

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL COORDINATING COMMITTEE

REGULAR MEETING
September 19, 1983

Committee Members Present: Councilors Banzer, Etlinger, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, and Oleson.

Committee Members Absent: Councilor Kafoury.

Other Councilors Present: Councilor Deines.

Staff Present: Dan LaGrande, Donald Carlson, Mel Huie, Dick Karnuth, Doug Drennen, and Ray Barker.

Testifiers: Gaylen Kiltow, Ralph Wooten, John Hunstock.

A regular meeting of the Council Coordinating Committee was called to order at 5:40 p.m. by Chairperson Kirkpatrick.

1. Minutes.

The minutes of the meeting of August 15, 1983 were approved as submitted.

2. Proposed Changes for A-95 Review System/Proposed State Association of Regional Governments and Councils of Government (Informational)

Dan LaGrande, Public Affairs Director, outlined proposed changes to the A-95 Review process, as contained in the agenda of the meeting. He then distributed copies of a list of federal programs covered by the A-95 review process and comments received from other agencies on the proposed A-95 changes (attached to the agenda of the meeting).

Mr. LaGrande noted that a state association of regional governments and Councils of Governments was being proposed. Councilor Kirkpatrick said if there was no objection to Metro participation, staff would proceed with working on the proposal. She said she thought such an association would be useful to Metro.

3. Legislative Report.

Tom O'Connor, Legislative Liaison, was unable to be present at the committee meeting to give a legislative report due to a special meeting being held in Salem on the expenditure limitation proposal.

4. Consideration of awarding contracts to construct a truck wash facility and roof cover to service commercial haulers at the Clackamas Transfer & Recycling Center (CTRC).

Doug Drennen, Solid Waste staff, presented the staff report, as contained in the agenda of the meeting. He said none of the bidders met the MBE requirements; although the low bidder had submitted documentation for 12% WBE participation but none for MBE. He said, in addition, the total cost of the project would be 19% higher than the engineer's estimated cost. He said there was no recommendation from the Executive Officer and that the Services Committee did not make a recommendation when the matter was discussed by them.

Gaylen Kiltow, 4515 N.E. 41st Avenue, 97211, read into the record a letter from the Tri-County Council which indicated that the garbage haulers would accept the proposed 6¢ per ton increase for the initial cost of the wash facility (a copy of the letter is attached to the agenda of the meeting). He said they also supported a 2-1/2¢ a ton regionwide rate for maintenance of the facility after the initial project costs were paid. He said this differed from the staff's proposed maintenance charge of 3¢ a ton.

Councilor Hansen said his preference was to rebid the project.

Councilor Kirkpatrick said there were three options available: accept the bids, rebid, or not build the project. She said there was the possibility if they rebid that they wouldn't get a better bid and maybe no bids at all.

Mr. Drennen said at the request of the Services Committee, the staff had looked at other options for washing the trucks:

Using Rossman's facility--inadequate facilities and drainage. Would have to make improvements.

Clackamas County--doesn't have the desire to enter into an agreement because of cost and inconvenience.

School District--facilities not conducive for garbage trucks.

Hanna Car Wash--was contacted to find out if they had any commercial facilities in the area. They do not.

Councilor Deines said the major problem with trying to use outside facilities was the impact of garbage in the sewage system.

Ralph Wooten, 7080 S.E. Pine Street, Portland, testified that there was a need for a wash facility at CTRC and it would be a serious mistake to go out for a rebid.

Motion: Councilor Hansen moved that the Coordinating Committee recommend that the Council direct the Executive Officer to rebid the CTRC wash facility.

He said the reason for the motion to rebid was that he found it totally unacceptable to let a contract to a bidder who had not met the 10% MBE requirement or the good faith effort clause. He said the Council should not violate their own stated policies. He said there was a difference between MBE and WBE and they should not trade one for the other.

Vote: The vote on the motion resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Etlinger, Hansen, and Kirkpatrick.

Nays: Councilors Banzer and Oleson.

Absent: Councilor Kafoury.

Motion carried.

The Committee instructed staff to seek MBE participation from the original low bidder and present any results at the October 6 Council meeting.

5. Consideration of Resolution No. 83-429, for the purpose of establishing a Study Commission to make recommendations on metropolitan governance in the greater Portland area.

Councilor Etlinger presented his resolution, as contained in the agenda of the meeting. He said the purpose of establishing a study commission was to have recommendations on the functions and purpose of regional government made by the next legislative

session. He said the commission would be independent and broad-based. He said he hoped to stop the proliferation of studies of Metro and focus the energy on one study commission.

Motion: Councilor Etlinger moved that the Committee recommend Council adoption of Resolution No. 83-429.

Motion to amend: Councilor Oleson moved to amend the resolution to add the words, "which will be part of an existing civic organization" to Resolve No. 2; and to substitute 20 days for 45 days in Resolve No. 5. Resolves 2 and 5 would read as follows:

2. This commission, which will be a part of an existing civic organization, shall examine the current structure, functions and financing of metropolitan organizations and prepare a set of recommendations for the future of metropolitan governance. These recommendations are to be completed by October 31, 1984.

5. The Metro Executive Officer shall report back within 20 days on the level of interest in the community, including funding commitments and a proposed nominating process for organizing the commission.

Councilor Etlinger said his goal was to form a consortium of at least the groups listed in Resolve 3 of the resolution and not to use one organization which would be in a control situation.

Councilor Kirkpatrick suggested the following changes to the resolution:

Resolve 1: Substitute the word "encourage" for "will work", so it would read as follows: That the Metropolitan Service District Council will encourage civic groups, local jurisdictions and other regional organizations to establish a broad based and independent review of regional governance.

Resolve 3: Add the words "It is recommended that" to the second sentence, so it would read as follows: It is recommended that an opportunity for public participation shall also be provided during the work of the commission.

Resolve 6: Language be added that the Metro Council shall correspond with the City Club commending them for their study and asking them if they wished to expand their study either by broadening their participation or considering other issues.

Councilor Banzer suggested that in lieu of adding the language to Resolve No. 6 that they send a letter to the City Club with a copy of the resolution, when adopted, expressing appreciation for their efforts and asking them to expand their study.

Councilor Etlinger said he would accept Councilor Kirkpatrick's suggestions to amend Resolve No. 1 and 3 and Councilor Oleson's to amend Resolve No. 5 as friendly amendments. He said he was concerned about Councilor Oleson's motion to amend Resolve No. 1 to make the commission a part of an existing civic organization.

Vote: The vote on the motion to amend Resolve No. 2 which would add the words "will be a part of an existing civic organization" resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Oleson and Kirkpatrick.

Nays: Councilors Banzer, Etlinger, and Hansen,

Absent: Councilor Kafoury.

Motion failed.

Vote: The vote on the main motion to recommend Council adoption of Resolution No. 83-429, as amended, resulted in:

Ayes: Councilors Banzer, Etlinger, Hansen, Kirkpatrick, and Oleson.

Nays: None.

Absent: Councilor Kafoury.

Motion carried.

6. Pay and Classification Plan Study Briefing.

Dick Karnuth, Personnel Assistant, introduced members of Creative Personnel Associates (CPA). He said CPA had been retained to conduct the Pay and Classification Plan study.

Mr. John Hunstock, CPA, distributed a copy of the schedule for the study (attached to the agenda of the meeting) and stated it was hoped that the study would be completed in November.

He then asked members of the Committee to fill out job factor ranking worksheets which would be used in evaluating the job classifications.

7. Other Business.

Donald Carlson, Deputy Executive Officer, noted that the Affirmative Action Plan would be before the Committee at their November meeting, as well as the first quarterly program reports.

He then distributed the August Financial reports to the committee members.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 7:06 p.m.

Written by Everlee Flanigan