

Minutes of the Metro Council Planning Committee
September 15, 1994
Council Chamber

Committee Members Present: Jon Kvistad (Chair), Richard Devlin, Mike Gates, Susan McLain, Rod Monroe, Terry Moore, Ed Washington

Committee Members Absent: Jim Gardner (Vice Chair)

Chair Kvistad called the meeting to order at 4:05 p.m.

1. Introduction of Resolutions and Ordinances

No new Resolutions or Ordinances were introduced.

2. Region 2040 Draft Recommended Alternative

Andy Cotugno, Planning Director, overviewed the Region 2040 recommended alternative. He explained the handouts and maps, copies of which are included in the record of this meeting. Larry Shaw, Senior Assistant Counsel, and Mark Turpel, Senior Regional Planner, were present during the presentation.

Staff responded to questions from the Committee.

In response to Councilor Moore, Mr. Shaw discussed the adoption process. He explained the approach of arriving at 14,500 acres of urban reserved would be performed by selecting the area from 22,000 acres identified in the draft. He stated he did not believe the approach to adoption would be problematic.

In response to Councilor Gardner, Mr. Cotugno agreed that the term Urban Reserves would need to be defined through legislation. Mr. Shaw stated the adoption process would include a request for appropriate changes in State law.

Chair Kvistad questioned why the entire area surrounding the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) was designated as rural reserve. He advocated providing a definition of the terminology and justification of rural reserves during the public hearings process. Councilor McLain noted the rural reserves discussions occurred in the context of Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) land designation. Chair Kvistad stated the previous maps distinguished between rural reserves and EFU lands. He favored a more detailed map including those designations that would be easier for the general public to visualize.

Councilor Devlin requested information related to the amount of land used for cemeteries and golf courses.

In response to Chair Kvistad, Mr. Cotugno stated for various components of the study economic impact studies were performed. He stated no economic analysis had been performed on the recommended alternative. Councilor McLain noted Future Vision had discussed economic quality in the region. She noted service providers had provided input as to cost to provide water and sewer to developing areas.

Councilor Moore asked if a transportation network and transit element would be adopted in the recommended alternative. Mr. Cotugno stated some elements were included for discussion, but not specific comprehensive plans. He stated major light rail and transit corridors were tied to areas in conceptual planning. He noted some highway elements were included and recognized in the alternative. He stated no comprehensive plan would be adopted. He indicated corridors would be identified and adopted through Regional Urban Growth Goals and Objectives (RUGGO) amendments.

Councilor Devlin asked for an explanation of the King City population decline on Table Seven. Mr. Cotugno stated some existing jurisdictions have a declining family size.

6. Planning Department - Fourth Quarter Report

Mr. Cotugno distributed and summarized the Planning Department Fourth Quarter Report, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting.

4. Goal 5 Revisions - Briefing

Mr. Shaw discussed his August 18, 1994 memorandum, a copy of which is included in the agenda packet of this meeting. He explained he believed the revisions to be of interest to Metro.

5. Urban Services Boundary (Portland/Beaverton) - Briefing

Mr. Shaw presented an update of the Urban Services Boundary (USB) Portland/Beaverton issue. He discussed the roles of the Boundary Commission relevant to the case.

Chair Kvistad opened the public hearing.

Carol Gearin, CPO #1, 2420 NW 119th Ave., Portland, 97229, appeared to testify. She identified herself as the Chair of the CPO #1 Annexation Study Committee. She stated she was first involved in the discussions in 1987 and has been active since that time. She stated she supported the City of Beaverton request. She stated the citizens in the community also supported the City of Beaverton request. She expressed a personal interest in using Skyline Drive as the natural boundary in the area rather than the line prepared by Charlie Hales.

Faye McAllaster, CPO #7, 15645 NW Perimeter Dr., Beaverton, 97006, appeared to testify. She supported comments made by Ms. Gearin. She stated the services boundary should be at the County lines.

Paul Parker, CPO #1, 1950 NW 102nd Ave., Portland, 97229, appeared to testify. He concurred with the previous speakers. He stated there is profound opposition by CPO #1 to be annexed by the City of Portland. He examined options for the selection of a USB. He elaborated on the process used to resolve the issues inclusive in the discussions. He favored Metro participation in the decision process. He encouraged citizen and community participation. He discussed the sense of community in the area. He thanked the Committee for placing the item on the agenda for discussion.

Chair Kvistad noted that all members of the Committee received materials relevant to the issue. Councilor Gates stated citizen involvement would be included in the decision as well as technical expertise provided by staff. Councilor McLain noted Metro was interested in the issue and citizen involvement was important to Metro. She noted Metro obtained the grant to conduct the mediation process. Councilor Moore called for resolution to the issue and encouraged Metro to assist in resolution of the issue. Councilor Devlin noted the Council would change in January 1995. He indicated the best resolve would be one reached with the satisfaction of the citizens in the area.

Mr. Shaw discussed the schedule for resolve of the matter.

Chair Kvistad closed the public hearing.

3. Transportation Planning Rule Case - Briefing

Mr. Shaw discussed his August 29, 1994 Transportation Planning Rule Case memorandum, a copy of which is included in the agenda packet of this meeting.

7. Councilor Communications

Councilor Gates discussed his work with the MCCI regarding membership, the nominating process, leadership term limits, and mission and statement of that committee.

With no further business before the Committee, Chair Kvistad adjourned the meeting at 6:30 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,



Susan Lee
Committee Recorder

s\h:\tp\91694m.doc

APPROVED
Date 10.6.94