



METRO

Date: April 29, 1999

To: Member of the Smith and Bybee Lakes Management Committee
St. Johns Neighborhood Association

From: Emily Roth, ^{Emr}Wildlife Area Manager

RE: Preliminary Report on the Landfill Stabilization

C: Dennis O'Neil, Regional Environmental Management

Enclosed is the *Preliminary Dike Stabilization Study St. Johns Landfill* report that Dennis O'Neil talked about at the management committee. Dennis is trying to schedule a date the second week of May for a meeting with the consultant.

Right now I have that Holly, Jim Morgan, Troy, and Peter Teneau are interested in meeting with the consultant. If anyone is interested please let me know. I can be reached at 797-1515. I will let you know the date, time and place when I received the information from Dennis.

Wednesday 12th 10-1200hr
r.m. 274



METRO
REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

PRELIMINARY DIKE STABILIZATION STUDY
ST. JOHNS LANDFILL
PORTLAND, OREGON



APRIL 12, 1999



Cornforth
Consultants Inc.

DRAFT REPORT

Report to:

**Metro
Regional Environmental Management
Engineering and Analysis Division
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97232-2736**

**PRELIMINARY DIKE STABILIZATION STUDY
ST. JOHNS LANDFILL
PORTLAND, OREGON**

April 1999

By

**Cornforth Consultants, Inc.
10250 SW Greenburg Road, Suite 111
Portland, Oregon 97223**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
1.	Introduction	1-1
1.1.	General	1-1
1.2.	Scope of Work	1-1
1.3.	Background/Previous Investigations	1-2
1.4.	Concurrent Waste Cutoff Study	1-2
2.	Shoreline Reconnaissance	2-1
2.1.	General	2-1
2.2.	Erosion Assessment	2-1
2.3.	Overall Stability of Dike	2-2
3.	Slough Hydraulics Evaluation	3-1
3.1.	Hydraulic Model	3-1
3.2.	Channel Sections Analyzed	3-1
3.3.	Results of Hydraulic Analyses	3-3
4.	Priority Treatment Areas	4-1
4.1.	General	4-1
4.2.	Waste Cutoff Issues	4-1
4.3.	Priority Areas - Existing Condition (Dam Left In-Place)	4-2
4.4.	Priority Areas - Dam Removed	4-2
5.	Conceptual Dike Treatment Methods	5-1
5.1.	General	5-1
5.2.	Conceptual Treatment Methods	5-1
5.3.	Recommended Dike Treatment Method	5-3
5.4.	Vegetation	5-5
5.5.	Permitting	5-7
6.	Conceptual Costs	6-1
6.1.	Conceptual Costs	6-1

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. General

In accordance with Metro's authorization, we have completed a preliminary evaluation of methods to stabilize the dike around the perimeter of St. Johns Landfill, in Portland, Oregon. This technical memorandum discusses conceptual dike stabilization options, and presents a recommended repair method.

For this preliminary phase of the project, Cornforth Consultants evaluated dike stabilization concepts and conceptual costs. We were assisted by Fishman Environmental Services (permitting issues, fish and wildlife habitat); Ogden Beeman & Associates, Inc. (slough hydraulics analyses); and Mr. George Kral (vegetation). Each of the team members are based in Portland, Oregon.

1.2. Scope of Work

The scope of work for this study included the following tasks:

- Review Cornforth's files from previous site investigations.
- Perform a reconnaissance of the perimeter dike.
- Perform an analysis of the slough hydraulics to evaluate: (i) channel flow velocities at key locations around the landfill perimeter; and (ii) the impact on flow velocities caused by removing the existing dam on the North Slough.
- Evaluate conceptual dike stabilization methods which would minimize impacts on water quality and wildlife habitat, and would provide enhanced ecological functions within the Smith and Bybee Lakes/Columbia Slough Management Area.
- Determine the likely permits required for the project.
- Review the existing vegetation around the site, and evaluate ways to establish native vegetation on the repaired dike.
- Prepare a report summarizing the conceptual stabilization techniques, analyses and conclusions.

1.3. Background/Previous Investigations

A site plan for the landfill is shown on Figure 1. In July 1997, Metro retained Cornforth Consultants to perform a geotechnical reconnaissance of the perimeter dike to assess: (i) general erosion-related problems; and (ii) a failed section of the dike located at the northwest end of Subarea 1 (near the confluence of the Columbia Slough and North Slough). The results of this earlier investigation were summarized in a report to Metro titled "Geotechnical Evaluation, St. Johns Landfill Perimeter Levee," dated July 24, 1997.

During the July 1997 study, the dike showed signs of erosion and minor slumping that are commonly observed along the shorelines of other sloughs and rivers in the region. We frequently observed erosion at the toe of the perimeter dike, especially below the average high tide waterline. Many of the trees along the shoreline had been undercut by this erosion, and some had fallen into the slough. In isolated areas we observed shallow slope failures (or "slumps") on the face of the dike, which were probably caused by oversteepening at the toe of the slope. The largest of the slumps was located along the southwest end of the landfill. Metro treated this larger slump in the Fall of 1997 by constructing a sand-filled buttress (or a "sand bench") at the toe of the slope. A second sand bench was constructed a short distance to the east to treat a smaller slumping area. A third sand bench was constructed along the shoreline in the Blind Slough area to improve fish and wildlife habitat.

Subarea 1 Dike Failure. The dike failure in Subarea 1 occurred in early 1996 after a period of torrential rainfall and flooding. The failure occurred as a flow slide, which carried trees and loose soil into the Columbia Slough. The failed section was approximately 45 feet in length and 20 feet in height. In the July 24, 1997 report, we presented a conceptual option for reconstructing the slope and buttressing it with rockfill materials. In the fall of 1997 Metro reconstructed the dike by excavating the loose debris and infilling the slide area with rockfill.

1.4. Concurrent Waste Cutoff Study

Previous subsurface investigations at the landfill have revealed the presence of a refuse layer within the dike embankment, along a segment adjacent to the North Slough. In March 1998, our firm identified the lateral extent of this refuse layer with a series of borings performed through the dike. The western and eastern limits of the refuse layer are two of the

key locations around the landfill perimeter where the slough hydraulics were evaluated in this study. These areas are discussed further in Chapter 3 of this report.

Concurrent with this dike stabilization study, Metro authorized our firm to evaluate methods to construct an impermeable barrier (or waste cutoff) through the refuse layer. The results of our waste cutoff study will be submitted in a report under separate cover.

2. SHORELINE RECONNAISSANCE

2.1. General

On September 24, 1998, members of the project team and representatives from Metro toured the perimeter of the landfill from a canoe. The areas observed included the shoreline along the Columbia Slough and North Slough. The purpose of this boat reconnaissance was to observe the condition of the dike at a time when water levels are normally low. A second reconnaissance was performed on December 12, 1998 by walking along the Columbia Slough, North Slough and Blind Slough shoreline. Photographs taken during these reconnaissances are on file in our office.

2.2. Erosion Assessment

General. In general, the perimeter dike continues to show signs of erosion and slumping. The erosion is a result of the soft, silty embankment soils being scoured by currents, tidal fluctuations and wave action. During the boat tour we observed that the most extensive erosion has occurred at the toe of the dike, below the average high tide waterline. The eroded portion of the slope is quite steep, ranging from near vertical to approximately 1.5 horizontal to 1 vertical. The eroded toe condition is essentially continuous along the northern and southern sides of the landfill, and also occurs along much of the western side.

Vegetation. Many of the trees and bushes along the shoreline have been undermined by erosion, which has also exposed the root systems. Several of the trees have been severely undercut and are either leaning or have fallen into the slough (see report cover photo). Based on a recent visit to the site at the end of February 1999, it appeared that several trees had fallen into the sloughs since our previous visit in December 1998. In general, we observed that there is little vegetation growing below the average high tide waterline on the slope.

Opposite Shoreline. We observed extensive erosion along the shoreline on the opposite sides of the Columbia Slough and North Slough (i.e. across the waterways from the landfill). The conditions are very similar, including many fallen trees and localized slope failures.

Previously Repaired Areas. The Subarea 1 dike segment repaired with rockfill in 1997 appeared to be in good condition. We did not observe any new signs of scour or slope instability in the treated area. Similarly, we did not observe any significant erosion of the sand benches at the southwest end of the landfill and in the Blind Slough area.

2.3. Overall Stability of Dike

Erosion around St. Johns Landfill has removed the support for the perimeter dike, thereby causing numerous slumps and a few larger slope failures. During our reconnaissance we noted the locations of the most significant slope failures. These areas of concern are discussed in greater detail in Chapter 3 of this report.

The occurrence of minor slumps and slope failures around the landfill perimeter signifies that the overall stability of the dike is marginal at present. Therefore, as the erosion slowly advances, we anticipate that the frequency and magnitude of the slope failures would increase accordingly. If any changes are made that increase the quantity or velocity of flow through the slough channels, the frequency of slope failures would probably increase also due to an increased rate of scour.

3. SLOUGH HYDRAULICS EVALUATION

3.1. Hydraulic Model

The slough hydraulics evaluation was performed by Ogden Beeman & Associates using the existing Portland State University QUALW2 model for the Columbia Slough System. The model provided estimates of maximum flow velocities at key locations around the landfill perimeter. These estimates are maximum projected values based on actual flow data collected from the Columbia Slough over the past nine years. Dr. Scott Wells of Portland State University assisted Ogden Beeman with the coordination of input and output data for the model.

As requested by Metro, the hydraulic analyses were performed for two conditions: (i) existing conditions, and (ii) an open lakes condition, which assumes that the existing dam on the North Slough has been removed. This second condition was modeled to evaluate a possible future scenario, where the dam has been removed and water is free to flow between the North Slough and Smith and Bybee Lakes.

3.2. Channel Sections Analyzed

The maximum flow velocities were calculated for 12 separate slough channel sections around the landfill perimeter using the QUALW2 model. The locations of the sections are shown on Figure 1. These key areas were selected to include: (i) segments of the dike exhibiting more significant erosion or slope failures; (ii) areas likely to have higher flow velocity due to channel geometry (i.e., a tighter cross-sectional area or a constricted bend in the channel); or (iii) areas previously repaired with rockfill or a sand bench. Sections located at the western and eastern limits of the refuse layer in the north perimeter dike were also evaluated to check the scour potential in these areas. Brief descriptions for each of the twelve sections are presented below.

Section 1. Significant erosion occurring at the outfall end of a pipe that extends out into the slough from a sedimentation basin. Observed erosion and minor slumping along the toe of the slope. The eroded/failed toe appears to be working its way upslope and may

eventually encroach upon the perimeter gas collection trench (located about 18 feet further upslope).

Section 2. Area located approximately 100 feet west of the bridge, and across the Columbia Slough from a combined sewer outfall. Observed extensive erosion and minor slumping along the toe of the slope, with a 3- to 4-foot vertical face below the average high waterline. The area is moderately vegetated.

Section 3. A failed section of the dike measuring approximately 50 feet in width. The slope failure has removed the outer face of the dike, and left behind an erosional bowl. The headscarp (or uphill edge) of this slide area is located along the outer edge of the gravel road on top of the dike. Based on posted utility signs, it appears that a gas pipeline is buried beneath the outer edge of the road; therefore, the slope failure could be close to undermining the pipeline.

Section 4. Location of the westernmost of two sand benches constructed in 1996 at the southwest end of the landfill.

Section 5. A tall, very steep, and heavily undermined segment of the dike located at the southwest end of the landfill. Observed the outer face of the dike actively slumping in February 1999, and also observed the trace of a headscarp crack near the crest of the slope. The headscarp crack could signify the development of a larger, or more deep-seated failure within the slope. The eroded face of the slope is encroaching upon the perimeter road. The area is heavily vegetated, with some trees leaning into the slough.

Section 6. A tall, steep, and heavily undermined segment of the dike located within a constricted bend of the Columbia Slough channel. Observed localized slumping along the toe, and a trace of a headscarp crack in the crest of the slope. The area is heavily vegetated, with some large trees leaning into the slough.

Section 7. A constricted bend in the Columbia Slough channel. Observed extensive erosion along the toe of the slope, with a 3- to 4-foot vertical face below the high tide waterline. The area is heavily vegetated. There were no ground cracks or other signs of slope movement.

Section 8. Failed section of dike that was repaired with rockfill materials in 1996.

Section 9. Entrance to the North Slough. Moderate erosion along the toe, and lightly vegetated slope.

Section 10. Western end of the refuse layer within the dike along the North Slough. Observed extensive erosion along the toe, with a near-vertical face below the average high tide waterline. The slope is lightly vegetated.

Section 11. Eastern end of the refuse layer within the dike along the North Slough. Relatively tall and steep slope, with minor slumping and heavy erosion at the toe. The slope is moderately vegetated.

Section 12. Section very near the dam on the North Slough which, in turn, is at the entrance to Smith and Bybee Lakes. The section was analyzed to evaluate the change in maximum flow velocity through this area if the dam was removed.

3.3. Results of Hydraulic Analyses

Existing Conditions - Dam Left In-Place. The maximum calculated flow velocities for each of the 12 sections are summarized in Table 1 below. It should be noted that the highest calculated velocities occur at the locations where dike failures occurred previously (Sections 4 and 8). This relationship indicates that there is a correlation between the occurrence of dike stability problems and slough flow velocity (i.e., higher velocity results in more scour and, therefore, greater impact to stability).

Dam Removed. The maximum calculated flow velocities for the 12 sections with the dam removed are also summarized in Table 1 below.

Table 1
Maximum Calculated Flow Velocities

Section	Existing Conditions Maximum Velocity (feet/second)	Dam Removed Maximum Velocity (feet/second)
1	3.68	2.89
2	3.81	3.10
3	3.66	3.04
4	3.82	3.22
5	3.04	2.82
6	2.89	3.21
7	3.61	4.54
8	3.90	7.22
9	1.88	4.00
10	1.18	4.84
11	1.35	5.94
12	1.37	7.53

Differences Between Analyses. A comparison between the two analyses suggests that the removal of the dam on the North Slough would have a significant impact on the flow velocities around the landfill. Removing the dam would result in large increases along the northern and northwest sides, and a slight decrease along the southern and southwest sides. The increase in maximum velocity along the North Slough would be particularly dramatic (see Sections 8 through 12), which would result in significant increases of the rate of scour.

Scour Potential. Ogden Beeman & Associates have estimated that channel flow velocities greater than about 3 ft./sec. would erode soil particles ranging in size from sand-sized to smaller. Most of the soil along the dike and slough channels consists of slightly clayey, sandy silt, to silty fine sand. As indicated above, removing the dam would increase the maximum velocity along the North Slough from just over 1 ft./sec. to more than 4 ft./sec. Therefore, removing the dam would probably cause a dramatic increase in the rate of scour along the North Slough. This high rate of scour would continue until the channel widened sufficiently to reach an equilibrium condition.

4. PRIORITY TREATMENT AREAS

4.1. General

Erosion around the toe of the perimeter dike of the landfill has been quite extensive. Therefore, the effort and cost to reconstruct the toe and provide erosion control measures around the entire site would be very high. In our opinion, the perimeter dike could be treated following a staged approach. We recommend treating the higher priority areas initially, and then observing conditions in less critical areas and treating problems as they occur or as budgeting allows. The priority areas would include dike sections that: (i) are actively failing; (ii) are in close proximity to refuse, pipelines, access roads, or other landfill facilities; (iii) have a higher scour potential (i.e., are subjected to higher channel flow velocities); or (iv) have little existing vegetation to help support the slope.

As mentioned previously, the removal of the dam on the North Slough would affect the slough flow velocity (and rate of scour) around the site, especially along the North Slough. For this reason, the priority treatment areas would be different for the existing condition versus the dam-removed condition. Our recommended priority treatment areas for both conditions are discussed below.

4.2. Waste Cutoff Issues

As part of the concurrent waste cutoff study, we determined that the most practical methods for constructing an impermeable barrier would include excavating a trench along the levee alignment, and then backfilling the trench with a low-permeable material (soil, soil-bentonite slurry, etc.). We performed a slope stability evaluation which indicated that the trench excavation could temporarily destabilize the dike until the trench was backfilled. Therefore, we recommend that the dike stabilization work be performed prior to the waste cutoff construction. As a result, the stabilization of the dike segment between Sections 10 and 11 on Figure 1 would become a high priority, regardless of whether the dam is left in-place or removed.

4.3. Priority Areas – Existing Condition (Dam Left In-Place)

Assuming the North Slough dam would not be removed, our recommended priority areas include Section 3, Section 5, and the dike segment between Sections 10 and 11. Reasons for this priority ranking are presented below.


Section 3 is critical because the slope failure has already progressed to the edge of the perimeter access road, and is very close to exposing a gas pipeline. The situation at Section 5 is critical because the slope is actively sliding and the failure appears to be relatively deep-seated. If left untreated, there is a possibility that this segment of the dike could fail catastrophically, which could drop a significant quantity of soil into the Columbia Slough. Furthermore, a large failure at Section 5 would probably impact the perimeter road. As discussed in Section 4.2, stabilization of the segment between Sections 10 and 11 is critical in order to construct a waste cutoff barrier.

The medium priority areas include Sections 1, 2, 6, 7 and another small section of eroded dike located a few hundred feet east of Section 11. We recommend that Section 6 be watched very closely, because the dike is tall and very steep in this area. We observed a trace of a headscarp crack at the crest of the slope at Section 6, which suggests that a fairly deep-seated slope failure may be forming.

4.4. Priority Areas – Dam Removed

As discussed in Chapter 3, removing the dam would cause a dramatic increase in the rate of scour along the North Slough shoreline. On this basis, it would be necessary to treat the entire dike alignment through this area (approximately 4,600 feet of shoreline) to prevent slope failures. The scour would also attack the northern shoreline along the North Slough, which would gradually remove habitat from the Smith and Bybee Lakes wildlife management area. To prevent the loss of habitat, it would be necessary to treat the northern shoreline as well (approximately 4,600 feet of shoreline). Therefore, if the dam is removed, treating both sides of the entire length of the North Slough would become a high priority.

Aside from the North Slough area, the other priority treatment areas would remain the same as the existing condition where the dam remains in-place. We recommend that Sections 3 and 5 be stabilized for the same reasons discussed above, and the medium priority areas be watched closely.



5. CONCEPTUAL DIKE TREATMENT METHODS

5.1. General

The primary goals of the dike treatment method would be to: (1) stabilize the slope and provide erosion protection; (2) avoid or minimize impacts to water quality, wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat; and (3) provide enhanced ecological functions and values within the Smith and Bybee Lakes/Columbia Slough Management Area. Our recommended repair method incorporates these goals. Since the primary function of the dike is to prevent refuse from entering the slough, we have assumed that the overriding criteria in the design is to provide for the long-term structural stability of the dike.

5.2. Conceptual Treatment Methods

Traditional Methods. We considered several traditional shoreline stabilization methods for the dike, including methods commonly used by the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. Some of these methods include:

- reconstructing the slope with rockfill and armoring it with riprap;
- constructing gabions (wire baskets infilled with rock pieces) along the shoreline;
- sheet pile bulkheads or other types of retaining walls; and
- armoring the slope with Reno mats (concrete blocks interconnected by a cable) or similar commercially available products.

These traditional methods are very useful for stabilizing the shoreline and preventing erosion. However, the major drawbacks to these methods are that they would likely produce an adverse impact on the existing fish and wildlife resources, and they would not meet the goals of the Smith and Bybee Lakes Wildlife Management Plan. Furthermore, they are generally not considered aesthetically pleasing .

In addition to the drawbacks listed above, gabions and sheet pile walls would not be practical at this site because: (i) the soft sediments along the shoreline could not safely support the load from a gabion structure; and (ii) sheet piles would have to be driven to a great depth through the soft sediments (to develop the support needed to withstand the lateral earth pressures from the dike).

Biotechnical Methods. Due to the importance of preserving fish and wildlife habitat, biotechnical techniques have been developed in recent years which incorporate vegetation into a slope stabilization repair. Biotechnical methods typically involve planting willows, grasses and other vegetation on a slope face which has been reinforced with logs, coconut fiber mats or some other biodegradable product. This vegetation serves several purposes: (i) it improves the appearance of the slope; (ii) it provides wildlife habitat; and (iii) the root systems reinforce the slope and prevent erosion.

The vegetation could be particularly useful on repaired dike sections around St. Johns Landfill, because leachate discharge through the dike would receive some biochemical treatment by the root systems and associated micro-organisms. This biochemical treatment would help maintain water quality around the site.

The limitations and potential drawbacks of biotechnical methods are: (i) it could take a few growing seasons for the plants to become well-established; (ii) inundation from seasonal and tidal fluctuations in the slough would prevent vegetation from becoming established on the lower portion of the dike; (iii) the plants are subject to predation; and (iv) the slope would lose internal support as the logs or fiber reinforcement mats deteriorate.

Combined Methods. The traditional and biotechnical methods can be combined to create a stabilization technique that takes advantage of the strengths of both methods. For example, a traditional riprap slope could be constructed up to a selected elevation on the dike face to protect against heavy currents and wave action, and the upper portion of the slope could be completed with a biotechnical method to improve aesthetics and provide habitat.

This combined method approach has been used recently by the Port of Portland on slope failures at Terminals T5 and T6 along the Willamette River. Members of our design team and representatives from Metro toured these facilities on April 2, 1999. The Port successfully

reconstructed the failed slopes by placing rockfill overlain by riprap up to a selected flood stage level, and then completing the upper part of the slope with lifts of compacted sand reinforced by plastic geogrids. (This process of reinforcing a soil slope with a geogrid is commonly referred to as "mechanically stabilized earth," or MSE.) The geogrid reinforcement has an openwork pattern; therefore, vegetation can grow through it. The upper part of the slope was then covered by a thin layer of topsoil, which was later planted with bushes, trees, and hydroseeded with native grasses. It is our understanding that permitting for the Port of Portland's work took four to six months.

5.3. Recommended Dike Treatment Method

General. Based on the foregoing, we recommend stabilizing the priority sections of the dike at St. Johns Landfill using a combined method consisting of: (a) rockfill armored with riprap on the lower portion; and (b) a vegetated MSE slope on the upper portion. In our opinion, this method would provide the erosion protection and long-term stability required for the dike, while providing superior aesthetics, water quality and habitat values over a traditional method.

Transition Elevation – Riprap to Vegetated MSE. A key factor in the slope treatment design would be to determine a suitable elevation to transition between rockfill/riprap to the vegetated MSE. Information from the hydraulic analyses suggests that a transition near elevation 12 feet to elevation 15 feet (City of Portland Datum) would provide for riprap protection during the maximum flow velocity events discussed in Chapter 3. However, it is our understanding that the slough stage levels exceeded elevation 12 by several feet during heavy floods in recent years. Therefore, until the plants become well established, there could be a risk of losing soil and vegetation from the MSE portion of the slope during heavy floods. Metro would need to evaluate this risk versus the desire to maximize the vegetated surface on the dike.

Additional hydraulic studies could be performed in a subsequent phase of this project which may help set the transition elevation (say the 10-year flood level or some other criterion established by Metro). For the purposes of this report, we have assumed that the transition would occur at elevation 12 feet.

Recommended Repair Method. Metro performed cross-section surveys in January and February 1999 for several of the 12 sections analyzed in the hydraulic evaluation. Included among the surveyed sections were Sections 3, 5 and 10, which are representative of the priority treatment areas discussed in Chapter 4. Our recommended method for reconstructing the dike at each of these high priority cross-sections is shown on Figure 2. More specific details for the procedure are given below:

1. While slough stage levels are low in late summer, use a crane with a clam shell or dragline to excavate all water-softened debris, fallen trees and roots from the treatment areas. At the toe of the slope, excavate an 8-foot bench to support the rock materials. The contractor would be required to use great caution when excavating debris at Section 3 to avoid disturbing or damaging the gas pipeline.
2. Place and tamp a 1-foot layer of crushed rock against the base of the bench and the slope immediately above it (Fig. 2) to provide a filter layer which would prevent internal erosion. The filter material should consist of clean, well-graded, 1½-inch minus crushed rock.
3. Complete the lower portion of the slope by placing an intermediate rockfill layer on top of the gravel filter layer, and then armoring the outer face of the slope with a 2-foot layer of riprap. Recommended slope: 1.75H:1V. Tamp the rockfill and riprap materials firmly into place with the clam shell bucket. The rockfill should be well-graded from 4 inches to the U. S. No. 4 sieve. The riprap should be well graded between 18 inches and 6 inches.
4. At the transition elevation (shown at elevation 12 feet on Fig. 2), place a non-woven geotextile to separate the lower rockfill portion from the upper MSE portion of the reconstructed slope.
5. Construct the MSE slope by wrapping geogrids and tufted erosion control mats over 2-foot layers of compacted sand as shown on Figure 3. At the outer face of the slope, include a 1-foot layer of topsoil inside of the geogrid and tufted erosion control mat to provide a growing medium for plants. The purpose of the tufted erosion control mat

would be to help prevent the topsoil from eroding until the vegetation becomes established. Repeat the process, and continue the slope upward at 1.75H:1V.

6. Plant native bushes and trees as appropriate for the season, and then seed the MSE slope with native grasses. If necessary, return to the site during the other seasons to install additional native plants. In addition to the vegetation on the MSE slope, the riprap could be joint-planted with willows to help improve the look and habitat of the riprap portion of the dike. An idealized cross-section of the vegetated slope is shown on Figure 4. Specific species of suitable vegetation are discussed below.

5.4. Vegetation

Mr. George Kral (vegetation specialist) has had significant prior experience planting native vegetation at St. Johns Landfill and the Columbia Slough area. Based on this prior experience, our preliminary recommendations for vegetation on the dike treatment area would include the following plant species:

Zone 1: Elevation 12 feet to 17 feet

Total plants per 10 feet of treated dike:

Trees (7-foot centers)	2
Willow (2-foot centers)	25
Shrubs (4-foot centers)	6.3

Species	Common Name	Plant Category
<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i>	Oregon ash	Tree
<i>Salix piperi</i>	Piper's willow	Willow
<i>Salix sitchensis</i>	Sitka willow	Willow
<i>Salix rigida</i>	Heart-leaved willow	Willow
<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	Red-twig dogwood	Shrub
<i>Spiraea douglasii</i>	Douglas' spiraea	Shrub

Zone 2: Elevation 17' feet

Total plants per 10 feet of treated dike: Trees (7-foot centers) 2
 Shrubs (2.5-foot centers) 16

Species	Common Name	Plant Category
<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i>	Oregon ash	Tree
<i>Rosa nutkana</i>	Nutka rose	Shrub
<i>Rosa pisocarpa</i>	Swamp rose	Shrub
<i>Symphoricarpos alba</i>	Snowberry	Shrub
<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	Red-twig dogwood	Shrub
<i>Sambucus racemosa</i>	Red elderberry	Shrub
<i>Oemleria cerasiformis</i>	Indian plum	Shrub

Grass Seed (in order of application from bottom to top of MSE slope)

Application rate: 0.8 pounds per 10 feet of treated dike

Species	Common Name
<i>Bidens cernua</i>	Nodding beggar tick
<i>Eleocharis palustris</i>	Common spike rush
<i>Carex aperta</i>	Columbia sedge
<i>Leersia oryzoides</i>	Rice cutgrass
<i>Alopecurus geniculatus</i>	Water foxtail
<i>Hordeum brachyantherum</i>	Meadow barley
<i>Elymus glaucus</i>	Blue wildrye
<i>Bromus sitchensis</i>	Sitka brome (California brome)

5.5. Permitting

The proposed dike stabilization project will require permits from local, state and federal authorities, as discussed below.

Local Land Use Review. The City of Portland's land use review for the proposed dike stabilization project is expected to be reviewed against the Smith and Bybee Lakes Natural Resources Management Plan. We anticipate that the project will be found to be consistent with Minor Exception h (page 68) of the Plan.

The stabilization project may also be reviewed under the City of Portland compliance mechanism with Metro Title 3: Water Quality, Flood Management and Fish and Wildlife Conservation. This City of Portland mechanism is not yet in place; however, it could be in effect when the project documents are submitted. The proposed stabilization project may not be subject to the flood management performance standards of Title 3, as described in Title 3 Section 4A3b. The water quality performance standards of Title 3 would probably be met by the recommended repair method. However, it is unclear at this point how the Water Quality Resource Areas buffer requirements will be applied to the St. Johns Landfill.

Oregon Removal/Fill Law. An application will need to be submitted to the Oregon Division of State Lands (ODSL) for a removal/fill permit. The ODSL will determine whether the project needs an individual permit, or is to be covered under an existing General Authorization, such as the one for erosion control projects. We believe that the dike stabilization project would not be covered by the General Authorization because of the extent of fill required. An individual permit through ODSL would require at least two to three months following submission of a complete application. We believe that ODSL generally prefers shoreline designs that utilize biotechnical techniques in order to preserve habitat values; therefore, we do not expect any mitigation requirements.

Clean Water Act Sections 401 and 404: Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10. An application will need to be submitted to the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) for authorization to place fill material in waters of the United States and to place structures in navigable waters. The USACE determines if the project would require an individual permit, or whether it can be authorized under Nationwide Permit 13, Bank Stabilization. Water

quality certification under CWA Section 401 would be reviewed by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ). No mitigation requirement is anticipated for this project; however, we anticipate that the USACE and possibly ODEQ would require any design to incorporate plantings for fish and wildlife habitat. The project will require consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) in regard to Endangered Species Act listed fish in the lower Willamette River. We feel that it would be preferable to conduct this consultation under the informal, rather than formal procedure. We anticipate that the NMFS would prefer to see maximum use of vegetation associated with the project design.

6. CONCEPTUAL COSTS

6.1. Conceptual Costs

The conceptual costs presented below are based on quantity calculations derived from limited survey data. The conceptual costs include the contractor's mobilization, profit and overhead. The conceptual costs do not include engineering design, permitting, administrative or quality control costs. Actual construction bids would depend on many factors such as environmental and permitting restrictions, level of competition between contractors, time of year, etc. Values shown are in 1999 dollars.

Estimated Unit Rates. The estimated unit rates for the rockfill/riprap portion and the MSE portion of the dike (with vegetation) are presented below.

- Rockfill/Riprap: \$18 to \$21 per square foot of slope face
- MSE with vegetation: \$14 to \$17 per square foot of slope face

Conceptual Cost - Existing Conditions (Dam Left In-Place). With the dam remaining in-place, the estimated costs to treat the three priority areas are:

- Section 3: \$27,000 to \$32,000
- Section 5: \$60,000 to \$75,000
- Sections 10 to 11: \$560,000 to \$690,000

Conceptual Cost - Dam Removed. Removing the dam would not affect the cost of treating Sections 3 and 5; therefore, the conceptual costs for these areas would be as indicated above.

- Section 3: \$27,000 to \$32,000
- Section 5: \$60,000 to \$75,000

Assuming that removing the dam would necessitate treating both sides of the North Slough, the total length of reconstructed shoreline would be approximately 9,200 feet. Treating the northern shoreline of the North Slough would also require the construction of an access road for equipment, at an estimated cost of \$500,000.

- St. Johns Landfill Side of North Slough: \$2,500,000 to \$3,000,000
 - North Shoreline of North Slough (with access road) \$3,000,000 to \$3,500,000
- Total: \$5,500,000 to \$6,500,000

We appreciate the opportunity to be of continued service on this project. If you have any questions, please call.


Very truly yours,

CORNFORTH CONSULTANTS, INC.

By _____
Michael R. Meyer, P.E.
Associate Engineer


By _____
Ermel Quevedo, P.E.
President

DRAFT



LEGEND


② SECTION EVALUATED IN SLOUGH HYDRAULICS ANALYSES (SEE REPORT TEXT)




MAPPING BY DAVID C. SMITH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
PORTLAND, OR., DATE OF PHOTOGRAPH 9-27-96.

1132-1/SITEPLAN2/1:400/MPL

 Comforth Consultants, Inc. 10250 S.W. Greenburg Rd. Portland, OR 97223	TITLE	SITE PLAN	DATE	APR 1999
	JOB	DIKE STABILIZATION STUDY ST. JOHNS LANDFILL	JOB NO.	1132-1
			FIG.	1




SECTION 3
SCALE IN FEET








SECTION 10
SCALE IN FEET

DRAFT



SECTION 5
SCALE IN FEET


- LEGEND**
-  COMPACTED SAND
 -  RIPRAP
 -  ROCKFILL
 -  CRUSHED ROCK FILTER
 -  EXISTING GROUND SURFACE TO BE REMOVED

Cornforth Consultants, Inc.
10250 S.W. Greenburg Rd.,
Portland, OR 97223

TITLE	CROSS SECTIONS - CONCEPTUAL TREATMENT METHOD	
JOB	DIKE STABILIZATION STUDY ST. JOHNS LANDFILL	

M1132-01/FIGURE02	
DATE	APR 1999
JOB NO.	1132-1
FIG.	2


DRAFT




NOT TO SCALE

NOTE: FOLLOWING CONSTRUCTION - PLANT NATIVE TREES AND BUSHES AND HYDROSEED GROUND SURFACE (SEE FIGURE 4)

M1132-01/FIGURE03 LJW

 Comforth Consultants, Inc. 10250 S.W. Greenburg Rd. Portland, OR 97223	TITLE LAYOUT FOR MECHANICALLY STABILIZED EARTH	DATE APR 1999
	JOB DIKE STABILIZATION STUDY ST. JOHNS LANDFILL	JOB NO. 1132-1
		FIG. 3

DRAFT



M1132-01FIGURE04 LJW

 Cornforth Consultants, Inc. 10250 S.W. Greenburg Rd. Portland, OR 97223	TITLE IDEALIZED CROSS SECTION AFTER PLANTING	DATE APR 1999
	JOB DIKE STABILIZATION STUDY ST. JOHNS LANDFILL	JOB NO. 1132-1
		FIG. 4