

MINUTES OF THE TRI-MET MERGER SUBCOMMITTEE
OF THE COUNCIL INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE
OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

Regular Meeting
August 14, 1990

Committee members present: Jim Gardner (Chair), Richard
Devlin, Ruth McFarland, Loren Wyss

Chair Jim Gardner called the meeting to order at 6:09 p.m.

1. Update on Consultant Services RFP

Council Analyst Jessica Marlitt reviewed the RFP which was issued August 13, 1990. She pointed out the due date stated in the introduction of the RFP has been extended to August 27, 1990 to give adequate response time. Eighteen RFPs have been distributed, four to DBE/WBE certified firms. Marlitt briefly outlined the evaluation process and schedule. The contract will be signed by Labor Day and General Counsel will have their legal evaluation completed by September 15. The resolution the Council adopted looking into the merger directs JPACT to report to the IGR Committee with their analysis by the end of October. A full report and recommendation by the IGR Committee is due December 31, 1990.

Committee member Loren Wyss stated that directive number two of the proposed scope of work seemed out of logical order. He suggested that the directive to develop strategies for a merger should take place after the other studies which determine whether or not a merger is in the best interest of citizens in the district.

Ms. Marlitt pointed out the proposed scope of work is not the actual scope of work, but rather a verbatim listing taken from the resolution. Councilor Gardner stated it would not be appropriate to make changes to the wording of the original resolution at this point, and therefore the proposed scope of work section should remain unchanged. Councilor Ruth McFarland responded to Mr. Wyss's concerns, stating strategies need to be developed in order to see if a merger is feasible and desirable. By looking at strategies it can be determined whether or not these strategies provide insurmountable barriers to a merger.

Mr. Wyss also recommended a change of title to paragraph two, page five to better explain the process outlined in paragraph two and to better delineate it from paragraph three of the same page. He pointed out paragraph two related to organizational options while paragraph three concerned process.

TRI-MET MERGER SUBCOMMITTEE
OF THE COUNCIL INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE
August 14, 1990
page 2

Following discussion, Mr. Wyss, Councilors Devlin, Gardner and McFarland agreed to change the title of paragraph two, page five to, "What is the best organizational structure for a merged agency?"

2. Discuss Meeting Schedule and Reporting Framework.

Chair Gardner reminded the committee of the tight time frame involved and requested committee members submit any questions they have regarding the scope of work to him within the week.

An opportunity was given for public testimony. No testimony was given.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:32 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,


Lindsey Ray
Committee Clerk

A:\TRIMET814.MIN