MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

> February 7, 1989 Council Chamber

Committee members present:	Councilors Jim Gardner (Chair), Tanya Collier (V. Chair), Lawrence Bauer, Tom DeJardin and Richard Devlin
Committee members absent:	None
Also present:	Councilor Roger Buchanan and Tom Balmer, Citizen member, Council Budget Committee

Chair Gardner called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

1. <u>Urban Growth Boundary Periodic Review--Work Session on Draft</u> <u>Resolution and Report to State</u>

Rich Carson, Planning and Development Director, and Ethan Seltzer, Regional Planner, presented the staff report and referred the Committee to a memo dated February 7, 1989 regarding "Revised Urban Growth Boundary Amendment Procedures," a summary report titled "UGB Data Summary - Findings Update" also dated February 7, 1989 and draft Resolution No. 89-1049, "For the Purpose of Establishing a Policy Advisory Committee and a Technical Advisory Committee for the Periodic Review of the Urban Growth Boundary." Staff's presentation covered three main areas: scheduling, process and procedures and is summarized as follows.

<u>Scheduling and Process</u>: The Planning and Development Department would submit a draft reply to the Department of Land Conservation and Development's (DLCD) "Notice of Periodic Review" in March, 1989, at which time public hearings would be scheduled by Metro for late May or early June, 1989. The record would be kept open for six months to allow additional public involvement, and final adoption was anticipated to be December, 1989. It was noted that this schedule met DLCD requirements.

<u>Public Involvement and Procedures</u>: Staff recommended a Policy Advisory Committee (PAC) be appointed to take public testimony and advise the Metro Council and a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) be appointed to support and advise the PAC. Exhibit A to Resolution No. 89-1049 detailed the recommended charges and composition of both Committees.

The Committee discussed the appointment process. Councilor Bauer recommended the three elected city council representatives on the PAC each representing either the cities of Washington or Multnomah or Clackamas County be selected from cities whose boundaries abut the Urban Growth Boundary. Council staff suggested the Committee Intergovernmental Relations Committee February 7, 1989 Page 2

consider a confirmation process for non-governmental PAC appointees.

- Motion: Councilor Collier moved that non-governmental representatives of the Policy Advisory Committee be appointed by the Executive Officer in consultation with the Presiding Officer and chair of the Intergovernmental Relations Committee and be confirmed by the Council and that governmental representatives of the Policy Advisory Committee be appointed by the government they represent.
- <u>Vote</u>: The five committee members present voted aye.

The motion carried unanimously.

Staff reviewed the "UGB Data Summary - Findings Update," and stated the comparison of estimates for population, housing, employment and land consumption indicated, in aggregate, an adequate supply of land existed within the Urban Growth Boundary to meet the needs of the urban population through the year 2010. Staff said analysis of urban land needs on a sub-regional level would be discussed at a later date in connection with development of the Regional Land Information System. Such an analysis would explore the characteristics of the land and evaluate whether the supply and actual land characteristics were able to meet forecasted demand, staff added.

2. <u>Second Quarter Program, Budget Progress Reports and FY 1989-90</u> <u>Policy Discussions for Transportation and Planning &</u> <u>Development Departments</u>

Rich Carson, Director of the Planning & Development Department, summarized the Department's second quarter program progress report (October-December, 1988) and responded to the Committee's questions. Councilor Collier queried whether Metro program coordinators were in close enough communication with the local jurisdictions, in light of comments she had heard that some jurisdictions did not feel they were receiving adequate service for the dues they paid. Mr. Carson said the consensus of the Local Government Advisory Committee was a preference for Metro's duessupported efforts in local government coordination be through the regional planning process and working with the policy groups. Councilors DeJardin and Devlin noted they felt it important to reach other elected officials who were not members of the policy groups through written communication and participation in jurisdictional meetings. Intergovernmental Relations Committee February 7, 1989 Page 3

Mr. Carson proposed the following directions and priorities for the upcoming fiscal year's work program: continuing and expanding the Park and Natural Areas Planning Program; expanding staff time in the area of water resources management; adding waste reduction program staff; and redirecting the local government coordination efforts into the planning process.

Andy Cotugno, Transportation Director summarized the Transportation Department's program progress report and responded to questions from the Committee. Mr. Cotugno said the upcoming fiscal year's budget proposal priorities and directions reflected increased activities related to the Regional Land Information System, data gathering activities to coordinate with the 1990 census, and staff to conduct the Eastside Light Rail Alternatives Analysis.

There was no other business, and the meeting was adjourned at 8:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Swen Ware - Barrett

Gwen Ware-Barrett Council Committee Clerk

gpwb IGR2.07