

MEETING REPORT

DATE OF MEETING: November 24, 1980

GROUP/SUBJECT: Council Coordinating Committee

PERSONS ATTENDING: Couns. Kafoury, Schedeen, Deines, Rhodes, Williamson, Kirkpatrick

Executive Officer Rick Gustafson

Staff: Denton Kent, Charlie Shell, Steve Ames, Isaac Regenstreif, Cynthia Wichmann

Others: Phil Adamsak, Mike Alesko

SUMMARY:

The meeting, which was adjourned from November 17, was reconvened at 3:10 p.m. to conclude the business before the Committee.

AGENT OF RECORD FOR INSURANCE PROGRAM

Mr. Kent explained that this action would establish one firm as a broker who would handle all insurance matters for Metro.

Coun. Kafoury described the process by which Fred S. James & Co. was selected.

The Committee agreed to recommend that the Council approve selection of Fred S. James & Co. as Agent of Record for Metro's insurance program.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

Mr. Regenstreif reminded the Committee of actions taken on November 17, and proceeded to discuss the remaining items of the legislative program. The Committee agreed to make the following recommendations:

- 20) Emergency Siting of Landfills - Mr. Regenstreif was asked to discuss the issue with DEQ and Representative Katz, and to report on those discussions at the December 4 meeting of the Council.
- 21) Waste Reduction - Mr. Regenstreif will analyze the Governor's recent proposals in this area before recommendations are made. (The Committee also discussed a memorandum from Coun. Oleson addressing this subject.)
- 22) Pollution Control Bond Fund - agree with recommendation contained in memorandum.
- 23) Resource Recovery - agree with recommendation contained in memorandum.

The Committee concurred with Mr. Regenstreif's recommendations concerning Priority of Legislative Concepts, and agreed to recommend that Council approve the 1981 Legislative Program as proposed by Mr. Regenstreif and modified by the Committee.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

For this discussion the Committee sat in their capacity as Goals and Objectives Task Force.

Mr. Ames summarized his background paper on Goals and Objectives Development, and described the outline for a second paper to deal with alternatives for long-range planning. He proposed scheduling an all-day "think tank" on December 12, to be conducted by Mr. Clement Bezold, a specialist in long-range planning. The Committee agreed with this suggestion.

Discussion of the general thrust of the Goals and Objectives Task Force generated the following suggestions:

- 1) There should be participation by a broad spectrum of citizen and interest groups. Representatives of these groups should have a regional perspective and be familiar with the regional issues Metro is dealing with. At the same time, the Task Force should be small enough to permit an informal approach.
- 2) A decision should be made early on as to how much responsibility Metro wants to take in setting regional goals, as distinguished from agency goals.
- 3) Meetings should occur separately from Coordinating Committee meetings.

A meeting of the Goals and Objectives Task Force was scheduled for 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, December 2.

FY 82 BUDGET PLANNING PROCESS

Committee discussion of the proposed planning process for the FY 82 budget resulted in the following recommendations:

- 1) The FY 81 mid-year budget review should be incorporated into the process. Initial information should be presented to Council Committees at their December meetings.
- 2) The Five Year Operational Plan should be used as a starting point and guideline for discussion and setting of program priorities.
- 3) Council Committees should be more heavily involved in budget planning than last year, in order to assure Council commitment to budget proposals.

- 4) The Coordinating Committee will serve as the Budget Task Force; extent and type of citizen involvement will be decided at the Council Retreat on January 10.
- 5) Departments' mid-year budget review material should identify areas where cuts could be made if necessary.

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Kent announced that Councilors would be provided with two documents relating to options for Metro financing: 1) an in-house background paper discussing pros and cons of mandatory vs. voluntary dues assessment; and 2) a report on Metro finances for Councilors' use in individual discussions with local jurisdictions. It was hoped that these discussions would be completed before the legislative reception on December 10.

There was discussion of the status of the Housing Goals and Objectives and of possible approaches to dealing with this issue.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Written by Cynthia Wichmann