## SUMMARY OF AGENCY TOUR OF PORT MITIGATION AREAS AND RAMSEY LAKE PONDS - SEPTEMBER 10,1997

The Port conducts a tour of its major mitigation sites and the Ramsey lake ponds with state and federal environmental agencies once per year. The field tour is normally held in late summer or early fall. This years field trip began with a general briefing at the Port of Portland. The focus topic of the briefing was the newly formed mitigation area management program headed by Scott Carter. The new program is housed in the properties management division of the Port and is supervised by Marilyn Leitz - Properties Manager. The new unit will be responsible for managing all mitigation areas and Ramsey Lake ponds until permit requirements and special agreement requirements are met. They are currently finalizing formal management plans, budget and action plans for each mitigation site and Ramsey Lake ponds.

# Southwest Quadrant Mitigation - Buffalo Site (see attached summary of requirements)

- 1996 notes: looks OK from upland tree planting standpoint but needs noxious weed control (black berry, teasel and Canadian thistle) 1997 update: Continues to need noxious weed control. In management area mgt. plan and budget for 1998.
- 1996 notes: areas need to be cleared around plantings to help release them from weeds 1997 update: existing plantings have been identified and flagged. Most plantings outside meadow area are surviving better than thought in 1996. Meadow area will be reestablished in spring of 1998.
- 1996 notes: need to replace dead plants; elderberry in particular seem to have a high mortality - 1997 update: 1997 surveys showed a better survival of plants in fenced area than thought current survival is within acceptable limits based upon percentages established in the T-5 permit standards. Elderberry will be rechecked to see if it suffered a disproportionate mortality
- 1996 notes: agencies need planting list and map of area 1997 update: As a part of the 1997 mitigation project review process the maps of all the areas are being updated to include transact routes and all final planting and agreement requirements and should be completed for inclusion in the November 1997 annual mitigation area report. Agencies currently have maps of the areas but they do not include modifications to the original permits. The mitigation area management document which includes management strategies, budget and final maps is to be completed for submission with the November 1997 annual report.
- 1996 notes: there needs to be a written management scheme including vegetative control strategy for the area 1997 update: Vegetative management plans were developed for each mitigation area and the Ramsey Lake ponds as a part of the 1997 effort to establish a formal mitigation area management program for the Port. The vegetative management plans will be a part of the 1997 annual report submittal.
- 1996 notes: current cattle grazing activities have interfered with MHCC students ability to record data points and have destroyed certain photo points. The grazing use needs to be reviewed and better managed or eliminated 1997 notes: The 7

acres of fenced meadow being grazed continues as a problem. Current plans are to reduce the livestock to 2 cows and 2 caves (no horses). The cattle will be removed in the spring and the area drill seeded to the original meadow grass mix specified in the permit. Light grazing (2 cows and 2 caves) will be used as a management tool to maintain the meadow environment. The meadow environment will need to be maintained either by grazing or mowing and grass removal (Burning is not an option at this location) Our choice at this point is to use light grazing as the preferred tool to maintain the meadow environment. Agencies requested that original permit documents be researched to document "purpose" of the area.

- 1996 notes: Port needs to establish better and more permanent photo marker points for MHCC students doing field surveys 1997 update: Port as a part of the 1997 mitigation management program development monumented all photo points and recorded these locations on the new base maps so they could be reestablished if necessary. MHCC students doing the monitoring were a part of the 1997 mitigation area management program development.
- 1996 notes: MHCC students need better field orientation and briefing from Port on what is end result and product expected from their efforts 1997 update: MHCC administration met in early 1997 and developed a working agreement specifying training and briefing functions. This agreement has been followed and student survey persons receive briefing/training sessions and port staff visits the mitigation sites with the students at least once per quarter.
- 1996 notes: general consensus was Port needed to do a better job of management at this site 1997 update: Agreed and this was the basis for the 1997 effort to document all permit documents, all current regulatory requirements, develop management plans for each area, update and verify all area survey data and maps and establish a Port management unit with sole responsibility for on ground management of the areas including budget. Our 1997 objectives to develop a viable management system and upgrade the areas is about 80% complete. Some but not all on ground management actions have begun this fall. Completion of all the mapping upgrades have not been completed to date but are to be completed before the November 1997 annual report.

#### Southwest Quadrant Mitigation - Elrod Site

- 1996 notes: plant health, survival and general condition of this area is far superior to the Buffalo site 1997 update: Area continues to be healthy. Aggressive blackberry and weed control is necessary in 1998.
- 1996 notes: area needs some management actions and replanting but looks good 1997 update: A draft vegetative management plan, budget and strategy is a part of the new mitigation management groups planning document.
- 1996 notes: agencies need to have maps, plant list and planting schemes for this area
   1997 update: Master maps are being finalized. Agencies have copies of old maps with planting schemes on them (see notes from Buffalo above).

- 1996 notes: A vegetative and mitigation area management strategy needs to be written for this site 1997 update: A draft has been completed (see Buffalo discussion)
- 1997 notes: Questions were raised about total size of the area (15 acres?) and what were the planting requirements along the slough. The total planted acreage of this area is 10 acres. The planting along the slough is shown on the attached map. The central open field area is currently being grazed but will become a system of settling ponds under DEQ requirements as soon as SW Quadrant develops.

#### Southwest Quadrant Mitigation - Government Island

- 1996 notes: level in Lake estimated to be 13 feet MSL (+/- 6 inches) Note: PSU report states lake level was at 12.6 feet MSL at the end of September 1996. 1997 update: Lake level continues to be in the 13 to 14 foot range (MSL) in mid September. PSU's report will provide lake levels through October 1997 and will add information on transpoevaporation rates for 1997 as well.
- 1996 notes: fence needs repair cows have been grazing in the non grazing portion of the mitigation area. This needs to be corrected immediately. (NOTE: All cows were removed and excluded from the mitigation area September 20.)
  1997 update: In the fall of 1996 the area fence was repaired and a new fence built along the river front to make a completely enclosed mitigation area. Floods and storms of 1996/97 destroyed a part of the fence. The fence was rebuilt in 1997 and final repairs completed in September 1997. All cows have been removed from the island for this year as of October 25, 1997. Agriculture practices are a part of the Government Is. management plan. Cows are currently excluded from the mitigation area with an option to use them as a management tool to produce "green feed" for water fowl on the south upland portion of the mitigation area.
- 1996 notes: Agencies need maps of area which include original mitigation strategy and elevations. 1997 update: Agencies have received copies of the map of the area including planting strategy. In addition agencies have copies of the original mitigation plan provided as a part of the HEP process and original permit. Major hydrological shifts in the past 3 years have created a different set of conditions. PSU has documented these changes and this will be a part of their 1997 November report.
- 1996 notes: what is the elevation of the wetland margin; 13 feet? 14 feet? This needs to be field checked and established as a reference point. 1997 update: The shift in the hydrologic cycle coupled with the effectiveness of the water control structure has made shifts in the wetland margin. PSU has documented the current boundary and this will be a part of their November 1997 report. We know the wetland boundaries have shifted from the original surveys. Exactly what this mean in terms of total wetland area and the potential planting plan will not be known until we receive PSU's report for this year. We do know the original planting strategy will not be successful given the altered hydrologic pattern and the effect of the water control structure on static water levels.
- 1996 notes: agencies need to know where all reports and data on the area are located and how to access data and report analysis. 1997 update: Agencies receive an

annual report and all other data resides at the Port of Portland Environmental Services Division or the new mitigation area management group in the Property & Development Services Division. Historical and regulatory documentation is stored at the Environmental Services Division - Dana Seigfried or Rollie Montagne.

- 1996 notes: what added data if any is need before wetland mitigation planting begins? 1997 update: Final wetland boundary and transpoevaporation findings. Both have been completed in 1997. This will provide the basis for adjustment of the original planting proposal and adjustment of the original HEP to insure values lost and replaced are balanced. The Port will be making a first cut at this problem and proposed planting scheme in late November/December 1997 after we have received PSU's report in November 1997.
- 1996 notes: Meeting in October/November is needed to discuss options. 1997
  update: Meetings on Ramsey Lake and Government Island were held in November
  1996 and early 1997. Major flooding and dike damage again shifted the character of
  the area. The Port opted to get this years revised wetland boundaries and the transpoevaporative data before proposing a wetland planting scheme for spring 1998.
- 1997 notes: High water destroyed the downstream dike. This structure is being repaired in early November 1997. Woody debris in the form of large root wads (30 plus) were pulled to the center and north margin of Jewitt Lake as per permit requirements. High water removed all but 5 or 6 of these trees/root wads. High water also introduced carp into the system; given the continued high water levels of East pond and Jewitt lake it is likely carp will persist in the system.
- 1997 notes: Cattle numbers on the Island for 1997 were 424. Depending on the high water cyclethe normal period of grazing is April through October. This year the grazing period was greatly reduced because of high water. All cattle were removed from the Island, for this year, on October, 25 1997

#### **Terminal 5 and Rail Crossing Mitigation**

1996 notes: This is the newest of the sites and is currently under construction. Tim Van Wormer conducted the field tour and described the 1996/97 development and planting schedule. The site has the most detailed mitigation and monitoring plan of all Port mitigation sites. The area is being monitored under contract with Fishman Environmental Services. - 1997 update: A request was made for site maps, verification that the development conformed to permit requirements and the history of the T-5 development compared to the mitigation site development. This information will be a part of the November 1997 report submitted to the US Army Corps of Engineers and Oregon State Division of State Lands.

### Ramsey Lake Ponds

1996 notes: north 2 ponds and islands look good but south pond islands need work; vegetative management at a minimum. - 1997 update: Work has begun on removal of the non-native vegetation on the islands and planting with species as prescribed in

Odde est mond

the original plan.) A program of follow through and care for 1998 is a part of this

process and will be reviewed through the mitigation management group.

- 1996 notes: question were raised about current height of south islands and whether they need to be lowered to two to three feet above mean water level of ponds. (Needs discussion no consensus on this point) - 1997 update: The island height issue and other strategies continued to cloud and delay the process of reaching agreement and movement forward to final signature on the interagency agreement. The Port has reviewed the maps in the agreement and finds that they show the islands approximately 4 to 5 feet above the upper limits of the wetland area. Bank slopes and typical cross sections are roughly equivalent to what exists on the ground at this time. We are deeply concerned that the existing habitats on the island and adjacent shore will suffer major disruption if the islands are physically lowered. Plus there would inevitably a serious water quality impact. The major impact would be to existing wildlife species at these sites. All islands both north and south are approximately the same height. We are moving forward to remove non-native vegetation on the islands and restore the original vegetative plantings on the island but have no plans to reduce the island heights. Work has begun on removal of non-native vegetation planting will occur in November of 1997 after removal of the non native vegetation
- 1996 notes: needs vegetative management plan for entire area 1997 update: There have been various new concepts and ideas proposed for vegetative management and expansion plans for the Ramsey Lake pond. The Ramsey Lake pond is a perched water feature which lies 8 feet above the slough level at low water (see ortho map). The original concept for the pond was as a stable freshwater marsh habitat and the planting scheme and design was developed accordingly. Since that time a stable wetland environment has developed with the normal populations of wildlife, including turtles and other wildlife forms dependent on this habitat/wetland type. The Port has reviewed the original planting scheme and philosophy and can find nothing technically in error with either the design or intent of the plan. We cannot accept the proposition that a major destruction of the existing habitat by major readjustment of the pond or islands is biologically valid or superior to the original design. As mentioned above, the Port is proceeding to remove non-native vegetation from the islands and will replant vegetation in 1997 in accordance with the original design.
- 1996 notes: current wetland to upland ratio is good; does not need additional wetlands 1997 update: This conclusion was in error and concerns have been raised about the amount of water surface area in the Ramsay pond. The mitigation agreement indicates 16 acres will be recreated. The water surface area is short by approximately 4.5 to 5 acres. Additional water surface area will be created in 1998, following approval by the signatory agencies of a proposal which will be submitted to them in late November 1997.
- 1997 notes: Concern was expressed that the fill slopes in some areas are greater than 1:3. The Port has just reconfigured the slope of the bank back to 1:3, for approximately 100 yards that were in excess of 1:3, as required by the agreement.

And State of State of

Á

- Long term issue: The Port has been reminded over the years that part of the area on the north side of the Columbia Slough was filled into the 150 foot buffer in the 1980's. The excess sand fill has been partially removed, with final removal to the level of the original silts scheduled for late November 1997. A conceptual design and proposal for revegetation of this area will be submitted to the signatory agencies for review in late November 1997 along with plans for the Ramsey Lake area.
- 1996 notes: upland areas to east need more swales and depressions for plants.
   Almost complete planting failure in southern portion of upland area. Entire upland area to east needs to be surveyed, evaluated and replanted. 1997 update: This will be a part of the proposal to be circulated to the signatory agencies for review in late November 1997.
- 1997 notes: Purple lustrife is present in the area. The Port has released "bugs" at the T-5 mitigation area but were not able to obtain them for the Ramsey Lake area this year. The normal cycle for this type of treatment, according to Basket Slough research, is for it to take 3 years to effectively control the purple lustrife after the "bugs" have been released. The Port will continue to use hand removal of the plants for the forseeable future.

#### **General Notes**

1996 notes: The results of the September 19 and 25 field tours demonstrate the need for a more structured and focused management of the mitigation areas. Based upon these results the Port has added resources and assigned specific responsibilities to the Environmental Services Department to insure that better management of these areas will occur. These responsibilities will include development of mitigation area management plans in concert with Port property managers, providing better support services to the property managers, mitigation site inspections every 2 months, improved coordination/oversight with all mitigation site monitors (PSU, MHCC and Fishman) and budgets for necessary management actions developed at the beginning of each calendar year. Dana Seigfried will have overall program management responsibilities and Rollie Montagne will provide staff support to Dana. If there are any questions on the mitigation sites please contact either Dana or Rollie.

1997 notes: The 1996/97 effort has resulted in a plan, specific mitigation area management program and assigned responsibilities. Budgets have been assigned to those areas and monitoring oversight has improved. The Buffalo area management has not met our expectation for the meadow area or livestock management. Actions are currently being taken to correct that problem with replanting and refurbishment of the meadow area slated for early 1998. Our understanding for the Ramsey Lake ponds was that this areas was not in controversy as to design or intended purpose; with the exception of the island height issue. Agencies were divided on this point. The 1997 meeting resulted in greater division not consensus. The Port is proposing a new approach to move forward on this project and will be submitting our proposal for signatory agency review in November.

Condition of the Condit

If you have questions please contact Rollie Montagne (731-7518) or Scott Carter (731-7510). Thanks for your participation and input to the management process.

MITTR97.DOC

ane	A	Davel area Town	The same of the sa
	Agency	Phone #	E mail
UDY LINTON		₹808-4382	judy. 1. linton @ usace.
lary Abrams	BES	823- <del>7</del> 032	marya dbessky.gate.bes.pod.
lengifer Thom,	ison U.S. FWS	231-6179	Jennifer_Thompson@mail
Jerry Hedrick	DSL	378-3805x274	Jerry. Hedrich (@dsl. state. of)
SCOTT CAPTE	POP	731-7510	
Dolm Mas	hall USFWS	231-6179	John Mershall a fews go
Thy Michael	^	657-2000 x234	0 0
Jim Martin		725-4243	martinil@paut.pdx.edu
MALLYN LEI:		731-7528	0 1 1
*			285 LARRY DEVROYADSL
	EVROY DSL	and the second s	STATE.O
	resolvanistic regulation of diffusion for the control of the described from the control of the c	retinate a constitution de la co	aas saara vii saaraan ku hurista suurassaanki on siirussaa piin hassa aasaa aasaa ka daalija ka saaraan saaraa
	en e		AND AND THE STREET OF PARTY AND THE TREET AN
			en deller annun Spaladeria in distriction of annun in delegation and annun in delegation and annun in delegation and annun in delegation and annual in delegation annual in delegation and annual in delegation annual in delegation and annual in delegation annual in delegat
	walls completed that the description of the complete complete and the complete compl		
			الله المراجعة
		address and the second	
			d gayan watanan ku sa Mara wanan sa ka sa mara ka sa
HARA MIRES. MICH. MIRES AND	A PART AND AN EMPLOYER A TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PART AND AN AREA OF THE PARTY OF TH		
a displacion o a con a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	90 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 100 · 1	CONTRACTOR AND AND AND AND COMMENTS OF THE CONTRACTOR OF T	
		articularitado de metro de cida de segundo do de segundo de composições e extente e experio e experio (especial de composições de esta de experio e experior e experio e experior	and the second s
			and the second s
Miles - Miles Transcript and Company of the Company	The state of the s		