

## Jeff Merrill

---

**From:** Karen Slusarenko  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 17, 2017 2:55 PM  
**To:** Jeff Merrill  
**Cc:** Danielle Johnson  
**Subject:** RFB 3331 Smith & Bybee Water Control Structure  
**Attachments:** RFB 3331 reference\_check\_form.doc; James W Fowler Bid.pdf; McClure and Sons Bid.pdf

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Hi Jeff:

I've attached the low bid from your RFB 3331 Smith & Bybee Water Control Structure from James W. Fowler. I have also enclosed the second bidder McClure and Sons' bid. I will check the red-tape (BOLI, CBB, Etc.) Will you please check the items below? We'll let you know if anything is up with the red-tape items. I have also the reference check form for calling Fowler's references.

First in general:

Has your low bidder demonstrated:

- He has available the appropriate financial, material, equipment, facility and personnel resources and expertise, or the ability to obtain the resources and expertise, necessary to meet all contractual responsibilities
- He holds current licenses that businesses or service professionals operating in this state must hold in order to undertake or perform the work specified in the contract. (good reference: <https://apps.oregon.gov/SOS/LicenseDirectory/>)
- He has a satisfactory record of performance.
- Has a satisfactory record of integrity.

Later in the process we have to make sure of these two points:

- Contractor is covered by liability insurance and other insurance in amounts required in the solicitation documents. (to be submitted within 14 days of execution)
- Contractor qualifies as a carrier-insured employer or a self-insured employer under ORS 656.407 or has elected coverage under ORS 656.128. (Workers Comp) (to be submitted within 14 days of execution)

Karen

**Karen Slusarenko, CPPB, procurement analyst**

Metro Procurement Services

600 NE Grand Avenue, Portland, OR 97232

(503) 797-1809

Office Hours: every other Friday, Mon-Thurs 7:00am-4pm

\$20,000 initial setup

Jeff,

I spoke with David Sampson from Fowler this morning. I ask if we could discuss some of the bid items from their bid submission. He agreed and the following is a recap of that discussion.

[\$10-20K]

#### Bid Item Dewatering:

Items included in Fowler's dewatering bid item

1. Using super sacks and small sand bags for coffer dams on lake side of structure. 4'x4'x4'

Sacks.

★ 2. Assumes water levels on lake side may exceed elevation 6.0 by 2 feet to elevation 8.0. Overly conservative?

3. Assumes water leakage for OMC bulkheads.

4. Pumping required: Pump power will be by trailer mounted generator set (25KW).

5. Piping for pump expulsion flows.

6. Acknowledged that the box culvert adjacent fish ladder will be remain open for OMC dewatering effort.

★ 7. A 2 week dewatering effort is assumed for the gate replacements. A separate 2 week dewatering effort for the fish ladder. Overly conservative?

8. Bulk head for fish ladder alone will cost \$10,000.

#### Bid Item Gate Replacements and Modification:

Items included in Fowler's Gates bid item

1. \$40,000 gate material cost from Golden Harvest Gate Representative. Engineer estimate for materials from Waterman \$20,000. Unsure why Fowler had to go through Golden Harvest?
2. Existing gate bolt layout may not work? I.E. may have to drill for new bolts and epoxy in place. Engineer assumes using existing bolts.

#### Bid Item Debris Boom:

Items included in Fowler's Debris Boom.

1. \$10,000 demo existing boom. Engineer estimate. \$4,000
2. \$20,000 for anchorage . Engineer estimate \$13,000
3. \$10,000 for materials. Engineer estimate \$12,000.

The dewatering effort has the most risk associated with it. After discussion with David I am confident that Fowler understands the dewatering requirement but has built in conservative cost pricing to handle any unforeseen problems.

The Gate material cost is double the cost I got from Waterman. Not sure why Fowler cannot go directly to Waterman?

All other items seem to represent reasonable cost pricing.

- due diligence for public resources.
- willingness to put in contingencies if so would there be substantial savings?