0.60% | 0 | 253 |
| Precinct 3307 | 2010 | 51 | 2.54% | 1097 | 54.58% | 822 | 40.90% | 37 | 1.84% | 3 | 0.15% | 0 | 227 |
| Precinct 3308 | 1393 | 63 | 4.52% | 863 | 61.95% | 394 | 28.28% | 68 | 4.88% | 5 | 0.36% | 0 | 177 |
| Precinct 3401 | 284 | 10 | 3.52% | 152 | 53.52% | 104 | 36.62% | 17 | 5.99% | 1 | 0.35% | 0 | 27 |
| Precinct 3402 | 62 | 2 | 3.23% | 24 | 38.71% | 29 | 46.77% | 7 | 11.29% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 8 |
| Precinct 3801 | 498 | 15 | 3.01% | 223 | 44.78% | 229 | 45.98% | 30 | 6.02% | 1 | 0.20% | 0 | 84 |
| Precinct 3802 | 819 | 37 | 4.52% | 421 | 51.40% | 321 | 39.19% | 34 | 4.15% | 6 | 0.73% | 0 | 143 |
| Precinct 3803 | 4047 | 127 | 3.14% | 2313 | 57.15% | 1461 | 36.10% | 142 | 3.51% | 4 | 0.10% | 1 | 512 |
| Precinct 3804 | 1445 | 70 | 4.84% | 784 | 54.26% | 510 | 35.29% | 80 | 5.54% | 1 | 0.07% | 1 | 175 |
| Precinct 3805 | 2722 | 92 | 3.36% | 1502 | 55.18% | 1038 | 38.13% | 87 | 3.20% | 3 | 0.11% | 0 | 337 |
| Precinct 3806 | 36 | 0 | 0.00% | 9 | 25.00% | 26 | 72.22% | 1 | 2.78% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 3 |
| Precinct 4101 | 1542 | 32 | 2.08% | 950 | 61.61% | 515 | 33.40% | 41 | 2.66% | 4 | 0.26% | 0 | 178 |
| Precinct 4102 | 1364 | 39 | 2.86% | 869 | 63.71% | 414 | 30.35% | 40 | 2.93% | 2 | 0.15% | 0 | 165 |
| Precinct 4103 | 1982 | 72 | 3.63% | 1116 | 56.31% | 733 | 36.98% | 60 | 3.03% | 1 | 0.05% | 0 | 220 |
| Precinct 4104 | 2592 | 101 | 3.90% | 1703 | 65.70% | 703 | 27.12% | 80 | 3.09% | 5 | 0.19% | 0 | 238 |
| Precinct 4105 | 3219 | 79 | 2.45% | 2114 | 65.67% | 949 | 29.48% | 74 | 2.30% | 3 | 0.09% | 0 | 353 |
| Precinct 4201 | 2058 | 39 | 1.90% | 1402 | 68.12% | 566 | 27.50% | 46 | 2.24% | 5 | 0.24% | 2 | 229 |
| Precinct 4202 | 3600 | 63 | 1.75% | 2512 | 69.78% | 981 | 27.25% | 40 | 1.11% | 4 | 0.11% | 0 | 387 |
| Precinct 4203 | 3153 | 78 | 2.47% | 2088 | 66.22% | 891 | 28.26% | 95 | 3.01% | 1 | 0.03% | 0 | 294 |
| Precinct 4204 | 3313 | 49 | 1.48% | 2292 | 69.18% | 876 | 26.44% | 91 | 2.75% | 5 | 0.15% | 0 | 367 |
| Precinct 4205 | 2583 | 54 | 2.11% | 1773 | 69.18% | 669 | 26.10% | 58 | 2.26% | 9 | 0.35% | 1 | 248 |
| Precinct 4206 | 2129 | 52 | 2.44% | 1445 | 67.87% | 578 | 27.15% | 50 | 2.35% | 4 | 0.19% | 0 | 217 |
| Precinct 4207 | 1754 | 36 | 2.05% | 1079 | 61.52% | 607 | 34.61% | 30 | 1.71% | 2 | 0.11% | 0 | 186 |
| Precinct 4208 | 1752 | 42 | 2.40% | 1086 | 61.99% | 579 | 33.05% | 42 | 2.40% | 3 | 0.17% | 0 | 192 |
| Precinct 4301 | 1576 | 27 | 1.71% | 1076 | 68.27% | 439 | 27.86% | 28 | 1.78% | 6 | 0.38% | 0 | 182 |
| Precinct 4302 | 1914 | 50 | 2.61% | 1267 | 66.20% | 566 | 29.57% | 28 | 1.46% | 3 | 0.16% | 0 | 209 |
| Precinct 4303 | 2880 | 43 | 1.49% | 2052 | 71.25% | 724 | 25.14% | 56 | 1.94% | 5 | 0.17% | 1 | 332 |
| Precinct 4304 | 3163 | 59 | 1.87% | 2048 | 64.75% | 1000 | 31.62% | 53 | 1.68% | 3 | 0.09% | 0 | 322 |
| Precinct 4305 | 3364 | 82 | 2.44% | 2169 | 64.48% | 1011 | 30.05% | 98 | 2.91% | 4 | 0.12% | 1 | 335 |
| Precinct 4306 | 3705 | 62 | 1.67% | 2234 | 60.30% | 1346 | 36.33% | 61 | 1.65% | 2 | 0.05% | 0 | 421 |
| Precinct 4307 | 3319 | 88 | 2.65% | 2259 | 68.06% | 871 | 26.24% | 92 | 2.77% | 9 | 0.27% | 3 | 371 |
| Precinct 4401 | 1993 | 97 | 4.87% | 1252 | 62.82% | 557 | 27.95% | 82 | 4.11% | 5 | 0.25% | 0 | 218 |
| Precinct 4402 | 2339 | 110 | 4.70% | 1514 | 64.73% | 622 | 26.59% | 91 | 3.89% | 2 | 0.09% | 0 | 297 |
| Precinct 4403 | 2858 | 122 | 4.27% | 1781 | 62.32% | 828 | 28.97% | 120 | 4.20% | 7 | 0.24% | 0 | 372 |
| Precinct 4404 | 3199 | 91 | 2.84% | 2096 | 65.52% | 911 | 28.48% | 98 | 3.06% | 3 | 0.09% | 0 | 390 |

Official Precinct Results - Metro
May 2022 Primary Election - Multnomah County
 All Precincts, All Districts, All ScanStations, Metro Auditor, Metro Council President, All Boxes
 Total Ballots Cast: 201543

Metro Council President (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Total Votes | Gregory A Horner | | Lynn Peterson | | Alisa Pyszka | | Gary Lyndon Dye | | Write-in | | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|---------------|----------------|------------------|--------|---------------|--------|--------------|--------|-----------------|--------|----------|-------|---------------|----------------|
| Precinct 4405 | 3116 | 109 | 3.50% | 2131 | 68.39% | 799 | 25.64% | 71 | 2.28% | 6 | 0.19% | 0 | 368 |
| Precinct 4406 | 2282 | 150 | 6.57% | 1349 | 59.11% | 653 | 28.62% | 118 | 5.17% | 12 | 0.53% | 0 | 296 |
| Precinct 4407 | 6 | 1 | 16.67% | 4 | 66.67% | 1 | 16.67% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 2 |
| Precinct 4501 | 4667 | 110 | 2.36% | 2907 | 62.29% | 1536 | 32.91% | 107 | 2.29% | 7 | 0.15% | 0 | 572 |
| Precinct 4502 | 3932 | 115 | 2.92% | 2554 | 64.95% | 1145 | 29.12% | 109 | 2.77% | 9 | 0.23% | 1 | 386 |
| Precinct 4503 | 3333 | 190 | 5.70% | 2075 | 62.26% | 915 | 27.45% | 151 | 4.53% | 2 | 0.06% | 0 | 408 |
| Precinct 4504 | 268 | 20 | 7.46% | 151 | 56.34% | 88 | 32.84% | 9 | 3.36% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 37 |
| Precinct 4505 | 957 | 37 | 3.87% | 607 | 63.43% | 272 | 28.42% | 39 | 4.08% | 2 | 0.21% | 0 | 128 |
| Precinct 4506 | 3382 | 124 | 3.67% | 2191 | 64.78% | 909 | 26.88% | 151 | 4.46% | 7 | 0.21% | 2 | 410 |
| Precinct 4507 | 120 | 14 | 11.67% | 60 | 50.00% | 33 | 27.50% | 13 | 10.83% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 11 |
| Precinct 4508 | 2202 | 178 | 8.08% | 1137 | 51.63% | 684 | 31.06% | 194 | 8.81% | 9 | 0.41% | 0 | 331 |
| Precinct 4509 | 379 | 24 | 6.33% | 169 | 44.59% | 147 | 38.79% | 39 | 10.29% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 58 |
| Precinct 4601 | 3118 | 92 | 2.95% | 2039 | 65.39% | 879 | 28.19% | 101 | 3.24% | 7 | 0.22% | 0 | 379 |
| Precinct 4602 | 2615 | 75 | 2.87% | 1762 | 67.38% | 656 | 25.09% | 118 | 4.51% | 4 | 0.15% | 0 | 329 |
| Precinct 4603 | 1770 | 81 | 4.58% | 1169 | 66.05% | 440 | 24.86% | 76 | 4.29% | 4 | 0.23% | 0 | 256 |
| Precinct 4604 | 2445 | 111 | 4.54% | 1548 | 63.31% | 662 | 27.08% | 117 | 4.79% | 7 | 0.29% | 0 | 334 |
| Precinct 4605 | 1614 | 108 | 6.69% | 936 | 57.99% | 422 | 26.15% | 137 | 8.49% | 11 | 0.68% | 0 | 211 |
| Precinct 4606 | 1696 | 123 | 7.25% | 984 | 58.02% | 467 | 27.54% | 118 | 6.96% | 4 | 0.24% | 1 | 220 |
| Precinct 4607 | 1184 | 101 | 8.53% | 671 | 56.67% | 337 | 28.46% | 72 | 6.08% | 3 | 0.25% | 1 | 156 |
| Precinct 4608 | 16 | 3 | 18.75% | 8 | 50.00% | 4 | 25.00% | 1 | 6.25% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 1 |
| Precinct 4701 | 12 | 1 | 8.33% | 6 | 50.00% | 3 | 25.00% | 2 | 16.67% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 4702 | 785 | 72 | 9.17% | 393 | 50.06% | 262 | 33.38% | 56 | 7.13% | 2 | 0.25% | 0 | 157 |
| Precinct 4703 | 1851 | 173 | 9.35% | 866 | 46.79% | 667 | 36.03% | 139 | 7.51% | 6 | 0.32% | 0 | 345 |
| Precinct 4704 | 1168 | 151 | 12.93% | 519 | 44.43% | 335 | 28.68% | 159 | 13.61% | 4 | 0.34% | 0 | 159 |
| Precinct 4705 | 1201 | 109 | 9.08% | 633 | 52.71% | 348 | 28.98% | 107 | 8.91% | 4 | 0.33% | 0 | 176 |
| Precinct 4706 | 1088 | 99 | 9.10% | 542 | 49.82% | 352 | 32.35% | 93 | 8.55% | 2 | 0.18% | 1 | 181 |
| Precinct 4707 | 1910 | 156 | 8.17% | 1020 | 53.40% | 557 | 29.16% | 165 | 8.64% | 12 | 0.63% | 0 | 280 |
| Precinct 4708 | 1896 | 221 | 11.66% | 918 | 48.42% | 582 | 30.70% | 163 | 8.60% | 12 | 0.63% | 0 | 273 |
| Precinct 4709 | 367 | 40 | 10.90% | 184 | 50.14% | 112 | 30.52% | 31 | 8.45% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 53 |
| Precinct 4710 | 401 | 45 | 11.22% | 202 | 50.37% | 125 | 31.17% | 28 | 6.98% | 1 | 0.25% | 0 | 66 |
| Precinct 4801 | 188 | 12 | 6.38% | 105 | 55.85% | 41 | 21.81% | 29 | 15.43% | 1 | 0.53% | 0 | 26 |
| Precinct 4802 | 1560 | 149 | 9.55% | 777 | 49.81% | 462 | 29.62% | 167 | 10.71% | 5 | 0.32% | 0 | 227 |
| Precinct 4803 | 840 | 85 | 10.12% | 394 | 46.90% | 253 | 30.12% | 104 | 12.38% | 4 | 0.48% | 0 | 132 |
| Precinct 4804 | 1595 | 204 | 12.79% | 712 | 44.64% | 482 | 30.22% | 194 | 12.16% | 3 | 0.19% | 0 | 205 |
| Precinct 4805 | 54 | 11 | 20.37% | 21 | 38.89% | 18 | 33.33% | 4 | 7.41% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 9 |
| Precinct 4806 | 1890 | 228 | 12.06% | 834 | 44.13% | 622 | 32.91% | 200 | 10.58% | 6 | 0.32% | 0 | 264 |
| Precinct 4807 | 99 | 22 | 22.22% | 42 | 42.42% | 16 | 16.16% | 19 | 19.19% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 23 |
| Precinct 4808 | 602 | 62 | 10.30% | 285 | 47.34% | 176 | 29.24% | 77 | 12.79% | 2 | 0.33% | 0 | 138 |
| Precinct 4809 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 3 |
| Precinct 4901 | 24 | 3 | 12.50% | 6 | 25.00% | 14 | 58.33% | 1 | 4.17% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 5 |
| Precinct 4902 | 134 | 12 | 8.96% | 64 | 47.76% | 42 | 31.34% | 16 | 11.94% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 18 |
| Precinct 4903 | 1317 | 189 | 14.35% | 541 | 41.08% | 448 | 34.02% | 133 | 10.10% | 6 | 0.46% | 0 | 291 |
| Precinct 4904 | 415 | 60 | 14.46% | 184 | 44.34% | 128 | 30.84% | 42 | 10.12% | 1 | 0.24% | 0 | 59 |
| Precinct 4905 | 2004 | 301 | 15.02% | 748 | 37.33% | 694 | 34.63% | 255 | 12.72% | 6 | 0.30% | 0 | 409 |
| Precinct 4906 | 584 | 78 | 13.36% | 245 | 41.95% | 200 | 34.25% | 60 | 10.27% | 1 | 0.17% | 0 | 139 |
| Precinct 4907 | 1117 | 152 | 13.61% | 494 | 44.23% | 348 | 31.15% | 115 | 10.30% | 8 | 0.72% | 0 | 258 |

Official Precinct Results - Metro
 May 2022 Primary Election - Multnomah County
 All Precincts, All Districts, All ScanStations, Metro Auditor, Metro Council President, All Boxes
 Total Ballots Cast: 201543

Metro Council President (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Total Votes | Gregory A Horner | | Lynn Peterson | | Alisa Pyszka | | Gary Lyndon Dye | | Write-in | | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|---------------|----------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| Precinct 4908 | 1715 | 221 | 12.89% | 748 | 43.62% | 538 | 31.37% | 202 | 11.78% | 6 | 0.35% | 0 | 395 |
| Precinct 4909 | 1061 | 132 | 12.44% | 494 | 46.56% | 327 | 30.82% | 103 | 9.71% | 5 | 0.47% | 0 | 214 |
| Precinct 4910 | 74 | 14 | 18.92% | 32 | 43.24% | 19 | 25.68% | 9 | 12.16% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 6 |
| Precinct 5001 | 18 | 0 | 0.00% | 5 | 27.78% | 6 | 33.33% | 7 | 38.89% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 4 |
| Precinct 5002 | 1443 | 209 | 14.48% | 654 | 45.32% | 424 | 29.38% | 153 | 10.60% | 3 | 0.21% | 0 | 295 |
| Precinct 5003 | 113 | 12 | 10.62% | 54 | 47.79% | 36 | 31.86% | 11 | 9.73% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 18 |
| Precinct 5004 | 1117 | 161 | 14.41% | 455 | 40.73% | 373 | 33.39% | 124 | 11.10% | 4 | 0.36% | 0 | 252 |
| Precinct 5005 | 1857 | 259 | 13.95% | 826 | 44.48% | 577 | 31.07% | 181 | 9.75% | 14 | 0.75% | 0 | 367 |
| Precinct 5006 | 1960 | 245 | 12.50% | 826 | 42.14% | 674 | 34.39% | 212 | 10.82% | 3 | 0.15% | 0 | 443 |
| Precinct 5007 | 1623 | 248 | 15.28% | 677 | 41.71% | 511 | 31.48% | 181 | 11.15% | 6 | 0.37% | 0 | 368 |
| Precinct 5008 | 1955 | 278 | 14.22% | 793 | 40.56% | 622 | 31.82% | 254 | 12.99% | 8 | 0.41% | 0 | 388 |
| Precinct 5009 | 78 | 12 | 15.38% | 27 | 34.62% | 33 | 42.31% | 6 | 7.69% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 29 |
| Precinct 5201 | 690 | 121 | 17.54% | 266 | 38.55% | 209 | 30.29% | 92 | 13.33% | 2 | 0.29% | 0 | 167 |
| Precinct 5202 | 616 | 102 | 16.56% | 217 | 35.23% | 196 | 31.82% | 99 | 16.07% | 2 | 0.32% | 1 | 175 |
| Total | 177890 | 9270 | 5.21% | 103535 | 58.20% | 56002 | 31.48% | 8669 | 4.87% | 414 | 0.23% | 23 | 23629 |

Certificate

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast at the election indicated.

Tim Scott

 Tim Scott, Director of Elections
 Multnomah County, Oregon

Official Precinct Results - Metro
 May 2022 Primary Election - Multnomah County
 All Precincts, All Districts, All ScanStations, Metro Auditor, Metro Council President, All Boxes
 Total Ballots Cast: 201543

Metro Auditor (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Total Votes | Brian Evans | Write-in | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|---------------|----------------|-------------|----------|---------------|----------------|
| Precinct 2801 | 2012 | 1996 99.20% | 16 0.80% | 0 | 1686 |
| Precinct 2802 | 291 | 287 98.63% | 4 1.37% | 0 | 259 |
| Precinct 2803 | 647 | 641 99.07% | 6 0.93% | 0 | 391 |
| Precinct 2804 | 1985 | 1966 99.04% | 19 0.96% | 0 | 1701 |
| Precinct 2805 | 2353 | 2328 98.94% | 25 1.06% | 0 | 1946 |
| Precinct 2806 | 2375 | 2348 98.86% | 27 1.14% | 0 | 1814 |
| Precinct 3301 | 253 | 253 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 251 |
| Precinct 3302 | 1943 | 1924 99.02% | 19 0.98% | 0 | 1491 |
| Precinct 3303 | 1713 | 1687 98.48% | 26 1.52% | 0 | 1015 |
| Precinct 3304 | 2179 | 2165 99.36% | 14 0.64% | 0 | 1631 |
| Precinct 3305 | 3 | 3 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 6 |
| Precinct 3306 | 1304 | 1285 98.54% | 19 1.46% | 1 | 768 |
| Precinct 3307 | 1376 | 1363 99.06% | 13 0.94% | 0 | 861 |
| Precinct 3308 | 892 | 884 99.10% | 8 0.90% | 0 | 678 |
| Precinct 3401 | 197 | 194 98.48% | 3 1.52% | 0 | 114 |
| Precinct 3402 | 44 | 44 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 26 |
| Precinct 3801 | 313 | 312 99.68% | 1 0.32% | 0 | 269 |
| Precinct 3802 | 586 | 585 99.83% | 1 0.17% | 0 | 376 |
| Precinct 3803 | 2547 | 2529 99.29% | 18 0.71% | 0 | 2013 |
| Precinct 3804 | 921 | 904 98.15% | 17 1.85% | 0 | 700 |
| Precinct 3805 | 1794 | 1783 99.39% | 11 0.61% | 0 | 1265 |
| Precinct 3806 | 22 | 22 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 17 |
| Precinct 4101 | 893 | 883 98.88% | 10 1.12% | 0 | 827 |
| Precinct 4102 | 828 | 818 98.79% | 10 1.21% | 0 | 701 |
| Precinct 4103 | 1209 | 1203 99.50% | 6 0.50% | 0 | 993 |
| Precinct 4104 | 1508 | 1489 98.74% | 19 1.26% | 0 | 1322 |
| Precinct 4105 | 1974 | 1955 99.04% | 19 0.96% | 0 | 1598 |
| Precinct 4201 | 1237 | 1225 99.03% | 12 0.97% | 0 | 1052 |
| Precinct 4202 | 2099 | 2082 99.19% | 17 0.81% | 0 | 1888 |
| Precinct 4203 | 1865 | 1848 99.09% | 17 0.91% | 0 | 1582 |
| Precinct 4204 | 1891 | 1872 99.00% | 19 1.00% | 0 | 1789 |
| Precinct 4205 | 1490 | 1462 98.12% | 28 1.88% | 0 | 1322 |
| Precinct 4206 | 1275 | 1264 99.14% | 11 0.86% | 0 | 1071 |
| Precinct 4207 | 1002 | 998 99.60% | 4 0.40% | 0 | 938 |
| Precinct 4208 | 1026 | 1019 99.32% | 7 0.68% | 0 | 918 |
| Precinct 4301 | 946 | 927 97.99% | 19 2.01% | 0 | 812 |
| Precinct 4302 | 1205 | 1190 98.76% | 15 1.24% | 0 | 918 |
| Precinct 4303 | 1705 | 1681 98.59% | 24 1.41% | 0 | 1508 |
| Precinct 4304 | 2026 | 2005 98.96% | 21 1.04% | 0 | 1459 |
| Precinct 4305 | 2016 | 2000 99.21% | 16 0.79% | 0 | 1684 |
| Precinct 4306 | 2272 | 2259 99.43% | 13 0.57% | 0 | 1854 |
| Precinct 4307 | 2071 | 2054 99.18% | 17 0.82% | 0 | 1622 |
| Precinct 4401 | 1232 | 1213 98.46% | 19 1.54% | 0 | 979 |
| Precinct 4402 | 1424 | 1410 99.02% | 14 0.98% | 0 | 1212 |
| Precinct 4403 | 1748 | 1732 99.08% | 16 0.92% | 0 | 1482 |
| Precinct 4404 | 1887 | 1869 99.05% | 18 0.95% | 0 | 1702 |

Official Precinct Results - Metro
May 2022 Primary Election - Multnomah County
All Precincts, All Districts, All ScanStations, Metro Auditor, Metro Council President, All Boxes
Total Ballots Cast: 201543

Metro Auditor (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Total Votes | Brian Evans | Write-In | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|---------------|----------------|-------------|----------|---------------|----------------|
| Precinct 4405 | 1873 | 1852 98.88% | 21 1.12% | 0 | 1611 |
| Precinct 4406 | 1425 | 1408 98.81% | 17 1.19% | 0 | 1153 |
| Precinct 4407 | 4 | 4 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 4 |
| Precinct 4501 | 2808 | 2778 98.93% | 30 1.07% | 0 | 2431 |
| Precinct 4502 | 2409 | 2376 98.63% | 33 1.37% | 0 | 1910 |
| Precinct 4503 | 2032 | 2008 98.82% | 24 1.18% | 0 | 1709 |
| Precinct 4504 | 182 | 181 99.45% | 1 0.55% | 0 | 123 |
| Precinct 4505 | 590 | 584 98.98% | 6 1.02% | 1 | 494 |
| Precinct 4506 | 1978 | 1952 98.69% | 26 1.31% | 0 | 1816 |
| Precinct 4507 | 73 | 71 97.26% | 2 2.74% | 0 | 58 |
| Precinct 4508 | 1432 | 1414 98.74% | 18 1.26% | 0 | 1101 |
| Precinct 4509 | 230 | 230 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 207 |
| Precinct 4601 | 1825 | 1810 99.18% | 15 0.82% | 0 | 1672 |
| Precinct 4602 | 1590 | 1585 98.43% | 25 1.57% | 0 | 1354 |
| Precinct 4603 | 1012 | 995 98.32% | 17 1.68% | 0 | 1014 |
| Precinct 4604 | 1512 | 1496 98.94% | 16 1.06% | 0 | 1287 |
| Precinct 4605 | 1058 | 1028 97.16% | 30 2.84% | 0 | 787 |
| Precinct 4606 | 1066 | 1054 98.87% | 12 1.13% | 0 | 851 |
| Precinct 4607 | 804 | 793 98.63% | 11 1.37% | 0 | 537 |
| Precinct 4608 | 8 | 8 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 9 |
| Precinct 4701 | 7 | 7 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 5 |
| Precinct 4702 | 491 | 484 98.57% | 7 1.43% | 0 | 451 |
| Precinct 4703 | 1275 | 1270 99.61% | 5 0.39% | 0 | 921 |
| Precinct 4704 | 772 | 758 98.19% | 14 1.81% | 0 | 555 |
| Precinct 4705 | 775 | 755 97.42% | 20 2.58% | 0 | 602 |
| Precinct 4706 | 689 | 684 99.27% | 5 0.73% | 0 | 581 |
| Precinct 4707 | 1280 | 1257 98.20% | 23 1.80% | 0 | 910 |
| Precinct 4708 | 1237 | 1217 98.38% | 20 1.62% | 0 | 932 |
| Precinct 4709 | 233 | 230 98.71% | 3 1.29% | 0 | 187 |
| Precinct 4710 | 261 | 255 97.70% | 6 2.30% | 0 | 206 |
| Precinct 4801 | 119 | 118 99.16% | 1 0.84% | 0 | 95 |
| Precinct 4802 | 1021 | 1006 98.53% | 15 1.47% | 0 | 766 |
| Precinct 4803 | 590 | 582 98.64% | 8 1.36% | 0 | 382 |
| Precinct 4804 | 1076 | 1060 98.51% | 16 1.49% | 0 | 724 |
| Precinct 4805 | 38 | 34 89.47% | 4 10.53% | 0 | 25 |
| Precinct 4806 | 1333 | 1318 98.87% | 15 1.13% | 0 | 821 |
| Precinct 4807 | 62 | 61 98.39% | 1 1.61% | 0 | 60 |
| Precinct 4808 | 397 | 395 99.50% | 2 0.50% | 0 | 343 |
| Precinct 4809 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 3 |
| Precinct 4901 | 16 | 16 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 13 |
| Precinct 4902 | 77 | 75 97.40% | 2 2.60% | 0 | 75 |
| Precinct 4903 | 949 | 934 98.42% | 15 1.58% | 0 | 659 |
| Precinct 4904 | 308 | 301 97.73% | 7 2.27% | 0 | 166 |
| Precinct 4905 | 1309 | 1292 98.70% | 17 1.30% | 0 | 1104 |
| Precinct 4906 | 400 | 394 98.50% | 6 1.50% | 0 | 323 |
| Precinct 4907 | 781 | 768 98.34% | 13 1.66% | 0 | 594 |

Official Precinct Results - Metro
May 2022 Primary Election - Multnomah County
All Precincts, All Districts, All ScanStations, Metro Auditor, Metro Council President, All Boxes
Total Ballots Cast: 201543

Metro Auditor (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Total Votes | Brian Evans | Write-in | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|---------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Precinct 4908 | 1205 | 1193 99.00% | 12 1.00% | 0 | 905 |
| Precinct 4909 | 764 | 749 98.04% | 15 1.96% | 0 | 511 |
| Precinct 4910 | 45 | 43 95.56% | 2 4.44% | 0 | 35 |
| Precinct 5001 | 9 | 9 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 13 |
| Precinct 5002 | 1024 | 1012 98.83% | 12 1.17% | 0 | 714 |
| Precinct 5003 | 89 | 89 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 42 |
| Precinct 5004 | 769 | 748 97.27% | 21 2.73% | 0 | 600 |
| Precinct 5005 | 1301 | 1282 98.54% | 19 1.46% | 0 | 923 |
| Precinct 5006 | 1268 | 1248 98.42% | 20 1.58% | 0 | 1135 |
| Precinct 5007 | 1152 | 1129 98.00% | 23 2.00% | 0 | 839 |
| Precinct 5008 | 1319 | 1302 98.71% | 17 1.29% | 0 | 1024 |
| Precinct 5009 | 49 | 49 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 58 |
| Precinct 5201 | 469 | 464 98.93% | 5 1.07% | 0 | 388 |
| Precinct 5202 | 362 | 354 97.79% | 8 2.21% | 0 | 430 |
| Total | 111811 | 110510 98.84% | 1301 1.16% | 2 | 89729 |

Certificate

**I certify that the votes recorded on this
 abstract correctly summarize the tally of
 votes cast at the election indicated.**

Tim Scott

Tim Scott, Director of Elections
Multnomah County, Oregon

Official Precinct Results - Metro Councilor
 May 2022 Primary Election - Multnomah County
 All Precincts, All Districts, All Scan Stations, Metro Councilor, District 1, All Boxes
 Total Ballots Cast: 41601

Metro Councilor, District 1 (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Total Votes | Ashton Simpson | Write-in | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|---------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Precinct 4508 | 569 | 566 99.47% | 3 0.53% | 0 | 477 |
| Precinct 4509 | 225 | 224 99.56% | 1 0.44% | 0 | 212 |
| Precinct 4701 | 7 | 7 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 5 |
| Precinct 4703 | 1281 | 1277 99.69% | 4 0.31% | 0 | 915 |
| Precinct 4704 | 776 | 761 98.07% | 15 1.93% | 0 | 551 |
| Precinct 4706 | 702 | 693 98.72% | 9 1.28% | 0 | 568 |
| Precinct 4707 | 1303 | 1282 98.39% | 21 1.61% | 0 | 887 |
| Precinct 4708 | 1262 | 1244 98.57% | 18 1.43% | 0 | 907 |
| Precinct 4709 | 234 | 232 99.15% | 2 0.85% | 0 | 186 |
| Precinct 4710 | 278 | 268 96.40% | 10 3.60% | 0 | 189 |
| Precinct 4803 | 584 | 577 98.80% | 7 1.20% | 0 | 388 |
| Precinct 4804 | 1066 | 1045 98.03% | 21 1.97% | 1 | 733 |
| Precinct 4805 | 39 | 35 89.74% | 4 10.26% | 0 | 24 |
| Precinct 4806 | 1319 | 1304 98.86% | 15 1.14% | 0 | 835 |
| Precinct 4807 | 62 | 62 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 60 |
| Precinct 4808 | 389 | 386 99.23% | 3 0.77% | 0 | 351 |
| Precinct 4901 | 15 | 14 93.33% | 1 6.67% | 0 | 14 |
| Precinct 4902 | 77 | 75 97.40% | 2 2.60% | 0 | 75 |
| Precinct 4903 | 924 | 912 98.70% | 12 1.30% | 0 | 684 |
| Precinct 4904 | 306 | 299 97.71% | 7 2.29% | 0 | 168 |
| Precinct 4905 | 1276 | 1260 98.75% | 16 1.25% | 0 | 1137 |
| Precinct 4906 | 390 | 385 98.72% | 5 1.28% | 0 | 333 |
| Precinct 4907 | 773 | 767 99.22% | 6 0.78% | 0 | 602 |
| Precinct 4908 | 1181 | 1166 98.73% | 15 1.27% | 0 | 929 |
| Precinct 4909 | 743 | 736 99.06% | 7 0.94% | 0 | 532 |
| Precinct 4910 | 43 | 41 95.35% | 2 4.65% | 0 | 37 |
| Precinct 5001 | 10 | 10 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 12 |
| Precinct 5002 | 1019 | 1009 99.02% | 10 0.98% | 0 | 719 |
| Precinct 5003 | 90 | 90 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 41 |
| Precinct 5004 | 760 | 736 96.84% | 24 3.16% | 0 | 609 |
| Precinct 5005 | 1286 | 1265 98.37% | 21 1.63% | 0 | 938 |
| Precinct 5006 | 1252 | 1237 98.80% | 15 1.20% | 0 | 1151 |
| Precinct 5007 | 1126 | 1106 98.22% | 20 1.78% | 0 | 865 |
| Precinct 5008 | 1301 | 1281 98.46% | 20 1.54% | 0 | 1042 |
| Precinct 5009 | 47 | 47 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 60 |
| Precinct 5201 | 463 | 457 98.70% | 6 1.30% | 0 | 394 |
| Precinct 5202 | 352 | 345 98.01% | 7 1.99% | 0 | 440 |
| Total | 23530 | 23201 98.60% | 329 1.40% | 1 | 18070 |

Certificate

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast at the election indicated.

Tim Scott

**Tim Scott, Director of Elections
 Multnomah County, Oregon**

Official Precinct Results - Metro
May 2022 Primary Election - Multnomah County
All Precincts, All Districts, All ScanStations, Metro Councilor, District 2, All Boxes
Total Ballots Cast: 7775

Page: 1 of 1
2022-06-09
15:10:55

Metro Councilor, District 2 (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Total Votes | Christine Lewis | Mei Wong | Write-in | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|---------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------------|----------------|
| Precinct 3801 | 449 | 354 78.84% | 94 20.94% | 1 0.22% | 0 | 133 |
| Precinct 3802 | 785 | 595 75.80% | 188 23.95% | 2 0.25% | 0 | 177 |
| Precinct 3803 | 3767 | 3119 82.80% | 645 17.12% | 3 0.08% | 0 | 792 |
| Precinct 3804 | 1349 | 1047 77.61% | 296 21.94% | 6 0.44% | 0 | 272 |
| Precinct 3805 | 11 | 8 72.73% | 3 27.27% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 1 |
| Precinct 3806 | 32 | 24 75.00% | 8 25.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 6393 | 5147 80.51% | 1234 19.30% | 12 0.19% | 0 | 1382 |

Certificate

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast at the election indicated.



Tim Scott, Director of Elections
Multnomah County, Oregon

Official Precinct Results - Metro
 May 2022 Primary Election - Multnomah County
 All Precincts, All Districts, All Scan Stations, Metro Councilor, District 6, All Boxes
 Total Ballots Cast: 78032

Metro Councilor, District 6 (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Total Votes | Duncan Hwang | Terri Preeg Riggsby | Write-in | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|---------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Precinct 2801 | 3074 | 1960 63.76% | 1108 36.04% | 6 0.20% | 0 | 624 |
| Precinct 2802 | 413 | 253 61.26% | 159 38.50% | 1 0.24% | 0 | 147 |
| Precinct 2804 | 2982 | 1858 62.31% | 1116 37.42% | 8 0.27% | 0 | 704 |
| Precinct 2805 | 3553 | 2050 57.70% | 1496 42.11% | 7 0.20% | 0 | 746 |
| Precinct 2806 | 3539 | 2205 62.31% | 1324 37.41% | 10 0.28% | 1 | 649 |
| Precinct 3803 | 1 | 0 0.00% | 1 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 3805 | 2565 | 1668 65.03% | 892 34.78% | 5 0.19% | 0 | 482 |
| Precinct 4101 | 1426 | 955 66.97% | 468 32.82% | 3 0.21% | 0 | 294 |
| Precinct 4102 | 1290 | 828 64.19% | 459 35.58% | 3 0.23% | 1 | 238 |
| Precinct 4103 | 1845 | 1217 65.96% | 625 33.88% | 3 0.16% | 0 | 357 |
| Precinct 4104 | 2424 | 1739 71.74% | 677 27.93% | 8 0.33% | 0 | 406 |
| Precinct 4105 | 3014 | 2132 70.74% | 875 29.03% | 7 0.23% | 0 | 558 |
| Precinct 4201 | 1940 | 1469 75.72% | 464 23.92% | 7 0.36% | 0 | 349 |
| Precinct 4202 | 3412 | 2620 76.79% | 783 22.95% | 9 0.26% | 0 | 575 |
| Precinct 4203 | 2988 | 2199 73.59% | 782 26.17% | 7 0.23% | 0 | 459 |
| Precinct 4204 | 3143 | 2376 75.60% | 760 24.18% | 7 0.22% | 0 | 537 |
| Precinct 4205 | 2418 | 1860 76.92% | 550 22.75% | 8 0.33% | 1 | 393 |
| Precinct 4206 | 2014 | 1510 74.98% | 499 24.78% | 5 0.25% | 0 | 332 |
| Precinct 4207 | 1626 | 1147 70.54% | 478 29.40% | 1 0.06% | 0 | 314 |
| Precinct 4208 | 1631 | 1161 71.18% | 467 28.63% | 3 0.18% | 0 | 313 |
| Precinct 4505 | 889 | 621 69.85% | 265 29.81% | 3 0.34% | 0 | 196 |
| Precinct 4506 | 3153 | 2280 72.31% | 855 27.12% | 18 0.57% | 0 | 593 |
| Precinct 4507 | 109 | 70 64.22% | 39 35.78% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 22 |
| Precinct 4601 | 2945 | 2091 71.00% | 845 28.69% | 9 0.31% | 2 | 550 |
| Precinct 4602 | 2473 | 1816 73.43% | 651 26.32% | 6 0.24% | 0 | 471 |
| Precinct 4603 | 1661 | 1225 73.75% | 429 25.83% | 7 0.42% | 0 | 365 |
| Precinct 4604 | 2278 | 1575 69.14% | 697 30.60% | 6 0.26% | 0 | 501 |
| Precinct 4605 | 1511 | 987 65.32% | 503 33.29% | 21 1.39% | 1 | 313 |
| Precinct 4606 | 1586 | 1059 66.77% | 519 32.72% | 8 0.50% | 0 | 331 |
| Precinct 4607 | 1103 | 689 62.47% | 405 36.72% | 9 0.82% | 0 | 238 |
| Precinct 4608 | 15 | 6 40.00% | 9 60.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 2 |
| Precinct 4702 | 728 | 444 60.99% | 283 38.87% | 1 0.14% | 0 | 214 |
| Precinct 4801 | 172 | 117 68.02% | 54 31.40% | 1 0.58% | 0 | 42 |
| Precinct 4802 | 1426 | 857 60.10% | 561 39.34% | 8 0.56% | 0 | 361 |
| Precinct 4809 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 65347 | 45044 68.93% | 20098 30.76% | 205 0.31% | 6 | 12679 |

Certificate

I certify that the votes recorded on this abstract correctly summarize the tally of votes cast at the election indicated.

Tim Scott

Tim Scott, Director of Elections
 Multnomah County, Oregon

Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary

All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes

Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Council President (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Gregory A Horner | | Lynn Peterson | | Alisa Pyszka | | Gary Lyndon Dye | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|--------|---------------|--------|--------------|---------|-----------------|--------|
| Precinct 302 | 1257 | 3869 | 937 | 96 | 10.25% | 439 | 46.85% | 270 | 28.82% | 121 | 12.91% |
| Precinct 303 | 82 | 194 | 64 | 8 | 12.50% | 27 | 42.19% | 16 | 25.00% | 13 | 20.31% |
| Precinct 304 | 523 | 1473 | 412 | 68 | 16.50% | 178 | 43.20% | 125 | 30.34% | 38 | 9.22% |
| Precinct 306 | 252 | 768 | 183 | 16 | 8.74% | 98 | 53.55% | 52 | 28.42% | 15 | 8.20% |
| Precinct 307 | 2173 | 6280 | 1711 | 219 | 12.80% | 768 | 44.89% | 510 | 29.81% | 202 | 11.81% |
| Precinct 309 | 2102 | 5545 | 1619 | 174 | 10.75% | 740 | 45.71% | 532 | 32.86% | 157 | 9.70% |
| Precinct 310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 312 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 313 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 314 | 12 | 20 | 12 | 2 | 16.67% | 5 | 41.67% | 4 | 33.33% | 1 | 8.33% |
| Precinct 317 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 5 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 318 | 1745 | 5228 | 1323 | 197 | 14.89% | 574 | 43.39% | 404 | 30.54% | 144 | 10.88% |
| Precinct 319 | 2133 | 7019 | 1646 | 178 | 10.81% | 796 | 48.36% | 518 | 31.47% | 147 | 8.93% |
| Precinct 320 | 829 | 2399 | 609 | 83 | 13.63% | 294 | 48.28% | 191 | 31.36% | 37 | 6.08% |
| Precinct 322 | 24 | 91 | 22 | 5 | 22.73% | 7 | 31.82% | 8 | 36.36% | 1 | 4.55% |
| Precinct 323 | 13 | 28 | 9 | 1 | 11.11% | 7 | 77.78% | 1 | 11.11% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 325 | 35 | 263 | 29 | 0 | 0.00% | 19 | 65.52% | 9 | 31.03% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 327 | 1830 | 5807 | 1465 | 162 | 11.06% | 672 | 45.87% | 506 | 34.54% | 116 | 7.92% |
| Precinct 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 329 | 3593 | 9725 | 2836 | 282 | 9.94% | 1481 | 52.22% | 880 | 31.03% | 171 | 6.03% |
| Precinct 331 | 936 | 3221 | 700 | 71 | 10.14% | 332 | 47.43% | 219 | 31.29% | 66 | 9.43% |
| Precinct 332 | 786 | 3633 | 624 | 50 | 8.01% | 317 | 50.80% | 199 | 31.89% | 53 | 8.49% |
| Precinct 333 | 1139 | 4964 | 886 | 60 | 6.77% | 500 | 56.43% | 269 | 30.36% | 54 | 6.09% |
| Precinct 335 | 1831 | 3956 | 1420 | 150 | 10.56% | 644 | 45.35% | 450 | 31.69% | 171 | 12.04% |
| Precinct 336 | 1397 | 3938 | 1084 | 141 | 13.01% | 487 | 44.93% | 346 | 31.92% | 103 | 9.50% |
| Precinct 337 | 437 | 2024 | 324 | 50 | 15.43% | 157 | 48.46% | 84 | 25.93% | 31 | 9.57% |
| Precinct 338 | 1603 | 7242 | 1292 | 214 | 16.56% | 540 | 41.80% | 380 | 29.41% | 153 | 11.84% |
| Precinct 339 | 2070 | 7214 | 1531 | 257 | 16.79% | 673 | 43.96% | 428 | 27.96% | 159 | 10.39% |
| Precinct 340 | 3416 | 8452 | 2529 | 364 | 14.39% | 1121 | 44.33% | 756 | 29.89% | 271 | 10.72% |
| Precinct 341 | 42 | 104 | 30 | 7 | 23.33% | 10 | 33.33% | 3 | 10.00% | 8 | 26.67% |
| Precinct 342 | 19 | 40 | 18 | 0 | 0.00% | 9 | 50.00% | 8 | 44.44% | 1 | 5.56% |
| Precinct 343 | 1274 | 3365 | 997 | 117 | 11.74% | 464 | 46.54% | 325 | 32.60% | 85 | 8.53% |
| Precinct 344 | 1314 | 4074 | 995 | 124 | 12.46% | 493 | 49.55% | 261 | 26.23% | 109 | 10.95% |
| Precinct 346 | 95 | 236 | 75 | 13 | 17.33% | 25 | 33.33% | 15 | 20.00% | 22 | 29.33% |
| Precinct 348 | 10 | 36 | 9 | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 11.11% | 8 | 88.89% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 349 | 527 | 1343 | 425 | 42 | 9.88% | 207 | 48.71% | 141 | 33.18% | 29 | 6.82% |
| Precinct 350 | 3059 | 8663 | 2405 | 238 | 9.90% | 1247 | 51.85% | 753 | 31.31% | 155 | 6.44% |
| Precinct 351 | 916 | 4557 | 699 | 88 | 12.59% | 319 | 45.64% | 223 | 31.90% | 60 | 8.58% |
| Precinct 352 | 388 | 1940 | 308 | 17 | 5.52% | 185 | 60.06% | 93 | 30.19% | 10 | 3.25% |
| Precinct 353 | 1203 | 2812 | 917 | 56 | 6.11% | 490 | 53.44% | 301 | 32.82% | 61 | 6.65% |
| Precinct 354 | 1015 | 2289 | 785 | 73 | 9.30% | 406 | 51.72% | 258 | 32.87% | 44 | 5.61% |
| Precinct 355 | 720 | 1754 | 548 | 37 | 6.75% | 302 | 55.11% | 176 | 32.12% | 29 | 5.29% |
| Precinct 356 | 749 | 2429 | 570 | 53 | 9.30% | 263 | 46.14% | 186 | 32.63% | 64 | 11.23% |
| Precinct 357 | 318 | 1309 | 251 | 26 | 10.36% | 118 | 47.01% | 74 | 29.48% | 28 | 11.16% |
| Precinct 358 | 1245 | 3318 | 997 | 103 | 10.33% | 489 | 49.05% | 331 | 33.20% | 71 | 7.12% |
| Precinct 359 | 972 | 3032 | 770 | 85 | 11.04% | 393 | 51.04% | 251 | 32.60% | 37 | 4.81% |
| Precinct 360 | 1138 | 3939 | 894 | 72 | 8.05% | 434 | 48.55% | 294 | 32.89% | 84 | 9.40% |
| Precinct 361 | 686 | 2907 | 557 | 58 | 10.41% | 289 | 51.89% | 188 | 33.75% | 21 | 3.77% |
| Precinct 362 | 3279 | 7792 | 2517 | 225 | 8.94% | 1302 | 51.73% | 772 | 30.67% | 204 | 8.10% |
| Precinct 363 | 1478 | 3703 | 1104 | 75 | 6.79% | 591 | 53.53% | 363 | 32.88% | 70 | 6.34% |
| Precinct 364 | 2274 | 5132 | 1680 | 151 | 8.99% | 785 | 46.73% | 630 | 37.50% | 110 | 6.55% |
| Precinct 365 | 2652 | 5271 | 2002 | 119 | 5.94% | 1034 | 51.65% | 719 | 35.91% | 124 | 6.19% |
| Precinct 366 | 663 | 2120 | 490 | 36 | 7.35% | 251 | 51.22% | 179 | 36.53% | 22 | 4.49% |
| Precinct 367 | 2001 | 5157 | 1450 | 140 | 9.66% | 624 | 43.03% | 554 | 38.21% | 120 | 8.28% |
| Precinct 368 | 1775 | 4709 | 1321 | 137 | 10.37% | 679 | 51.40% | 422 | 31.95% | 79 | 5.98% |
| Precinct 369 | 871 | 2097 | 652 | 67 | 10.28% | 274 | 42.02% | 251 | 38.50% | 53 | 8.13% |
| Precinct 370 | 1079 | 2569 | 809 | 87 | 10.75% | 394 | 48.70% | 256 | 31.64% | 70 | 8.65% |
| Precinct 371 | 1150 | 2543 | 862 | 78 | 9.05% | 438 | 50.81% | 270 | 31.32% | 71 | 8.24% |
| Precinct 372 | 1004 | 1945 | 758 | 32 | 4.22% | 401 | 52.90% | 279 | 36.81% | 41 | 5.41% |
| Precinct 374 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 2 | 50.00% | 2 | 50.00% |
| Precinct 375 | 143 | 315 | 105 | 6 | 5.71% | 56 | 53.33% | 41 | 39.05% | 2 | 1.90% |
| Precinct 376 | 973 | 2209 | 734 | 97 | 13.22% | 359 | 48.91% | 228 | 31.06% | 45 | 6.13% |
| Precinct 377 | 1293 | 2770 | 935 | 77 | 8.24% | 432 | 46.20% | 357 | 38.18% | 67 | 7.17% |

Ballots Cast per Contest with Precincts
Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary

All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes
Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Council President (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Gregory A Horner | | Lynn Peterson | | Alisa Pyszka | | Gary Lyndon Dye | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|--------|---------------|--------|--------------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| Precinct 378 | 479 | 1097 | 378 | 26 | 6.88% | 213 | 56.35% | 120 | 31.75% | 17 | 4.50% |
| Precinct 379 | 1902 | 5129 | 1496 | 144 | 9.63% | 767 | 51.27% | 464 | 31.02% | 117 | 7.82% |
| Precinct 380 | 1640 | 3555 | 1305 | 141 | 10.80% | 672 | 51.49% | 392 | 30.04% | 99 | 7.59% |
| Precinct 381 | 162 | 439 | 129 | 3 | 2.33% | 78 | 60.47% | 38 | 29.46% | 10 | 7.75% |
| Precinct 382 | 1616 | 5993 | 1263 | 143 | 11.32% | 568 | 44.97% | 410 | 32.46% | 124 | 9.82% |
| Precinct 383 | 817 | 1901 | 637 | 54 | 8.48% | 343 | 53.85% | 213 | 33.44% | 26 | 4.08% |
| Precinct 384 | 2534 | 5435 | 1865 | 122 | 6.54% | 1039 | 55.71% | 593 | 31.80% | 104 | 5.58% |
| Precinct 385 | 475 | 890 | 336 | 34 | 10.12% | 169 | 50.30% | 113 | 33.63% | 17 | 5.06% |
| Precinct 386 | 1193 | 2262 | 891 | 56 | 6.29% | 478 | 53.65% | 314 | 35.24% | 40 | 4.49% |
| Precinct 387 | 6 | 31 | 6 | 0 | 0.00% | 2 | 33.33% | 3 | 50.00% | 1 | 16.67% |
| Precinct 388 | 100 | 382 | 81 | 5 | 6.17% | 33 | 40.74% | 30 | 37.04% | 12 | 14.81% |
| Precinct 389 | 3576 | 9277 | 2734 | 241 | 8.81% | 1303 | 47.66% | 981 | 35.88% | 190 | 6.95% |
| Precinct 390 | 1239 | 2584 | 904 | 102 | 11.28% | 424 | 46.90% | 328 | 36.28% | 49 | 5.42% |
| Precinct 391 | 169 | 588 | 136 | 9 | 6.62% | 71 | 52.21% | 49 | 36.03% | 5 | 3.68% |
| Precinct 392 | 46 | 148 | 37 | 1 | 2.70% | 20 | 54.05% | 14 | 37.84% | 2 | 5.41% |
| Precinct 393 | 383 | 700 | 297 | 15 | 5.05% | 160 | 53.87% | 103 | 34.68% | 18 | 6.06% |
| Precinct 394 | 80 | 298 | 50 | 4 | 8.00% | 24 | 48.00% | 19 | 38.00% | 3 | 6.00% |
| Precinct 395 | 2460 | 6868 | 1849 | 146 | 7.90% | 916 | 49.54% | 644 | 34.83% | 129 | 6.98% |
| Precinct 396 | 464 | 91 | 366 | 28 | 7.65% | 163 | 44.54% | 142 | 38.80% | 29 | 7.92% |
| Precinct 397 | 1401 | 3516 | 1075 | 126 | 11.72% | 446 | 41.49% | 394 | 36.65% | 101 | 9.40% |
| Precinct 398 | 888 | 2135 | 621 | 72 | 11.59% | 260 | 41.87% | 221 | 35.59% | 64 | 10.31% |
| Precinct 399 | 1090 | 2833 | 841 | 83 | 9.87% | 399 | 47.44% | 275 | 32.70% | 76 | 9.04% |
| Precinct 400 | 1554 | 4782 | 1166 | 120 | 10.29% | 562 | 48.20% | 396 | 33.96% | 81 | 6.95% |
| Precinct 401 | 1086 | 2039 | 839 | 35 | 4.17% | 461 | 54.95% | 285 | 33.97% | 55 | 6.56% |
| Precinct 402 | 3149 | 7865 | 2377 | 262 | 11.02% | 1038 | 43.67% | 837 | 35.21% | 222 | 9.34% |
| Precinct 403 | 684 | 1639 | 487 | 34 | 6.98% | 231 | 47.43% | 178 | 36.55% | 41 | 8.42% |
| Precinct 404 | 2494 | 7354 | 1990 | 180 | 9.05% | 989 | 49.70% | 648 | 32.56% | 160 | 8.04% |
| Precinct 405 | 4058 | 9155 | 3007 | 289 | 9.61% | 1443 | 47.99% | 1015 | 33.75% | 245 | 8.15% |
| Precinct 406 | 835 | 2497 | 667 | 34 | 5.10% | 356 | 53.37% | 240 | 35.98% | 34 | 5.10% |
| Precinct 407 | 497 | 911 | 352 | 24 | 6.82% | 199 | 56.53% | 116 | 32.95% | 12 | 3.41% |
| Precinct 408 | 195 | 423 | 157 | 13 | 8.28% | 78 | 49.68% | 56 | 35.67% | 10 | 6.37% |
| Precinct 409 | 2113 | 5853 | 1621 | 137 | 8.45% | 796 | 49.11% | 559 | 34.48% | 119 | 7.34% |
| Precinct 410 | 581 | 1716 | 427 | 42 | 9.84% | 188 | 44.03% | 150 | 35.13% | 38 | 8.90% |
| Precinct 411 | 316 | 1266 | 248 | 14 | 5.65% | 119 | 47.98% | 94 | 37.90% | 21 | 8.47% |
| Precinct 412 | 931 | 2221 | 677 | 67 | 9.90% | 303 | 44.76% | 243 | 35.89% | 58 | 8.57% |
| Precinct 413 | 733 | 1822 | 544 | 59 | 10.85% | 253 | 46.51% | 200 | 36.76% | 31 | 5.70% |
| Precinct 414 | 252 | 602 | 183 | 17 | 9.29% | 91 | 49.73% | 62 | 33.88% | 12 | 6.56% |
| Precinct 415 | 355 | 1200 | 270 | 35 | 12.96% | 120 | 44.44% | 90 | 33.33% | 23 | 8.52% |
| Precinct 416 | 125 | 506 | 92 | 10 | 10.87% | 35 | 38.04% | 38 | 41.30% | 9 | 9.78% |
| Precinct 417 | 188 | 540 | 149 | 14 | 9.40% | 96 | 64.43% | 29 | 19.46% | 10 | 6.71% |
| Precinct 418 | 1532 | 3723 | 1206 | 116 | 9.62% | 593 | 49.17% | 390 | 32.34% | 101 | 8.37% |
| Precinct 419 | 1192 | 2082 | 898 | 110 | 12.25% | 447 | 49.78% | 267 | 29.73% | 61 | 6.79% |
| Precinct 420 | 1694 | 4127 | 1269 | 117 | 9.22% | 563 | 44.37% | 466 | 36.72% | 113 | 8.90% |
| Precinct 421 | 195 | 637 | 141 | 8 | 5.67% | 58 | 41.13% | 66 | 46.81% | 8 | 5.67% |
| Precinct 422 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 40.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 20.00% | 2 | 40.00% |
| Precinct 423 | 1238 | 4259 | 947 | 91 | 9.61% | 459 | 48.47% | 328 | 34.64% | 65 | 6.86% |
| Precinct 424 | 2086 | 5558 | 1585 | 210 | 13.25% | 589 | 37.16% | 624 | 39.37% | 144 | 9.09% |
| Precinct 425 | 27 | 76 | 19 | 8 | 42.11% | 2 | 10.53% | 7 | 36.84% | 2 | 10.53% |
| Precinct 426 | 32 | 74 | 24 | 3 | 12.50% | 7 | 29.17% | 11 | 45.83% | 3 | 12.50% |
| Precinct 427 | 371 | 1374 | 279 | 21 | 7.53% | 117 | 41.94% | 112 | 40.14% | 28 | 10.04% |
| Precinct 428 | 580 | 1938 | 447 | 44 | 9.84% | 220 | 49.22% | 149 | 33.33% | 29 | 6.49% |
| Precinct 429 | 1167 | 3712 | 891 | 103 | 11.56% | 399 | 44.78% | 296 | 33.22% | 89 | 9.99% |
| Precinct 432 | 104 | 403 | 82 | 6 | 7.32% | 33 | 40.24% | 34 | 41.46% | 8 | 9.76% |
| Precinct 433 | 1099 | 2664 | 837 | 95 | 11.35% | 367 | 43.85% | 305 | 36.44% | 66 | 7.89% |
| Precinct 434 | 2102 | 5748 | 1547 | 172 | 11.12% | 728 | 47.06% | 500 | 32.32% | 135 | 8.73% |
| Precinct 435 | 1816 | 4786 | 1375 | 166 | 12.07% | 556 | 40.44% | 505 | 36.73% | 142 | 10.33% |
| Precinct 436 | 1084 | 2553 | 828 | 89 | 10.75% | 290 | 35.02% | 363 | 43.84% | 81 | 9.78% |
| Precinct 437 | 39 | 79 | 32 | 3 | 9.38% | 22 | 68.75% | 4 | 12.50% | 3 | 9.38% |
| Precinct 438 | 254 | 618 | 180 | 25 | 13.89% | 59 | 32.78% | 62 | 34.44% | 29 | 16.11% |
| Precinct 439 | 656 | 2310 | 500 | 32 | 6.40% | 232 | 46.40% | 191 | 38.20% | 42 | 8.40% |
| Precinct 440 | 557 | 2040 | 450 | 48 | 10.67% | 198 | 44.00% | 157 | 34.89% | 47 | 10.44% |
| Precinct 441 | 30 | 82 | 28 | 0 | 0.00% | 16 | 57.14% | 12 | 42.86% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 442 | 1062 | 3250 | 765 | 76 | 9.93% | 283 | 36.99% | 319 | 41.70% | 83 | 10.85% |

Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary

All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes

Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Council President (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Gregory A Horner | | Lynn Peterson | | Alisa Pyszka | | Gary Lyndon Dye | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|--------|---------------|--------|--------------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| Precinct 444 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 445 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 446 | 28 | 199 | 24 | 3 | 12.50% | 14 | 58.33% | 7 | 29.17% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 448 | 380 | 914 | 290 | 27 | 9.31% | 141 | 48.62% | 101 | 34.83% | 21 | 7.24% |
| Precinct 451 | 434 | 1436 | 342 | 24 | 7.02% | 169 | 49.42% | 115 | 33.63% | 31 | 9.06% |
| Precinct 452 | 61 | 138 | 41 | 3 | 7.32% | 16 | 39.02% | 11 | 26.83% | 10 | 24.39% |
| Precinct 453 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 25.00% | 1 | 12.50% | 4 | 50.00% | 1 | 12.50% |
| Precinct 454 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Precinct 455 | 691 | 2162 | 555 | 59 | 10.63% | 284 | 51.17% | 175 | 31.53% | 34 | 6.13% |
| Total | 129951 | 355381 | 99090 | 9988 | 10.08% | 47409 | 47.84% | 33052 | 33.36% | 8014 | 8.09% |



I, Joe Nelson, Director of Assessment and Taxation and Ex-Officio County Clerk for Washington County, do hereby certify this to be a true and correct copy of the original.

Date: June 13, 2022
 By: [Signature]
[Signature]

Ballots Cast per Contest with Precincts
Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary

All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes
Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Council President (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Write-in | | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|-------|---------------|----------------|
| Precinct 302 | 1257 | 3869 | 937 | 11 | 1.17% | 0 | 320 |
| Precinct 303 | 82 | 194 | 64 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 18 |
| Precinct 304 | 523 | 1473 | 412 | 3 | 0.73% | 0 | 111 |
| Precinct 306 | 252 | 768 | 183 | 2 | 1.09% | 0 | 69 |
| Precinct 307 | 2173 | 6280 | 1711 | 12 | 0.70% | 0 | 462 |
| Precinct 309 | 2102 | 5545 | 1619 | 16 | 0.99% | 2 | 481 |
| Precinct 310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 312 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 313 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 3 |
| Precinct 314 | 12 | 20 | 12 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 317 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 318 | 1745 | 5228 | 1323 | 4 | 0.30% | 0 | 422 |
| Precinct 319 | 2133 | 7019 | 1646 | 7 | 0.43% | 0 | 487 |
| Precinct 320 | 829 | 2399 | 609 | 4 | 0.66% | 0 | 220 |
| Precinct 322 | 24 | 91 | 22 | 1 | 4.55% | 0 | 2 |
| Precinct 323 | 13 | 28 | 9 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 4 |
| Precinct 325 | 35 | 263 | 29 | 1 | 3.45% | 0 | 6 |
| Precinct 327 | 1830 | 5807 | 1465 | 9 | 0.61% | 0 | 365 |
| Precinct 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 329 | 3593 | 9725 | 2836 | 22 | 0.78% | 0 | 757 |
| Precinct 331 | 936 | 3221 | 700 | 12 | 1.71% | 0 | 236 |
| Precinct 332 | 786 | 3633 | 624 | 5 | 0.80% | 0 | 162 |
| Precinct 333 | 1139 | 4964 | 886 | 3 | 0.34% | 0 | 253 |
| Precinct 335 | 1831 | 3956 | 1420 | 5 | 0.35% | 0 | 411 |
| Precinct 336 | 1397 | 3938 | 1084 | 7 | 0.65% | 0 | 313 |
| Precinct 337 | 437 | 2024 | 324 | 2 | 0.62% | 0 | 113 |
| Precinct 338 | 1603 | 7242 | 1292 | 5 | 0.39% | 0 | 311 |
| Precinct 339 | 2070 | 7214 | 1531 | 14 | 0.91% | 0 | 539 |
| Precinct 340 | 3416 | 8452 | 2529 | 17 | 0.67% | 1 | 886 |
| Precinct 341 | 42 | 104 | 30 | 2 | 6.67% | 0 | 12 |
| Precinct 342 | 19 | 40 | 18 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 1 |
| Precinct 343 | 1274 | 3365 | 997 | 6 | 0.60% | 0 | 277 |
| Precinct 344 | 1314 | 4074 | 995 | 8 | 0.80% | 1 | 318 |
| Precinct 346 | 95 | 236 | 75 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 20 |
| Precinct 348 | 10 | 36 | 9 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 1 |
| Precinct 349 | 527 | 1343 | 425 | 6 | 1.41% | 0 | 102 |
| Precinct 350 | 3059 | 8663 | 2405 | 12 | 0.50% | 0 | 654 |
| Precinct 351 | 916 | 4557 | 699 | 9 | 1.29% | 0 | 217 |
| Precinct 352 | 388 | 1940 | 308 | 3 | 0.97% | 0 | 80 |
| Precinct 353 | 1203 | 2812 | 917 | 9 | 0.98% | 0 | 286 |
| Precinct 354 | 1015 | 2289 | 785 | 4 | 0.51% | 0 | 230 |
| Precinct 355 | 720 | 1754 | 548 | 4 | 0.73% | 0 | 172 |
| Precinct 356 | 749 | 2429 | 570 | 4 | 0.70% | 0 | 179 |
| Precinct 357 | 318 | 1309 | 251 | 5 | 1.99% | 0 | 67 |
| Precinct 358 | 1245 | 3318 | 997 | 3 | 0.30% | 1 | 247 |
| Precinct 359 | 972 | 3032 | 770 | 4 | 0.52% | 0 | 202 |
| Precinct 360 | 1138 | 3939 | 894 | 10 | 1.12% | 0 | 244 |
| Precinct 361 | 686 | 2907 | 557 | 1 | 0.18% | 0 | 129 |
| Precinct 362 | 3279 | 7792 | 2517 | 14 | 0.56% | 1 | 761 |
| Precinct 363 | 1478 | 3703 | 1104 | 5 | 0.45% | 0 | 374 |
| Precinct 364 | 2274 | 5132 | 1680 | 4 | 0.24% | 0 | 594 |
| Precinct 365 | 2652 | 5271 | 2002 | 6 | 0.30% | 0 | 650 |
| Precinct 366 | 663 | 2120 | 490 | 2 | 0.41% | 0 | 173 |
| Precinct 367 | 2001 | 5157 | 1450 | 12 | 0.83% | 0 | 551 |
| Precinct 368 | 1775 | 4709 | 1321 | 4 | 0.30% | 0 | 454 |
| Precinct 369 | 871 | 2097 | 652 | 7 | 1.07% | 0 | 219 |
| Precinct 370 | 1079 | 2569 | 809 | 2 | 0.25% | 0 | 270 |
| Precinct 371 | 1150 | 2543 | 862 | 5 | 0.58% | 0 | 288 |
| Precinct 372 | 1004 | 1945 | 758 | 5 | 0.66% | 0 | 246 |
| Precinct 374 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 5 |
| Precinct 375 | 143 | 315 | 105 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 38 |
| Precinct 376 | 973 | 2209 | 734 | 5 | 0.68% | 0 | 239 |
| Precinct 377 | 1293 | 2770 | 935 | 2 | 0.21% | 0 | 358 |

Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary

All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes

Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Council President (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Write-in | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|---------------|----------------|
| Precinct 378 | 479 | 1097 | 378 | 2 0.53% | 0 | 101 |
| Precinct 379 | 1902 | 5129 | 1496 | 4 0.27% | 0 | 406 |
| Precinct 380 | 1640 | 3555 | 1305 | 1 0.08% | 0 | 335 |
| Precinct 381 | 162 | 439 | 129 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 33 |
| Precinct 382 | 1616 | 5993 | 1263 | 18 1.43% | 0 | 353 |
| Precinct 383 | 817 | 1901 | 637 | 1 0.16% | 0 | 180 |
| Precinct 384 | 2534 | 5435 | 1865 | 7 0.38% | 0 | 669 |
| Precinct 385 | 475 | 890 | 336 | 3 0.89% | 0 | 139 |
| Precinct 386 | 1193 | 2262 | 891 | 3 0.34% | 0 | 302 |
| Precinct 387 | 6 | 31 | 6 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 388 | 100 | 382 | 81 | 1 1.23% | 0 | 19 |
| Precinct 389 | 3576 | 9277 | 2734 | 19 0.69% | 0 | 842 |
| Precinct 390 | 1239 | 2584 | 904 | 1 0.11% | 1 | 334 |
| Precinct 391 | 169 | 588 | 136 | 2 1.47% | 0 | 33 |
| Precinct 392 | 46 | 148 | 37 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 9 |
| Precinct 393 | 383 | 700 | 297 | 1 0.34% | 0 | 86 |
| Precinct 394 | 80 | 298 | 50 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 30 |
| Precinct 395 | 2460 | 6868 | 1849 | 14 0.76% | 1 | 610 |
| Precinct 396 | 464 | 91 | 366 | 4 1.09% | 0 | 98 |
| Precinct 397 | 1401 | 3516 | 1075 | 8 0.74% | 0 | 326 |
| Precinct 398 | 888 | 2135 | 621 | 4 0.64% | 0 | 267 |
| Precinct 399 | 1090 | 2833 | 841 | 8 0.95% | 0 | 249 |
| Precinct 400 | 1554 | 4782 | 1166 | 7 0.60% | 0 | 388 |
| Precinct 401 | 1086 | 2039 | 839 | 3 0.36% | 1 | 246 |
| Precinct 402 | 3149 | 7865 | 2377 | 18 0.76% | 0 | 772 |
| Precinct 403 | 684 | 1639 | 487 | 3 0.62% | 0 | 197 |
| Precinct 404 | 2494 | 7354 | 1990 | 13 0.65% | 1 | 503 |
| Precinct 405 | 4058 | 9155 | 3007 | 15 0.50% | 0 | 1051 |
| Precinct 406 | 835 | 2497 | 667 | 3 0.45% | 1 | 167 |
| Precinct 407 | 497 | 911 | 352 | 1 0.28% | 0 | 145 |
| Precinct 408 | 195 | 423 | 157 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 38 |
| Precinct 409 | 2113 | 5853 | 1621 | 10 0.62% | 0 | 492 |
| Precinct 410 | 581 | 1716 | 427 | 9 2.11% | 0 | 154 |
| Precinct 411 | 316 | 1266 | 248 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 68 |
| Precinct 412 | 931 | 2221 | 677 | 6 0.89% | 0 | 254 |
| Precinct 413 | 733 | 1822 | 544 | 1 0.18% | 0 | 189 |
| Precinct 414 | 252 | 602 | 183 | 1 0.55% | 0 | 69 |
| Precinct 415 | 355 | 1200 | 270 | 2 0.74% | 0 | 85 |
| Precinct 416 | 125 | 506 | 92 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 33 |
| Precinct 417 | 188 | 540 | 149 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 39 |
| Precinct 418 | 1532 | 3723 | 1206 | 6 0.50% | 0 | 326 |
| Precinct 419 | 1192 | 2082 | 898 | 13 1.45% | 0 | 294 |
| Precinct 420 | 1694 | 4127 | 1269 | 10 0.79% | 0 | 425 |
| Precinct 421 | 195 | 637 | 141 | 1 0.71% | 0 | 54 |
| Precinct 422 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 1 |
| Precinct 423 | 1238 | 4259 | 947 | 4 0.42% | 0 | 291 |
| Precinct 424 | 2086 | 5558 | 1585 | 18 1.14% | 0 | 501 |
| Precinct 425 | 27 | 76 | 19 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 8 |
| Precinct 426 | 32 | 74 | 24 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 8 |
| Precinct 427 | 371 | 1374 | 279 | 1 0.36% | 0 | 92 |
| Precinct 428 | 580 | 1938 | 447 | 5 1.12% | 0 | 133 |
| Precinct 429 | 1167 | 3712 | 891 | 4 0.45% | 0 | 276 |
| Precinct 432 | 104 | 403 | 82 | 1 1.22% | 0 | 22 |
| Precinct 433 | 1099 | 2664 | 837 | 4 0.48% | 0 | 262 |
| Precinct 434 | 2102 | 5748 | 1547 | 12 0.78% | 0 | 555 |
| Precinct 435 | 1816 | 4786 | 1375 | 6 0.44% | 1 | 440 |
| Precinct 436 | 1084 | 2553 | 828 | 5 0.60% | 0 | 256 |
| Precinct 437 | 39 | 79 | 32 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 7 |
| Precinct 438 | 254 | 618 | 180 | 5 2.78% | 0 | 74 |
| Precinct 439 | 656 | 2310 | 500 | 3 0.60% | 0 | 156 |
| Precinct 440 | 557 | 2040 | 450 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 107 |
| Precinct 441 | 30 | 82 | 28 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 2 |
| Precinct 442 | 1062 | 3250 | 765 | 4 0.52% | 0 | 297 |

Ballots Cast per Contest with Precincts
 Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary
 All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes
 Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Council President (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Write-in | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|------------|--------------|
| Precinct 444 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 445 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 446 | 28 | 199 | 24 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 4 |
| Precinct 448 | 380 | 914 | 290 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 90 |
| Precinct 451 | 434 | 1436 | 342 | 3 0.88% | 0 | 92 |
| Precinct 452 | 61 | 138 | 41 | 1 2.44% | 0 | 20 |
| Precinct 453 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 454 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 455 | 691 | 2162 | 555 | 3 0.54% | 0 | 136 |
| Total | 129951 | 355381 | 99090 | 627 0.63% | 12 | 30849 |



I, Joe Nelson, Director of Assessment and Taxation and Ex-Officio County Clerk for Washington County, do hereby certify this to be a true and correct copy of the original.

Date: June 13, 2022
 By: [Signature]

Ballots Cast per Contest with Precincts
 Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary

All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes
 Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Auditor (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Brian Evans | | Write-in | | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|----------|--------|------------|-------------|
| Precinct 302 | 1257 | 3869 | 667 | 654 | 98.05% | 13 | 1.95% | 0 | 590 |
| Precinct 303 | 82 | 194 | 34 | 34 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 48 |
| Precinct 304 | 523 | 1473 | 293 | 291 | 99.32% | 2 | 0.68% | 0 | 230 |
| Precinct 306 | 252 | 768 | 134 | 132 | 98.51% | 2 | 1.49% | 0 | 118 |
| Precinct 307 | 2173 | 6280 | 1282 | 1246 | 97.19% | 36 | 2.81% | 0 | 891 |
| Precinct 309 | 2102 | 5545 | 1134 | 1123 | 99.03% | 11 | 0.97% | 0 | 968 |
| Precinct 310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 312 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 313 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 3 |
| Precinct 314 | 12 | 20 | 7 | 7 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 5 |
| Precinct 317 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 3 |
| Precinct 318 | 1745 | 5228 | 999 | 985 | 98.60% | 14 | 1.40% | 0 | 746 |
| Precinct 319 | 2133 | 7019 | 1244 | 1230 | 98.87% | 14 | 1.13% | 0 | 889 |
| Precinct 320 | 829 | 2399 | 469 | 464 | 98.93% | 5 | 1.07% | 0 | 360 |
| Precinct 322 | 24 | 91 | 9 | 9 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 15 |
| Precinct 323 | 13 | 28 | 6 | 6 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 7 |
| Precinct 325 | 35 | 263 | 26 | 25 | 96.15% | 1 | 3.85% | 0 | 9 |
| Precinct 327 | 1830 | 5807 | 1105 | 1086 | 98.28% | 19 | 1.72% | 0 | 725 |
| Precinct 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 329 | 3593 | 9725 | 2070 | 2026 | 97.87% | 44 | 2.13% | 0 | 1523 |
| Precinct 331 | 936 | 3221 | 519 | 505 | 97.30% | 14 | 2.70% | 0 | 417 |
| Precinct 332 | 786 | 3633 | 487 | 479 | 98.36% | 8 | 1.64% | 0 | 299 |
| Precinct 333 | 1139 | 4964 | 713 | 704 | 98.74% | 9 | 1.26% | 0 | 426 |
| Precinct 335 | 1831 | 3956 | 1041 | 1029 | 98.85% | 12 | 1.15% | 0 | 790 |
| Precinct 336 | 1397 | 3938 | 806 | 790 | 98.01% | 16 | 1.99% | 0 | 591 |
| Precinct 337 | 437 | 2024 | 262 | 258 | 98.47% | 4 | 1.53% | 0 | 175 |
| Precinct 338 | 1603 | 7242 | 989 | 977 | 98.79% | 12 | 1.21% | 0 | 614 |
| Precinct 339 | 2070 | 7214 | 1172 | 1145 | 97.70% | 27 | 2.30% | 0 | 898 |
| Precinct 340 | 3416 | 8452 | 1845 | 1806 | 97.89% | 39 | 2.11% | 0 | 1571 |
| Precinct 341 | 42 | 104 | 19 | 17 | 89.47% | 2 | 10.53% | 0 | 23 |
| Precinct 342 | 19 | 40 | 16 | 16 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 3 |
| Precinct 343 | 1274 | 3365 | 737 | 730 | 99.05% | 7 | 0.95% | 0 | 537 |
| Precinct 344 | 1314 | 4074 | 711 | 694 | 97.61% | 17 | 2.39% | 0 | 603 |
| Precinct 346 | 95 | 236 | 47 | 44 | 93.62% | 3 | 6.38% | 0 | 48 |
| Precinct 348 | 10 | 36 | 4 | 4 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 6 |
| Precinct 349 | 527 | 1343 | 308 | 292 | 94.81% | 16 | 5.19% | 0 | 219 |
| Precinct 350 | 3059 | 8663 | 1724 | 1698 | 98.49% | 26 | 1.51% | 0 | 1335 |
| Precinct 351 | 916 | 4557 | 522 | 509 | 97.51% | 13 | 2.49% | 0 | 394 |
| Precinct 352 | 388 | 1940 | 216 | 212 | 98.15% | 4 | 1.85% | 0 | 172 |
| Precinct 353 | 1203 | 2812 | 597 | 581 | 97.32% | 16 | 2.68% | 0 | 606 |
| Precinct 354 | 1015 | 2289 | 584 | 576 | 98.63% | 8 | 1.37% | 0 | 431 |
| Precinct 355 | 720 | 1754 | 385 | 383 | 99.48% | 2 | 0.52% | 0 | 335 |
| Precinct 356 | 749 | 2429 | 411 | 404 | 98.30% | 7 | 1.70% | 0 | 338 |
| Precinct 357 | 318 | 1309 | 171 | 169 | 98.83% | 2 | 1.17% | 0 | 147 |
| Precinct 358 | 1245 | 3318 | 696 | 691 | 99.28% | 5 | 0.72% | 0 | 549 |
| Precinct 359 | 972 | 3032 | 604 | 596 | 98.68% | 8 | 1.32% | 0 | 368 |
| Precinct 360 | 1138 | 3939 | 667 | 656 | 98.35% | 11 | 1.65% | 0 | 471 |
| Precinct 361 | 686 | 2907 | 414 | 410 | 99.03% | 4 | 0.97% | 0 | 272 |
| Precinct 362 | 3279 | 7792 | 1840 | 1817 | 98.75% | 23 | 1.25% | 0 | 1439 |
| Precinct 363 | 1478 | 3703 | 790 | 781 | 98.86% | 9 | 1.14% | 0 | 688 |
| Precinct 364 | 2274 | 5132 | 1157 | 1143 | 98.79% | 14 | 1.21% | 0 | 1117 |
| Precinct 365 | 2652 | 5271 | 1358 | 1343 | 98.90% | 15 | 1.10% | 0 | 1294 |
| Precinct 366 | 663 | 2120 | 345 | 338 | 97.97% | 7 | 2.03% | 0 | 318 |
| Precinct 367 | 2001 | 5157 | 1091 | 1072 | 98.26% | 19 | 1.74% | 0 | 910 |
| Precinct 368 | 1775 | 4709 | 984 | 977 | 99.29% | 7 | 0.71% | 0 | 791 |
| Precinct 369 | 871 | 2097 | 453 | 449 | 99.12% | 4 | 0.88% | 0 | 418 |
| Precinct 370 | 1079 | 2569 | 589 | 580 | 98.47% | 9 | 1.53% | 0 | 490 |
| Precinct 371 | 1150 | 2543 | 649 | 642 | 98.92% | 7 | 1.08% | 0 | 501 |
| Precinct 372 | 1004 | 1945 | 539 | 529 | 98.14% | 10 | 1.86% | 0 | 465 |
| Precinct 374 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 7 |
| Precinct 375 | 143 | 315 | 68 | 68 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 75 |
| Precinct 376 | 973 | 2209 | 506 | 498 | 98.42% | 8 | 1.58% | 0 | 467 |
| Precinct 377 | 1293 | 2770 | 689 | 686 | 99.56% | 3 | 0.44% | 0 | 604 |

Ballots Cast per Contest with Precincts
Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary

All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes
Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Auditor (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Brian Evans | | Write-in | | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|----------|-------|------------|-------------|
| Precinct 378 | 479 | 1097 | 256 | 254 | 99.22% | 2 | 0.78% | 0 | 223 |
| Precinct 379 | 1902 | 5129 | 1084 | 1069 | 98.62% | 15 | 1.38% | 0 | 818 |
| Precinct 380 | 1640 | 3555 | 861 | 855 | 99.30% | 6 | 0.70% | 0 | 779 |
| Precinct 381 | 162 | 439 | 88 | 88 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 74 |
| Precinct 382 | 1616 | 5993 | 941 | 922 | 97.98% | 19 | 2.02% | 0 | 675 |
| Precinct 383 | 817 | 1901 | 443 | 439 | 99.10% | 4 | 0.90% | 0 | 374 |
| Precinct 384 | 2534 | 5435 | 1347 | 1337 | 99.26% | 10 | 0.74% | 0 | 1187 |
| Precinct 385 | 475 | 890 | 220 | 219 | 99.55% | 1 | 0.45% | 0 | 255 |
| Precinct 386 | 1193 | 2262 | 590 | 585 | 99.15% | 5 | 0.85% | 0 | 603 |
| Precinct 387 | 6 | 31 | 5 | 5 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 1 |
| Precinct 388 | 100 | 382 | 62 | 59 | 95.16% | 3 | 4.84% | 0 | 38 |
| Precinct 389 | 3576 | 9277 | 2002 | 1964 | 98.10% | 38 | 1.90% | 0 | 1574 |
| Precinct 390 | 1239 | 2584 | 706 | 700 | 99.15% | 6 | 0.85% | 0 | 533 |
| Precinct 391 | 169 | 588 | 91 | 88 | 96.70% | 3 | 3.30% | 0 | 78 |
| Precinct 392 | 46 | 148 | 25 | 25 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 21 |
| Precinct 393 | 383 | 700 | 212 | 208 | 98.11% | 4 | 1.89% | 0 | 171 |
| Precinct 394 | 80 | 298 | 45 | 45 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 35 |
| Precinct 395 | 2460 | 6868 | 1384 | 1364 | 98.55% | 20 | 1.45% | 0 | 1076 |
| Precinct 396 | 464 | 91 | 266 | 261 | 98.12% | 5 | 1.88% | 0 | 198 |
| Precinct 397 | 1401 | 3516 | 760 | 743 | 97.76% | 17 | 2.24% | 0 | 641 |
| Precinct 398 | 888 | 2135 | 417 | 398 | 95.44% | 19 | 4.56% | 0 | 471 |
| Precinct 399 | 1090 | 2833 | 603 | 593 | 98.34% | 10 | 1.66% | 0 | 487 |
| Precinct 400 | 1554 | 4782 | 814 | 793 | 97.42% | 21 | 2.58% | 0 | 740 |
| Precinct 401 | 1086 | 2039 | 597 | 591 | 98.99% | 6 | 1.01% | 0 | 489 |
| Precinct 402 | 3149 | 7865 | 1745 | 1725 | 98.85% | 20 | 1.15% | 0 | 1404 |
| Precinct 403 | 684 | 1639 | 336 | 331 | 98.51% | 5 | 1.49% | 0 | 348 |
| Precinct 404 | 2494 | 7354 | 1447 | 1427 | 98.62% | 20 | 1.38% | 0 | 1047 |
| Precinct 405 | 4058 | 9155 | 2321 | 2288 | 98.58% | 33 | 1.42% | 0 | 1737 |
| Precinct 406 | 835 | 2497 | 523 | 518 | 99.04% | 5 | 0.96% | 0 | 312 |
| Precinct 407 | 497 | 911 | 264 | 263 | 99.62% | 1 | 0.38% | 0 | 233 |
| Precinct 408 | 195 | 423 | 114 | 111 | 97.37% | 3 | 2.63% | 0 | 81 |
| Precinct 409 | 2113 | 5853 | 1172 | 1146 | 97.78% | 26 | 2.22% | 0 | 941 |
| Precinct 410 | 581 | 1716 | 315 | 308 | 97.78% | 7 | 2.22% | 0 | 266 |
| Precinct 411 | 316 | 1266 | 210 | 209 | 99.52% | 1 | 0.48% | 0 | 106 |
| Precinct 412 | 931 | 2221 | 458 | 454 | 99.13% | 4 | 0.87% | 0 | 473 |
| Precinct 413 | 733 | 1822 | 436 | 435 | 99.77% | 1 | 0.23% | 0 | 297 |
| Precinct 414 | 252 | 602 | 125 | 124 | 99.20% | 1 | 0.80% | 0 | 127 |
| Precinct 415 | 355 | 1200 | 191 | 188 | 98.43% | 3 | 1.57% | 0 | 164 |
| Precinct 416 | 125 | 506 | 69 | 67 | 97.10% | 2 | 2.90% | 0 | 56 |
| Precinct 417 | 188 | 540 | 125 | 124 | 99.20% | 1 | 0.80% | 0 | 63 |
| Precinct 418 | 1532 | 3723 | 884 | 867 | 98.08% | 17 | 1.92% | 0 | 648 |
| Precinct 419 | 1192 | 2082 | 715 | 700 | 97.90% | 15 | 2.10% | 0 | 477 |
| Precinct 420 | 1694 | 4127 | 896 | 881 | 98.33% | 15 | 1.67% | 0 | 798 |
| Precinct 421 | 195 | 637 | 99 | 97 | 97.98% | 2 | 2.02% | 0 | 96 |
| Precinct 422 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 6 |
| Precinct 423 | 1238 | 4259 | 717 | 708 | 98.74% | 9 | 1.26% | 0 | 521 |
| Precinct 424 | 2086 | 5558 | 1207 | 1184 | 98.09% | 23 | 1.91% | 0 | 879 |
| Precinct 425 | 27 | 76 | 14 | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 13 |
| Precinct 426 | 32 | 74 | 18 | 18 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 14 |
| Precinct 427 | 371 | 1374 | 222 | 221 | 99.55% | 1 | 0.45% | 0 | 149 |
| Precinct 428 | 580 | 1938 | 321 | 314 | 97.82% | 7 | 2.18% | 0 | 259 |
| Precinct 429 | 1167 | 3712 | 652 | 638 | 97.85% | 14 | 2.15% | 0 | 515 |
| Precinct 432 | 104 | 403 | 53 | 53 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 51 |
| Precinct 433 | 1099 | 2664 | 611 | 604 | 98.85% | 7 | 1.15% | 0 | 488 |
| Precinct 434 | 2102 | 5748 | 1155 | 1139 | 98.61% | 16 | 1.39% | 0 | 947 |
| Precinct 435 | 1816 | 4786 | 998 | 982 | 98.40% | 16 | 1.60% | 0 | 818 |
| Precinct 436 | 1084 | 2553 | 593 | 586 | 98.82% | 7 | 1.18% | 0 | 491 |
| Precinct 437 | 39 | 79 | 19 | 19 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 20 |
| Precinct 438 | 254 | 618 | 112 | 102 | 91.07% | 10 | 8.93% | 0 | 142 |
| Precinct 439 | 656 | 2310 | 411 | 404 | 98.30% | 7 | 1.70% | 0 | 245 |
| Precinct 440 | 557 | 2040 | 354 | 348 | 98.31% | 6 | 1.69% | 0 | 203 |
| Precinct 441 | 30 | 82 | 22 | 22 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 8 |
| Precinct 442 | 1062 | 3250 | 602 | 595 | 98.84% | 7 | 1.16% | 0 | 460 |

Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary

All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes

Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Auditor (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Brian Evans | | Write-in | | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| Precinct 444 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 445 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 446 | 28 | 199 | 19 | 19 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 9 |
| Precinct 448 | 380 | 914 | 205 | 205 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 175 |
| Precinct 451 | 434 | 1436 | 278 | 269 | 96.76% | 9 | 3.24% | 0 | 156 |
| Precinct 452 | 61 | 138 | 26 | 23 | 88.46% | 3 | 11.54% | 0 | 35 |
| Precinct 453 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 2 |
| Precinct 454 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 455 | 691 | 2162 | 439 | 434 | 98.86% | 5 | 1.14% | 0 | 252 |
| Total | 129951 | 355381 | 72526 | 71395 | 98.44% | 1131 | 1.56% | 0 | 57425 |



I, Joe Nelson, Director of Assessment and Taxation and Ex-Officio County Clerk for Washington County, do hereby certify this to be a true and correct copy of the original.

Date: June 13, 2022
 By: [Signature]

Ballots Cast per Contest with Precincts
Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary

All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes
Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Councilor, District 2 (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Christine Lewis | Mei Wong | Write-in | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Precinct 348 | 10 | 36 | 9 | 4 44.44% | 5 55.56% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 1 |
| Precinct 394 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 10 | 36 | 9 | 4 44.44% | 5 55.56% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 1 |



I, Joe Nelson, Director of Assessment and Taxation and Ex-Officio County Clerk for Washington County, do hereby certify this to be a true and correct copy of the original.

Date: June 13 2022

By: [Signature]
Electronic Manager

Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary

All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes

Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Councillor, District 4 (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Juan Carlos González | James A Ball | Write-in | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Precinct 303 | 33 | 78 | 19 | 9 47.37% | 10 52.63% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 14 |
| Precinct 306 | 252 | 768 | 182 | 122 67.03% | 60 32.97% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 70 |
| Precinct 307 | 2173 | 6280 | 1673 | 910 54.39% | 735 43.93% | 28 1.67% | 0 | 500 |
| Precinct 309 | 2102 | 5545 | 1572 | 983 62.53% | 573 36.45% | 16 1.02% | 0 | 530 |
| Precinct 310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 312 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 313 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 3 |
| Precinct 314 | 12 | 20 | 11 | 5 45.45% | 6 54.55% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 1 |
| Precinct 317 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 2 40.00% | 3 60.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 318 | 1745 | 5228 | 1303 | 756 58.02% | 539 41.37% | 8 0.61% | 0 | 442 |
| Precinct 319 | 2133 | 7019 | 1592 | 963 60.49% | 617 38.76% | 12 0.75% | 0 | 541 |
| Precinct 325 | 35 | 263 | 30 | 26 86.67% | 3 10.00% | 1 3.33% | 0 | 5 |
| Precinct 327 | 1303 | 4170 | 1001 | 608 60.74% | 386 38.56% | 7 0.70% | 0 | 302 |
| Precinct 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 329 | 3593 | 9725 | 2761 | 1858 67.29% | 877 31.76% | 26 0.94% | 0 | 832 |
| Precinct 331 | 936 | 3221 | 656 | 397 60.52% | 248 37.80% | 11 1.68% | 0 | 280 |
| Precinct 332 | 786 | 3633 | 609 | 423 69.46% | 185 30.38% | 1 0.16% | 0 | 177 |
| Precinct 333 | 1139 | 4964 | 878 | 609 69.36% | 263 29.95% | 6 0.68% | 0 | 261 |
| Precinct 335 | 1831 | 3956 | 1395 | 835 59.86% | 546 39.14% | 14 1.00% | 0 | 436 |
| Precinct 336 | 1397 | 3938 | 1068 | 634 59.36% | 423 39.61% | 11 1.03% | 0 | 329 |
| Precinct 337 | 437 | 2024 | 325 | 201 61.85% | 120 36.92% | 4 1.23% | 0 | 112 |
| Precinct 338 | 1603 | 7242 | 1261 | 682 54.08% | 568 45.04% | 11 0.87% | 2 | 340 |
| Precinct 339 | 2070 | 7214 | 1526 | 854 55.96% | 660 43.25% | 12 0.79% | 0 | 544 |
| Precinct 340 | 3416 | 8452 | 2470 | 1461 59.15% | 977 39.55% | 32 1.30% | 0 | 946 |
| Precinct 341 | 42 | 104 | 31 | 12 38.71% | 17 54.84% | 2 6.45% | 0 | 11 |
| Precinct 342 | 19 | 40 | 18 | 12 66.67% | 6 33.33% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 1 |
| Precinct 343 | 1274 | 3365 | 968 | 563 58.16% | 392 40.50% | 13 1.34% | 0 | 306 |
| Precinct 344 | 1314 | 4074 | 980 | 608 62.04% | 362 36.94% | 10 1.02% | 0 | 334 |
| Precinct 346 | 95 | 236 | 69 | 32 46.38% | 33 47.83% | 4 5.80% | 0 | 26 |
| Precinct 352 | 388 | 1940 | 300 | 227 75.67% | 69 23.00% | 4 1.33% | 0 | 88 |
| Precinct 353 | 140 | 420 | 111 | 77 69.37% | 32 28.83% | 2 1.80% | 0 | 29 |
| Precinct 355 | 720 | 1754 | 526 | 363 69.01% | 160 30.42% | 3 0.57% | 0 | 194 |
| Precinct 356 | 749 | 2429 | 553 | 328 59.31% | 223 40.33% | 2 0.36% | 0 | 196 |
| Precinct 357 | 318 | 1309 | 244 | 138 56.56% | 103 42.21% | 3 1.23% | 0 | 74 |
| Precinct 358 | 1245 | 3318 | 965 | 620 64.25% | 342 35.44% | 3 0.31% | 0 | 280 |
| Precinct 359 | 972 | 3032 | 744 | 508 68.28% | 229 30.78% | 7 0.94% | 0 | 228 |
| Precinct 360 | 1138 | 3939 | 889 | 581 65.35% | 301 33.86% | 7 0.79% | 0 | 249 |
| Precinct 361 | 652 | 2832 | 522 | 392 75.10% | 125 23.95% | 5 0.96% | 0 | 130 |
| Precinct 362 | 3279 | 7792 | 2426 | 1675 69.04% | 734 30.26% | 17 0.70% | 0 | 853 |
| Precinct 363 | 1478 | 3703 | 1062 | 770 72.50% | 286 26.93% | 6 0.56% | 0 | 416 |
| Precinct 364 | 2274 | 5132 | 1579 | 1005 63.65% | 561 35.53% | 13 0.82% | 0 | 695 |
| Precinct 365 | 453 | 1045 | 313 | 208 66.45% | 104 33.23% | 1 0.32% | 0 | 140 |
| Precinct 366 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 367 | 2001 | 5157 | 1410 | 901 63.90% | 498 35.32% | 11 0.78% | 0 | 591 |
| Precinct 368 | 1775 | 4709 | 1273 | 848 66.61% | 421 33.07% | 4 0.31% | 0 | 502 |
| Precinct 369 | 871 | 2097 | 604 | 379 62.75% | 220 36.42% | 5 0.83% | 0 | 267 |
| Precinct 370 | 1079 | 2569 | 777 | 507 65.25% | 263 33.85% | 7 0.90% | 0 | 302 |
| Precinct 371 | 1150 | 2543 | 833 | 553 66.39% | 279 33.49% | 1 0.12% | 0 | 317 |
| Precinct 372 | 1004 | 1945 | 738 | 542 73.44% | 190 25.75% | 6 0.81% | 0 | 266 |
| Precinct 374 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 1 20.00% | 4 80.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 4 |
| Precinct 376 | 973 | 2209 | 706 | 456 64.59% | 246 34.84% | 4 0.57% | 0 | 267 |
| Precinct 377 | 1293 | 2770 | 882 | 527 59.75% | 351 39.80% | 4 0.45% | 0 | 411 |
| Precinct 378 | 479 | 1097 | 356 | 254 71.35% | 100 28.09% | 2 0.56% | 0 | 123 |
| Precinct 382 | 981 | 3848 | 755 | 457 60.53% | 292 38.68% | 6 0.79% | 0 | 226 |
| Precinct 383 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 384 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 386 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 0.00% | 1 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 387 | 6 | 31 | 6 | 5 83.33% | 1 16.67% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 390 | 1239 | 2584 | 886 | 551 62.19% | 331 37.36% | 4 0.45% | 0 | 353 |
| Precinct 392 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 403 | 684 | 1639 | 457 | 291 63.68% | 164 35.89% | 2 0.44% | 0 | 227 |
| Precinct 406 | 835 | 2497 | 646 | 489 75.70% | 154 23.84% | 3 0.46% | 0 | 189 |
| Precinct 408 | 100 | 208 | 79 | 50 63.29% | 29 36.71% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 21 |

Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary

All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes

Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Councilor, District 4 (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Juan Carlos González | James A Ball | Write-in | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Precinct 422 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 0 0.00% | 4 100.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 2 |
| Precinct 439 | 656 | 2310 | 486 | 337 69.34% | 146 30.04% | 3 0.62% | 0 | 170 |
| Precinct 440 | 557 | 2040 | 434 | 265 61.06% | 167 38.48% | 2 0.46% | 0 | 123 |
| Precinct 445 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 0 |
| Precinct 446 | 28 | 199 | 23 | 15 65.22% | 8 34.78% | 0 0.00% | 0 | 5 |
| Precinct 451 | 434 | 1436 | 341 | 224 65.69% | 115 33.72% | 2 0.59% | 0 | 93 |
| Total | 59715 | 172142 | 44339 | 28109 63.40% | 15862 35.77% | 368 0.83% | 2 | 15374 |



I, Joe Nelson, Director of Assessment and Taxation and Ex-Officio County Clerk for Washington County, do hereby certify this to be a true and correct copy of the original.

Date: June 13, 2022

By: [Signature]
Electronic Manager

Washington County, May 17, 2022 Primary

All Precincts, All Districts, All Counter Groups, All ScanStations, All Contests, All Boxes

Official Results

Total Ballots Cast: 141228, Registered Voters: 382707, Overall Turnout: 36.90%

Metro Councilor, District 6 (Vote for 1)

| Precinct | Ballots Cast | Reg. Voters | Total Votes | Duncan Hwang | | Terri Preeg Riggsby | | Write-in | | Over Votes | Under Votes |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|--------------|------------|-------------|
| Precinct 353 | 278 | 462 | 183 | 127 | 69.40% | 53 | 28.96% | 3 | 1.64% | 0 | 95 |
| Precinct 386 | 1192 | 2262 | 816 | 473 | 57.97% | 338 | 41.42% | 5 | 0.61% | 0 | 376 |
| Precinct 392 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 50.00% | 1 | 50.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 1475 | 2736 | 1001 | 601 | 60.04% | 392 | 39.16% | 8 | 0.80% | 0 | 474 |



I, Joe Nelson, Director of Assessment and Taxation and Ex-Officio County Clerk for Washington County, do hereby certify this to be a true and correct copy of the original.

Date: June 13, 2022

By: [Signature]

[Signature]



Canvass of Votes

ORS 255.295 provides for the district election authority to canvass the official abstract of votes cast for members of the district board and to cause the election officer to issue certificates of election to the winners.

Please read carefully and complete this form. Return the form promptly so certificates of election or nomination can be mailed to the newly elected board members.

The district election authority for Metro, in accordance with ORS 255.295, has canvassed the abstract of votes cast on May 17, 2022 for members of the district board.

It has been determined those persons having received the highest number of votes are qualified and the election officer of Multnomah County is hereby instructed to issue certificates of election or nomination to the General Election to said persons. (Any exceptions are noted below.)

Date

Signature of district election authority

Agenda Item No. 4.2

Consideration of the May 3, 2022 Council Meeting Minutes

Consent Agenda

Metro Council Meeting
Thursday, June 30th, 2022

Metro

*600 NE Grand Ave.
Portland, OR 97232-2736
oregonmetro.gov*



Metro

Minutes

Tuesday, May 3, 2022

9:30 AM

**<https://zoom.us/j/615079992> (Webinar ID: 615079992) or
888-475-4499 (toll free)**

Council meeting

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Council President Peterson called the Metro Council Meeting to order at 9:30 a.m.

2. Public Communication

Council President Peterson opened the meeting to members of the public wanting to testify on a non-agenda items.

Commissioner Mark Shull, Clackamas County

Commissioner Shull stated that Clackamas County has expressed concerns with the projected increased solid waste disposal fee and explained that Clackamas County is hoping for further coordination and discussion about the fee increase that clarify how the increased revenue will be used and benefit residents across the region.

Chris Smith, No More Freeways

Chris discussed the differential impact auxiliary lanes VS through lanes have on climate change and urged council to consider their commitment to three lane highways.

Doug Larson, Cathedral Park Resident

Doug expressed issue with revenue resources being directed to projects that are not accessible to all Portland residents. Doug finished his testimony by asking council to follow through with the funding to clean up Willamette Cove.

3. Presentations**3.1 Budget Committee Meeting- Budget Amendments**

Council President Peterson introduced Marissa Madrigal (she/her), and Brian Kennedy (he/him)

Staff pulled up the FY23 Proposed Budget Presentation on budget deliberation and public hearing to present to Council. Staff summarized key proprieties from previous department budget presentations, the WPES budget amendment, and next steps.

Council Discussion

Councilor Rosenthal recommended including a line item under goals and objectives for P five to mention operation upgrades that are needed. He continued on to advocate for an additional FTE into the budget for the Willamette Cove project, in addition to additional emphases on Highway 99 W.

Councilor Gonzalez questioned staff on specific incentives and tools that can be created through the budget to bring communities of color together.

Marissa explained there are different incentives and discounts used to lure business to Oregon and the Convention Center.

Councilor Lewis expressed a desire to continue the conversation around venues and discussed possible budget notes addressing the Expo Center and Supportive Housing Services.

Councilor Rosenthal asked staff to clarify and provide a budget trend line curve that shows pre pandemic, during pandemic, and suggested future trends.

Councilor Hwang questioned if there are plans to extend the onetime 3 million state allocated funds that are going

towards expanding RID patrol and illegal dumping clean ups.

Marissa explained the one time funding is spent on 1 year contracts that are made with external non-profits and local businesses

3.1.1 Public Hearing for Res 22-5252

There was none.

4. Other Business

4.1 I5BRP Regular Update: Auxiliary Lanes Considerations

Council President Peterson called on Margi Bradway, Ryan LeProwse, Matt Bin, Shilpa Mallem, and John Willis to present to Council.

Staff pulled up the Metro Council Update on Ramp-to-ramp Connections (Auxiliary Lanes) slide show to present to Council.

Ryan LeProwse summarized the IBR background and traffic/design information, traffic growth rates, average weekday volumes for vehicles and freight, interstate bridge hourly profiles, origin and destination patterns for drivers, hours of backup, crash data over a five year period, and safety issues.

Council Discussion:

Councilor Rosenthal questioned what the predicted peak capacity would be at peak house without congestion and if there is a difference in crash rates going north bound vs south bound.

Ryan responded that the capacity is about 5000 with the demand being higher today and that the crash rates approaching the bridges north bound and south bound are

similar.

Staff pulled up the Ramp-to-ramp Connections (Auxiliary Lanes) slide show to present to Council.

Ryan defined auxiliary lanes, explained where in the program area auxiliary lanes exist, IBR program design considerations, auxiliary lane options, future volume and mode share forecasting, tolling sensitivity analysis, issues auxiliary lanes will address, and program option comparison.

Council Discussion:

Councilor Rosenthal asked if staff evaluated the option of removing any exits and entrances by replacing them with surface connections, what percentage of the 18-23% through hall trips get diverted to 205, and requested clarification on what specifically has been included into the Oregon mobility pricing.

Councilor Nolan asked if staff has gathered data that compares the current width of the concert in the project area to what the width of the paved surface would be if the staff recommended project were to be adopted.

John Willis explained the NEPA portion of the project will begin in summer of 2022, and will help the team understand the project impacts.

Councilor Nolan asked for clarification on how staff is claiming tolling wont impact commute trips while also claiming the project design, which includes tolling, will impact peak time commute trips.

Matt Bihn explained there is a lot more demand for transit

than being provided during peak congestion hours on light rail and buses.

Councilor Craddick asked what the capacity of the new bridge will be compared to the capacity of the current bridge, and what impact the change has on CO2.

John explained more quality data will come later in the project.

Councilor Craddick question if the project has a specific CO2 admission drop target.

John explained the team has set up a climate technical working group and are working on a target.

Councilor Gonzalez expressed a need to focus on the climate metrics and outcomes for the project and asked how the proposed additional capacity is being considered vs other project options such as tolling.

Ryan explained the work commuting trips are not affected by tolling or the peak period so for that reason, safety features are needed.

Margi added that on June 22nd there will be an expert panel review in which expert speakers around the nation will give staff advice on their own model as well as the best ways to measure greenhouse gas levels at the project level.

Councilor Lewis stated that project is aiming to reduce trips for the 75% of riders within the 7 interchanges, and asked what model characteristics are being used to help protect the other 25% and reduce their trips.

Ryan explained auxiliary lanes can be used to help facilitate movement and avoid safety issues from drivers who use the

road in a variety of ways.

Council President Lynn Peterson asked how interstate traffic vs local traffic will be prioritized in the project.

Shilpa explained this question will be addressed more in the design phase.

Councilor Hwang ask for clarification between one auxiliary lane vs two auxiliary lanes.

Ryan explained the technical design and uses of one auxiliary lane vs two auxiliary lanes.

Councilor Hwang ask for clarification if additional auxiliary lanes actually decrease greenhouse gases.

Shilpa explained with reduced congestion there would be reduced greenhouse gases.

Councilor Hwang recalled in the presentation staff stated that more auxiliary lanes means increased additional modal options and asked for clarification if increased modal transportation is being included in other project options.

Margi explained that increased modal transportation is a key characteristic of all project options.

Councilor Rosenthal ask if the auxiliary lanes are being designed for local or interstate travelers.

John explained the auxiliary lanes are a function of closely spaced inter changes which included a combination of local and interstate travelers.

Margi shared next steps for the Interstate Bridge project.

5. Chief Operating Officer Communication

Marissa Madrigal provided an update on the following events or items:

- Welcomed Marta McGuire as the new director of WPES

6. Councilor Communication

Councilors provided updates on the following meetings and events:

- Councilor Hwang provided an update on his testimony to the Portland City Council about 82nd avenue being under city control.

7. Adjourn

There being no further business, Council President Peterson adjourned the Metro Council Meeting at 11:40 Respectfully submitted,

Brianna Dolbin

Brianna Dolbin, Legislative Assistant

ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR THE MEETING OF APRIL 20, 2021

| ITEM | DOCUMENT TYPE | DOC DATE | DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION | DOCUMENT No. |
|-------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1.0 | PowerPoint | 5/03/2022 | Auxiliary Lanes Slides | 050322c-01 |
| 2.0 | PowerPoint | 5/03/2022 | Budget Deliberation Slides | 050322c-02 |

Agenda Item No. 5.1

**Ordinance No. 22-1481, For the Purpose of Annexing to the Metro District Boundary
Approximately 23.85 acres located in the vicinity of SW Frog Pond Lane in Wilsonville**

Ordinances

Metro Council Meeting
Thursday, June 30th, 2022

BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

FOR THE PURPOSE OF ANNEXING TO THE) ORDINANCE NO. 22-1481
METRO DISTRICT BOUNDARY)
APPROXIMATELY 23.85 ACRES LOCATED IN) Introduced by Chief Operating Officer
THE VICINITY OF SW FROG POND LANE IN) Marissa Madrigal with the Concurrence of
WILSONVILLE) Council President Lynn Peterson

WHEREAS, West Hills Land Development LLC has submitted a complete application for annexation of 23.85 acres located in the vicinity of SW Frog Pond Lane in Wilsonville (“the territory”) to the Metro District; and

WHEREAS, the Metro Council added the Wilsonville area to the urban growth boundary (UGB), including the territory, by Ordinance No. 02-969B on December 5, 2002; and

WHEREAS, Title 11 (Planning for New Urban Areas) of the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan requires annexation to the district prior to application of land use regulations intended to allow urbanization of the territory; and

WHEREAS, Metro has received consent to the annexation from the owners of the land in the territory; and

WHEREAS, the proposed annexation complies with Metro Code 3.09.070; and

WHEREAS, the Council held a public hearing on the proposed amendment on June 30, 2022; now, therefore,

THE METRO COUNCIL ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Metro District Boundary Map is hereby amended, as indicated in Exhibit A, attached and incorporated into this ordinance.
2. The proposed annexation meets the criteria in section 3.09.070 of the Metro Code, as demonstrated in the Staff Report dated June 9, 2022, attached and incorporated into this ordinance.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this ___ day of July 2022.

Lynn Peterson, Council President

Attest:

Approved as to form:

Connor Ayers, Recording Secretary

Carrie MacLaren, Metro Attorney

STAFF REPORT

IN CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 22-1481, FOR THE PURPOSE OF ANNEXING TO THE METRO DISTRICT BOUNDARY APPROXIMATELY 23.85 ACRES LOCATED IN THE VICINITY OF SW FROG POND LANE IN WILSONVILLE

Date: June 9, 2022
Department: Planning, Development & Research

Prepared by: Tim O'Brien
Principal Regional Planner

BACKGROUND

CASE: AN-0422, Annexation to Metro District Boundary

PETITIONER: West Hills Land Development LLC
3330 NW Yeon Ave, Suite 200
Portland, OR 97210

PROPOSAL: The petitioner requests annexation of land in Wilsonville to the Metro District Boundary.

LOCATION: The land area is approximately 23.85 acres in size, is located in the vicinity of SW Frog Pond Lane and can be seen in Attachment 1.

ZONING: The land is zoned for residential use (RN).

The land was added to the urban growth boundary (UGB) in 2002 and is part of the Frog Pond Area Plan and Frog Pond West Master Plan area that was adopted by Wilsonville. The land must be annexed into the Metro District for urbanization to occur.

APPLICABLE REVIEW CRITERIA

The criteria for an expedited annexation to the Metro District Boundary are contained in Metro Code Section 3.09.070.

3.09.070 Changes to Metro's Boundary

(E) The following criteria shall apply in lieu of the criteria set forth in subsection (d) of section 3.09.050. The Metro Council's final decision on a boundary change shall include findings and conclusions to demonstrate that:

- 1. The affected territory lies within the UGB;*

Staff Response:

The land in Wilsonville was brought into the UGB in 2002 through the Metro Council's adoption of Ordinance No. 02-969B, thus the affected territory lies within the UGB.

- 2. The territory is subject to measures that prevent urbanization until the territory is annexed to a city or to service districts that will provide necessary urban services; and*

Staff Response:

Urban Growth Management Functional Plan Title 11: Planning for New Urban Areas requires that new urban areas be annexed into the Metro District Boundary prior to urbanization of the area. The City of Wilsonville adopted the Frog Pond Area Plan in 2015. As a follow-up to the area plan and in anticipation of forthcoming development, Wilsonville adopted the Frog Pond Master Plan in July 2017. The subject properties are in the process of being annexed to the City of Wilsonville. Thus the affected territory was subject to measures that prevented urbanization until the territory is annexed to the city and any necessary service districts.

- 3. The proposed change is consistent with any applicable cooperative or urban service agreements adopted pursuant to ORS Chapter 195 and any concept plan.*

Staff Response:

The subject property is part of the Frog Pond Area Plan adopted by the City of Wilsonville in 2015 and the Frog Pond West Master Plan adopted by the City in 2019. The proposed annexation is required by Wilsonville as part of a land use application and is consistent with the area plan and master plan. Thus the inclusion of the property within the Metro District is consistent with all applicable plans and agreements.

ANALYSIS/INFORMATION

Known Opposition: There is no known opposition to this application.


Legal Antecedents: Metro Code 3.09.070 allows for annexation to the Metro District boundary.

Anticipated Effects: This amendment will add approximately 23.85 acres in Wilsonville to the Metro District. The land is currently within the UGB and approval of this request will allow for the urbanization of the land to occur consistent with the Frog Pond West Master Plan.

Budget Impacts: The applicant was required to file an application fee to cover all costs of processing this annexation request, thus there is no budget impact.

RECOMMENDED ACTION


Staff recommends adoption of Ordinance No. 22-1481.



Research Center
 600 NE Grand Ave
 Portland, OR 97232-2736
 (503) 797-1742
<http://www.oregonmetro.gov/drc>

- Metro district boundary
- Taxlots

Proposal No. AN0422



The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's GIS. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors will be appreciated.

Materials following this page were distributed at the meeting.

Financial Condition of Metro

FY 2011-12 to FY 2020-21



Office of the Metro Auditor

Scope & Methodology


- **Based on International City/County Management Association**
- **Data primarily from Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR)**
- **Analysis of 10-year trends**
 - Revenue
 - Expenditure
 - Financial Health
 - Demographic and Economic

Summary of Trends


| | Indicator | Change from previous year | 10-year trend | Effect on overall financial health |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------|---|
| Revenue | Total revenues | - | ↑ | Positive |
| | Revenue per capita | - | ↑ | Mixed |
| | Charges for services | - | ↓ | Negative |
| | Property taxes | - | ↑ | Positive |
| | Excise taxes | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Grants | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | General Fund revenue over/under budget | - | ↓ | Negative |
| Expenditure | Total expenditures | - | ↑ | Negative, increased faster than total revenue |
| | Expenditure per capita | - | ↑ | Mixed |
| | Risk Management Fund | + | ↑ | Negative, increased faster than total revenue |
| | Employee costs | - | ↔ | Positive |
| | Fixed costs | - | ↑ | Positive, increased slower than total revenue |
| | Capital expenditure | - | ↓ | Negative |
| Financial Health | Total debt | - | ↑ | Negative |
| | Net assets | - | ↔ | Positive |
| | Capital assets | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | General Fund balances | ↔ | ↓ | Negative |
| Demographic and Economic Trends | Population | + | ↑ | Mixed |
| | Per capita personal income | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Unemployment rate | - | ↓ | Positive |
| | Number of jobs | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Number of businesses | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Value of new construction | + | ↑ | Positive |
| | Real market property values | + | ↑ | Positive |

Total Revenue

Adjusted for inflation




Revenue Sources – FY 2020-21




Revenues

Adjusted for inflation

Charges for Services




Grants




Tax Revenues

Adjusted for inflation

Property Taxes




Excise Taxes




Revenue Shortfalls – General Fund

Adjusted for inflation




Total Expenditure

Adjusted for inflation




Employee Costs

Adjusted for inflation




Risk Management Fund

Adjusted for inflation




Fixed Costs

Adjusted for inflation



Capital Spending

Adjusted for inflation




Departmental Expenditure

| Department/Venue | FY 2020-21 expenditure | 10-year change |
|---|------------------------|----------------|
| Waste Prevention and Environmental Services | \$92,329,357 | 52% |
| Planning and Development | \$71,911,673 | 511% |
| Parks and Nature | \$25,261,027 | 9% |
| Oregon Zoo | \$25,162,178 | -32% |
| Portland's 5 Centers for the Arts | \$10,222,770 | -10% |
| Oregon Convention Center | \$9,501,784 | -68% |
| Metro Council and Chief Operating Officer | \$5,636,977 | 42% |
| Information Services | \$5,501,607 | 30% |
| Finance and Regulatory Services | \$5,085,744 | -2% |
| Research Center | \$3,964,813 | -23% |
| Human Resources | \$3,368,680 | 40% |
| Office of Metro Attorney | \$2,754,246 | 18% |
| Portland Expo Center | \$2,370,245 | -58% |
| Communications | \$1,806,259 | -32% |
| MERC Administration | \$845,379 | -58% |
| Metro Auditor | \$732,013 | -10% |


Total Debt

Adjusted for inflation




Capital Assets

Adjusted for inflation



General Fund Balance

Adjusted for inflation



Demographic/Economic Trends

- **Per capita income increased by 21%**
- **The number of jobs and the unemployment improved compared to a year ago and are both in a better position than they were 10 years ago**
- **The value of new construction in 2021 was about twice as large as it was in 2012**
- **Real property values increased by 28% compared to 10 years ago**

Questions?