

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE

October 12, 1993

Metro Regional Center

Council Chamber

Committee Members Present: George Van Bergen (Chair), Jon Kvistad (Vice Chair),
Richard Devlin Mike Gates, Rod Monroe, Terry Moore

Committee Members Absent: none

Other Councilors Present: Judy Wyers

Chair Van Bergen called the regular meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

1. Consideration of the September 28, 1993 Planning Committee Minutes

Motion: Councilor Gates moved to recommend adoption of the minutes.

Councilor Moore requested the following changes be made to the minutes: A) item two, paragraph two to read, "John Fregonese, Growth Management Manger, responded to question from Councilor Moore related to inclusion of North Plains in a 2040 growth scenario design image."; and B) under item three, include, "Mr. Cotugno agreed to note on page two of his letter, a statement indication the Sunset Corridor could be included in the CMS." The Committee expressed no objection to the request from Councilor Moore.

Vote: Councilors Gates, Van Bergen, Moore and Monroe voted aye. Councilor Devlin was absent. Councilor Kvistad abstained. The vote was 4/0 and the motion passed.

2. Consideration of Resolution No. 93-1858, For the Purpose of Endorsing ODOT's Priority FY 95, FY 96, and FY 97, Transportation Enhancement Projects for Inclusion on the 1995-1998 Transportation Improvement Program

Mike Hoglund, Transportation Planning Manager, presented the staff report, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting. He explained the process that would follow adoption of the resolution.

Chair Van Bergen opened the public hearing.

Geoff Hyde, 10217 NW Alpenglow, Portland (Washington County), appeared to testify against the resolution. He expressed concern about the public involvement process used in the selection of the projects. He stated he felt several other projects were more worthy than Project #37. Mr. Hyde responded to questions from Councilor Moore regarding the park in the area.

Troy Horton, Friends of Cedar Springs, 10136 SW Washington, Portland (Washington County), appeared to testify against the resolution. He expressed concerns about the citizen involvement process used on Project #37. He noted the advisory committee noted overwhelming opposition to the project and that no recommendation was made.

Charlotte Corkeran, 130 NW 114th, Portland, appeared to testify in opposition of the Resolution. She expressed concerns about Project #37. She noted the Washington County Commission had not formally proposed the alignment at NW 112. A copy of her testimony is included in the record of this meeting.

John Rosenberger, representing Washington County, appeared to discuss Washington County project #37. He spoke in favor of the project. He explained the project proposed by Washington County. He

distributed a park detail map, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting. Mr. Rosenberger answered questions from the Committee. Mr. Rosenberger responded to questions from Councilor Moore regarding accessibility of the bridge and pathways of the linear park and the budget for the project.

With no additional citizens appearing to testify, Chair Van Bergen closed the public hearing.

Motion: Councilor Gates moved to recommend Council adoption of the Resolution.

In response to Councilor Moore, Mr. Hoglund noted a workshop was held in June to announce the funding of the enhancement projects. He noted additional opportunities would be available for public testimony. Mr. Cotugno explained the different funding sources under ISTEA.

Councilor Moore questioned how Project #37 was selected as the second on the statewide priority list. Mr. Hoglund explained the selection criteria and considerations. He noted the project scored high under the criteria for intermodality.

In response to Councilor Devlin, Mr. Hoglund discussed the scoring. Councilor Devlin requested a list of project scoring results prior to Council consideration of the Resolution. Mr. Hoglund stated if a project for some reason did not go forward, a contingency project would receive funding.

In response to Councilor McLain, Mr. Hoglund stated the criteria was developed by the stakeholders group. He explained that the criteria would be difficult to change at this point because they had been used in early project consideration. Councilor McLain stated the process was flawed.

Councilor Monroe asked about adding a disclaimer about Metro's position of support of the 112th Road alignment through the adoption of the resolution. Mr. Cotugno suggested adding another resolve to the resolution.

Motion to Amend: Monroe moved to add a second be it resolved to the resolution to accomplish the intent stated above.

Vote on Motion to Amend Councilors Kvistad, Gates, and Van Bergen voted nay. Councilors Monroe, Devlin and Moore voted aye. The vote was 3/3 and the motion failed.

Councilor Moore stated the project was flawed. She said she felt the project was ranked too high considering other projects. She stated she would present a minority report.

Councilor Devlin noted the main motion was a vote for all the projects. He stated many good projects were included in the resolution. He requested the Presiding Officer to hold the item over for an additional meeting. Gail Ryder, Senior Council Analyst, noted the item was scheduled for October 28, 1993. Councilor Gates asked the staff to provide a response the question from Councilor Moore related to the duplication of funding for the project.

Councilor Monroe requested Andy to have his amendment prepared for discussion at the full Council meeting.

Vote Councilors Devlin, Monroe, Gates, Van Bergen, and Kvistad voted aye. Councilor Moore voted nay. The vote was 5/1 and the motion passed.

3. Status of ODOT Six-Year Program Update Process Schedule and Criteria

Mr. Cotugno presented the ODOT Six-Year Program Update Process, a copy of which is included in the record of this meeting.

The Committee discussed the process and scheduling of Metro hearings, a copy of said schedule is included in the record of this meeting.

- 4. Transportation Finance Issues - Discussion
- 5. Metro Growth Conference - Informal Report
- 6. Region 2040 - Contracts Update
- 7. Councilor Comments

Chair Van Bergen deferred discussion of items four, five, six, and seven to a future meeting of the Committee.

Chair Van Bergen recessed the meeting at 6:30 p.m. Chair Van Bergen reconvened the meeting to adjourn at 6:40 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,



Susan Lee
Committee Recorder

APPROVED
Date 10-26-93