

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE  
OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

Regular Meeting  
February 21, 1989

Committee members present: Councilors Jim Gardner (Chair), Tanya Collier (V. Chair), Lawrence Bauer, Tom DeJardin and Richard Devlin

Committee members absent: None

Also present: Councilors David Knowles and Judy Wyers

Chair Gardner called the meeting to order at 6:10 p.m.

1. East Bank Freeway Study Committee Presentation: Status of the I-5 Freeway Relocation Recommendations

The Chair introduced Ronald Buel. Mr. Buel said he was the spokesperson for a citizens group, "RiverFront for People," who were seeking the Committee's and the Council's support for a specific option to relocate a section of the I-5 Freeway on the eastside of the Willamette River. Mr. Buel introduced two other members of the group, Messrs. George Crandall and John Griffith, who gave an audio-visual presentation and explained the group's efforts in connection with the East Bank Freeway Option Study.

Mr. Crandall said RiverFront for People supported one option suggested by the East Bank Freeway Option Study (Alternative C), while the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) supported a different option (Alternative A). Mr. Crandall then discussed reasons RiverFront for People felt Alternative C was a superior proposal. His discussion emphasized the opportunity for riverfront improvement and development and superior access.

Mr. Buel discussed proposed funding schemes for Alternative C and said the majority of funding for this alternative would be supplied by the State. He said funding Alternative C would not cause other projects to be eliminated from the six-year regional transportation priorities, but would require a reprioritization of projects. The RiverFront for People representatives urged Committee members, either as individuals or representatives of the Council, to appear at a hearing on the matter before the Portland City Council on March 16, 1989.

2. Legislative Update

Greg McMurdo, Government Relations Manager, was not in attendance, therefore, this item was not discussed.

3. Consideration of Resolution No. 89-1050, for the Purpose of Transmitting the Draft Urban Growth Boundary Periodic Review Order to the Department of Land Conservation and Development

Rich Carson, Director of Planning and Development, Patrick Lee, Planning Supervisor and Ethan Seltzer, Land Use Coordinator, presented the department's report. Staff said adoption of the resolution would: 1) transmit to the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) the draft periodic review order which responds to DLCD's Notice of Periodic Review; 2) direct public hearings on the draft order to be held before the Council Intergovernmental Relations Committee and 3) prohibit the Council from taking final action on the final periodic review order until after completion of the citizen involvement process outlined in the adopted UGB Periodic Review Workplan.

Motion: Councilor DeJardin moved to recommend the Council adopt Resolution No. 89-1050.

Vote: The five Committee members voted aye.

The motion carried unanimously.

4. Consideration of Resolution No. 89-1049, for the Purpose of Establishing a Policy Advisory Committee and a Technical Advisory Committee for the Periodic Review of the Urban Growth Boundary

Planning and Development staff explained Resolution No. 89-1049, if adopted, would establish the composition and charge of the policy and technical advisory committee formed to assist in the periodic review process. Staff explained the draft resolution had been presented to the Intergovernmental Relations Committee at its February 7, 1989, meeting at which time the Committee had amended it. Those amendments along with others recommended by staff to provide clarity had been incorporated into the resolution, staff said.

Motion: Councilor DeJardin moved to recommend the Council adopt Resolution No. 89-1049.

Vote: The five Committee members voted aye.

The motion carried unanimously.

5. Consideration of Resolution No. 89-1056, for the Purpose of Confirming Appointments to the Policy Advisory Committee for the Periodic Review of the Urban Growth Boundary

Planning and Development staff said Resolution No. 89-1056 was a companion to Resolution No. 89-1049 and, if adopted, Resolution No. 89-1056 would appoint members to the Policy Advisory Committee. Council staff suggested the resolution title be amended to read "For the Purpose of Confirming the Appointments of Members to the Policy Advisory Committee . . ."

Motion: Councilor Bauer moved to recommend the Council adopt Resolution No. 89-1056 with the title change recommended by Council staff.

Vote: All five Committee members voted aye.

The motion carried.

6. Consideration of Resolution No. 89-1057, for the Purpose of Assessing Local Government Dues for FY 1989-90

Patrick Lee, Planning and Development Department staff, reported meetings with the Local Government Advisory Committee had resulted in a recommendation that Metro's coordination role emphasize regional planning issues rather than staffing committees and sponsoring workshops. Mr. Lee said no written comments had been received regarding the dues level; however, the City of Portland had indicated a desire for Metro to decrease, or at a minimum, maintain the same dues level. Mr. Lee said that the maximum allocation was being recommended. Councilor Gardner noted the Local Government Advisory Committee, lacking any specific program recommendations or written comments on the dues level from the jurisdictions, had recommended the maximum dues level. Mr. Lee said he had received a telephone call indicating Multnomah County supported the dues.

Motion: Councilor DeJardin moved to recommend the Council adopt Resolution No. 89-1057.

Vote: The five Councilors present voted aye.

The motion carried unanimously.

7. Consideration of Ordinance No. 89-282, for the Purpose of Updating the Regional Transportation Plan

Andy Cotugno, Transportation Director, said as a result of public testimony and comments received by the Intergovernmental Relations Committee (IGR) and the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT), the Technical Policy Advisory Committee (TPAC) had recommended amendments to the draft ordinance, staff report and attachments the IGR Committee had reviewed at a previous

meeting. Mr. Cotugno reviewed the recommended amendments as detailed in the staff report and attachments in agenda packet.

Motion: Councilor Collier moved to recommend the Council adopt Ordinance No. 89-282 with the amendments recommended by JPACT and TPAC.

Vote: The five Committee members voted aye.

The motion carried.

8. Consideration of Resolution No. 89-1045, for the Purpose of Amending the Functional Classification System and the Federal-Aid Urban System

Transportation Director Andy Cotugno said Resolution No. 89-1045 requests Council approval of adding NW Ninth Avenue between NW Glisan Street and Front Avenue to the federally-approved functional classification system, thereby, allowing that section of NW Ninth Avenue to be eligible for federal transportation funds.

Motion: Councilor DeJardin moved to recommend the Council adopt Resolution No. 89-1045.

Vote: The five Committee members voted aye.

The motion carried unanimously.

9. Status of Regional Transportation Financing Package with the State Legislature

Andy Cotugno said the Council, by adopting Resolution No. 89-1035, had endorsed a regional transportation funding proposal and directed staff to pursue implementation of the proposal during the 1989 legislative session. Mr. Cotugno reported a bill to extend the transit-related payroll tax to local governments and SB 475, establishing a light rail construction fund and rules for control of those funds, had been introduced, sponsored by Senator Glenn Otto and would be scheduled for committee hearings. He said it was expected that bills would be introduced to continue gas tax increases, increase the statewide vehicle registration fee, and allow a local option registration fee to fund road improvement. Mr. Cotugno said a bill regarding continuation of state funding toward routine capital had not been introduced and possibly would not be introduced in this session. He said that additional discussions with the Association of Oregon Counties and League of Oregon Cities would have to occur before introduction of a cigarette tax bill. He also said there were indications the Governor could support a constitutional amendment to allow use of

Intergovernmental Relations Committee  
February 21, 1989  
Page 5

vehicle registration fees to fund transit operations and capital,  
but it would have to be very narrowly defined.

There was no other business, and the meeting was adjourned at 8:40  
p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

*Gwen Ware-Barrett*

Gwen Ware-Barrett  
Council Committee Clerk

gpwb  
IGR2.21