

Council meeting agenda

Thursday, February 29, 2024

10:30 AM https://www.youtube.com/live/AbcWxmZISpk?si=KIO70ETIp_CCKbYa,
<https://zoom.us/j/615079992> Webinar ID:
615 079 992 or 888-475-4499 (toll free)

This meeting will be held electronically. You can join the meeting on your computer or other device by using this link: https://www.youtube.com/live/AbcWxmZISpk?si=KIO70ETIp_CCKbYa

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

2. Public Communication

Public comment may be submitted in writing. It will also be heard in person and by electronic communication (video conference or telephone). Written comments should be submitted electronically by emailing legislativecoordinator@oregonmetro.gov. Written comments received by 4:00 p.m. the day before the meeting will be provided to the council prior to the meeting. Testimony on non-agenda items will be taken at the beginning of the meeting. Testimony on agenda items generally will take place during that item, after staff presents, but also may be taken at the beginning of the meeting.

Those wishing to testify orally are encouraged to sign up in advance by either: (a) contacting the legislative coordinator by phone at 503-813-7591 and providing your name and the agenda item on which you wish to testify; or (b) registering by email by sending your name and the agenda item on which you wish to testify to legislativecoordinator@oregonmetro.gov. Those wishing to testify in person should fill out a blue card found in the back of the Council Chamber.

Those requesting to comment virtually during the meeting can do so by joining the meeting using this link: <https://zoom.us/j/615079992> (Webinar ID: 615079992) or 888-475-4499 (toll free) and using the "Raise Hand" feature in Zoom or emailing the legislative coordinator at legislativecoordinator@oregonmetro.gov. Individuals will have three minutes to testify unless otherwise stated at the meeting.

3. Consent Agenda

- 3.1 Resolution No. 24-5384 For the Purpose of Adding or Amending Seven Projects in the 2024-27 MTIP to Meet Federal Transportation Project Delivery Requirements [RES 24-5384](#)

Attachments: [Resolution no. 24-5384](#)
[Exhibit A](#)
[Staff Report](#)

4. Resolutions

- 4.1 Resolution No. 24-5394 For the purpose of confirming the appointment of Chris Oxley to the Metropolitan Exposition Recreation Commission [RES 24-5394](#)

Presenter(s): Steve Faulstick (he/him), General Manager of Visitor Venues, Metro

Attachments: [Resolution No. 24-5394](#)
[Staff Report](#)

- 4.2 Resolution No. 24-5385 , For the Purpose of Adopting Metro's Public Engagement Guide [RES 24-5385](#)

Presenter(s): Gloria Pinzon Marin, She/they pronouns, Principal Community Engagement Lead, Metro Communications
Lia Waiwaiole, she/her, Director of Communications

Attachments: [Resolution 24-5385](#)
[Exhibit A](#)
[Staff Report](#)

5. Ordinances (Second Reading)

- 5.1 Ordinance No. 24-1506 For the Purpose of Annexing to the Metro District Approximately 2.02 Acres Located in Wilsonville at the Northwest Corner of SW Frog Pond Lane and SW Stafford Road [ORD 24-1506](#)

Presenter(s): Glen Hamburg (he/him), Associate Regional Planner, Metro

Attachments: [Ordinance No. 24-1506](#)
[Exhibit A](#)
[Staff Report](#)
[Attachment 1](#)

- 5.2 Ordinance No. 24-1507 For the Purpose of Annexing to the Metro District Approximately 5.39 Acres Located in Wilsonville on the South Side of SW Frog Pond Lane, as well as a Portion of the SW Frog Pond Lane Right-Of-Way, West of SW Stafford Road

[ORD 24-1507](#)

Presenter(s): Glen Hamburg (he/him), Associate Regional Planner, Metro

Attachments: [Ordinance No. 24-1507](#)

[Exhibit A](#)

[Staff Report](#)

[Attachment 1](#)

- 6. **Chief Operating Officer Communication**
- 7. **Councilor Communication**
- 8. **Adjourn**

Metro respects civil rights

Metro fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and other statutes that ban discrimination. If any person believes they have been discriminated against regarding the receipt of benefits or services because of race, color, national origin, sex, age or disability, they have the right to file a complaint with Metro. For information on Metro's civil rights program, or to obtain a discrimination complaint form, visit oregonmetro.gov/civilrights or call 503-797-1890. Metro provides services or accommodations upon request to persons with disabilities and people who need an interpreter at public meetings. If you need a sign language interpreter, communication aid or language assistance, call 503-797-1890 or TDD/TTY 503-797-1804 (8 a.m. to 5 p.m. weekdays) 5 business days before the meeting. All Metro meetings are wheelchair accessible. Individuals with service animals are welcome at Metro facilities, even where pets are generally prohibited. For up-to-date public transportation information, visit TriMet's website at trimet.org

Thông báo về sự Metro không kỳ thị của

Metro tôn trọng dân quyền. Muốn biết thêm thông tin về chương trình dân quyền của Metro, hoặc muốn lấy đơn khiếu nại về sự kỳ thị, xin xem trong www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Nếu quý vị cần thông dịch viên ra dấu bằng tay, trợ giúp về tiếp xúc hay ngôn ngữ, xin gọi số 503-797-1700 (từ 8 giờ sáng đến 5 giờ chiều vào những ngày thường) trước buổi họp 5 ngày làm việc.

Повідомлення Metro про заборону дискримінації

Metro з повагою ставиться до громадянських прав. Для отримання інформації про програму Metro із захисту громадянських прав або форми скарги про дискримінацію відвідайте сайт www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. або Якщо вам потрібен перекладач на зборах, для задоволення вашого запиту зателефонуйте за номером 503-797-1700 з 8.00 до 17.00 у робочі дні за п'ять робочих днів до зборів.

Metro 的不歧视公告

尊重民權。欲瞭解Metro民權計畫的詳情，或獲取歧視投訴表，請瀏覽網站 www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights。如果您需要口譯方可參加公共會議，請在會議召開前5個營業日撥打503-797-1700（工作日上午8點至下午5點），以便我們滿足您的要求。

Ogeysiiska takooris la'aanta ee Metro

Metro waxay ixtiraamtaa xuquuqda madaniga. Si aad u heshid macluumaad ku saabsan barnaamijka xuquuqda madaniga ee Metro, ama aad u heshid warqadda ka cabashada takoorista, booqo www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Haddii aad u baahan tahay turjubaan si aad uga qaybqaadatid kullaan dadweyne, wac 503-797-1700 (8 gallinka hore illaa 5 gallinka dambe maalmaha shaqada) shan maalmo shaqa ka hor kullanka si loo tixgaliyo codsashadaada.

Metro의 차별 금지 관련 통지서

Metro의 시민권 프로그램에 대한 정보 또는 차별 항의서 양식을 얻으려면, 또는 차별에 대한 불만을 신고 할 수 www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. 당신의 언어 지원이 필요한 경우, 회의에 앞서 5 영업일 (오후 5시 주중에 오전 8시) 503-797-1700를 호출합니다.

Metro의差別禁止通知

Metroでは公民権を尊重しています。Metroの公民権プログラムに関する情報について、または差別苦情フォームを入手するには、www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights。までお電話ください。公開会議で言語通訳を必要とされる方は、Metroがご要請に対応できるよう、公開会議の5営業日前までに503-797-1700（平日午前8時～午後5時）までお電話ください。

សេចក្តីជូនដំណឹងអំពីការមិនរើសអើងរបស់ Metro

ការគោរពសិទ្ធិពលរដ្ឋរបស់ ១ សំរាប់ព័ត៌មានអំពីកម្មវិធីសិទ្ធិពលរដ្ឋរបស់ Metro ឬដើម្បីទទួលបានការបណ្តឹងរើសអើងសូមចូលទស្សនាគេហទំព័រ www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights។
បើលោកអ្នកត្រូវការអ្នកបកប្រែភាសានៅពេលអង្គប្រជុំសាធារណៈ សូមទូរស័ព្ទមកលេខ 503-797-1700 (ម៉ោង 8 ព្រឹកដល់ម៉ោង 5 ល្ងាច ថ្ងៃច័ន្ទិកា) ប្រាំពីរថ្ងៃ ថ្ងៃច្រើន មុនថ្ងៃប្រជុំដើម្បីអាចឱ្យគេសម្រួលតាមសំណើរបស់លោកអ្នក។

إشعار بعدم التمييز من Metro

تحتزم Metro الحقوق المدنية. للمزيد من المعلومات حول برنامج Metro للحقوق المدنية أو لإبداء شكوى ضد التمييز، يُرجى زيارة الموقع الإلكتروني www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. إن كنت بحاجة إلى مساعدة في اللغة، يجب عليك الاتصال مقدماً برقم الهاتف 503-797-1700 (من الساعة 8 صباحاً حتى الساعة 5 مساءً، أيام الاثنين إلى الجمعة) قبل خمسة (5) أيام عمل من موعد الاجتماع.

Paunawa ng Metro sa kawalan ng diskriminasyon

Iginagalang ng Metro ang mga karapatang sibil. Para sa impormasyon tungkol sa programa ng Metro sa mga karapatang sibil, o upang makakuha ng porma ng reklamo sa diskriminasyon, bisitahin ang www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Kung kailangan ninyo ng interpreter ng wika sa isang pampublikong pulong, tumawag sa 503-797-1700 (8 a.m. hanggang 5 p.m. Lunes hanggang Biyernes) lima araw ng trabaho bago ang pulong upang mapagbigyan ang inyong kahilingan.

Notificación de no discriminación de Metro

Metro respeta los derechos civiles. Para obtener información sobre el programa de derechos civiles de Metro o para obtener un formulario de reclamo por discriminación, ingrese a www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Si necesita asistencia con el idioma, llame al 503-797-1700 (de 8:00 a. m. a 5:00 p. m. los días de semana) 5 días laborales antes de la asamblea.

Уведомление о недопущении дискриминации от Metro

Metro уважает гражданские права. Узнать о программе Metro по соблюдению гражданских прав и получить форму жалобы о дискриминации можно на веб-сайте www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Если вам нужен переводчик на общественном собрании, оставьте свой запрос, позвонив по номеру 503-797-1700 в рабочие дни с 8:00 до 17:00 и за пять рабочих дней до даты собрания.

Avizul Metro privind nediscriminare

Metro respectă drepturile civile. Pentru informații cu privire la programul Metro pentru drepturi civile sau pentru a obține un formular de reclamație împotriva discriminării, vizitați www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Dacă aveți nevoie de un interpret de limbă la o ședință publică, sunați la 503-797-1700 (între orele 8 și 5, în timpul zilelor lucrătoare) cu cinci zile lucrătoare înainte de ședință, pentru a putea să vă răspunde în mod favorabil la cerere.

Metro txoj kev ntxub ntxaug daim ntawv ceeb toom

Metro tributes cai. Rau cov lus qhia txog Metro txoj cai kev pab, los yog kom sau ib daim ntawv tsis txaus siab, mus saib www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights. Yog hais tias koj xav tau lus kev pab, hu rau 503-797-1700 (8 teev sawv ntxov txog 5 teev tsaus ntuj weekdays) 5 hnub ua hauj lwu ua ntej ntawm lub rooj sib tham.

**Resolution No. 24-5384 For the Purpose of Adding or Amending
Seven Projects in the 2024-27 MTIP to Meet Federal
Transportation Project Delivery Requirements**
Consent Agenda

Metro Council Meeting
Thursday, February 29, 2024

BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

**FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADDING OR
AMENDING SEVEN PROJECTS IN THE
2024-27 MTIP TO MEET FEDERAL
TRANSPORTATION PROJECT DELIVERY
REQUIREMENTS**

) RESOLUTION NO. 24-5384
)
) Introduced by: Chief Operating
) Officer Marissa Madrigal in
) concurrence with Council President
) Lynn Peterson

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) prioritizes projects from the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) to receive transportation-related funding; and

WHEREAS, the U.S. Department of Transportation requires federal funding for transportation projects located in a metropolitan area to be programmed in an MTIP; and

WHEREAS, in July 2023, the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) and the Metro Council approved Resolution No. 20-5335 to adopt the 2024-27 MTIP; and

WHEREAS, the 2024-27 MTIP includes Metro approved RTP and federal performance-based programming requirements and demonstrates compliance and further progress towards achieving the RTP and federal performance targets; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the U.S. Department of Transportation's MTIP amendment submission rules, JPACT and the Metro Council must approve any subsequent amendments to the MTIP to add new projects or substantially modify existing projects; and

WHEREAS, the Design Acceptability Process resulted in revised phase cost estimates for ODOT's US26 at SE 36th Ave Safety upgrade project that will provide increased pedestrian safety needs and requires adding \$782, 694 of HB2017 funds to the project; and

WHEREAS, ODOT's Public Transit Division is decreasing the authorized funding to their Oregon Transportation Network project that will support various eligible transit operations vehicle procurements which will be programmed separately later; and

WHEREAS, ODOT's Public Transit Division is adding a new rideshare service focused project to the MTIP which totals \$2.2 million dollars that will support gas bus and van replacements, as well as preventative maintenance, mobility management, and will be programmed as separate later authorized projects; and

WHEREAS, TriMet is adding their 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit Project Development project that compliments Metro's project development version to complete NEPA and final design to support their effort to secure a later Federal Transit Administration Capital Improvement Program Small Starts Grant; and

WHEREAS, TriMet is updating their FTA Section 5310 elderly and disabled persons program service estimates and adding local overmatching funds to better reflect their total program funding commitments for federal fiscal year 2026 and 2027; and

WHEREAS, completing the MTIP programming actions will enable subsequent required federal approval steps to occur without delay for the new and amended projects; and

WHEREAS, the programming updates to the seven projects in the February 2024 Formal MTIP Amendment to the 2024-27 MTIP are stated in Exhibit A to this resolution; and

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2024, Metro's Transportation Policy and Alternatives Committee recommended that JPACT approve this resolution; and

WHEREAS, on February 15, 2024, JPACT approved and recommended the Metro Council adopt this resolution; now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the Metro Council adopts this resolution to add or amend the seven projects within Exhibit A to complete the required programming updates to the 2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this ____ day of _____ 2024.

Lynn Peterson, Council President

Approved as to Form:

Carrie MacLaren, Metro Attorney

February FFY 2024 Formal/Full MTIP Amendment Summary
Formal Amendment #: FB24-05-FEB

The February Federal Fiscal Year 2024 Formal MTIP Amendment adds or amends seven projects for various reasons to meet federal transportation delivery requirements. A summary of the changes includes the following:

- **Key 22551 - US26 - SE Powell Blvd & SE 36th Ave (ODOT)**: The formal amendment completes cost increases to PE, ROW, and Construction phase revised estimates.
- **Key 22322 - Oregon Transportation Network - TriMet FFY23 (ODOT)**: The formal amendment decreases the authorized funding in this project grouping bucket (PGB) per the direction of the Public Transit Division.
- **Key 23541 - Oregon Transportation Network - Ride Connection (ODOT)**: The formal amendment adds the new ODOT Public Transit Division project grouping bucket (PGB) to support regional rideshare activities.
- **Key NEW - TriMet Line 33 Traffic Signal Priority Upgrade (TriMet)**: The formal amendment adds the new traffic signal priority upgrade project with Metro awarded Carbon funds to the MTIP.
- **Key 23580 - 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit Project Development: PDX - Sunnyside Ave (TriMet)**: The formal amendment adds the new project development project activity for the 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project. Metro also has a partnering project supporting TriMet which is proceeding through the approval process as part of the January 2024 Formal Amendment bundle.
- **Key 23189 - Enhanced Seniors Mobility/Individuals w/Disabilities (2026) (TriMet)**: The formal amendment adjusts the formula FTA Section 5310 funds and adds local overmatch to the project for needs in FFY 2026.
- **Key 23203 - Enhanced Seniors Mobility/Individuals w/Disabilities (2027) (TriMet)**: The formal amendment adjusts the formula FTA Section 5310 funds and adds local overmatch to the project for needs in FFY 2027.

The Exhibit A Tables starting on the next provide a summary of the changes and programming actions for the included projects. See the Exhibit A MTIP Worksheets for the detailed changes and consistency review areas.

2024-2027 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program

Exhibit A to Resolution 24-5384

February FFY 2024 Formal Transition Amendment Bundle Contents

Amendment Type: Formal/Full

Amendment #: FB24-05-FEB

Total Number of Projects: 7

Key Number & MTIP ID	Lead Agency	Project Name	Project Description	Amendment Action
Category: Project Cancelations: No cancelations or removals from the MTIP as part of the February 2024 Formal Amendment				
None				
Category: Amendments to ODOT Funded and Managed Projects				
(#1) ODOT Key # 22551 MTIP ID 71266	ODOT	US26: SE Powell Blvd & SE 36th Ave	Design and construct a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFP) traffic signal to increase pedestrian safety. This location was part of the ODOT Inner Powell Road Safety Audit determining location will merit by adding traffic signal at location.	<u>COST INCREASE:</u> The formal amendment adds state HB2017 funds to support PE, ROW, and construction phase cost increases. The cost increase is \$782k to project which is a 104% increase. The increase is above the 50% cost increase threshold for administrative actions.
(#2) ODOT Key # 22322 MTIP ID 71228	ODOT	Oregon Transportation Network - TriMet FFY23	Urbanized public transit capital funding for Federal fiscal year 2023. Funds will be transferred to FTA for delivery. Projects and programs to be determined based on funding requirements.	<u>FUNDING DECREASE:</u> The eligible State STBG is reduced. The STBG reduction totals \$2,461,508. The ODOT Public Transit Division stated this is required to match FTA allocation/grant amount. The net programming change is 59% which exceeds the 30% cost change administrative threshold for transit projects. The amendment includes the assumption the final awarded funding will be flex transferred to FTA.

(#3) ODOT Key # 23541 MTIP ID New – TBD <i>New Project</i>	ODOT	Oregon Transportation Network - Ride Connection	Urbanized public transit capital funding project grouping bucket (PGB) to be used for gas bus and van replacement, as well as preventative maintenance and mobility management for federal fiscal year 2023.	<u>ADD NEW PROJECT</u> The formal MTIP amendment adds the new ODOT Public Transit Division project grouping bucket (PGB) to support regional rideshare activities. The STBG funds will be transferred to FTA program 5310 for delivery.
TriMet Project Amendments				
(#4) ODOT Key # New - TBD MTIP ID New – TBD <i>New Project</i>	TriMet	TriMet Line 33 Traffic Signal Priority Upgrade	Design and implement the next generation transit signal priority (TSP) upgrade along TriMet's Line 33 to improve reliability of transit service	<u>ADD NEW PROJECT:</u> The formal adds the new Transit Signal Priority (TSP) upgrade project awarded with Metro Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) funds. The CRP funds will be flex transferred to FTA.
(#5) ODOT Key # 23580 MTIP ID New – TBD <i>New Project</i>	TriMet	82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit Project Development: PDX - Sunnyside Ave	Complete project development activities in support of the planned new 82 nd Ave BRT including preliminary design, addressing NEPA requirements, development of FTA rating materials and other activities needed to obtain a Small Starts grant	<u>ADD NEW PROJECT</u> The formal amendment adds the new 82 nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project for TriMet. The project development activities will complete design and NEPA actions in support TriMet's effort to obtain a FTA Small Starts grant.
(#6) ODOT Key # 22189 MTIP ID 71318	TriMet	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/Individuals w/Disabilities (2026)	Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2026	<u>ADD FUNDS:</u> Update FTA based 5310 formula fund annual estimates and add overmatch to the project in FFY 2026

(#7) ODOT Key # 23203 MTIP ID 71319	TriMet	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2027)	Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2027.	<u>ADD FUNDS:</u> Update FTA based 5310 formula fund annual estimates and add overmatch to the project in FFY 2027
--	--------	---	--	--

Proposed Amendment Review and Approval Steps:

- Wednesday, January 30, 2024: Post amendment & begin 30-day notification/comment period.
- Friday, February 2, 2024: TPAC meeting (Required Metro amendment notification)
- Thursday, February 15, 2024: JPACT meeting.
- Thursday, February 28, 2024: End 30-day Public Comment period.
- Thursday, February 29, 2024: Final approval from Metro Council anticipated.
- Early to mid-April 2024: Estimated final USDOT amendment approvals occur.



Metro

**2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP)
PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET**

MTIP Formal Amendment
COST INCREASE
Add HB2017 State funds to PE,
ROW, and Construction

Project #1**Project Details Summary**

ODOT Key #	22551	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	12095	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023
MTIP ID:	71266	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No
MTIP Amendment ID:		FB24-05-FEB		STIP Amendment ID:	24-27-0725		

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal amendment adds state HB2017 funds to support PE, ROW, and construction phases cost increases. The cost increase is \$782k to project which is a 104% increase. The increase is above the 50% cost increase threshold for administrative actions. The cost increase is based on the Design Acceptability Package (DAP) which provides the critical milestone in the decision-making process. It establishes the geometric boundaries of the project footprint, provides the basis for conducting NEPA studies, identifies any right of way that may be needed and provides for a more reliable update to the project scope, schedule, and budget.

Project Name: **US26: SE Powell Blvd & SE 36th Ave**

Lead Agency:	ODOT	Applicant:	ODOT	Administrator:	ODOT
Certified Agency Delivery:	Yes	Non-Certified Agency Delivery:	No	Delivery as Direct Recipient:	No

Short Description:

Design and construct a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFP) traffic signal to increase pedestrian safety. This location was part of the ODOT Inner Powell Road Safety Audit determining location will merit by adding traffic signal at location.

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

In SE Portland on US26 (SE Powell Blvd) at approximately SE 36th Ave (MP 2.70 to ~~MP 2.70~~) (**MP 2.70 to MP 2.77**), design and construct a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFP) traffic signal to increase pedestrian safety (Exempt - Table 2, Safety, RTP ID 12095)

STIP Description:

Design and construct a flashing light traffic signal to increase pedestrian safety. This location was part of the ODOT Inner Powell Road safety audit.

Project Classification Details			
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type
Highway	Highway - Motor Vehicle	Lane Modification or Reconfiguration	Systems Management, ITS, and Operations
ODOT Work Type:	OP-SSI		

Phase Funding and Programming									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Federal Funds									
									\$ -
									\$ -
Federal Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
State Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
HB2017	S070	2022		\$ 175,000					\$ -
HB2017	S070	2022		\$ 454,163					\$ 454,163
HB2017	S070	2023			\$ 90,000				\$ -
HB2017	S070	2023			\$ 99,629				\$ 99,629
HB2017	S070	2024					\$ 485,000		\$ -
HB2017	S070	2024					\$ 978,902		\$ 978,902
									\$ -
State Totals:			\$ -	\$ 454,163	\$ 99,629	\$ -	\$ 978,902	\$ -	\$ 1,532,694
Local Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$ -
									\$ -
Local Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Phase Totals	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Existing Programming Totals:	\$ -	\$ 175,000	\$ 90,000	\$ -	\$ 485,000	\$ -	\$ 750,000
Amended Programming Totals	\$ -	\$ 454,163	\$ 99,629	\$ -	\$ 978,902	\$ -	\$ 1,532,694
Total Estimated Project Cost							\$ 1,532,694
Total Cost in Year of Expenditure:							\$ 1,532,694
Programming Summary	Yes/No	Reason if short Programmed					
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is not short programmed					
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$ -	\$ 279,163	\$ 9,629	\$ -	\$ 493,902	\$ -	\$ 782,694
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	159.5%	10.7%	0.0%	101.8%	0.0%	104.4%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 978,902	\$ -	\$ -
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00%
Phase Programming Summary Totals							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
State	\$ -	\$ 454,163	\$ 99,629	\$ -	\$ 978,902	\$ -	\$ 1,532,694
Local	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total	\$ -	\$ 454,163	\$ 99,629	\$ -	\$ 978,902	\$ -	\$ 1,532,694
Phase Composition Percentages							
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Phase Programming Percentage							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	0.0%	29.6%	6.5%	0.0%	63.9%	0.0%	100.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0.0%	29.6%	6.5%	0.0%	63.9%	0.0%	100.0%

Project Phase Obligation History							
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated		\$ 454,163	\$ 99,629				Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:		\$ -	\$ -				S026(169)
EA Number:		PE003389	R9940000				FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:		3/22/2022	8/1/2023				FHWA
EA End Date:		N/A	N/A				FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:		N/A	N/A				FMIS
				Estimated Project Completion Date:			12/31/2027
Completion Date Notes:							
Are federal funds being flex transferred to FTA?		No	If yes, expected FTA conversion code:			N/A	

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review	
1. What is the source of funding? State HB2017 funds.	
2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes. The amendment adds more HB2017 to cover revised phase cost estimates	
3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, via the STIP Impacts Worksheet.	
4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? Assumption is approval was within Region 1.	
5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.	

Project Location References					
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP End	Length
	Yes	US26 (Powell Blvd)	2.70	2.77	0.07
Cross Streets	Route or Arterial		Cross Street		Cross Street
	SE Powell Blvd		SE 36th Ave		Before and after intersection

Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification							
1st Year Programmed	2022	Years Active	3	Project Status	5	(RW) Right-of Way activities initiated including R/W acquisition and/or utilities relocation	
Total Prior Amendments	2	Last Amendment	Administrative	Date of Last Amendment	January 2023	Last MTIP Amend Num	AM23-07-JAN1
Last Amendment Action	Slip Cons phase to FFY 2024 with \$485,000 of State Gen funds. Total Cons phase = \$485,000						

Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring							
Metro RTP Performance Measurements	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Equity Focus Area Notes (US26 South = Yes, North and west of 36th Ave = No, north and east of 36th Ave = Yes) People of Color (POC) = Yes Limited English Proficiency (LEP) = No Limited Income (LI) = Yes
				X		X	

RTP Air Quality Conformity and Transportation Modeling Designations	
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	Non-capacity enhancing project
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	Yes. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2
Exemption Reference:	Safety - Projects that correct, improve, or eliminate a hazardous location or feature.
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not Applicable
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	ID# 12095 - Safety & Operations Projects: 2023-2030
RTP Project Description:	Projects to improve safety and/or operational efficiencies such as pedestrian crossings, speed feedback signs, transit priority technology at signals on arterial roads, railroad crossing repairs, slide and rock fall protections, illumination, signals and signal operations systems, sidewalks, bicycle lanes, and other improvements that do not add motor vehicle capacity.

Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network		
Yes/No	Network	Designation
Yes	Motor Vehicle	US26/Powell Blvd is designated as a Major Arterial in the Motor Vehicle Network
Yes	Transit	US26/Powell Blvd is designated as a Frequent Bus and Future High Capacity Transit (HCT) in the Transit Network
Yes	Freight	US26/Powell Blvd is designated as a Roadway Connector in the Freight Network
Yes	Bicycle	US26/Powell Blvd is designated as a Bicycle Parkway Bicycle Network
Yes	Pedestrian	US26/Powell Blvd is designated as a Pedestrian Parkway in the Pedestrian Network

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations			
System	Y/N	Route	Designation
NHS Project	No	US26/Powell Blvd	US26 is designated as a MAP-21 Principal Arterial on the National Highway System (NHS)
Functional Classification	No	US26/Powell Blvd	Urban Other Principal Arterial
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	No	US26/Powell Blvd	3 = Other Principal Arterial
Between the Metro Modeling Networks and the NHS designations, the project is considered a Regional Significant project.			

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas	
1.	Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.
2.	Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? Yes.
3.	Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? No
3a.	If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? No.
3b.	Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
3c.	What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Not applicable.
4.	<p>Applicable RTP Goals:</p> <p><u>Goal 2 - Safe System:</u> Objective 2.1 - Vision Zero: Eliminate fatal and severe injury crashes for all modes of travel by 2035.</p> <p><u>Goal #3 - Equitable Transportation:</u> Objective 3.2 - Barrier Free Transportation: Eliminate disparities related to access, safety, affordability and health outcomes experienced by people of color and other marginalized communities.</p>
5.	Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? **Yes.**
2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? **Estimated to be January 30, 2024 to February 28, 2024**
3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? **Yes.**
4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? **Yes.**
5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? **Not expected.**
6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? **Not expected.**
7. Added notes:



Fund Codes References

HB2017 State funds allocated to eligible projects from Oregon Legislation under approved HB2017. The passage of HB 2017 (ORS 184.617 to 184.742) transportation funding package occurred five years ago. Within it the Oregon Legislature made a significant investment in transportation across multiple improvement categories.

Project Name: US26: SE Powell Blvd & SE 36th Ave

(DRAFT AMENDMENT)

Fund Codes										
Phase	Fund Code	Description	Percent of Phase	Total Amount	Federal Percent	Federal Amount	State Percent	State Amount	Local Percent	Local Amount
PE	S070	HB2017 Funding Package	100.00%	454,163.00	0.00%	0.00	100.00%	454,163.00	0.00%	0.00
	PE Totals		100.00%	454,163.00		0.00		454,163.00		0.00
RW	S070	HB2017 Funding Package	100.00%	99,629.00	0.00%	0.00	100.00%	99,629.00	0.00%	0.00
	RW Totals		100.00%	99,629.00		0.00		99,629.00		0.00
CN	S070	HB2017 Funding Package	100.00%	978,902.00	0.00%	0.00	100.00%	978,902.00	0.00%	0.00
	CN Totals		100.00%	978,902.00		0.00		978,902.00		0.00
Grand Totals				1,532,694.00		0.00		1,532,694.00		0.00





Metro
2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP)
PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET

MTIP Formal Amendment
FUNDING DECREASE
 Decrease the authorized STBG for
 the project

Project #2

Project Details Summary

ODOT Key #	22322	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	10928	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023
MTIP ID:	71228	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	Yes, 5307
MTIP Amendment ID:		FB24-05-FEB		STIP Amendment ID:	24-27-0467		

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal MTIP Amendment reduces the eligible State STBG to the project. The STBG reduction totals \$2,461,508. The ODOT Public Transit Division stated this is required to match FTA allocation/grant amount and update the project description. The net programming change is 59% which exceeds the 30% cost change administrative threshold for transit projects. The amendment includes the assumption the final awarded funding will be flex transferred to FTA.

Project Name: **Oregon Transportation Network - TriMet FFY23**

Lead Agency:	ODOT	Applicant:	ODOT	Administrator:	FTA
Certified Agency Delivery:	N/A	Non-Certified Agency Delivery:	N/A	Delivery as Direct Recipient:	Yes

Short Description:

Urbanized public transit capital funding for Federal fiscal year 2023. Funds will be transferred to FTA for delivery. Projects and programs to be determined based on funding requirements.

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

ODOT Public Transit Section is applicant and grantor for the funding. State STBG will be flex transferred to FTA for TriMet based on approved projects. TriMet will access the funding through TrAMS once the projects or programs are approved between them and ODOT. Key 22048 represent the second of three years of funding from ODOT supporting the Oregon Transportation Network.

STIP Description:

Urbanized public transit capital funding for Federal fiscal year 2023. Funds will be transferred to FTA for delivery. Projects and programs to be determined based on funding requirements.

Project Classification Details			
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type
Transit	Transit - Capital	Capital Vehicle Operations	Transit
ODOT Work Type:	TRANSIT		

Phase Funding and Programming									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Federal Funds									
State STBG	Y240	2024		—				\$ 3,735,416	\$ -
State STBG	Y240	2024						\$ 1,526,704	\$ 1,526,704
Federal Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,526,704	\$ -
State Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$ -
									\$ -
State Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Local Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Local	Match	2024						\$ 427,535	\$ -
Local	Match	2024						\$ 174,739	\$ 174,739
Local Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 174,739	\$ 174,739
Phase Totals									
Existing Programming Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,162,951	\$ 4,162,951
Amended Programming Totals			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,701,443	\$ 1,701,443
Total Estimated Project Cost									\$ 1,701,443
Total Cost in Year of Expenditure:									\$ 1,701,443

Programming Summary	Yes/No	Reason if short Programmed					
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is not short programmed					
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,461,508)	\$ (2,461,508)
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-59.13%	-59.13%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 174,739	\$ 174,739
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.27%	10.27%
Phase Programming Summary Totals							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,526,704	\$ 1,526,704
State	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 174,739	\$ 174,739
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,701,443	\$ 1,701,443
Phase Composition Percentages							
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.73%	89.73%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.27%	10.27%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Phase Programming Percentage							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.73%	89.73%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.27%	10.27%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Project Phase Obligation History							
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							N/A
EA Number:							FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							FTA
EA End Date:							FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:							TrAMS
Completion Date Notes:				Estimated Project Completion Date:			12/31/2028
Are federal funds being flex transferred to FTA?		Yes	If yes, expected FTA conversion code:			5307	
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) information pertains primarily to projects under ODOT Local Delivery oversight.							

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review
1. What is the source of funding? ODOT Public Transit Division
2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes. ODOT has determined the eligible funding allocation must be decreased.
3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, via the STIP Impacts Worksheet indicating the Public Transit
4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? The ODOT Director was required to sign-off and approved the funding commitment
5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes

Project Location References					
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP End	Length
	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Cross Streets	Route or Arterial		Cross Street	Cross Street	
	Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Not Applicable	

Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification							
1st Year Programmed	2024	Years Active	0	Project Status	T22 - New	Programming actions in progress or programmed in current MTIP	
Total Prior Amendments	1	Last Amendment	Administrative	Date of Last Amendment	July 2023	Last MTIP Amend Num	AM23-21-JUL1
Last Amendment Action	Slip Other phase with \$3,735,316 of State STBG plus match from FFY 2023 to FFY 2024						

Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring							
Metro RTP Performance Measurements	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes The project represent a funding project grouping bucket but is not well enough defined to determine all possible performance measures.
					X		

RTP Air Quality Conformity and Transportation Modeling Designations	
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	Non-capacity enhancing project
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	Yes. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2
Exemption Reference:	Mass Transit - Operating assistance to transit agencies.
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not Applicable
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	10928 - Operating Capital: Fleet Vehicles: Phase 1
RTP Project Description:	Replacement and refurbishment of zero emission buses, articulated buses, light rail and LIFT vehicles.

Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network		
Yes/No	Network	Designation
No	Motor Vehicle	Not Applicable. Project grouping bucket (PGB) with specific projects to be identified later ↓
No	Transit	
No	Freight	
No	Bicycle	
No	Pedestrian	

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations			
System	Y/N	Route	Designation
NHS Project	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Functional Classification	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas	
1.	Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.
2.	Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No.
3.	Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? No. Not Applicable
3a.	If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? No.
3b.	Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
3c.	What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Not applicable.
4.	Applicable 2023 RTP Goal: Goal # 1 - Mobility Options: Objective 1.1 - Travel Options: Plan communities and design and manage the transportation system to increase the proportion of trips made by walking, bicycling, shared rides and use of transit, and reduce per capita vehicle miles traveled.
5.	Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement	
1.	Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
2.	What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 30, 2024 to February 28, 2024
3.	Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? Yes.
4.	Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
5.	Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Not expected.
6.	Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected.
7.	Added notes:

Fund Codes References

Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant funds. A federal funding source (FHWA based) appropriated to the State DOT. The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.
State STBG	Appropriated STBG that remains under ODOT's management and commitment to eligible projects.

Project Location Reference - Region-wide Application
The project grouping bucket (PGB) is a region-wide funding reserve at this time



Statewide Transportation Improvement Program Amendment Project Summary

Generated on: 1/18/2024 7:59:20 AM

Page 3 of 3

Key Number: **22322**

2024-2027 STIP

Project Name: **Oregon Transportation Network - TriMet FFY23**

(DRAFT AMENDMENT)

Fund Codes										
Phase	Fund Code	Description	Percent of Phase	Total Amount	Federal Percent	Federal Amount	State Percent	State Amount	Local Percent	Local Amount
OT	Y240	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - Flex IIIA	100.00%	1,701,443.00	89.73%	1,526,704.00	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	174,739.00
	OT Totals		100.00%	1,701,443.00		1,526,704.00		0.00		174,739.00
Grand Totals				1,701,443.00		1,526,704.00		0.00		174,739.00



Metro

**2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP)
PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET**

MTIP Formal Amendment
ADD NEW PROJECT
Add new ODOT Regional
Rideshare PGB to the MTIP

Project #3**Project Details Summary**

ODOT Key #	23541	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	11054	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023
MTIP ID:	New - TBD	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	Yes, 5310
MTIP Amendment ID:		FB24-05-FEB		STIP Amendment ID:	24-27-0455		

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal MTIP Amendment adds the new ODOT Public Transit Division to support regional rideshare activities. The STBG funds will be transferred to FTA program 5310 for delivery. Projects: Ride Connection, Inc. The funding originates from FFY 2023 and received DOT's Director approval to commit the funds on 1/5/2024. The funding will initially be programmed into a project grouping bucket (PGB) with later approved projects then authorized to implement and expend the funds.

Project Name:	Oregon Transportation Network - Ride Connection						
Lead Agency:	ODOT	Applicant:	ODOT	Administrator:	FTA		
Certified Agency Delivery:	N/A	Non-Certified Agency Delivery:	N/A	Delivery as Direct Recipient:	Yes		

Short Description:

Urbanized public transit capital funding project grouping bucket (PGB) to be used for gas bus and van replacement, as well as preventative maintenance and mobility management for federal fiscal year 2023.

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

Metro region-wide application across all three urbanized counties (Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington Counties) to provide gas bus and van replacement as well as preventative maintenance and mobility management needs supporting FTA Section 5310 elderly and disabled type service needs.

STIP Description: Urbanized public transit capital funding to be used for gas bus and van replacement, as well as preventative maintenance and mobility management for federal fiscal year 2023.

Project Classification Details			
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type
Transit	Transit - Capital	Capital Vehicle Operations	Transit
ODOT Work Type:	TRANSIT		

Phase Funding and Programming									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Federal Funds									
State STBG	Y240	2024						\$ 1,979,194	\$ 1,979,194
									\$ -
Federal Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,979,194	\$ 1,979,194
State Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$ -
									\$ -
State Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Local Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Local	Match	2025						\$ 226,528	\$ 226,528
									\$ -
Local Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 226,528	\$ 226,528
Phase Totals									
Existing Programming Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Amended Programming Totals			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,205,722	\$ 2,205,722
Total Estimated Project Cost									\$ 2,205,722
Total Cost in Year of Expenditure:									\$ 2,205,722

Programming Summary	Yes/No	Reason if short Programmed					
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is not short programmed					
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,205,722	\$ 2,205,722
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 226,528	\$ 226,528
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.27%	10.27%
Phase Programming Summary Totals							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,979,194	\$ 1,979,194
State	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 226,528	\$ 226,528
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,205,722	\$ 2,205,722
Phase Composition Percentages							
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.73%	89.73%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.27%	10.27%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Phase Programming Percentage							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.73%	89.73%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.27%	10.27%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Project Phase Obligation History							
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							N/A
EA Number:							FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							FTA
EA End Date:							FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:							TrAMS
				Estimated Project Completion Date:			12/31/2028
Completion Date Notes:							
Are federal funds being flex transferred to FTA?		Yes	If yes, expected FTA conversion code:			5310	
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) information pertains primarily to projects under ODOT Local Delivery oversight.							

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review	
1. What is the source of funding?	ODOT
2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding?	Yes. The funding represents new funding in support of regional rideshare program activities
3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change?	Yes, via the STIP Impacts Worksheet
4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval?	The ODOT Director was required to sign-off and approved the funding commitment
5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment?	Yes

Project Location References					
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP End	Length
	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Cross Streets	Route or Arterial		Cross Street	Cross Street	
	Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Not Applicable	
Note: The Ride Connection PGB will be implemented region-wide.					

Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification							
1st Year Programmed	2024	Years Active	0	Project Status	T22 - New	Programming actions in progress or programmed in current MTIP	
Total Prior Amendments	0	Last Amendment	Not Applicable	Date of Last Amendment	Not Applicable	Last MTIP Amend Num	Not Applicable
Last Amendment Action	Not Applicable						

Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring							
Metro RTP Performance Measurements	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes
	X	X			X	X	Equity note: Since specific projects have not yet been identified, an equity performance determination can not be made at this time.

RTP Air Quality Conformity and Transportation Modeling Designations	
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	Non-capacity enhancing project
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	Yes. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2
Exemption Reference:	Air Quality - Continuation of ride-sharing and van-pooling promotion activities at current levels.
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not Applicable
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	ID# 11054 - Regional Travel Options (RTO) Program Activities for 2023-2030
RTP Project Description:	Education, services, and small capital projects that promote and make transit, bicycling, walking and ridesharing easier to use. Program elements are delivered by local government agencies, community nonprofit organizations and colleges with US and Oregon Department of Transportation funding allocated by the Metro Regional Travel Options program. The program helps the region meet goals for increased access to jobs, education and services and to reduce motor vehicle miles traveled.

Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network		
Yes/No	Network	Designation
No	Motor Vehicle	Not Applicable. Project grouping bucket (PGB) with specific projects to be identified later ↓
No	Transit	
No	Freight	
No	Bicycle	
No	Pedestrian	

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations			
System	Y/N	Route	Designation
NHS Project	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Functional Classification	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas	
1.	Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.
2.	Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No.
3.	Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? No. Not Applicable
3a.	If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? No.
3b.	Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
3c.	What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Not applicable.
4.	<p>Applicable 2023 RTP Goal:</p> <p><u>Goal # 1 - Mobility Options:</u></p> <p>Objective 1.1 - Travel Options: Plan communities and design and manage the transportation system to increase the proportion of trips made by walking, bicycling, shared rides and use of transit, and reduce per capita vehicle miles traveled.</p> <p><u>Goal #2 - Safe System:</u></p> <p>Objectives 2.1 Vision Zero – Eliminate fatal and severe injury crashes for all modes of travel by 2035.</p> <p><u>Goal #5 - Climate Action and Resilience:</u></p> <p>Objective 5.1 Climate Change Mitigation: Meet adopted targets for reducing transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions and vehicle miles traveled per capita in order to slow climate change.</p>
5.	Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement					
Consistency Determination:					
Comments Received:					
Response to Comments:					
Final Decision:					

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes. |
| 2. | What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024 |
| 3. | Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? Yes. |
| 4. | Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes. |
| 5. | Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Not expected. |
| 6. | Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected. |
| 7. | Added notes: |

Fund Codes References

Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant funds. A federal funding source (FHWA based) appropriated to the State DOT. The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.
State STBG	Appropriated STBG that remains under ODOT's management and commitment to eligible projects.

Project Location Reference - Region-wide Application
The project grouping bucket (PGB) will award funding to specific projects later across the Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA)

Project Location Reference - Region-wide Application
The project grouping bucket (PGB) will award funding to specific projects later across the Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA)



Fund Codes										
Phase	Fund Code	Description	Percent of Phase	Total Amount	Federal Percent	Federal Amount	State Percent	State Amount	Local Percent	Local Amount
OT	Y240	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - Flex IIIA	100.00%	2,205,721.00	89.73%	1,979,194.00	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	226,527.00
	OT Totals		100.00%	2,205,721.00		1,979,194.00		0.00		226,527.00
	Grand Totals			2,205,721.00		1,979,194.00		0.00		226,527.00



Metro

**2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP)
PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET**

**MTIP Formal Amendment
ADD NEW PROJECT**
Add the new Line 33 TSP CRP
funded project to the MTIP

Project #4**Project Details Summary**

ODOT Key #	NEW	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	10927	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023
MTIP ID:	NEW	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	Yes, 5307
MTIP Amendment ID:		FB24-05-FEB		STIP Amendment ID:	TBD		

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal adds the new Transit Signal Priority (TSP) upgrade project awarded with Metro Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) funds. The CRP funds will be flex transferred to FTA.

Project Name:	TriMet Line 33 Traffic Signal Priority Upgrade						
Lead Agency:	TriMet	Applicant:	TriMet	Administrator:	FTA		
Certified Agency Delivery:	No	Non-Certified Agency Delivery:	No	Delivery as Direct Recipient:	Yes		

Short Description:

Design and implement the next generation transit signal priority (TSP) upgrade along TriMet's Line 33 to improve reliability of transit service

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

In the southeast Metro region along TriMet's bus Line 33 from Clackamas Town Center on King Road/Harrison in the north and then south along McLoughlin Blvd (OR99E) to Molalla and Clackamas Community College, design and implement the next generation transit signal priority (TSP) upgrade along TriMet's Line 33 to improve reliability of transit service

STIP Description: TBD

Project Classification Details

Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type
Transit	Transit - Operations	Systems Management and Operations	Systems Management, ITS, and Operations
Highway	Highway - Transit		
ODOT Work Type:	TBD		

Phase Funding and Programming									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Federal Funds									
Carbon	Y601	2024		\$ 1,166,490					\$ 1,166,490
Carbon	Y601	2024					\$ 1,345,950		\$ 1,345,950
Carbon	Y601	2024						\$ 1,487,560	\$ 1,487,560
Federal Totals:			\$ -	\$ 1,166,490	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,345,950	\$ 1,487,560	\$ 4,000,000
State Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$ -
									\$ -
State Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Local - STIF	Match	2024		\$ 133,510					\$ 133,510
Local - STIF	Match	2024					\$ 154,050		\$ 154,050
Local - STIF	Match	2024						\$ 170,258	\$ 170,258
Local Totals:			\$ -	\$ 133,510	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 154,050	\$ 170,258	\$ 457,818
Phase Totals			Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Existing Programming Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Amended Programming Totals			\$ -	\$ 1,300,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,657,818	\$ 4,457,818
Total Estimated Project Cost									\$ 4,457,818
Total Cost in Year of Expenditure:									\$ 4,457,818

Programming Notes:

1. TriMet's STIF funds are part of their regular STIF allocation. They are not part of a special grant award from the ODOT Public Transit Division. These STIF funds do not require any reimbursement involvement through ODOT now that they are allocated. While they originate from ODOT as State funds, they are programmed as local funds and called out as a special type of local fund.
2. The Metro awarded federal Carbon funds are being programmed based on a federal share of 89.73% and a minimum local match requirement of 10.27%.
3. Phase programming is occurring the FTA's approved logic of single year obligation logic which enables the TrAMS grant with the entire funding to be approved at once per FTA's obligation process.

Programming Summary	Yes/No	Reason if short Programmed					
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is not short programmed					
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$ -	\$ 1,300,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,657,818	\$ 4,457,818
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$ -	\$ 133,510	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 154,050	\$ 170,258	\$ 457,818
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	N/A	10.27%	NA	N/A	10.27%	10.27%	10.27%

Phase Programming Summary Totals

Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	\$ -	\$ 1,166,490	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,345,950	\$ 1,487,560	\$ 4,000,000
State	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local	\$ -	\$ 133,510	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 154,050	\$ 170,258	\$ 457,818
Total	\$ -	\$ 1,300,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,657,818	\$ 4,457,818

Phase Composition Percentages

Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	89.73%	0.0%	0.0%	89.73%	89.73%	89.73%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	10.27%	0.0%	0.0%	10.27%	10.27%	10.27%
Total	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Phase Programming Percentage

Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	26.2%	0.0%	0.0%	30.2%	33.4%	89.73%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	2.99%	0.0%	0.0%	3.46%	3.82%	10.27%
Total	0.0%	29.16%	0.0%	0.0%	33.65%	37.19%	100.0%

Project Phase Obligation History							
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							N/A
EA Number:		Not Applicable			Not Applicable	Not Applicable	FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							FTA
EA End Date:		Not Applicable			Not Applicable	Not Applicable	FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:							TrAMS
					Estimated Project Completion Date:		12/31/2027
Completion Date Notes:							
Are federal funds being flex transferred to FTA?		Yes	If yes, expected FTA conversion code:			5307	
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) information pertains primarily to projects under ODOT Local Delivery oversight.							

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review
1. What is the source of funding? Metro awarded Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) funds
2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes. The formal amendment splits the awarded CRP funds off from the CRP PGB and commits the funds to the new Line 33 TSP project.
3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes through Metro Council approval for the funding.
4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? The CRP funding award required Metro Council approval which occurred on June 15, 2023.
5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.

Project Location References					
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP End	Length
	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Cross Streets	Route or Arterial		Cross Street/Area	Cross Street/Area	
Line 33	Harrison/King/82nd		Milwaukie City Center	Clackamas Town Center	
	Mcloughlin Blvd/Main		Milwaukie City Center	South Mcloughlin High	
	Molalla		South Mcloughlin High	Clackamas Community College	
Note: See TriMet Line 33 Route map at the end of the MTIP Worksheet for additional arterial references.					

Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification							
1st Year Programmed	2024	Years Active	1	Project Status	T22	Programming actions in progress or programmed in current MTIP	
Total Prior Amendments	0	Last Amendment	Not Applicable	Date of Last Amendment	Not Applicable	Last MTIP Amend Num	Not Applicable
Last Amendment Action	Not Applicable						

Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring							
Metro RTP Performance Measurements	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Equity Notes
	X	X		X	X	X	People of Color (POC) = Yes Limited English Proficiency (LEP) = Yes Low Income (LI) = Yes In the northeastern portion of line 33

RTP Air Quality Conformity and Transportation Modeling Designations	
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	Non-capacity enhancing project
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	Yes. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2
Exemption Reference:	Table 2, Mass Transit - Construction or renovation of power, signal, and communications systems.
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not Applicable
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	ID# 10927 - Operating Capital: Information Technology: Phase 1
RTP Project Description:	Communication systems, information technology, cyber security and improvements to Hop.

Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network		
Yes/No	Network	Designation
Yes	Motor Vehicle	Multiple designations along the Line 33 route
Yes	Transit	Frequent bus and future HCT across the Line 33 route segments
Yes	Freight	Roadway Connector (along Mcloughlin)
Yes	Bicycle	Regional Bikeway (along Mcloughlin)
Yes	Pedestrian	Pedestrian Parkway (along Mcloughlin)

Note: Based on the above network designation, the project is considered regionally significant and an "on-system" improvement.

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations			
System	Y/N	Route	Designation
NHS Project	Yes	Mcloughlin	MP 21 - Principal Arterial
Functional Classification	No	Mcloughlin	3 = Urban Other Principal Arterial
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	No	Mcloughlin	Other Principal Arterial

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas	
1.	Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.
2.	Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? Yes.
3.	Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? No
3a.	If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? Not Applicable.
3b.	Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
3c.	What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Not applicable.
4.	<p>Applicable RTP Goals:</p> <p><u>Goal #1 - Mobility Options:</u></p> <p>Objective 1.3 - Access to Transit: Increase household and job access to current and planned frequent transit service.</p> <p><u>Goal #2 - Safe System:</u></p> <p>Objective 2.1 - Vision Zero: Eliminate fatal and severe injury crashes for all modes of travel by 2035.</p> <p><u>Goal #3 - Equitable Transportation:</u></p> <p>Objective 3.1 - Barrier Free Transportation: Eliminate barriers that people of color, low income people, youth, older adults, people with disabilities and other marginalized communities face to meeting their travel needs.</p> <p><u>Goal # 5 - Climate Action and Resilience:</u></p> <p>Objective 5.2 - Climate Friendly Communities: Increase the share of jobs and households in walkable, mixed-use areas served by current and planned frequent transit service.</p>
5.	Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? **Yes.**
2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? **Tuesday, January 30, 2024 through Thursday February 28, 2024**
3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? **Yes.**
4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? **Yes.**
5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? **Not expected.**
6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? **Not expected.**

Fund Codes References

Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
Local-STIF	ODOT allocated state funds to eligible transit agencies. The Statewide Transportation Improvement Fund (STIF) program was established in Section 122 of House Bill 2017 to provide a dedicated source of funding for improving, maintaining, and expanding public transportation for all users. Every two years, public transportation funding is made available to support access to jobs, improve mobility, relieve congestion, and reduce greenhouse gas emission in Oregon. These specific allocated funds do not require further ODOT involvement and are considered for programming purposes to be a specialized type of local funds.
Carbon	Federal Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) funds appropriated to the state DOT. A portion is allocated to Metro for eligible projects in urbanized areas. The purpose of the Carbon Reduction Program is to reduce transportation emissions through the development of State carbon reduction strategies and by funding projects designed to reduce transportation emissions. The federal funds have a standard 10.27% required match.



600 NE Grand Ave.
Portland, OR 97232-2736

Council meeting agenda


Thursday, June 15, 2023 10:30 AM Metro Regional Center, Council Chamber,
[https://www.youtube.com/live/vSo1bJfjSI?feature=share,](https://www.youtube.com/live/vSo1bJfjSI?feature=share)
<https://zoom.us/j/615079992> Webinar ID:
 615 079 992 or 888-475-4499 (toll free)

Exhibit A to Staff Report of Resolution 23-5337 Project Allocation List and Project Descriptions

TPAC Recommended Investment Package	
Tualatin Valley Highway Bus Rapid Transit	\$5,000,000
82 nd Avenue Bus Rapid Transit	\$5,000,000
Line 33 McLoughlin Transit Signal Priority	\$4,000,000
Climate Smart Implementation Program	\$1,800,000
Transportation System Management & Operations	\$3,000,000
Subtotal:	\$18,800,000

Line 33 - McLoughlin Corridor Transit Signal Priority (TSP): Expansion of next generation transit signal priority first implemented in the Division Transit corridor to improve reliability of transit service. Expands capability of TriMet to implement TSP with new project partners and independent of a Bus Rapid Transit project in anticipation of implementing additional TSP projects across the region. Any remaining funds may also be used on systemic TSP needs to make the Line 33 elements perform optimally or on small capital stop amenities or safe transit access elements in the corridor. Project scope and funding would be coordinated with, and not displace, funding from other potential sources such as Congressionally directed spending or tolling mitigation funding.

33-McLoughlin/King Rd





Metro

**2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP)
PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET**

MTIP Formal Amendment
ADD NEW PROJECT
Add the new TriMet project
development for the 82nd BRT

Project #5**Project Details Summary**

ODOT Key #	23580	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	12029	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023
MTIP ID:	New-TBD	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	Yes, 5307
MTIP Amendment ID:		FB24-05-FEB		STIP Amendment ID:	TBD		

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal amendment adds the new 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Project Development project for TriMet. The project development activities will complete design and NEPA actions in support TriMet's effort to obtain a FTA Small Starts grant for the construction phase. The TriMet project includes a \$5 million award from ODOT. The total estimated phase cost is \$30 million dollars. The total estimate project cost is \$300,000,000. The past January Formal Amendment bundle includes a similar partnering, but separate Metro funded project supporting TriMet's project development activities. The split programming approach is necessary and has been approved by FTA.

Project Name: **82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit Project Development: PDX - Sunnyside Ave**

Lead Agency:	TriMet	Applicant:	TriMet	Administrator:	FTA
Certified Agency Delivery:	No	Non-Certified Agency Delivery:	No	Delivery as Direct Recipient:	Yes

Short Description:

Complete project development activities in support of the planned new 82nd Ave BRT including preliminary design, addressing NEPA requirements, development of FTA rating materials and other activities needed to obtain a Small Starts grant

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

In Portland proposed on 82nd Ave from Sunnyside Ave in the south and then north to Airport Way/PDX, complete project development activities in support of the new 82nd Ave BRT including preliminary design, addressing NEPA requirements, development of FTA rating materials and other activities needed to support the next stage to obtain a Small Starts grant for the project.

STIP Description: TBD

Project Classification Details			
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type
Transit	Transit Capital	Capital Bus Rapid Transit	Capital Improvement
ODOT Work Type:	TBD		

Phase Funding and Programming									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Federal Funds									
State STBG	Y240	2024		\$ 5,000,000					\$ 5,000,000
									\$ -
									\$ -
Federal Totals:			\$ -	\$ 5,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,000,000
State Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$ -
									\$ -
State Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Local Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Local (Y240)	Match	2024		\$ 572,272					\$ 572,272
Other	OTH0	2024		\$ 17,741,001					\$ 17,741,001
									\$ -
Local Totals:			\$ -	\$ 18,313,273	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,313,273

Phase Totals	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Existing Programming Totals:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Amended Programming Totals	\$ -	\$ 23,313,273	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,313,273
Total Estimated Project Cost							\$ 300,000,000
Total Cost in Year of Expenditure:							\$ 300,000,000
Notes: 1. The total PE phase estimate to complete project development activities (NEPA + PS&E) is \$30 million. The total estimate project cost is \$300 million per the 2023 RTP. 2. A separate project development programmed project with Metro as lead agency is being programmed to keep agency obligations and expenditures separate. FTA has reviewed and approved the two-project programming approach. 3. The FTA AOPP awards appear to be sourced from FTA Section 5305 funds. The AOP funds have a minimum match requirement of 10%. The federal share can't exceed 90% 4. The State STBG funds will be flex transferred to FTA.							

Programming Summary	Yes/No	Reason if short Programmed					
Is the project short programmed?	Yes	The project is only programming the PE project development activities for now. The total estimated phase cost is \$30 million. A second project with \$6,686,626 of committed funds is programmed separately by Metro. The PE phase is funded at 100% of the cost estimate.					
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$ -	\$ 23,313,273	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,313,273
Phase Change Percent:	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$ -	\$ 572,272	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 572,272
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	N/A	10.27%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.27%
Notes: 1. The ODOT \$5 million has a 10.27% minimum match requirement. 2. The remaining \$17,741,000 is local overmatch provided by TriMet. 3. TriMet is providing the local minimum match to the federal funds.							

Phase Programming Summary Totals							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	\$ -	\$ 5,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,000,000
State	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local	\$ -	\$ 18,313,273	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,313,273
Total	\$ -	\$ 23,313,273	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,313,273

Phase Composition Percentages							
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.45%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.00%	78.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	78.55%
Total	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Phase Programming Percentage							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.45%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	78.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	78.55%
Total	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Project Phase Obligation History							
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							
EA Number:		Not Applicable					FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							FTA
EA End Date:		Not Applicable					FMIS or TrAMS
Known Expenditures:							TrAMS

			Estimated Project Completion Date:	12/30/2026
Completion Date Notes:	The completion date is for the project development planning study effort only			

Are federal funds being flex transferred to FTA?	YES	If yes, expected FTA conversion code:	5307
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) information pertains primarily to projects under ODOT Local Delivery oversight. This project will be under FTA oversight.			

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review	
<p>1. What is the source of funding? ODOT awarded State STBG to the project. The remaining local overmatch is being provided by TriMet. A separate project development project is being programmed with Metro as the lead with a total \$6,686,727 of federal CRP funds and match. Together both projects will support the completion of the project development required activities which have an estimated total of \$30 million.</p>	

2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? **Yes. This is a new project being added to the MTP. The State STBG were verified by Salem as an award for the BRT project. The AOPP award was verified through FTA.**
3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? **Yes, as noted above.**
4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? **Salem program award confirmation was required and provided by ODOT for the State STBG funds.**
5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? **Yes.**

Project Location References					
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP End	Length
	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Cross Streets	Route or Arterial		Cross Street	Cross Street	
	82nd Ave		SE Powell Blvd	NE Airport Way	
Note: Routes or arterials with multiple site improvement locations shown as an aggregate total.					

Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification							
1st Year Programmed	2024	Years Active	0	Project Status	T22	Programming actions in progress or programmed in current MTIP	
Total Prior Amendments	0	Last Amendment	None	Date of Last Amendment	Not Applicable	Last MTIP Amend Num	Not Applicable
Last Amendment Action	Not. Not Applicable						

Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring							
Metro RTP Performance Measurements	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes
	X	X		X	X	X	Equity Focus Areas: The project limits cross over several EFAs. Most are "yes". Overall People of Color (POC), Limited English (LE), and Limited Income(LI) = Yes

RTP Air Quality Conformity and Transportation Modeling Designations	
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	The project development portion is considered a planning effort and is not capacity enhancing. The full BRT project is considered capacity enhancing and requires transportation demand modeling analysis.
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	The project development portion (this project) is exempt for a conformity determination. Exemption reference is 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2, Other
Exemption Reference:	Other - Planning and technical studies.
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	Yes, the full constructed project requires transportation demand modeling analysis. The project development portion (this project) does not require modeling analysis.
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?	Yes, the full constructed project has completed transportation demand modeling analysis as part of the new 2023 RTP based on the LPA.
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	ID#: 12029 - HCT: 82nd Ave Transit Project
RTP Project Description:	Contingent on federal, state and local funding commitments, the 82nd Ave Transit Project will improve travel between Clackamas Town Center and important destinations in NE Portland with easier, faster and more reliable bus service as well as necessary safety and accessibility improvements, paving and signals.

Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network		
Yes/No	Network	Designation
Yes	Motor Vehicle	Proposed LPA on 82nd Ave in the project limits is designated as a Major Arterial.
Yes	Transit	Proposed LPA on 82nd Ave in the project limits is designated as a Frequent Bus area.
Yes	Freight	Generally no designation. However, a small portion for 82nd Ave near the airport is designated as a Regional Intermodal Connector.
Yes	Bicycle	In some portions on 82nd Ave in the projects, the arterial is designated as a Regional Bikeway
Yes	Pedestrian	For most of the project limits, 82nd Ave is designated as a Pedestrian Parkway. Near the airport, the designation changes to be a Regional Pedestrian Corridor.

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations			
System	Y/N	Route	Designation
NHS Project	Yes	82nd Ave	MAP 21 NHS Principal Arterials and near the airport, the designation changes to be a Intermodal Connector
Functional Classification	Yes	82nd Ave	Urban Other Principal Arterial
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	Yes	82nd Ave	FHWA Functional Classification Code: 3 (Other Principal Arterial)

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas	
1.	Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.
2.	Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? Yes
3.	Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? Yes.
3a.	If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? The SFY 2025 UPWP is being updated to include the project.
3b.	Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
3c.	What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Non-Metro Funded Stand-Alone project.
4.	<p>Applicable 2023 RTP Goals:</p> <p><u>Goal #1 - Mobility Options:</u> Objective 1.1 - Travel Options: Plan communities and design and manage the transportation system to increase the proportion of trips made by walking, bicycling, shared rides and use of transit, and reduce per capita vehicle miles traveled.</p> <p><u>Goal #2 - Safe System:</u> Objective 2.1 Vision Zero –Eliminate fatal and severe injury crashes for all modes of travel by 2035.</p> <p><u>Goal #3 - Equitable Transportation:</u> Objective 3.1 - Transportation Equity - Eliminate disparities related to access, safety, affordability and health outcomes experienced by people of color and other marginalized communities.</p> <p><u>Goal #5 - Climate Action and Resilience:</u> Objective 5.1 - Climate Change Mitigation: Meet adopted targets for reducing transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions and vehicle miles traveled per capita in order to slow climate change.</p>
5.	Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No and Yes. The planning project portion (this project) is not subject to the special performance assessment requirement. The full project once funded will be subject to a special performance assessment evaluation as it exceeds \$100 million in total project cost and is capacity enhancing.


Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? **Yes.**
2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? **Yes, January 30, 2024 through February 28, 2024**
3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? **Yes.**
4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? **Yes.**
5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? **Some comments are expected.**
6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? **Yes. For this specific project amendment, Metro may receive a sufficient number of comments that may require a comment log.**

Fund Codes References

Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
Other	Additional local funds committed to the project above the minimum match requirement to address phase costs. Also referred to as "overmatch" funds.
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant funds. A federal funding source (FHWA based) appropriated to the State DOT. The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.
State STBG	STBG funds under ODOT's direct management which are then allocated to eligible projects

82nd Avenue Transit Project: Discussion Draft Locally Preferred Alternative



Elements of Locally Preferred Alternative (in progress)*

- Bus rapid transit route (north of Sandy Blvd)
 - Potential terminus routing (terminus TBD)
 - General station locations
 - Station location areas still being defined
- Transit Network**
- Green MAX line
 - Red MAX line
 - Blue MAX line
 - Blue, green, red MAX lines
 - FX-2 frequent express service bus line
 - Frequent service bus lines
 - Other bus lines
 - Transit centers

*To be recommended by Steering Committee

Map Key	Proposed General Station Locations
1	Clackamas Town Center Transit Center
2	Clackamas Town Center
3	SE Cassway Ave & SE 82nd Ave
4	South of SE Bayler Dr (West) & SE 82nd Ave
5	SE King Rd & SE 82nd Ave
6	SE City Rd & SE 82nd Ave
7	SE Overland St & SE 82nd Ave
8	SE Lindy St & SE 82nd Ave
9	SE Crystal Springs Blvd & SE 82nd Ave
10	SE Rowell St & SE 82nd Ave
11	SE Bybee & SE 82nd Ave
12	SE Duke St & SE 82nd Ave
13	SE Woodstock St & SE 82nd Ave
14	SE Foster Rd & SE 82nd Ave
15	SE Raymond St & SE 82nd Ave
16	SE Holgate Blvd & SE 82nd Ave
17	SE Baker St & SE 82nd Ave
18	SE Powell Blvd & SE 82nd Ave
19	SE Woodward St & SE 82nd Ave
20	SE Division St & SE 82nd Ave
21	SE MI St & SE 82nd Ave
22	SE Taylor Ct & SE 82nd Ave
23	SE Stark St / SE Washington St & NE 82nd Ave
24	E Burnside St & NE 82nd Ave
25	NE Glisan St & NE 82nd Ave
26	NE Holladay St & NE 82nd Ave
27	I-84 & NE 82nd Ave
28	NE Tillamook St & NE 82nd Ave
29	McDonnell High School & NE 82nd Ave
30	NE Fremont St & NE 82nd Ave
31	NE Sandy Blvd & NE 82nd Ave
32	NE Prescott St & NE Sandy Blvd
33	Parkrose Transit Center
34	NE Prescott or Alberto St & NE 82nd Ave
35	NE 72nd Ave & NE Lombard St
36	NE Cully Blvd & NE Killingsworth St
37	NE Alderwood Rd & NE 82nd Ave
38	M1 Hood Ave MAX
39	Cascades MAX Station
40	NE Ar Cargo Rd & NE 82nd Way
41	PSX Terminal



Metro
2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP)
PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET

MTIP Formal Amendment
ADD FUNDS
 Adjust 5310 formula funds and
 add local overmatch

Project #6

Project Details Summary

ODOT Key #	23189	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	12096	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023
MTIP ID:	71318	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No
MTIP Amendment ID:		FB24-05-FEB		STIP Amendment ID:	TBD		

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring: The formal amendment adjusts the estimate 5310 appropriation that will occur and adds local overmatch to better reflect the total cost of the project. Adding the local overmatch results in a cost change of \$1,798,366 or a 60% increase to the project. The increase is well above the 30% threshold for completing cost changes administratively.

Project Name:	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2026)						
Lead Agency:	TriMet	Applicant:	TriMet	Administrator:	FTA		
Certified Agency Delivery:	No	Non-Certified Agency Delivery:	No	Delivery as Direct Recipient:	Yes		

Short Description:

Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2026

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

Section 5310 funding supports elderly and persons with disability services (capital and operating expenses). Funds mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventive maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area. Funds will be passed through to Ride Connection and used for TriMet's LIFT Paratransit services (5310 FFY 2026 allocation via UZA apportionment)

STIP Description:

Supports mobility management activities purchase of services operating and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland urbanized area in federal fiscal year 2026.

Project Classification Details									
Project Type	Category		Features					System Investment Type	
Transit	Transit Operations							Systems Management, ITS, and Operations	
ODOT Work Type:	TRANSIT								
Phase Funding and Programming									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Federal Funds									
5310 (80/20)	5310	2026		—				\$ 1,891,421	\$ -
5310 (80/20)	5310	2026						\$ 2,081,321	\$ 2,081,321
5310 (505/50)	5310	2026						\$ 316,500	\$ 316,500
Federal Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,397,821	\$ 2,397,821
State Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$ -
State Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Local (80/20)	Match	2026						\$ 472,855	\$ -
Local (80/20)	Match	2026						\$ 520,330	\$ 520,330
Local (50/50)	Match	2026						\$ 316,500	\$ 316,500
Other	OTH0	2026						\$ 1,560,991	\$ 1,560,991
Local Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,397,821	\$ 2,397,821
Phase Totals			Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Existing Programming Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,997,276	\$ 2,997,276
Amended Programming Totals			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,795,642	\$ 4,795,642
Total Estimated Project Cost									\$ 4,795,642
Total Cost in Year of Expenditure:									\$ 4,795,642

Programming Summary	Yes/No	Reason if short Programmed					
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is not short programmed					
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,798,366	\$ 1,798,366
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	60.0%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 836,830	\$ 836,830
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.45%	17.45%

Note: The 5310 contributions have different minimum matching requirement: One is 20% and the other is 50%. With the overmatch TriMet's total match is 50%.

Phase Programming Summary Totals							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,397,821	\$ 2,397,821
State	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,397,821	\$ 2,397,821
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,795,642	\$ 4,795,642

Phase Composition Percentages							
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Phase Programming Percentage							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Project Phase Obligation History							
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							N/A
EA Number:						Not Applicable	FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							FTA
EA End Date:						Not Applicable	FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:							TrAMS
				Estimated Project Completion Date:		12/31/2028	
Completion Date Notes:							
Are federal funds being flex transferred to FTA?		No	If yes, expected FTA conversion code:			N/A	
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) information pertains primarily to projects under ODOT Local Delivery oversight.							

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review							
1. What is the source of funding? FTA Section 5310 formula funding - UZA apportionment							
2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes, minor 5310 update + overmatch added.							
3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, via the Finance Department.							
4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? No ODOT approval was required.							
5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.							

Project Location References					
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP End	Length
	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Cross Streets	Route or Arterial		Cross Street		Cross Street
	Not Applicable		Not Applicable		Not Applicable

Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification							
1st Year Programmed	2026	Years Active	0	Project Status	T22	Programming actions in progress or programmed in current MTIP	
Total Prior Amendments	0	Last Amendment	Not Applicable	Date of Last Amendment	Not Applicable	Last MTIP Amend Num	Not Applicable
Last Amendment Action	Not Applicable						

Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring							
Metro RTP Performance Measurements	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes
	X			X	X	X	The FTA 310 program directly supports elderly and disabled persons. The service area is region-wide within TriMet's 3-county service area.
RTP Air Quality Conformity and Transportation Modeling Designations							
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?				Non-capacity enhancing project			
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?				Yes. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2			
Exemption Reference:				Mass Transit - Operating assistance to transit agencies.			
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?				No. Not Applicable			
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?				No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing			
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:				ID# 12096 - TriMet Operations: Phase 1			
RTP Project Description:				Operations of transit services, such as drivers, security, facilities and rolling stock.			

Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network		
Yes/No	Network	Designation
No	Motor Vehicle	Not Applicable. Key 23189 represents a 5310 funding project grouping bucket (PGB) ↓
No	Transit	
No	Freight	
No	Bicycle	
No	Pedestrian	

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations			
System	Y/N	Route	Designation
NHS Project	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Functional Classification	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? **No.**
2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? **No.**
3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? **No.**
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? **No.**
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? **Yes.**
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? **Not applicable.**
4. Applicable RTP Goal:
Goal # 1 - Mobility Options:
Objective 1.1 - Travel Options: Plan communities and design and manage the transportation system to increase the proportion of trips made by walking, bicycling, shared rides and use of transit, and reduce per capita vehicle miles traveled.
Objective 1.3 - Access to Transit: Increase household and job access to current and planned frequent transit service.
Goal 2 - Safe System:
Object 2.1 - Vision Zero: Eliminate fatal and severe injury crashes for all modes of travel by 2035
Goal #3 - Equitable Transportation:
Object 3.1 - Transportation Equity: Eliminate disparities related to access, safety, affordability and health outcomes experienced by people of color and other marginalized communities.
Objective 3.2 - Barrier Free Transportation: Eliminate barriers that people of color, low income people, youth, older adults, people with disabilities and other marginalized communities face to meeting their travel needs.
5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? **No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.**

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? **Yes.**
2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? **Estimated to be January 30, 2024 to February 28, 2024**
3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? **Yes.**
4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? **Yes.**
5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? **Not expected.**
6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? **Not expected.**

Fund Codes References

Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
5310	Federal FTA Section 5310 funds that improve mobility for seniors and individuals with disabilities by removing barriers to transportation service and expanding transportation mobility options. This program supports transportation services planned, designed, and carried out to meet the special transportation needs of seniors and individuals with disabilities
5310 (80/20)	Appropriated federal FTA Section 5310 funds which require a minimum match requirement of 20%. The federal share can't exceed 80%
5310 (50/50)	Appropriated federal FTA Section 5310 funds which require a minimum match requirement of 50%. The federal share can't exceed 50%
Other	General local funds committed to the project above and beyond the required minimum match. Also referred to as "overmatch" funds.

23189	upports mobility management activities purchase of services operating and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2026	2026	5310 (80/20)	\$ 1,891,421	\$ 2,081,321	520,330	\$ 1,560,991	\$ 4,162,642
		2026	5310 (50/50)	\$ 316,500	\$ 316,500	\$ 316,500	\$ -	\$ 633,000
		Totals:		\$ 2,207,921	\$ 2,397,821	\$ 836,830	\$ 1,560,991	\$ 4,795,642



Metro

**2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP)
PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET**

**MTIP Formal Amendment
ADD FUNDS**
Adjust 5310 formula funds and
add local overmatch

Project #7**Project Details Summary**

ODOT Key #	23203	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	12096	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023
MTIP ID:	71319	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No
MTIP Amendment ID:		FB24-05-FEB		STIP Amendment ID:	TBD		

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring: The formal amendment adjusts the estimate 5310 appropriation that will occur and adds local overmatch to better reflect the total cost of the project. Adding the local overmatch results in a cost change of \$1,852,316 or a 60% increase to the project. The increase is well above the 30% threshold for completing cost changes administratively.

Project Name:	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2027)						
Lead Agency:	TriMet	Applicant:	TriMet	Administrator:	FTA		
Certified Agency Delivery:	No	Non-Certified Agency Delivery:	No	Delivery as Direct Recipient:	Yes		

Short Description:

Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2027.

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

Section 5310 funding supports elderly and persons with disability services (capital and operating expenses). Funds mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventive maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area. Funds will be passed through to Ride Connection and used for TriMet's LIFT Paratransit services (5310 FFY 2027 allocation via UZA apportionment)

STIP Description:

Supports mobility management activities purchase of services operating and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland urbanized area in federal fiscal year 2027.

Project Classification Details			
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type
Transit	Transit Operations		Systems Management, ITS, and Operations
ODOT Work Type:	TRANSIT		

Phase Funding and Programming

Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Federal Funds									
5310 (80/20)	5310	2027						\$ 1,948,163	\$ -
5310 (80/20)	5310	2027						\$ 2,143,760	\$ 2,143,760
5310 (505/50)	5310	2027						\$ 325,995	\$ 325,995
Federal Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,469,755	\$ 2,469,755

State Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$ -
State Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Local Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Local (80/20)	Match	2027						\$ 487,041	\$ -
Local (80/20)	Match	2027						\$ 535,940	\$ 535,940
Local (50/50)	Match	2027						\$ 325,995	\$ 325,995
Other	OTH0	2027						\$ 1,607,820	\$ 1,607,820
Local Totals:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,469,755	\$ 2,469,755

Phase Totals		Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Existing Programming Totals:		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,087,194	\$ 3,087,194
Amended Programming Totals		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,939,510	\$ 4,939,510
Total Estimated Project Cost								\$ 4,939,510
Total Cost in Year of Expenditure:								\$ 4,939,510

Programming Summary	Yes/No	Reason if short Programmed					
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is not short programmed					
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,852,316	\$ 1,852,316
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	60.0%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 861,935	\$ 861,935
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.45%	17.45%

Note: The 5310 contributions have different minimum matching requirement: One is 20% and the other is 50%. With the overmatch TriMet's total match is 50%.

Phase Programming Summary Totals							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,469,755	\$ 2,469,755
State	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,469,755	\$ 2,469,755
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,939,510	\$ 4,939,510

Phase Composition Percentages							
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Phase Programming Percentage							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Project Phase Obligation History							
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							N/A
EA Number:						Not Applicable	FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							FTA
EA End Date:						Not Applicable	FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:							TrAMS
				Estimated Project Completion Date:		12/31/2028	
Completion Date Notes:							
Are federal funds being flex transferred to FTA?		No	If yes, expected FTA conversion code:			N/A	
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) information pertains primarily to projects under ODOT Local Delivery oversight.							

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review							
1. What is the source of funding? FTA Section 5310 formula funding - UZA apportionment							
2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes, minor 5310 adjustments are included along with local overmatch added to reflect the total project at a 50-50% federal to local ratio.							
3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, via Finance Department confirmation							
4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? No ODOT approval was required.							
5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.							

Project Location References					
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP End	Length
	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Cross Streets	Route or Arterial		Cross Street	Cross Street	
	Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Not Applicable	

Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification							
1st Year Programmed	2026	Years Active	0	Project Status	T22	Programming actions in progress or programmed in current MTIP	
Total Prior Amendments	0	Last Amendment	Not Applicable	Date of Last Amendment	Not Applicable	Last MTIP Amend Num	Not Applicable
Last Amendment Action	Not Applicable						

Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring							Notes The FTA 310 program directly supports elderly and disabled persons. The service area is region-wide within TriMet's 3-county service area.
Metro RTP Performance Measurements	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	
	X			X	X	X	

RTP Air Quality Conformity and Transportation Modeling Designations	
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	Non-capacity enhancing project
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	Yes. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2
Exemption Reference:	Mass Transit - Operating assistance to transit agencies.
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not Applicable
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	ID# 12096 - TriMet Operations: Phase 1
RTP Project Description:	Operations of transit services, such as drivers, security, facilities and rolling stock.

Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network		
Yes/No	Network	Designation
No	Motor Vehicle	Not Applicable. Key 23189 represents a 5310 funding project grouping bucket (PGB) ↓
No	Transit	
No	Freight	
No	Bicycle	
No	Pedestrian	

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations			
System	Y/N	Route	Designation
NHS Project	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Functional Classification	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? **No.**
2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? **No.**
3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? **No.**
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? **No.**
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? **Yes.**
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? **Not applicable.**
4. Applicable RTP Goal:
 - Goal # 1 - Mobility Options:**
 Objective 1.1 - Travel Options: Plan communities and design and manage the transportation system to increase the proportion of trips made by walking, bicycling, shared rides and use of transit, and reduce per capita vehicle miles traveled.
 Objective 1.3 - Access to Transit: Increase household and job access to current and planned frequent transit service.
 - Goal 2 - Safe System:**
 Object 2.1 - Vision Zero: Eliminate fatal and severe injury crashes for all modes of travel by 2035
 - Goal #3 - Equitable Transportation:**
 Object 3.1 - Transportation Equity: Eliminate disparities related to access, safety, affordability and health outcomes experienced by people of color and other marginalized communities.
 Objective 3.2 - Barrier Free Transportation: Eliminate barriers that people of color, low income people, youth, older adults, people with disabilities and other marginalized communities face to meeting their travel needs.
5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? **No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.**

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? **Yes.**
2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? **Estimated to be January 30, 2024 to February 28, 2024**
3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? **Yes.**
4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? **Yes.**
5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? **Not expected.**
6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? **Not expected.**

Fund Codes References

Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
5310	Federal FTA Section 5310 funds that improve mobility for seniors and individuals with disabilities by removing barriers to transportation service and expanding transportation mobility options. This program supports transportation services planned, designed, and carried out to meet the special transportation needs of seniors and individuals with disabilities
5310 (80/20)	Appropriated federal FTA Section 5310 funds which require a minimum match requirement of 20%. The federal share can't exceed 80%
5310 (50/50)	Appropriated federal FTA Section 5310 funds which require a minimum match requirement of 50%. The federal share can't exceed 50%
Other	General local funds committed to the project above and beyond the required minimum match. Also referred to as "overmatch" funds.

23203	71319	TriMet	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2027)	Supports mobility management activities purchase of services operating and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2027	2027	5310 (80/20)	\$ 1,948,163	\$ 2,143,760	\$ 535,940	\$ 1,607,820	\$ 4,287,520
					2027	5310 (50/50)	\$ 325,995	\$ 325,995	\$ 325,995	\$ -	\$ 651,990
					Totals:		\$ 2,274,158	\$ 2,469,755	\$ 861,935	\$ 1,607,820	\$ 4,939,510

Memo



Metro

600 NE Grand Ave.
Portland, OR 97232-2736

Date: February 15, 2024
To: Metro Council and Interested Parties
From: Ken Lobeck, Funding Programs Lead
Subject: February 2024 MTIP Formal Amendment & Resolution 24-5384 Approval Request

FORMAL MTIP AMENDMENT STAFF REPORT

Amendment Purpose Statement

FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADDING OR AMENDING SEVEN PROJECTS IN THE 2024-27 MTIP TO MEET FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECT DELIVERY REQUIREMENTS

BACKGROUND

What This Is - Amendment Summary:

The February 2024 Formal Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) Formal/Full Amendment bundle adds or amends a total of seven projects. There are three new projects being added to the MTIP and four existing projects being amended. Three of the projects belong to ODOT with the remaining four impacting TriMet.

What is the requested action?

JPACT met on February 15, 2024, and approved Resolution 24-5384, and now requests Metro Council provide their final approval to add and amend the seven projects to the 2024-27 MTIP in Resolution 24-5384.

TPAC February 2, 2024 meeting Summary:

TPAC met on Friday, February 2, 2024 and received their official notification of the February 2024 MTIP Formal Amendment under Resolution 24-5384. Ken Lobeck provided an overview of the amendment contents and discussed why the changes are occurring. TPAC members raised a few questions about the amendment content which included the following:

- *Questions: Does TriMet's New Line Transit Signal Priority (TSP) mean all of the Metro Carbon Reduction Program awarded projects are now programmed? What is the programming status for the awarded projects?*

Exhibit A to Staff Report of Resolution 23-5337
Project Allocation List and Project Descriptions

TPAC Recommended Investment Package	
Tualatin Valley Highway Bus Rapid Transit	\$5,000,000
82nd Avenue Bus Rapid Transit	\$5,000,000
Line 33 McLoughlin Transit Signal Priority	\$4,000,000
Climate Smart Implementation Program	\$1,800,000
Transportation System Management & Operations	\$3,000,000
Subtotal:	\$18,800,000

Answer: TriMet's new Line 33 TSP project is the second of the awarded projects now being programmed in the MTIP and STIP. The first project was the 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Metro will lead (in Key 23589) as a companion project the new TriMet 82nd Ave Project Development project (in Key 23580). Although Metro Council awarded the federal Carbon funds a while ago, only the two mentioned projects have completed sufficient programming development actions to be now ready to be added to the MTIP and STIP. Programming development actions are occurring for the remaining Carbon awarded projects. They will move forward for MTIP and STIP programming when they are ready. This requires the project to achieve and meet a basic level of fiscal constraint demonstration requirements, a developed and acceptable funding plan, meet all RTP consistency reviews, contained a satisfactorily defined project scope, and a realistic delivery schedule.

- *Question: Why is the 82nd Ave BRT project being split into two separate project development projects?*

Answer: TriMet will be the lead agency to construct and implement the new 82nd Ave BRT project. The split approach to complete project development activities (e.g. completion of NEPA requirements/obtain a final Record of Decision, complete the final funding plan, complete final design and engineering actions) occurs as a result of the multiple funding sources and the multiple agencies involved. The split approach will streamline and help simplify the fund obligation and expenditures in support of specific project development activities. Both Metro and TriMet discussed the split approach with FTA before programming actions started. FTA has authorized the split approach in the hope it will facilitate and more streamlined obligation and expenditure process to complete the required project development activities.

- *Question: The term "flex transfer" is used as part of the programming process description for the TriMet Line 33 TSP and 82nd Ave BRT projects. What does the term flex transfer mean?*

Answer: The flex transfer terminology refers to the action where FHWA based funds are transferred over to FTA. The funds will then follow the FTA obligation, expenditure, and reimbursement process which is different from the FHWA process. Both FHWA and FTA has funding programs which they oversee and manage. For FHWA managed funds (such as Surface Transportation Block Grant STBG), FHWA has a very process to appropriate, obligate, expend, and reimburse the federal funds. Oversight and management of this process is normally delegated to the State DOT. This includes development of the Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA), obligation of the federal funds through FHWA's Financial Management Information System (FMIS), and how the lead agency can expend and reimburse the FHWA federal funds.

Some of the appropriated FHWA funds are eligible to be use on transit related project under the FTA project delivery process. The process to commit and transfer

the eligible FHWA funds (e.g. STBG funds) to FTA is referred to as the “flex transfer process.” The flex transfer process is complicated and requires FTA to determine the fund are eligible under FTA delivery rules. Once FTA accepts the funds, the lead agency will complete obligation, expenditure, reimbursement, and overall delivery under FTA project delivery process. Once the flex transfer process is complete, FHWA relinquishes their oversight responsibilities which also removes ODOT. The former FHWA funds now belong to FTA.

The lead agency will now work through the FTA project delivery process which include submission of a grant application to obligate the flexed funds through FTA’s Transit Award Management Systems (TrAMS) to obligate, expend and seek reimbursement of the federal funds. Due to the complexity of the flex transfer process, the initial project programming must identify that the project intends to “flex” the federal funds over to FTA as part of the amendment approval process in the MTIP and STIP.

With no further discussion, TPAC members provided a unanimous approval recommendation to JPACT to approve Resolution 24-5384 containing the seven projects in the February 2024 MTIP Fromal amendment package.

JPACT February 15, 2024, Meeting Summary:

JPACT met on Thursday, February 15, 2024. The February 2024 MTIP Formal Amendment was included as a Consent Calendar item. JPACT members moved and approved the Consent Calendar without discussion.

A summary of the project changes occurring as part of the January 2024 Formal Amendment bundle include the following:

- **Key 22551 - US26: SE Powell Blvd & SE 36th Ave:**
 - Lead Agency: ODOT
 - Description: Design and construct a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFP) traffic signal to increase pedestrian safety. This location was part of the ODOT Inner Powell Road Safety Audit determining location will merit by adding traffic signal at location.
 - Action: Cost Increase. The Design Acceptability Process (DAP) resulted in updated PE, ROW, and Construction phase costs resulting in a \$792k funding gap for the project. State HB2017 funds have been approved to fill the funding gap. The cost increase exceeds the 50% threshold for projects under \$1 million dollars. This triggers the need for a formal amendment.
- **Key 22322 - Oregon Transportation Network - TriMet FFY23:**
 - Lead Agency: ODOT
 - Description: Urbanized public transit capital funding for Federal fiscal year 2023. Funds will be transferred to FTA for delivery. Projects and programs to be determined based on funding requirements.

- Action: Cost Decrease. Per direction from the ODOT Public Transit Division, the authorized funding for this project grouping bucket is being reduced. The project as programmed functions as a project grouping bucket (PGB). The final selected projects from this PGB will then be split off and programmed separately as required.
- **Key 23541 - Oregon Transportation Network - Ride Connection:**
 - Lead Agency: ODOT
 - Description: Urbanized public transit capital funding project grouping bucket (PGB) to be used for gas bus and van replacement, as well as preventative maintenance and mobility management for federal fiscal year 2023.
 - Action: Add New Project. The project is a new PGB from the ODOT Public Transit Division. The PGB appears will support later eligible elderly/disabled rideshare type projects that will be split off the PGB and programmed separately.
- **Key New - TriMet Line 33 Traffic Signal Priority Upgrade:**
 - Lead Agency: TriMet
 - Description: Design and implement the next generation transit signal priority (TSP) upgrade along TriMet's Line 33 to improve reliability of transit service
 - Action: Add New Project. This is a new project for TriMet from Metro's Carbon Reduction Program. The Carbon award is \$4 million dollars. TriMet will provide the required 10,27% match. The project will provide TSP upgrades along Line 33 in the southeast Metro region to help improve transit service delivery.
- **Key 23580 - 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit Project Development: PDX - Sunnyside Ave:**
 - Lead Agency: TriMet
 - Description: Complete project development activities in support of the planned new 82nd Ave BRT including preliminary design, addressing NEPA requirements, development of FTA rating materials and other activities needed to obtain a Small Starts grant
 - Action - Add New Project:
 - TriMet will complete various project development actions up through NEPA completion and final design as they move forward to secure an FTA Capital Improvement Program Small Starts Grant.
 - There are two project development projects being programmed supporting the 82nd Ave BRT project. There is this one and Metro's project development version.
 - FTA has approved the "two-project development" approach to complete project development activities under FTA guidelines.
 - Assuming TriMet is successful in securing the Small Starts grant FTA, the Small Starts grant would be applied to the Construction phase.

- The total estimated project development cost is about \$30 million. Metro's portion contains nearly \$11 million with TriMet's project containing the remaining \$19 million.
 - The total estimated project cost is \$300 million.
 - Metro's project version is included in the January 2024 Formal Amendment bundle with TriMet's project moving forward with the February Amendment bundle.
 - The goal is to have both projects in the approved MTIP and STIP by early April. This will allow FTA to consider the funds committed and the pre-award authorization clock to be established.
- **Key 23189 – Enhanced Seniors Mobility/Individuals w/Disabilities (2026):**
 - Lead Agency: TriMet
 - Description: Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2026
 - Action - Add Funds: The formal amendment adjusts the estimated formula 5310 allocation and adds local overmatch to the project. Including the local overmatch results in the net cost change being above the 30% threshold for administrative cost changes.
 - **Key 23204 - Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2027):**
 - Lead Agency: TriMet
 - Description: Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2027
 - Action - Add Funds: The formal amendment adjusts the estimated formula 5310 allocation and adds local overmatch to the project. Including the local overmatch results in the net cost change being above the 30% threshold for administrative cost changes

METRO REQUIRED PROJECT AMENDMENT REVIEWS

In accordance with 23 CFR 450.316-328, Metro is responsible for reviewing and ensuring MTIP amendments comply with all federal programming requirements. Each project and their requested changes are evaluated against multiple MTIP programming review factors that originate from 23 CFR 450.316-328. They primarily are designed to ensure the MTIP is fiscally constrained, consistent with the approved RTP, and provides transparency in their updates, changes, and/or implementation. The programming factors include ensuring that the project amendments:

- Are eligible and required to be programmed in the MTIP.
- Properly demonstrate and fiscal constraint as a result of the required changes.

- Pass the RTP consistency review which requires a confirmation that the project(s) are identified in the current approved constrained RTP either as a stand- alone project or in an approved project grouping bucket.
- Are consistent with RTP project costs when compared with programming amounts in the MTIP.
- If a capacity enhancing project, the project is identified in the approved Metro modeling network and has completed required air conformity analysis and transportation demand modeling.
- Supports RTP goals and strategies consistency: Meets one or more goals or strategies identified in the current RTP.
- Contains applicable project scope elements that can be applied to Metro's performance requirements.
- Verified to be part of the Metro's annual Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) for planning projects that may not be specifically identified in the RTP.
- Verified that the project location is part of the Metro regional transportation network, and is considered regionally significant, or required to be programmed in the MTIP per USDOT direction.
- Verified that the project and lead agency are eligible to receive, obligate, and expend federal funds.
- Does not violate supplemental directive guidance from FHWA/FTA's approved Amendment Matrix.
- Reviewed and evaluated to determine if Performance Measurements will or will not apply.
- Successfully complete the required 30-day Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment period.
- Meets other MPO responsibility actions including project monitoring, fund obligations, and expenditure of allocated funds in a timely fashion.

APPROVAL STEPS AND TIMING

Metro's approval process for formal amendment includes multiple steps. The required approvals for the February FFY 2024 Formal MTIP amendment (FB24-05-FEB) will include the following:

<u>Action</u>	<u>Target Date</u>
• TPAC Agenda mail-out.....	January 26, 2024
• Initiate the required 30-day public notification process.....	January 30, 2024
• TPAC notification and approval recommendation.....	February 2, 2024
• JPACT approval and recommendation to Council.....	February 15, 2024
• Completion of public notification process.....	February 2, 2024
• Metro Council approval.....	February 29, 2024

Notes:

* The above dates are estimates. JPACT and Council meeting dates could change.

** If any notable comments are received during the public comment period requiring follow-on discussions, they will be addressed by JPACT.

USDOT Approval Steps (The below timeline is an estimation only):

<u>Action</u>	<u>Target Date</u>
• Final amendment package submission to ODOT & USDOT.....	March 8, 2024
• USDOT clarification and final amendment approval.....	Early to mid- April 2024

ANALYSIS/INFORMATION

1. **Known Opposition:** None known at this time.
2. **Legal Antecedents:**
 - a. Amends the 2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program adopted by Metro Council Resolution 20-5335 on July 20, 2023 (FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADOPTING THE 2024-2027 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE PORTLAND METROPOLITAN AREA)
 - b. Oregon Governor approval of the 2021-24 MTIP on September 13, 2023.
 - c. 2024-2027 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Approval and 2024 Federal Planning Finding on September 25, 2023.
3. **Anticipated Effects:** Enables the projects to obligate and expend awarded federal funds or obtain the next required federal approval step as part of the federal transportation delivery process.
4. **Metro Budget Impacts:** There are no direct or indirect impacts to the approved Metro budget through the actions of this amendment.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

JPACT met on February 15, 2024, and approved Resolution 24-5384, and now requests Metro Council provide their final approval to add and amend the seven projects to the 2024-27 MTIP in Resolution 24-5384.

No Attachments.

**Resolution No. 24-5394 For the purpose of confirming the
appointment of Chris Oxley to the Metropolitan Exposition
Recreation Commission**
Resolutions

Metro Council Meeting
Thursday, February 29, 2024

BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONFIRMING THE)	RESOLUTION NO. 24-5394
APPOINTMENT OF CHRIS OXLEY TO THE)	Introduced by Council President Lynn
METROPOLITAN EXPOSITION RECREATION)	Peterson
COMMISSION		

WHEREAS, the Metro Code, Section 6.01.030(a) provides that the Metro Council President shall appoint all members to the Metropolitan Exposition Recreation Commission ("the Commission"); and

WHEREAS, the Metro Code, Section 6.01.030(b) provides that the Metro Council President's appointments to the Commission are subject to confirmation by the Metro Council; and

WHEREAS, Commissioner Martinez's resignation from the Commission as of December 29, 2023, created a vacancy as defined in Metro Code Section 6.01.030(f); and

WHEREAS, vacancies on the Commission are filled pursuant to the procedure for appointment as described in Metro Code Section 6.01.030(e)(1) and (g); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Metro Code, Sections 6.01.030(d)(2),(f) and (g), the City of Portland has nominated Chris Oxley as a candidate to replace David Martinez as a member of the Commission for the remainder of Mr. Martinez' term due to Mr. Martinez' resignation from the Commission; and

WHEREAS, the Metro Council President hereby submits her appointment of Chris Oxley to the Metro Council for confirmation; and

WHEREAS, the Metro Council finds that Chris Oxley has the experience and expertise to make a substantial contribution to the Commission's work; now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the Metro Council hereby confirms the Council President's appointment of Chris Oxley as a member of the Metropolitan Exposition Recreation Commission, commencing on February 29, 2024, through September 25, 2024.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this 29th day of February 2024.

Lynn Peterson, Council President

Approved as to Form:

Carrie MacLaren, Metro Attorney

STAFF REPORT

IN CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 24-5394 FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONFIRMING THE APPOINTMENT OF CHRIS OXLEY TO THE METROPOLITAN EXPOSITION RECREATION COMMISSION.

Date: February 29, 2024

Prepared by: Steve Faulstick

BACKGROUND

The Metro Code, Section 6.01.030(a), gives the Metro Council President sole authority to appoint all members of the Metropolitan Exposition Recreation Commission (the Commission), subject to confirmation by the Council. Commissioner David Martinez resigned from the Commission on December 29, 2023. This created a vacancy on the Commission pursuant to Metro Code Section 6.01.030(f) and the Metro Council President fills this vacancy through the appointment process described in Section 6.01.030(e) and (g). Since Mr. Martinez was a City of Portland nominee under Section 6.01.030 (d)(2), the City of Portland nominates the candidate for filling this vacancy. Under Sections 6.01.030(e)(1) of the Metro Code, the Metro Council President has the authority to concur with the City of Portland's nomination and submit it to the Council for confirmation, or reject it.

The City of Portland has recommended the appointment of Chris Oxley following the resignation of David Martinez on December 29, 2023, for membership on the Commission and the Metro Council President has appointed Mr. Oxley to the Commission subject to Metro Council confirmation. If confirmed, Oxley will, pursuant to the Metro Code Section 6.01.030(g), serve the remainder of Mr. Martinez' term ending September 25, 2024.

ANALYSIS/INFORMATION

- **Known Opposition.** None
- **Legal Antecedents.** Metro Code as referenced above.
- **Anticipated Effects.** Appointment of Chris Oxley to the Metropolitan Exposition Recreation Commission, in the manner provided by the Metro Code.
- **Budget Impacts.** None

RECOMMENDED ACTION

The Metro Council President recommends approval of Resolution 24-XXXX to confirm the appointment of Chris Oxley to the Metropolitan Exposition Recreation Commission beginning on February 29, 2024, through September 25, 2024.

**Resolution No. 24-5385 For the Purpose of Adopting
Metro's Public Engagement Guide, Phase 1.**
Resolutions

Metro Council Meeting
Thursday, February 29, 2024

BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADOPTING METRO’S) RESOLUTION NO. 24-5385
PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT GUIDE)
) Chief Operating Officer Marissa Madrigal in
) concurrence with Council President Lynn
) Peterson

WHEREAS, Metro is committed to providing all residents of the region with meaningful opportunities to participate in regional planning processes; and

WHEREAS, federal transportation law requires urban areas, through a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), to develop and implement continuing and comprehensive transportation engagement processes including a Public Participation Plan that is periodically reviewed and updated; and

WHEREAS, the last update of Metro’s Public Engagement Guide occurred in 2013; and

WHEREAS, recent updates to the Code of Federal Regulations, 23 CFR 450.316 regarding MPO responsibilities in transportation planning include enhanced participatory requirements for the development of a Public Participation Plan; and

WHEREAS, Metro has collaborated with community members, staff and jurisdictional partners, and provided a public comment period to create a revised Public Participation Plan, referred to as Metro’s *Public Engagement Guide*, which describes Metro’s public engagement processes and responsibilities in compliance with federal public participation requirements; now therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED that the Metro Council adopts the *Public Engagement Guide*, attached as Exhibit A to this resolution, to guide Metro engagement practices and implementation of public involvement programs through the year 2027.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this 29th day of February 2024.

Lynn Peterson, Council President

Approved as to Form:

Carrie MacLaren, Metro Attorney



Exhibit A to Resolution No.
24-5385

Draft Public Engagement Guide

February 2024

Metro commits to meaningful public participation because of its power to benefit present and future generations.

If you picnic at Blue Lake or take your kids to the Oregon Zoo, enjoy symphonies at the Schnitz or auto shows at the convention center, put out your trash or drive your car – we’ve already crossed paths.

So, hello. We’re Metro – nice to meet you.

In a metropolitan area as big as Portland, we can do a lot of things better together. Join us to help the region prepare for a happy, healthy future.

Stay in touch with news, stories, and things to do.

oregonmetro.gov/news

Follow oregonmetro



Metro Council President

Lynn Peterson

Metro Councilors

Ashton Simpson, District 1

Christine Lewis, District 2

Gerritt Rosenthal, District 3

Juan Carlos González, District 4

Mary Nolan, District 5

Duncan Hwang, District 6

Auditor

Brian Evans
600 NE Grand Ave.
Portland, OR 97232-2736
503-797-1700

CONTENTS

DRAFT PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT GUIDE	1
DOCUMENT SUMMARY	1
SECTION 1. ABOUT THE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT GUIDE	2
INTRODUCTION.....	2
HISTORY OF THE GUIDE.....	2
METRO’S GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR MEANINGFUL PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT	4
SECTION 2. INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY MEMBERS.....	4
WELCOME TO METRO	4
BE INFORMED.....	5
WHAT IS METRO?	5
ADVISORY COMMITTEES.....	18
DOING BUSINESS WITH METRO.....	21
SECTION 3. GUIDANCE FOR STAFF	12
INTRODUCTION.....	
PLANNING FOR ENGAGEMENT	
ENGAGEMENT PLANNING CHECKLIST	
BEST PRACTICES FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	
APPENDIX	27
APPENDIX A – LEGAL REQUIREMENTS FOR PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT GUIDE	27
SUMMARY: CONTAINS A LIST OF FEDERAL, STATE, AND REGIONAL REQUIREMENTS METRO MUST FOLLOW RELATED TO PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT PRACTICES.	
APPENDIX B – USING THE GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR MEANINGFUL PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT	31
SUMMARY: OFFERS CONTEXT FOR USERS OF THE GUIDE TO DEEPEN THEIR UNDERSTANDING OF EACH PRINCIPLE. STAFF SHOULD REVIEW THIS TO INFORM ENGAGEMENT OR COMMUNICATIONS PLANS.	
APPENDIX C – RACIAL EQUITY FRAMEWORK TOOL AND SUMMARY OF STRATEGIC PLAN TO ADVANCE RACIAL EQUITY, DIVERSITY, AND INCLUSION.	41
SUMMARY: INCLUDES A PROJECT PLANNING TOOL FOR STAFF TO APPLY A RACIAL EQUITY LENS TO THEIR PROJECTS AND THE SUMMARY OF METRO’S RACIAL EQUITY STRATEGY AND GOALS.	
APPENDIX D – PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT FOR REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	54
SUMMARY: DESCRIBES METRO’S PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT PROCESS FOR THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN AND THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN	
APPENDIX E – TRIBAL GOVERNMENT CONSULTATION FOR REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING	89
SUMMARY: DESCRIBES THE PROCESS AND ROLES FOR FORMAL CONSULTATION WITH TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS AS PART OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN AND METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN.	

APPENDIX F – BEST PRACTICES FOR CONSULTATION WITH TRIBES AND OUTREACH TO URBAN INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES

SUMMARY: DESCRIBES BEST PRACTICES FOR SEEKING FORMAL CONSULTATION WITH TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS OR ENGAGEMENT WITH URBAN INDIGENOUS POPULATIONS AND THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TWO APPROACHES.

APPENDIX G - ENGAGEMENT PLANNING TOOLKIT FOR METRO STAFF

SUMMARY: INCLUDES AN ENGAGEMENT PLANNING CHECKLIST; AN AUDIENCE AND POWER MAPPING EXERCISE; GUIDANCE ON APPLYING THE SPECTRUM OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION; COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP MODELS AND TIPS FOR EVALUATION.

APPENDIX H - EVALUATION FOR PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

SUMMARY: INCLUDES TIPS FOR EVALUATING PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES.

APPENDIX I – COMMUNICATIONS PLANNING TOOLKIT AND INFORMATION

SUMMARY: INCLUDES INFORMATION FOR CREATING A COMMUNICATIONS PLAN. INCLUDES GUIDANCE AND A LIST OF METRO COMMUNICATIONS RESOURCES,

APPENDIX J – ADDRESSING COMMON BARRIERS TO PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

SUMMARY: INCLUDES GUIDANCE FOR WAYS TO REDUCE COMMON BARRIERS TO PUBLIC PARTICIPATION INCLUDING PLANNING ACCESSIBLE MEETINGS, ADDRESSING LANGUAGE, DISABILITIES, MISTRUST, OR OTHER BARRIERS FOR PEOPLE WITH LOW INCOMES OR OTHER HISTORICALLY MARGINALIZED IDENTITIES, ET CETERA.

DOCUMENT SUMMARY

The guidance in this document is for Metro staff, the Metro Council, partners, and the public. This guide features three main sections and an appendix:

Section 1 – About the Public Engagement Guide

Provides background information on the guide and presents Metro’s Guiding Principles for Meaningful Public Engagement.

Section 2 – Information for community members

Provides information about Metro’s work, ways to stay informed and opportunities to get involved. This section includes community advisory committees and grants and sponsorships available at Metro.

Section 3 – Guidance for Metro staff

Provides guidance for Metro staff about how to plan, deliver and evaluate public engagement activities for programs, policies and investments.

Appendix – Supplemental tools and resources

Provides a list of supporting information and resources for users of the guide.

Updating the guide

Once the 2024 core guide is adopted, Metro staff will review and update it every three to five years starting in 2027. With every review, there must be a 45-day public comment period before adoption. Metro staff recommend that the Metro Council adopts each updated guide by resolution. Information in the appendix can be updated on an ongoing basis without adoption.

Thank you to the Public Engagement Review Committee

The Public Engagement Review Committee advised Metro staff and Council on the content of this guide. The committee is tasked with advising the Metro Council on engagement practices. Representatives from Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties make up the committee. Members in 2023-24 included:

Community members: Carine Arendes, Isaiah Jackman, Makerusa Porotesano, Michael Foley

Community-based organizations: Alisa Kajikawa with APANO, Diana Ospina Lara with Hispanic Metropolitan Chamber, Henry Miller with The Street Trust

Local government staff: Amanda Garcia Snell with Washington County, Cameron Ruen with Clackamas County, JR Lilly with Multnomah County

SECTION 1. ABOUT THE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT GUIDE

Introduction

The Public Engagement Guide is a flexible tool with information to enable meaningful connections and collaboration between community members, and Metro staff, the Metro Council, local governments, local businesses, and nonprofit organizations. Metro is committed to advancing racial equity, climate resilience and building shared prosperity for our communities.

Public participation is an important part of planning for future transportation projects, parks and natural areas, garbage and recycling, affordable housing and supportive services, and arts and culture venues. Members of the public can use the guide learn how to provide input on Metro decisions.

The Metro Council, staff and Metro advisory committees use the guide along with policies across the organization to plan and carry out engagement activities. The guide strengthens Metro's engagement practices by inviting more voices to the table to listen and learn from one another. It supports policymakers to make informed decisions that point the organization in a direction of growth and accessibility.

This guide supports Metro's efforts toward repairing past harms and building accountability to the people Metro serves. It also offers an opportunity to create shared understanding about basic engagement terminology and best practices for inclusive and meaningful public engagement.

Desired outcomes:

- People have accessible information and meaningful opportunities to participate in programs, services, or decision-making processes at Metro.
- Metro creates welcoming spaces that encourage civic leadership and connection through community-led activities and building capacity for ongoing engagement.
- The Metro Council makes decisions that are well-informed and responsive to the needs and perspectives of the diverse communities of greater Portland.

History of the guide

Past versions of the guide

Metro is required to periodically update a public engagement guide as the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization by the State of Oregon and the United States Federal Highway Administration. The purpose of the guide is to inform and involve the public in regional transportation planning as one of the conditions of receiving federal grants that Metro awards to local cities and counties for transportation projects.

Metro adopted its first Public Engagement Guide, formerly known as Public Involvement Policy for Transportation Planning, in 1995 and updated it in 2004 and 2009. The last version of the Public Engagement Guide was adopted in 2013 after a period of research, community outreach activities and a public comment period.

In 2019, during the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, Metro did not conduct a full update to the guide; instead, Metro informed the public about regional transportation planning work within a document called [*“Be involved in building a better system for getting around greater Portland.”*](#) The relevant information in that document is updated and included in the current version of the guide.

What led to changes in the 2024 version?

The 2024 guide generally follows a similar structure to the 2013 version. The similarities include a list of guiding principles, important information for the public, guidance for staff on how to plan and evaluate engagement activities, and an appendix with supplemental resources. The updates in this guide reflect best practices for public participation.

Metro works towards building a culture of learning for Metro staff and the greater Portland region by convening diverse voices and applying their input.

Metro’s work is always evolving and has shifted over time as a result of continued learning and the development of several new guiding policies, such as:

- The [Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion](#)
- The [2030 Regional Waste Plan](#)
- The [2018 Affordable Housing Bond](#)
- The [2019 Parks and Nature Parks Bond](#)
- Updates to the [Regional Transportation Plan](#) and [the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program](#).

Below is a list of some of the changes at Metro since 2013 that influence Metro’s community outreach practices:

- Metro, as the region’s designated Metropolitan Planning Organization, receives guidance from the United States Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration as part of their responsibilities for regional transportation planning. The most recent guidance related to the public engagement guide include:
 - Simplify the guide through summaries, visuals, and other techniques to ensure the information is easy to understand for the widest possible audience.
 - Inform the public about Metro’s outreach efforts at key decision points in the regional transportation planning work.
 - Include information about all of Metro’s service departments.
 - Include explicit procedures for outreach with traditionally underserved populations.
 - Include criteria or a process to evaluate the effectiveness of outreach activities.
 - Conduct a 45-day public comment period before adopting the new public engagement guide.

- In 2016 Metro adopted the Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion. (See summary in Appendix A). Putting the Strategic Plan into practice led to more engagement opportunities for community members, such as advisory committees for government oversight; culturally specific education programs; community partnerships with organizations that are led by Black people, Indigenous people, people of color and young people; and participation in regional transportation planning. Other outcomes have included things like improving access to parks and nature and to garbage and recycling service.

The goals of the plan are:

- Goal A: Metro convenes and supports regional partners to advance racial equity.
 - Goal B: Metro meaningfully engages communities of color.
 - Goal C: Metro hires, trains and promotes a racially diverse workforce.
 - Goal D: Metro creates safe and welcoming services, programs and destinations.
 - Goal E: Metro's resource allocation advances racial equity.
- In 2021, Metro developed a tool called the Racial Equity Framework to support staff in applying an equity lens to project planning. This planning tool ensures staff considers resources, impact, research and critical questions about public engagement early in their project planning processes. This tool includes a worksheet, tools, resources and step-by-step instructions for applying the framework.
- Staff trainings, guidance and new procedures:
 - All staff are required to receive yearly trainings on racial equity and gender inclusion.
 - Staff also have access to optional training opportunities on topics like trauma-informed care, climate resilience, public participation, Tribal Government Affairs and other topics related to Metro's work.
 - Metro created guidelines to simplify contracting processes for community partnerships and reduce barriers for public participation in public engagement events. Guidelines include providing childcare, providing translation and interpretation services, offering transportation or participation stipends, and meaningfully including community members in decision-making processes.
- In 2020 a COVID-19 Community Engagement Policy was created to reflect Metro's commitment to racial equity through inclusive engagement within the context of a public health crisis. The policy calls for Metro to apply practices that make it possible for Black people, Indigenous people and people of color to reclaim and use their power to influence needed changes in their community.
- In 2020, Metro launched a pilot grant program to support community-based organizations in civic engagement activities through capacity building.
- In 2021, Metro hired a Tribal Policy Liaison to build a formal process to involve and consult Tribes as independent government partners. Metro invites Tribes to participate in key regional decisions that may impact cultural resources, treaty rights or otherwise be of interest to Tribes. Besides Metro's efforts to build better relationships with

Tribes, Metro also offers more trainings and opportunities for staff to learn about Tribal affairs and about engaging with Urban Indigenous community members.

- The unequal impacts from the global COVID-19 pandemic, the wildfires in Oregon in 2020, and the international uprisings after a police officer killed George Floyd during an arrest have continued to deepen our collective understanding about the need to lead with race in regional governance.
 - For example, Metro made improvements to security practices at the Metro Regional Center and developed a policy that prohibits hateful speech and behavior at the public garbage and recycling transfer stations Metro manages. These changes are designed to make Metro buildings more welcoming and safer for Black, Indigenous, Asian-American, and people of color, people who speak limited English, and people experiencing houselessness.
- In 2023, the Metro Council adopted eight new Guiding Principles for Meaningful Public Engagement. The update integrates the guidance from members of the Public Engagement Review Committee made up of local government staff, community partners and community members, along with input from the Metro Council and Metro staff. The new guiding principles serve as the foundation of the Public Engagement Guide in 2024.
 - The Metro Council last adopted guiding principles for public involvement in 1997. Those principles were updated for the 2013 guide. The 2013 principles, along with the principles of the COVID-19 Community Engagement Policy, informed the guiding principles in the 2024 guide. This guide includes more information on how to use the guiding principles.

Metro's Guiding principles for meaningful public engagement

The guiding principles inform planning and carrying out virtual and in-person public engagement activities. They create a foundation to sustain an equitable and democratic culture of collaboration and accountability at Metro.

Metro's priorities advance racial equity, climate resilience and shared prosperity within the services offered to the public. The guiding principles reflect Metro's values related to communicating and engaging with the diverse communities it serves.

The success of Metro's engagement efforts depends on the ability to meet people where they are and to consider people's diverse needs and personal experiences when making decisions about Metro services, programs, policies, or investments.

Metro's guiding principles for meaningful public engagement are:

1. Public participation is an essential part of Metro's decision-making.
2. Transparency about decision-making is fundamental to successful public service and includes communicating about project outcomes and the impact of community contributions to the process.
3. Meaningful public engagement processes apply equity, diversity and inclusion strategies and tools to help identify and address the needs of impacted, underserved, and historically excluded communities.
4. Engagement and communications are accessible, informative, timely and inclusive of a rich diversity of lived experiences and perspectives.
5. Collaboration and capacity-building efforts with community-based organizations, programs, initiatives, and individuals that are impacted, underserved, or historically excluded are essential to address regional issues equitably.
6. Intergovernmental collaboration, coordination, and consultation are essential to address regional issues holistically and efficiently.
7. Evaluation of engagement activities during and after a project encourages responsiveness, growth, and process improvement.
8. Adaptive project timelines allow for meaningful engagement with the most impacted audiences early and throughout the project.

Using the principles:

Go to Appendix C to see desired outcomes and examples of applying each principle to engagement practices.

SECTION 2. INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY MEMBERS

Welcome to Metro

Thank you for your interest in collaborating with Metro! When you work with Metro, it benefits the region for generations to come. Your input, questions, participation, and feedback will help ensure Metro's work is responsive to the needs of the community.

This section features summary information about Metro for members of the public. It is intended as a starting point for anyone seeking more information about Metro. We hope that it will inspire you to seek out opportunities to get involved!

Throughout this section you will find links to explore Metro's website, opportunities to subscribe to newsletters and email updates, contact information for Metro staff to request more information or propose an idea. The summary information includes:

- What is Metro?
- Inclusion and racial equity strategy
- Disability and language access
- Community advisory committees
- Tools for businesses

Be informed

Disability or language accessibility →
[Get accommodations](#)

Information in other languages →
[Oregonmetro.gov/languagehub](https://oregonmetro.gov/languagehub)

Subscribe for updates of your choice →
[Oregonmetro.gov/subscribe](https://oregonmetro.gov/subscribe)

Stories on Metro News →
[Oregonmetro.gov/MetroNews](https://oregonmetro.gov/MetroNews)

Follow us.



[Contact Metro →](#)

Main line: 503-797-1700

TDD/TTY: 503-797-1804

Most Metro staff can be reached by
sending email to:

firstname.lastname@oregonmetro.gov

Metro Regional Center

600 NE Grande Ave

Portland, OR 97232

What is Metro?

Metro is a regional government that brings people together to make decisions about where we grow, how we get around and what happens to our waste. Metro guides investments in jobs, housing, transportation, parks and nature and arts and culture venues while working to protect farms, forests and clean air and water for future generations.

Metro serves more than 1.7 million people within Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington counties. The service area is split into six Metro districts and includes Portland, Oregon and 23 other surrounding cities. From the Columbia River to the bend of the Willamette River near Wilsonville, and from Forest Grove to the banks of the Sandy River at Troutdale. [See a list of cities and counties in the Metro region →](#)




Figure 1- Metro's Six Districts

Services summary

Metro owns and operates 18,000 acres of parks and natural areas, the Oregon Zoo, Portland's 5 Centers for the Arts, Portland Expo Center and the Oregon Convention Center. Two recycling and garbage transfer stations accept garbage, recyclables and hazardous waste from residents, businesses, and commercial haulers. Metro manages two housing bonds to support the creation of affordable housing and provide support services for people in the region. Metro also provides the public tools for everyday living, from a recycling hotline to community education, research data information, and sells recycled MetroPaint.

Regional coordination

Public participation is essential to the success of Metro's work. Metro offers various online and in-person opportunities for people to inform policy decisions, participate in community programs, or build community partnerships.

Much of Metro's work is done in coordination with local cities, counties, and various community partners. Metro also consults with Tribal governments as sovereign nations recognizing their connection to the land since time immemorial.

The Metro Council and leadership structure



The 2023 Metro Council

In order from left to right: Juan Carlos González, District 4, Mary Nolan, District 5, Ashton Simpson, District 1, Lynn Peterson, Metro Council President, Christine Lewis, District 2, Duncan Hwang, District 6, Gerritt Rosenthal, District 3



The Metro Council leads the organization and consists of a president, elected regionwide, and six councilors who are elected by and represent one district every four years in nonpartisan races.

As elected representatives, Councilors play an important role at Metro including providing guidance on the major projects or initiatives from various departments, creating new policies, putting Metro's values into practice and bringing diverse perspectives to the regional planning process.

The **Metro Auditor**, elected regionwide, is responsible for supervision of Metro's annual financial statements and for assessing Metro's performance. The Auditor and their team conducts performance audits for Metro's work and publishes yearly reports.

The Metro Auditor offers staff and the public a way to report fraud, waste or abuse of resources or a position in any Metro or Metropolitan Exposition Recreation Commission facility or department through the [Accountability Hotline](#). [Learn more about the Metro Auditor →](#)

Organizational Structure

The council appoints a chief operating officer and a deputy chief operating officer to carry out council policies and manage Metro operations and employees. The chief operating office oversees a diverse workforce of more than 1,600 employees including department directors park rangers, economists, teachers, scientists, designers, planners, animal keepers, stagehands, and cartographers. [Click here for a list of Metro department managers and directors →](#)

How can I connect with Metro Councilors?

Metro Councilors live in the Metro region and have stepped up to serve their communities. They want to hear from the people they serve.

Community members are encouraged to attend public Council meetings as listeners or participants, reach out to Councilors with questions, ideas or concerns and they can sign up for Councilor email newsletters. Request to meet with a Metro Councilor to discuss your ideas and concerns.

You can submit written comments or testimony on topics listed on Council meeting agendas. There is also time set aside in public business meetings for the public to talk about any topic for a couple minutes, even if it is not on the agenda. Communication can take place in any language.

Sharing your personal perspective on a particular topic or upcoming decision is called testimony. You can submit your testimony via email, on an online form or directly to the Council during a Council meeting.

[Find out how to give testimony here →](#)

[Who is my Councilor? →](#)

[How to join a Metro Council Meeting →](#)

Interested in running for office?

[Learn about Metro Council elections →](#)

Diversity, inclusion and racial equity



Metro strives to be a welcoming and inclusive place for people of all abilities and backgrounds. It wants to ensure people can access and enjoy Metro venues, parks, programs and services without any barriers. Metro's vision is to transform structures and processes not only to identify and remove barriers to access and participation but also to center the experiences of disabilities communities and their needs.

Many communities face unequal access to information, resources, and economic opportunities due to past policies, practices and decisions that are based on racial discrimination and prejudice. Underserved communities include, but are not limited to, Black, Indigenous, Latinx/e, Asian American and Pacific Islander, immigrants, youth and people with low incomes or with disabilities.

These communities also experience an unequal burden of the costs of economic growth, face higher barriers to participation in the regional planning process, and are highly impacted by the climate crisis. Metro is committed to leading its work with these inequities in mind and to work towards building a community where economic opportunities are accessible for all, where underserved and historically marginalized groups feel welcome, liberated, and safe.

[Metro's Diversity, Equity and Inclusion](#) program works to address the unequal distribution of resources and economic opportunities, to increase the opportunities for participation for underserved groups so they can participate in the decisions that impact their lives. The program works to provide support and tools to Metro staff, Metro Council and community partners to create an equitable region for all.



A summary of the most recent [Strategic plan to advance racial equity, diversity, and inclusion](#), adopted in 2016, is included with this guide in Appendix B. The strategic plan is set to be updated after 2024.

The plan was built on a set of core principles:

- Lead initiatives and programs with a racial equity lens.
- Generate support to create real and lasting cultural change.
- Partner with communities of color.
- Commit to measure progress.

A key component of the strategic plan is that each Metro department develop their own racial equity action plans that are unique to the department needs and structure. [These plans](#) were created in consultation with community members and racial equity minded-groups.

Your access needs matter

Metro wants you to participate, let us know how we can make meetings and events more accessible to you. Metro is committed to ensuring its services and engagement activities are accessible to every resident of the region.

Accessibility for people with disabilities

Metro generally provides aids and services upon request to persons with disabilities so they can participate equally in programs, services and activities. All Metro meetings are wheelchair accessible. Individuals with service animals are welcome at Metro facilities, even where pets are generally prohibited.

If you need a communication aid, sign language interpreter or other accommodation, email accessibility@oregonmetro.gov or call 503-797-1890 or TDD/TTY 503-797-1804 72 hours in advance.

Services available to people who are not proficient in English

Metro provides information about Metro's programs and services in Arabic, Chinese, Hmong, Japanese, Khmer Cambodian, Korean, Laotian, Nepali, Persian, Romanian, Russian, Somali, Spanish, Tagalog, Ukrainian and Vietnamese.

Metro would be happy to provide live interpretation services and written materials in your language upon request. Work with the appropriate Metro staff to get the information you need.

Metro can answer your question about a program or service in up to 180 languages. Call 503-797-1890 and indicate the language you speak. If you need a language interpreter at a public meeting, call 503-797-1890 (8 a.m. to 5 p.m. weekdays) five business days in advance.

Metro respects civil rights. [Know your rights →](#)

What if I have a complaint?

You can report any discrimination by email, mail, phone or by submitting an online form to

[→ Discrimination Complaint form](#)

For complaints of discrimination because of race, color, national origin, sex, age or income level

- fill out the online discrimination form, or
- call or email Phone: 503-797-1890 | clifford.higgins@oregonmetro.gov
- Send mail to Clifford Higgins, Title VI coordinator at Metro, 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland, OR 97232

For complaints of discrimination because of disability:

- fill out the online discrimination form, or
- call 971-940-3157 or 503-797-1804 TDD | email accessibility@oregonmetro.gov
- mail to Heather Buczek, ADA coordinator and Accessibility Program Manager, Metro, 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland, OR 97232

METRO DEPARTMENTS AND ENGAGEMENT

Metro offers a variety of opportunities for public engagement including events, community advisory committees, education, grants and sponsorships, and tools for organizations and businesses. Current opportunities for engagement are available on the Metro website and often shared through newsletters.

Get involved

How can I add my voice to decisions?

Find a list of current projects at → oregonmetro.gov/public-projects

Metro Council office

[Contact the Metro Council office.](#)

Ph. 503-797-1540 | 503-797-1793 fax

Affordable Housing

[Supportive Housing Services](#)

[Affordable Housing Bond Program](#)

housing@oregonmetro.gov

Garbage and recycling resources

[Tools for living](#) | Call 503-234-3000

Metro Parks and Natural areas

[Park access, nature education and more](#)

parksandnature@oregonmetro.gov

Planning, development, and research

Land use and urban growth

[about urban growth boundary](#)

Regional transportation planning

[Transportation plans, maps and more](#)

transportation@oregonmetro.gov

Data Resource Center

[Maps, information and more](#)

DRC@oregonmetro.gov

Visitor Venues

oregonmetro.gov/venues

Learn about Metro's visitor venues and how they support the regional economy. You can also find contact information, upcoming events or engagement opportunities on their website.

[Oregon Zoo](#) →

Questions? [503.226.1561](tel:503.226.1561)

[Oregon Convention Center](#) →

Questions? ask@oregoncc.org

[Portland Expo Center](#) →

Phone: 503.736.5200

Email: info@expocenter.org

[Portland's 5 Centers for the Arts](#) →

What to find out what events are coming up?

www.portland5.com/visitor-info

Other services

[Metro historic cemeteries](#) →

[Education resources](#) →

[Jobs at Metro](#) →

[Contract Opportunities](#) →

[Grants and resources](#) →



Advisory Committees

Sustaining a culture of collaboration, continued learning and civic leadership requires public participation. Metro's regional partners and community members participate in various Metro committees to help guide and oversee our work. Membership in committees reflect the diverse perspectives of the region while advising the Metro Council, Auditor and staff.



Committees may meet for a limited term to advise on a particular project or advise Metro on an ongoing basis. Membership of these committees is varied, representative of diverse perspectives and conversations are shaped around specific topics, policies or plans.




Community and partner participation in committees is a valuable use of time because of the opportunities to hear from diverse perspectives and the oversight over Metro's work.

Metro strives to be an inclusive and welcoming place. Whether you're a seasoned community advocate or newer to volunteering your time for your community, there is space for everyone. Meetings may take place in-person or virtually. Metro provides stipends, translation and accommodations to community members who face barriers to participation.



For current list of open positions or additional information on committees, visit the [Metro Advisory Committees](#) page →



Committee on Disability Inclusion

Metro's 15-member Committee on Disability Inclusion helps Metro apply an intersectional accessibility lens to its processes for making decisions and creating policies, and to its projects and initiatives across the organization.

Committee on Racial Equity

The Committee on Racial Equity advises Metro Council on strategies to advance racial equity across Metro's work areas.

Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation

The Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation makes recommendations to the Metro Council on transportation needs in the region.



Metro Central Community Enhancement Grant committee

The Metro Central Enhancement Committee administers funds generated by enhancement fees collected at Metro Central Station to neighborhood groups.

Metro Policy Advisory Committee

Established by the Metro Charter in 1992, MPAC advises the Metro Council Regional Framework Plan.

Metro Technical Advisory Committee

MTAC is a 35-member committee of planners, citizens and business representatives that provides detailed technical support to the Metro Policy Advisory Committee.

Public Engagement Review Committee

PERC serves as a key component of Metro's efforts to develop successful public engagement processes.

Regional Waste Advisory Committee

The Regional Waste Advisory Committee helps guide the implementation of the 2030 Regional Waste Plan.

Smith and Bybee Wetlands Advisory Committee

The Smith and Bybee Wetlands Advisory Committee provides diverse stakeholder perspectives to advise Metro on caring for the Smith and Bybee Wetlands Natural Area.

Transit-Oriented Development Steering Committee

The Transit-Oriented Development Steering Committee provides expert guidance, review and recommendations on Metro's transit-oriented development investment activities.

Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee

The Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee provides technical input to the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on transportation planning and funding priorities for the region.

Tri-County Planning Body

The Supportive Housing Services Tri-County Planning Body helps create goals, strategies and metrics, and guides a regional investment fund to address homelessness.

Affordable housing bond community oversight committee

The affordable housing bond community oversight committee oversees the implementation, outcomes and annual reporting of the 2018 Affordable Housing Bond.

Supportive housing services regional oversight committee

The supportive housing services regional oversight committee provides oversight over the 2023 supportive housing services tax. They ensure the fund is fulfilling its goals, and makes

annual reports and presentations to the Metro Council and the boards of commissioners of Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties regarding the program's progress.

Natural Areas and Capital Program Performance Oversight Committee

The Natural Areas and Capital Performance Oversight Committee keeps Metro accountable and transparent in its use of capital funds from the \$475 million 2019 nature bond and the parks and natural areas levy, which raises about \$19 million a year.

Nature in Neighborhoods capital grants review committee

A team with a mix of backgrounds and commitment to Metro's parks and nature mission review grant applications and make funding recommendations. Members may have backgrounds in water quality and habitat restoration, landscape architecture, real estate, community development, workforce development, job training and apprenticeship programs, climate adaptation and resilience policies and practices, sustainable development techniques or community partnerships. The Metro Council makes all grant awards.

Nature in Neighborhoods Community Choice Grants Program Design and Review Committee

With support from Metro staff, the Program Design and Review Committee establishes bylaws, ground rules, meeting procedures, roles, and other Committee norms to guide their work. This Committee will represent the community during program design; vet projects submitted by the community for adherence to program goals and criteria; and refer the community's final vote for project funding to the Metro Council.

Doing business with Metro

Metro purchases a variety of goods and services.

Common contracts include:

- construction and maintenance
- architecture and engineering
- forestry and landscaping
- foodservice and supplies
- professional, technical and scientific services
- communications and photography

[Small business events and resources](#) →
[Current requests for bids and proposals](#) →
[Small business training and development](#) →

Tools for working

oregonmetro.gov/tools-working

Metro provides tools for businesses. Metro also licenses solid waste facilities and helps small businesses with hazardous waste disposal.

- [Guide for businesses to reduce food waste](#)
- [A guide to travel options for employers](#)
- [Regional contractor's business license](#)

CONTACT

Metro procurement
doingbusiness@oregonmetro.gov

SECTION 3. GUIDANCE FOR STAFF

Introduction

This section of the public engagement guide is primarily for Metro staff. It provides guidance for planning and carrying out engagement activities with the public. The guidance is supplemented by tools and resources included in hyperlinks or within the appendix of the public engagement guide.

The standard practices for communication in this guide can help advance transparency with the public for those who want to stay informed or weigh in on topics of interest. Establishing standard communications and engagement planning processes across departments can also lead to inclusivity and more consistent experiences for community members.

Engagement plans are developed on a project-by-project basis using a combination of the public engagement guide section 3, the guiding principles for meaningfully public engagement from section 1, and department and agency-wide policy guidance. Decisions about engagement and communications strategies should build upon continued learning from evaluations, public input and research.

As a reminder, the desired outcomes for the application of the public engagement guide are:

- People have accessible information and meaningful opportunities to participate in programs, services, or decision-making processes at Metro.
- Metro creates welcoming spaces that encourage civic leadership and connection through community-led activities and building capacity for ongoing engagement.
- The Metro Council makes decisions that are well-informed and responsive to the needs and perspectives of the diverse communities of greater Portland.



Language check!

Meaningful public engagement includes the perspectives of people and communities who may be affected by Metro decisions. It is rooted in nurturing relationships, taking into consideration the communication and engagement needs of participants. It acknowledges the role trauma plays in people's lives. Meaningful public engagement requires transparency with participants about how their input can affect change.



Language check!

Public: The term public in this guide is inclusive of all community members, including businesses, nonprofit organizations, the media, local governments, etc.

Community(ies): This term can refer to all members of the public broadly or used to describe specific groups that have a shared characteristic such as a connection to a place, identity, social experience, language, religion, or cultural practice.

Using “community” or “public” engagement

The terms are often used interchangeably. Both can describe engagement strategies with all people served within Metro’s boundary. Members of the public are all part of the community, as well.

However, when creating an engagement strategy or plan, an unexamined use of either word to group all audiences generally can lead to unintended consequences, such as the continued marginalization of groups who have unique communication needs from the dominant culture. This is due to the lasting social and institutional impacts of systemic discrimination unconsciously influencing the default ways people communicate and engage with one another.

To address this complex reality, Metro uses “**public engagement**” more commonly to include all people regardless of background,

identity, income status or historical access to information and social or political influence. For instance, this guide is a Public Engagement Guide.

Given the impact discrimination has had on certain group’s ability to access resources and benefit from shared prosperity, Metro meaningfully includes and prioritizes the needs of people who have been historically marginalized to prevent more inequality.

Metro staff is encouraged to use “**community engagement**” more specifically to refer to engagement approaches that seek to include groups who are and have been overburdened by a lack of representation and systemically excluded from participating in the identification of or the solutions to regional issues.

These groups include young people under 30, older adults, immigrants, Urban Indigenous people, Black people, Asian-Americans, Pacific Islanders, people with disabilities, people with low incomes, members of the LGBTQIA2S+ community, or people who are living unhoused.

Note: Although Tribes have been historically marginalized as well, outreach to Tribal governments is not considered community engagement because it is a formal consultation within a government-to-government relationship that recognizes their status as sovereign nations.

Planning for engagement

Planning for public and community engagement should begin early in the planning stage of new policies, programs or public service provision and be updated as the project progresses. The project timeline, decision-making process and budget should be informed by community engagement and communication needs. Each new project, initiative and program will have unique goals, resources and impacts to people or the environment based on the department's focus.

Staff should begin with a review of the [2021 Racial Equity Framework Tool](#) as a project and budget development planning tool before delving into developing an engagement strategy. There are overlaps within guidance of the framework tool and best practices for public engagement planning, so this step will be helpful.

This guidance was written with the expectation that staff would use the Racial Equity Framework Tool, the Guiding Principles for Meaningful Public Engagement, and department or agency-wide policies to inform their projects and engagement plans. Work with your project sponsor and the communications department to choose a strategy that will lead to the highest public benefit and racial equity outcomes for the project. If you run into challenges combining guidance from these policies, work with the communications team to select a best path forward.

Reflect before choosing an engagement strategy or tactic

A project team that is considering public and community engagement for a new project should have a draft project plan developed before deciding on an engagement or communications strategy. If the project goal is specifically about involving community members in a program, proceed with applying the steps that are applicable.

This section can help staff reflect and decide if they need to develop a full scale or limited scale engagement strategy, and if so, what resources and timeline they may need to set in place.

1. Draft project scope

PURPOSE: *What is the need or motivation for the project, policy or program?*

GOALS: *What are the project goals or desired outcomes? Why?*

DECISIONS: *Build a decision-making framework. What are the major decision-points? Who will decide? What is Metro's role in this field of work?*

RESOURCES: *What staffing is available to support the project? What is the draft budget for your project? Engagement planning can help finalize the project budget.*

PROJECT RISKS: *What are the potential benefits or challenges to people, the community, or the environment? What could be the impacts if you did or did not meet your goal(s)?*

2. Pre-planning for engagement

PURPOSE: *What is the need or motivation for engagement with the public on this project?*

POSSIBLE GOALS: *What gaps in information exist that public participation can fill? How can public participation help advance the project goals?*

STRATEGY: *Can engagement inform the overall process? Consider the major project decision-points and if public awareness or participation makes sense at some or all phases. Note that building awareness is a communications strategy but is not engagement on its own.*

RESOURCES: *What staffing, and budget is available to support engagement if you decided this makes sense or your project? Does the budget have space for translation services, stipends, mailers, a community partnership, or contractor?*

INFORMATION GATHERING: *What geographic area is impacted by the project? What has Metro already heard from the public on this topic? Are there similar projects that you can reference externally or internally?*

ASSESS FOR RISKS: *Is there general internal consensus about the purpose and goals for this project? Did your project risk assessment reveal potential risks for people or the environment? What groups of people should know about this? Who may be most impacted? Are there political sticking points the project team or Metro Council should be aware about?*

FUTURE COMMUNICATIONS: *What should people know about this? Will you need to do education about the topic? What languages are spoken in the project's geographic area?*

AUDIENCES: *Who may be impacted by or interested in this project? Are there vulnerable populations in the geographic area of impact? Who are they? Could this be of interest to Tribal governments?*

PAUSE: At this point, you should have enough information to decide if a public and community engagement strategy is needed and you may have an idea of the scale needed. If a robust engagement strategy is not needed but it is important to build awareness about your project, you could move to develop a communications plan.

Engagement planning checklist

These steps serve as guidance to help project teams prepare for involving the public in decisions about policies, programs or investments that have not been adopted yet. They can also help staff be intentional about embedding meaningful public participation within the implementation of Metro programs and services such as internships or education services.

The list of steps is extensive but the steps relevant to each project may vary. For purposes of this guide, the “project” is referred to as the program, policy or decision Metro seeks to achieve. Public engagement planning helps staff prepare for meaningful public participation in project

decision-making process or the provision of services within existing programs. In some cases, outreach to the public is meant to implement an existing Metro Council or department priority or program.

1. Clarify internal expectations from project leadership team.
 - Understand the history, context, and environment of your engagement project.
2. Establish a project team with roles and responsibilities.
 - Update the decision-making framework, if needed.
3. Define goals for engagement. Review *Appendix B – Using the Guiding Principles for Meaningful Public Participation* and your department's guidance.
4. Develop an engagement budget. This may be a draft and be finalized once you choose engagement tactics.
5. Scope for a community partnership or hire a contractor (if applicable)
6. Audience mapping
 - Conduct an audience assessment through an audience power-mapping exercise.
 - Identify *key audiences* that may be highly impacted by the project. Identify vulnerable or historically marginalized groups in this group as well.
 - Identify *potentially interested* audiences, this may include groups who may or may not be impacted.
 - Identify groups who may be *interested* and should be informed about opportunities to engage, even if the impact and connection is not apparent to you.
 - Consider jurisdictional partners or Tribal governments in your audience mapping.
 - Establish the ideal level of influence and involvement for each audience to achieve the most benefit and equitable outcomes.
 - Use the spectrum of participation and results from an audience power mapping exercise to help you prioritize your resources in this step.
 - Be sure to consider what will be public vs. community engagement.
7. Develop a risk assessment of hot topics or potential issues.
 - Politically charged topics should include subject matter experts and decision-makers to help advise on your project.
 - Does your project have a historical or current impact on historically marginalized communities?
8. Select engagement tactics that would help provide the appropriate level of influence or involvement for key audiences and interested parties.
9. The engagement tactics used should also consider your risk assessment to ensure that Metro hosted meetings and spaces are inclusive and mindful of participants needs.
10. Team collaboration. Consider who on the team or at Metro should be the relationship holder for each audience to ensure staff have the capacity and the proper authority to be responsive along the way. Here are some suggestions:

- For Tribes – Metro’s Tribal Policy Liaison and Metro Council office should be involved in developing the outreach strategy and it’s best for them to do the outreach to Tribes.
 - Business community – the project manager may be best suited to hold these types of relationships depending on the types of businesses.
 - Non-profit organizations – this relationship could be held by an engagement professional or project manager depending on the team structure.
 - Community members – in some cases, your community partner may be best to coordinate with community members to ensure their comfort and to develop trust with Metro staff over time. However, accountability to the community lies on Metro staff.
11. Develop an engagement timeline considering the project milestones.
 12. Develop a communications plan. See Appendix I – Communications planning toolkit.
 - Make space for internal and external communications.
 13. Document your process, decisions, conversations, and contacts to prepare for reporting.
 14. Establish an evaluation plan during and for after your engagement project is over.
 - Evaluation should include a mix of methods including qualitative and quantitative.
 - If you are providing stipends, evaluation time can be built into your timeline and tasks with community members from the beginning.
 - See Appendix H –Evaluation for public engagement for tips.

Best practices for public participation

- Be transparent about how public participation can impact a decision or program.
- Start with information you (or Metro) has already heard. Avoid asking the same questions over and over unless there is a timely reason to do so.
- Consider translation or other physical accommodations for creating welcoming spaces and reaching your key audiences in a meaningful way.
- Community partnerships require capacity building and collaboration to develop and engagement strategy.
- Be mindful of group power dynamics when planning gatherings. Prioritize the needs of those with the least informal and formal power and influence.
- Don’t take public mistrust or dissent personally. Instead, think about how you can support a democratic process where diverse voices are heard and considered meaningfully.
- Listen and be responsive. Ensuring people are heard and valuing their time can help foster a civically engaged public and work towards trust over time.
- Find the time to just be with people.
- Always report back to participants and the public about how their input was used.
- Take care of your needs so you can be self-reflective about how your identities and position influence how you show up in public spaces.



Appendix A – Legal requirements for the public engagement guide

Metro is the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) designated by Congress and the State of Oregon, for the Oregon side of the Portland-Vancouver urbanized area, serving 1.7 million people living in the region's 24 cities and three counties.

Legal responsibilities and guidelines

This Public Engagement Guide establishes consistent guidelines to ensure people have meaningful opportunities to be involved in the regional planning process. The guide also provides examples of the tools and techniques that Metro may use to communicate with and receive input from the public.

Following the Federal Highway Administration, 23 CFR 450.316(a), this guide serves as Metro's documented, "process for providing citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of public transportation employees, freight shippers, providers of freight transportation services, private providers of transportation, representatives of users of public transportation, representatives of users of pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities, representatives of the disabled, and other interested parties with reasonable opportunities to be involved in the metropolitan transportation planning process."

In accordance with the Federal Transit Administration circular, FTA C 4702.1B, this guide serves as Metro's documented, "outreach plan to engage minority and limited English proficient populations, as well as a summary of outreach efforts made since the last Title VI Program submission. A recipient's targeted public participation plan for minority populations may be part of efforts that extend more broadly to include other constituencies that are traditionally underserved, such as people with disabilities, low-income populations, and others."

Federal requirements

- Code of Federal Regulations – Title 23 – Highways– Chapter I- Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation Subchapter E- Planning and research-, § 450.316 Interested parties, participation, and consultation.
- Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), public Law 112-141 as passed by Congress and signed by President Barack Obama on July 6, 2012.
- The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), (included in Appendix C for more information).
- Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, 23 USC 140, 23 CFR 200, and 49 CFR 21.
- Executive Order 12898 – Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-income Populations, signed Feb. 11, 1994 by President Bill Clinton.
- Executive Order 13166 – Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency, signed Aug. 11, 2000 by President Bill Clinton.
- Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, 42 USC 126 and 49 CFR 27.19.
- Age Discrimination Act of 1975, 42 USC. Sections 6101-6107.

Oregon state requirements

- Administrative Rules of the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development Commission, Oregon Statewide Goal 1 - Citizen Involvement, OAR 660.
- Oregon Revised Statutes Comprehensive Land Use Planning Coordination, ORS 197.175
- Oregon Revised Statutes Local Government Planning Coordination; Coordination Agreements, ORS 195.020 to 195.040.
- Oregon Revised Statutes Opportunity for Public Comment on New Fee or Fee Increase, ORS 294.160.
- Oregon Public Records Act, ORS 192.410 to 192.505.
- Oregon Public Meetings Law, ORS 192.610 to 192.690.

Metro requirements

- Metro Charter, Office of Citizen Involvement.
- Resolution No. 97-2433, Metro Citizen Involvement Principles.
- Ordinance No. 12-1275, Establishing the Metro Public Engagement Review Committee.
- Resolution No.12-4375, Metro Diversity Action Plan.
- Resolution No. 16-4708, Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion
- Resolution No. 19-1431, the 2030 Regional Waste Plan
- Resolution No. 23-5331, Metro's New Guiding Principles for Meaningful Public Engagement
- Resolution No. 22-5293, Incorporating Inclusive and Plain Language Best Practices



Appendix B - Using the Guiding Principles for Meaningful Public Engagement

The guiding principles inform planning and carrying out of virtual and in-person public engagement activities. They create a foundation to sustain an equitable and democratic culture of collaboration and accountability at Metro.

.

Contents

APPENDIX C - USING THE GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR MEANINGFUL PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT	I
PUTTING THE GUIDING PRINCIPLES INTO PRACTICE	1

Thank you to the Public Engagement Review Committee

The Public Engagement Review Committee advised Metro staff and Council on the content of this Appendix. The committee is tasked with advising the Metro Council on engagement practices. Representatives from Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties make up the committee. Members in 2023-24 included:

Community members: Carine Arendes, Isaiah Jackman, Makerusa Porotesano, Michael Foley

Community-based organizations: Alisa Kajikawa with APANO, Diana Ospina Lara with Hispanic Metropolitan Chamber, Henry Miller with The Street Trust

Local government staff: Amanda Garcia Snell with Washington County, Cameron Ruen with Clackamas County, JR Lilly with Multnomah County

PUTTING THE GUIDING PRINCIPLES INTO PRACTICE

Many factors affect how Metro engages with people, businesses, nonprofits and government partners. Metro staff should review the following information in addition to *Section 3 - Guidance for staff* when planning new projects. This information will help staff assess their needs and decide what communications or public outreach approach might be most effective for their project.

The information below was developed with input from the Public Engagement Review Committee, the Committee on Racial Equity, the Metro Council and Metro staff. It offers context and guidance for users of the guide to deepen their understanding of each principle.

Desired outcomes of principle	Putting it into practice
Principle 1 Public participation is an essential part of Metro’s decision-making.	
For people <ul style="list-style-type: none">• People use their power to affect changes they need.• Metro’s decisions meet the needs of the diverse communities they serve. For Metro <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Staff, Metro Council and partners are informed about the needs of the diverse communities they serve.• Metro develops sustainable, equitable and impactful policies.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Metro budgets adequately for public engagement and communications.• Metro acknowledges that solving complex regional issues and repairing past harms requires a civically engaged public.• Public engagement is valued as part of the technical project management processes.• Metro offers ongoing engagement opportunities beyond specific projects.• Metro convenes and connects diverse perspectives to each other to build a culture of collaboration and learning.
Principle 2 Transparency about decision-making is fundamental to successful public service and includes communicating about project outcomes and the impact of community contributions to the process.	

Desired outcomes of principle	Putting it into practice
<p>For people</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Important information is easy to find and easy to understand. • People generally understand Metro’s major decisions and how Metro arrived at those decisions. • Participants feel their time and input is valued even after engagement activities are complete. <p>For Metro</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro is accountable to the communities they serve. • Metro maintains and promotes internal practices that make it easy to share important information with people in a timely manner. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro’s website is accessible and easy to navigate. • Metro staff responds to public information requests in a timely manner. • Summary reports are written in plain language and are easily accessible to staff and the public. • Metro staff provides documents in multiple languages relevant to key audiences. • Metro creates a repository of existing input from the public for use in future projects. • <i>New or ongoing projects:</i> Metro clearly describes project goals, key decision-points, decision-making structures, and the purpose for public engagement. People are informed about the potential impact public input can have. • <i>After project completion:</i> Metro shares information about what informed major decisions, who was engaged, and major trade-offs considered within the process. Metro reports back to participants and interested parties.

Principle 3

Meaningful public engagement processes apply equity, diversity and inclusion strategies and tools to help identify and address the needs of impacted, underserved, and historically excluded communities.

Desired outcomes of principle	Putting it into practice
<p>For people</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communities participate or lead in developing the solutions to complex regional problems based on their personal experiences. • Harm during or after engagement processes is avoided and discussed if it happens. • The needs of those most affected and with the least institutional power are considered first. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro staff apply a racial equity strategy to their planning processes. • Metro hires a workforce that is representative of the communities they serve. • Staff has access to useful trainings in topics such as trauma-informed care, best practices for facilitation, racial, gender and disability justice, and how to consult with Tribal governments. • Metro develops processes for identifying when trauma triggers or harm happen during engagement activities as well as for resolving them.
<p>For Metro</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro puts into practice the Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion. • Metro works towards accountability and repair of past harms. • Metro’s approach to governing is to put people first. • Metro ensures compliance with Civil Rights Laws 	<p><i>Tools to consider:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro’s Racial Equity Framework and Budget Tool • The Spectrum of Community Engagement to Ownership by Rosa Gonzalez • White Culture Characteristics by Tema Okun.

Principle 4

Engagement and communications are accessible, informative, timely and inclusive of a rich diversity of lived experiences and perspectives.

Desired outcomes of principle	Putting it into practice
<p>For people</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Important Information is easy to find and understand. • People of different backgrounds and experiences feel included and see themselves represented in Metro’s work. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro applies inclusive communications strategies and tools to ensure people with different needs and abilities can be informed or get involved. • Metro invests in reducing or eliminating common barriers to public participation. • Metro provides high quality language access services so that people who speak limited English can meaningfully participate.
<p>For Metro</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro creates a welcoming environment for all people in both physical and digital spaces. • Metro staff increase public participation in projects and programs. • Metro eliminates or reduces common barriers to participation to increase accessibility for all. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro hosts gatherings and online spaces that are trauma-informed and responsive to the unique language and cultural norms of participants. • Metro invests in supporting staff through tools, trainings, and research. • Staff are culturally responsive to prevent harm when they interact with people. • Metro invests in local communities throughout the region beyond specific projects. • Metro develops standard communications and engagement practice standards for staff.

Principle 5

Collaboration and capacity-building efforts with community-based organizations, programs, initiatives, and individuals that are impacted, underserved, or historically excluded are essential to address regional issues equitably.

Desired outcomes of principle	Putting it into practice
<p>For people</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Individuals grow their civic leadership and use their power toward justice and liberation. • Community organizations persist as community assets. <p>For Metro</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro advances shared prosperity through capacity building. • Increases participation by historically excluded residents. • Metro makes decisions that are well-informed by the needs of the people they serve. • Metro directs resources towards non-project specific engagement activities to continue building and nurturing relationships. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro creates partnerships with shared agreements – partnerships that are mutually beneficial. • Metro ensures community partners are well-resourced to meet project goals and outcomes, and to reduce burnout. • Metro works to reduce barriers to participate in community engagement activities. • Metro’s engagement practices develop civic leadership among people Metro serves.

Principle 6

Intergovernmental collaboration, coordination, and consultation are essential to address regional issues holistically and efficiently.

<p>For people</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regional issues related to transportation, affordable housing, garbage and recycling, parks and natural areas and civic leadership are managed in a holistic manner. • People engaged at various levels of government have a similar experience. <p>For Metro</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro contributes to a culture of civic leadership as a regional convener. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As a regional government Metro convenes city, county, state, and Tribal governments to manage and resolve regional issues holistically and efficiently. • Metro learns about the unique needs, experiences, and geographic connections of partner agencies, such as cities, counties and Tribal governments. • Metro consults with Tribes as sovereign nations applying a government-to-government relationship approach.
--	---

Principle 7**Evaluation of engagement activities during and after a project encourages responsiveness, growth, and process improvement.****For people**

- People can influence improvements to government processes by participating in evaluations.

For Metro

- Staff develop shared learning and improve engagement practices.
- Staff are innovative and responsive.
- Metro staff practice self-reflection and avoid perfectionism.

- Metro works with partners to define goals and metrics to measure effectiveness of engagement and communications practices.
- Metro staff seek participant feedback after engagement opportunities and adapt as needed.
- Metro staff have the space in their work plan to practice self-evaluation.
- Metro works to improve its culture by collaborating, clearly communicating, and avoiding perfectionism.

Principle 8**Adaptive project timelines allow for meaningful engagement with the most impacted audiences early and throughout the project.****For people**

- People feel like their time and input is valued.
- People gain trust for Metro's decisions and work.

For Metro

- Staff gain knowledge to improve future engagement and communications activities.
- Metro's approach to governing puts people first.

- Metro responds to unexpected information or situations whenever possible.
- Public input can influence a change in a project's direction.
- Timelines may be shortened if staff or Council have the necessary information to understand the impacts of their decisions, or when responding to an unexpected emergent need such as a natural disaster or public health crisis.



Appendix C – Racial Equity Framework Tool and Summary of Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion

METRO RESPECTS CIVIL RIGHTS.

Metro respects civil rights Metro fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 that requires that no person be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin under any program or activity for which Metro receives federal financial assistance.

Metro fully complies with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act that requires that no otherwise qualified individual with a disability be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination solely by reason of their disability under any program or activity for which Metro receives federal financial assistance.

If any person believes they have been discriminated against regarding the receipt of benefits or services because of race, color, national origin, sex, age or disability, they have the right to file a complaint with Metro. For information on Metro's civil rights program, or to obtain a discrimination complaint form, visit www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights or call 503-797-1536.

Metro provides services or accommodations upon request to persons with disabilities and people who need an interpreter at public meetings. If you need a sign language interpreter, communication aid or language assistance, call 503-797-1700 or TDD/TTY 503-797-1804 (8 a.m. to 5 p.m. weekdays) 5 business days before the meeting. All Metro meetings are wheelchair accessible. For up-to-date public transportation information, visit TriMet's website at www.trimet.org.

If you picnic at Blue Lake or take your kids to the Oregon Zoo, enjoy symphonies at the Schnitz or auto shows at the convention center, put out your trash or drive your car – we've already crossed paths.



RACIAL EQUITY FRAMEWORK

Aligning decision-making practices to advance the values of diversity, equity and inclusion

Introduction

Metro is committed to building an equitable institution to ensure that all people who live, work and recreate in the greater Portland area have the opportunity to share in and help define a thriving, livable and prosperous region. We recognize, now more than ever, the importance of lifting up the reality of anti-Black racism as a key factor in addressing historic inequities.

Like other government agencies, Metro has historically used an equality approach, rooted in colorblindness, for its decision-making. We have an opportunity to lead with racial equity as we try something different, rethink how programs are designed and for whom, change how decisions are made, and truly center the most marginalized communities in all of our work.

This Racial Equity Framework and worksheet is intended support that work. Its purpose is to help ensure that a racial equity analysis is applied when decisions about Metro's budget and public services are being made, whether they're around long-term planning, policy creation, program development or resource allocation. The Framework and worksheet are intended to be a resource for Metro staff and leadership – and to be one that continually adapts through the practice of racial equity work at Metro. Use of this Framework and Worksheet supports alignment with Metro's with [Council adopted Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion in 2016](#). The use and practice of a Racial Equity Framework is critical to achieve racial equity at Metro and in our region's communities so that race ceases to be a predictive factor in life outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This Framework is adapted from many different resources and leading equity experts from across the nation and the region, including the [Government Alliance on Race and Equity Racial Toolkit](#), adaptation of [Metro's Racial Equity Guidance Questionnaire](#) (Appendix H) and was co-designed by various stakeholders from across Metro.

Special acknowledgement to: Marta McGuire, Carey Stacy, Brandon Goldner, Gloria Pinzon, Kimm Fox-Middleton, Gaylen Beatty, Jeff Frkonja, Joe Gordon, Katie Hentges, Molly Chidsey, Reed Brodersen, Sebrina Owens-Wilson, Robyn Williams, Cassie Salinas, Raahi Reddy and consultant Scott Winn.

Defining a Racial Equity Framework

Many current racial inequities are sustained by structures, systems and practices that repeat patterns of exclusion, even when people within those structures do not intend to perpetuate them. A racial equity framework provides an approach to address systems and processes at the institutional and individual level that may inadvertently perpetuate inequities. The framework is intended to identify specific concepts and systems that must be addressed to eliminate exclusionary practices.

A Racial Equity Framework includes the following main components:¹ⁱ

Values

Defining racial equity and giving specific attention to the values and assumptions that guide decision making processes.

Decision making processes

A broad look at the structure of decision making processes paying close attention who participates (or doesn't) in decision making and how their input is linked to the outcomes of the decisions. This requires changes to the design of decision making processes to increase access and opportunity to those who have been previously excluded.

Methods, analysis and tools

Use of specific methods to eliminate exclusionary practices. This includes using tools, analysis and methods to check our own implicit bias and assess more clearly power dynamics in the effort, providing distinct participation mechanisms for those most impacted, considering who benefits and who is most impacted by decisions, and ultimately shifting the way we make decisions.

Organizational policies

Organizational level policies to support the implementation and incorporation of the racial equity framework and associated practices. This includes guidance for required use of methods and policies that support participation mechanisms such as creation of committees in ways that address power imbalances among groups and stipends for community participation in decision making processes.

LEVELS AT WHICH RACIAL INEQUITIES OCCUR

Structural

The interplay of policies, practices and programs of differing institutions which leads to adverse outcomes and conditions for communities of color compared to white communities that occurs within the context of racialized historical and cultural conditions.

Institutional

Policies, practice, and procedures that work to the benefit of white people and to the detriment of people of color, usually unintentionally or inadvertently.

Individual/Interpersonal

Pre-judgment, bias, stereotypes or generalizations about an individual or group based on race. The impacts of racism on individuals – white people and people of color (internalized privilege and oppression). Individual racism can result in illegal discrimination.

Source: City of Seattle's Race and Social Justice Initiative

Metro's Racial Equity Framework

Building these components within Metro's organization includes reaffirming existing values and commitments in addition to the development of new approaches to our work and how we make decisions. Metro's framework is outlined below and is intended to evolve over time.

Values advancing racial equity

Metro is committed to advancing racial equity, which centers the values of diversity, equity and inclusion in decision making and ensuring that all people in the Portland metropolitan region have the opportunity to reach their full potential. This includes:

- An understanding that, due to structural racism, Black, Indigenous and other people of color (BIPOC), experience inequitable health, education, criminal justice and economic outcomes.
- A commitment to advance strategies to support and invest in Black Lives and transform systems that create or perpetuate harm.
- A commitment to redesigning and centering new programs, policies or planning efforts to benefit and support BIPOC communities so that they may thrive in our region.
- An understanding that a traditional approach to decision-making without a racial equity considerations will result in communities of color bearing the disproportionate impacts.

In addition to these values and commitments, Metro departments have additional policy guidance including strategic plans and internal equity goals to guide decision making in policies and programs to advance racial equity. This guidance coupled with agency values provide the foundation of the racial equity framework and value set to guide decision making and advance progress toward racial equity goals. It is critical to build shared understanding of these sets of values and how they inform and are used in decision making.

Decision making processes

Aligning decision making practices with these values requires close attention to the structure of decision making practices including who has access and opportunity to participate and how their input is linked to the outcomes of decisions.

In both internal and external decision making processes, this includes prioritizing representation from BIPOC communities and equity leaders and providing opportunities for direct interaction with decision makers and ability to shift power inequities.

Rethinking how we structure decision making will require new methods of analysis, participation mechanisms and engagement approaches, and power relationships.

Diversity

The importance, acknowledgement and honoring of difference among people. This includes variance in race, ethnicity, gender, age, religion, nationality, language preference, socioeconomic status, sexual orientation and others.

Equity

Ensuring everyone has what they need to be successful and reach similar outcomes.

Inclusion

The degree to which individuals from all backgrounds are able to participate fully in the decision-making process within an organization or group.

Methods, analysis and toolsⁱⁱ

Aligning decision making practices with our values also requires the adoption of new tools, analysis and methods in our everyday work. There is not a universal approach to applying a racial equity analysis and tools. Methods must be tailored to specific policy and program decisions and coupled with staff trainings to increase equity literacy. Metro will continue to expand our set of racial equity tools over time and in collaboration with others. Examples of current tools and methods are listed below.

Data collection and analysis: Assessment of current community conditions that may be impacted by the proposed decision with attention to demographics and historical, economic and environmental conditions.

Social and economic power analysis: A social power analysis is a tool that can be used to determine who has the decision-making power or influence, historically and today, to inform this decision, as well as who has the power to change this decision. This analysis is supported data collection that consider who is positively and negatively affected by the proposed decision.

Appointed representation: Appointed representation is a participation mechanism for appointing individuals from specific social groups who have the least influence and are most impacted by the proposed decision.

Decision mapping: This tool supports the design of a process to include individuals and groups that lack access and opportunity to participate in decision making. Conceptual mapping of a process is used to determine how and when individuals or a group may be included in decisions and how their input is linked to outcomes. A key aspect of this is identifying decision points to inform how to situate participants to influence decisions rather than serve as a review body.

Reflective questions: Incorporating specific questions into decision making processes help address implicit bias and shift the way we make decisions. These may include questions such as: Who benefits and who is burdened by this decision? In addition, more extensive and in-depth questions may tailored to the specific policies and programs.

Appendix A includes a racial equity worksheet that provides a general illustration of incorporating some of these tools. All the tools and methods must be tailored to the specific area of work or decisions and coupled with efforts to increase equity literacy among staff.

ⁱ i McGuire, Marta (2020). A Case Study of an Equity Lens: Key Insights for Transforming Practice in Public Organizations. Manuscript in preparation

ⁱⁱ McGuire, Marta Conklé, "Addressing Structural Inequalities in Planning Processes: A Case Study of an Equity Lens" (2020). Dissertations and Theses, Paper 5474.

Appendix A: Metro's Racial Equity Worksheet

This worksheet provides an example for applying racial equity tools within decision making processes. This is intended to provide a general illustration of a step-by-step process and reflective questions that may be used to ensure we listen and engage affected individuals and communities in our decision making, factor in the impacts on marginalized communities and to shift the way we make decisions on projects and programs to advance racial equity.

Step 1: Convene an internal team that consists of racial equity leaders and/or staff of color to participate in answering the questions and identifying equity considerations. Determine how and when individuals or a group may be included in decisions and develop agreements with the group to clarify roles and how their input will be linked to outcomes.

Step 2: Using Metro's racial equity strategic plan or your department's plans, identify the goals and outcomes related to the project or program under development. Document your answers to and consider the following reflective questions:

- How does this project or program advance the identified racial equity goals? What are the specific long-term racial equity outcomes that will be impacted by this decision?
- What have you learned from past partnerships with communities of color that could inform these racial equity outcomes and goals?

Step 3: Use data to better understand conditions experienced by communities of color impacted by this decision. Determine the most impacted communities of color to determine engagement efforts. This includes collecting data to describe the current community conditions that may be impacted by this program or project. Document your answers and consider the following reflective questions:

- What stories and perspectives from the community exist regarding this project or program?
- What are the current racial inequities related to this project?
- What are historic racial inequities related to this project or program? What are the root causes of the conditions?
- What performance level data do you have associated with Metro's existing programs or policies impacting the decision?
- Take a critical look at the data: How is it biased? What is missing? Are some communities being systematically over- or under-represented in the data? Are the data sufficient to determine the most impacted communities of color.

Step 4: Collaborate and engage with the most impacted communities to inform the decision-making process.

Using data collected, evaluate who has the decision-making power or influence, historically and today, to inform this decision, as well as who has the power to change this decision. Design a process to include the individuals or groups who have been marginalized in decision-making to further consider:

-
- Is the racial equity outcome desired by the community?
 - Who will benefit from this decision?
 - Who will be burdened by this decision?
 - What factors exist that are producing or perpetuating racial inequities related to this decision?

Other reflective questions to consider:

- How have these communities been engaged by Metro and what have we learned?
- What do we know already, based on previous engagements, about the priorities for this community?
- What opportunities should Metro create to expand and deepen engagement?
- How can their perspectives, stories and solutions be gathered and centered to determine the decision around the program or practice?
- What are other essential stakeholders needed to inform this decision?
- How can you engage internal stakeholders (staff of color/staff with a racial equity expertise) to inform decision?

Step 5: Apply a racial equity lens: Reconvene your internal stakeholder team to use the information gathered to make recommendations for decisions on the program or project. Based on your data collection, community engagement and internal staff knowledge:

- Summarize who will benefit and be burdened from this decision. What might be unintended consequences of this decision and how to mitigate them?
- Identify the factors that may be causing and maintaining the benefits and burdens on the individuals, groups and communities identified.

If the program or project is recommended to move forward, incorporate the results into the design of the program or project and inform what resources will be needed and consider:

- What resources and funding do staff and community need for success?
- What are other decisions, policies and practices needed to ensure success?
- What other institutions and organizations are needed to partner with in order to hasten achievement on racial equity outcomes?

Step 6: Identify how will you ensure accountability, communicate and evaluate results.

- How will you re-engage with communities most impacted to share the decision and implementation plan?
- How will Metro be accountable for implementation and achieving racial equity goals and outcomes?
- How will you track progress and evaluate the impact of this decision over time?

Questions regarding this worksheet, contact equity@oregonmetro.gov

Attachment C – Summary of Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion



Metro

Strategic plan to advance
racial equity, diversity and
inclusion Executive summary



Metro is committed to working together with people, businesses, nonprofit organizations and public partners to create a Portland region where:

- All individuals and communities benefit from a strong economy with quality, living-wage jobs, stable and affordable housing, safe and reliable transportation, and a healthy environment with clean air and water.
- Racial equity is recognized as the backbone of good governance. To ensure the success of everyone, we must work together to end inequities that affect historically marginalized communities. We must remove barriers so that everyone can realize their full potential and contribute to and participate in our collective community and economy.
- Our public structures, institutions and processes address social and economic disparities for people of color. Those disparities are rooted in a history of discriminatory laws, policies and practices.
- Diversity is celebrated and all communities meaningfully participate in public decision-making.

The strategy

Metro will build upon and strengthen its ongoing equity work by implementing interrelated strategies to create long-term institutional, structural and cultural change:


Lead with racial equity Focusing on eliminating the disparities that people of color experience in all aspects of social well-being, especially in those related to Metro's policies, programs, services and public spaces.

Broaden the impact Addressing the disparities that affect the most marginalized to generate solutions that address the needs of all other historically marginalized groups.

Generate support to create real and lasting cultural change Building a structure for Metro leaders, staff and region partners including community to engage in conversations about race, make concrete and measurable institutional changes and create a foundation for on-going reflection and needed change within the organization and Portland region.

Partner with communities of color Ensuring that members of these communities are involved in Metro's equity efforts to create greater trust and accountability.

Measure progress Measuring and recognizing milestones and improvements to increase and maintain momentum along the route to greater racial equity and change.



Why racial equity?

The Portland metropolitan area's population is growing and changing. Like most of the nation, our cities and counties are becoming more diverse. It is projected that by the year 2045, people of color will be the majority in the United States.

Our current and future diversity will help develop and maintain sustainable economic growth if we proactively address the issue of racial equity. Research shows that places that attain more economic growth are those with greater racial inclusion and smaller racial income gaps.

Unfortunately, most communities of color in the Portland area currently experience the worst economic and social outcomes of any demographic group, due to a long history of exclusionary and discriminatory policies.

To prepare for a healthy and prosperous future, Metro, other cities and counties, schools, community and philanthropic organizations, and businesses are taking a long, hard look at equity. It's not only the right thing to do; it's the smart way to improve our present and plan for our future.

There is no need to choose between equity and economic growth. Equity and community diversity positively impact the larger economy and have become the superior economic growth model across the country.

By addressing the barriers experienced by people of color in the Portland metropolitan area, we will effectively also identify solutions and remove barriers for other groups, like women, low-income residents, people with disabilities, LGBTQ community, older adults and young people. The result will be that all people in the Portland area will experience better outcomes.

Organizational goals, objectives and actions

This strategic plan is built around five long-term goals. The goals are deliberate guideposts that direct Metro in creating specific objectives, actions and measures of evaluation and accountability as the agency works to help the Portland area reach its equitable and prosperous destination.

The proposed actions are centered on those that the entire agency can do to advance racial equity, diversity and inclusion. A major deliverable of this strategic plan is for each Metro department and venue to develop its own equity action plan specific to their programs, policies and services within 24 months of the adoption of this strategic plan.

The strategic plan will also work together with the Diversity Action Plan to increase the effectiveness of Metro's diversity, equity and inclusion work. Building on the foundation created by the Diversity Action Plan, the Strategic Plan will provide Metro leadership and staff additional tools and authority to make change.

Equity and Equality


Not everyone needs glasses to see. But those that do require a specific prescription to enable them to see. Some people are severely visually impaired or are blind.

Equality: Believing that everyone should get the same glasses regardless of their specific need.

Equity: Understanding that some have greater visual difficulties than others, therefore only those that need glasses get them and each prescription fits that person's specific needs. Some people are blind, so they need entirely different solutions to perceive things that seeing people experience through sight.


The region is diversifying ...

PERCENT OF POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
Tri-county region. Source: US Census, 1990, 2010 SF1-QTP6



... but regional inequities persist.

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME, BY RACE/ETHNICITY
Portland MSA, 2011-2013. Source: US Census, American Community Survey three-year estimates





ABOUT METRO

Clean air and clean water do not stop at city limits or county lines. Neither does the need for jobs, a thriving economy and good transportation choices for people and businesses in our region.

Voters have asked Metro to help with the challenges that cross those lines and affect the 24 cities and three counties in the Portland metropolitan area.

A regional approach simply makes sense when it comes to protecting open space, caring for parks, planning for the best use of land, managing garbage disposal and increasing recycling. Metro oversees world-class facilities such as the Oregon Zoo, which contributes to conservation and education, and the Oregon Convention Center, which benefits the region's economy.

Five Strategic Goals

The five long-term strategic goals identified in this plan are:

A. Metro convenes and supports regional partners to advance racial equity.

Metro brings together diverse partners from across the Portland metropolitan region to reduce racial disparities and improve outcomes in communities of color through coordinated and innovative approaches. Metro leads by convening decision-makers and providing research and technical support to assist local jurisdictions in equity initiatives. Metro also proactively convenes regional partners to support a racially diverse construction workforce.

B. Metro meaningfully engages communities of color.

Community relationships based on trust, policies that strengthen community involvement and community oversight of implementation ensure that communities of color are meaningfully engaged and influence the Metro decisions and programs that impact their lives. Metro commits to co-creating with the community, learning from the collective wisdom and building the capacity of community leaders.

C. Metro hires, trains and promotes a racially diverse workforce.

Metro has an organizational culture that is welcoming and inclusive of all people of color. Through training and hiring practices that break down barriers for applicants of color, Metro achieves a racially diverse workforce with opportunities for advancement and strong retention and promotion rates for staff of color. All Metro staff receives the training and support necessary to become culturally proficient and equitably serve all residents of the Portland metropolitan region.

D. Metro creates safe and welcoming services, programs and destinations.

Communities of color are aware of and feel welcome to access Metro's diverse services, programs and destinations. Through better understanding of the needs of culturally specific communities and the impacts of its programs and services, Metro provides safe and welcoming environments and experiences that enrich the lives of community members.

E. Metro's resource allocation advances racial equity.

Metro advances economic opportunities for communities of color through various avenues including equitable contracting practices, distribution of investments, and grant programs.

So, hello. We're Metro – nice to meet you.

In a metropolitan area as big as Portland, we can do a lot of things better together. Join us to help the region prepare for a happy, healthy future.

Stay in touch with news, stories, and things to do.

oregonmetro.gov/news

Follow oregonmetro



Metro Council President

Lynn Peterson

Metro Councilors

Ashton Simpson, District 1

Christine Lewis, District 2

Gerritt Rosenthal, District 3

Juan Carlos González, District 4

Mary Nolan, District 5

Duncan Hwang, District 6

Auditor

Brian Evans

600 NE Grand Ave.

Portland, OR 97232-2736

503-797-1700



Appendix D – Public Engagement for Regional Transportation Planning

Be involved in building a better system for
getting around greater Portland.

METRO RESPECTS CIVIL RIGHTS.

Metro respects civil rights Metro fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 that requires that no person be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin under any program or activity for which Metro receives federal financial assistance.

Metro fully complies with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act that requires that no otherwise qualified individual with a disability be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination solely by reason of their disability under any program or activity for which Metro receives federal financial assistance.

If any person believes they have been discriminated against regarding the receipt of benefits or services because of race, color, national origin, sex, age or disability, they have the right to file a complaint with Metro. For information on Metro's civil rights program, or to obtain a discrimination complaint form, visit www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights or call 503-797-1536.

Metro provides services or accommodations upon request to persons with disabilities and people who need an interpreter at public meetings. If you need a sign language interpreter, communication aid or language assistance, call 503-797-1700 or TDD/TTY 503-797-1804 (8 a.m. to 5 p.m. weekdays) 5 business days before the meeting. All Metro meetings are wheelchair accessible. For up-to-date public transportation information, visit TriMet's website at www.trimet.org.

If you picnic at Blue Lake or take your kids to the Oregon Zoo, enjoy symphonies at the Schnitz or auto shows at the convention center, put out your trash or drive your car – we've already crossed paths.

CONTENTS

METRO RESPECTS CIVIL RIGHTS.	2
CONTENTS.....	i
INTRODUCTION	1
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN	3
BE INVOLVED IN THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN	3
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN: DECISION MAKING STRUCTURE	7
BE INVOLVED IN LOCAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLANS	9
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	11
BE INVOLVED IN THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	11
NOTICES WITH REQUESTS TO SHARE	13
INVESTMENT AREAS	15
EQUITABLE DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES	15
BE INVOLVED IN INVESTMENT AREA PLANNING	16
INVESTMENTS AREAS: DECISION MAKING STRUCTURE	19
THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT.....	20
THE NEPA PROCESS	20
RESOURCES FOR COMMUNITIES.....	26
REGIONAL TRAVEL OPTIONS GRANTS	26
ABOUT THE REGIONAL TRAVEL OPTIONS PROGRAM	26
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS	27
REGIONAL FLEXIBLE FUNDS	29

INTRODUCTION

Roads and transit lines don't stop at the city line – and neither do people, goods or services.

Among its responsibilities in serving the people of a growing region, Metro is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), for the Oregon portion of the Portland-Vancouver metropolitan area. In this role, Metro is authorized by Congress and the State of Oregon to coordinate and plan investments in the transportation system for the greater Portland three-county area. In addition, Metro uses this authority to help ensure the public, cities, counties, transportation agencies, Tribal governments and others affected persons have meaningful opportunities to participate in and provide input on these planning and investment decisions.

Community voices

There are many stories from the nearly 2 million residents across our region. Three residents share their perspectives and challenges in getting around.



"I know that we had the snow recently, so that made driving very difficult in some areas because there were a lot of potholes. And besides that, I think traffic in general [is a problem], depending on the area. My commute can be anywhere from 40 minutes to an hour and a half." – Adam, Cornelius resident



"I use a mobility scooter if there's a long distance in between places I'm traveling... I do have to drive on the streets sometimes because the sidewalks are bad. I mean, there are places where there are no sidewalks and it leaves the necessity to ride in the road with a mobility scooter, or even with a walker." – Annadiana, Forest Grove resident



"My ideal transportation experience would be one where I didn't necessarily have to transfer from route to route so often, because that's where I tend to miss more buses and have to wait for longer periods of time." – Tana, Portland resident

Metro works collaboratively with the public, cities, counties, transportation agencies and state, federal and Tribal governments to:

1. Create a long-range transportation plan—called the Regional Transportation Plan—that looks at least 20 years into the future and identifies priority projects that are eligible to receive federal and some state transportation funding,
2. Document how all federal transportation money will be spent in the greater Portland region for a defined four-year period in the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) and report on how these investments are consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan and state and federal requirements.
3. Decide how to invest Regional Flexible Funds—a small subset of transportation funding that Congress sends directly to metropolitan planning organizations.
4. Lead planning and development efforts in cooperation with TriMet and other partners to expand public transit and address other transportation needs.
5. For each of these activities, Metro relies on the diverse range of experiences and perspectives of people in greater Portland to help create solutions that work for all people who live, work and travel in the region. **This document outlines how to get involved.**





Stay informed →

oregonmetro.gov/rtp

Subscribe for updates →

oregonmetro.gov/subscribe

Questions?

transportation@oregonmetro.gov

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN


The Regional Transportation Plan is a blueprint to guide investments for all forms of travel – motor vehicle, transit, bicycle and walking – and the movement of goods and services throughout the greater Portland region. The plan – coordinated with local and regional land use plans – identifies current and future transportation needs, investments needed to meet those needs, and what funds the region expects to have available over the next 20 plus years to make those investments a reality. The regional transportation plan has two main sections:

- The policy section sets the vision, goals, performance targets and policies that guide planning and investment in the greater Portland's system of roads, bridges, bikeways, sidewalks, transit and freight routes.
- Two project lists that include priority projects from local, regional, or state planning efforts that also included opportunities for public input as they were developed by those entities.

Components of a regional transportation plan

Policies

Project lists



Updates to the plan are prepared pursuant to state and federal requirements.

Be involved in the Regional Transportation Plan

This section outlines the phases of updating the Regional Transportation Plan, engagement opportunities for the public to be involved in each phase and the key engagement questions asked during each phase.

During key comment opportunities, notices with requests to share are sent to neighborhood association/CPO, local agency newsletter, transportation management

association and community based organization partners and contacts. (See Notices with requests to share.) Information and notices are also sent via email to members of the transportation planning interested persons list (sign up at oregonmetro.gov/subscribe).

Phase 1: RTP update initiation

About three years before the Regional Transportation Plan update is due, (first six months of the update process), Metro works with decision-makers, partners and interested parties to identify people and groups to engage; develop project goals, a work plan and a public engagement plan; and compile data and analysis tools. This is sometimes called the scoping phase and results in a work plan and public engagement plan that is adopted by JPACT and the Metro Council to guide the rest of the process.

Engagement opportunities: interviews, briefings, online surveys, small group discussions focused on marginalized and underserved communities, in-person and virtual workshops, development of interested persons contact list, online stories of the transportation challenges facing people in the region, public comment at committee meetings, project website.

Questions for public involvement: What are the key transportation challenges that need to be addressed? What policies are outdated, restrictive or do not consider the key elements and implementation challenges? How can the planning process engage more people, businesses and other interested parties (especially those who have been historically excluded from governmental decision-making)? How does Metro ensure a transparent, inclusive and equitable process?

Phase 2: Update policy framework, transportation needs and revenue forecast

About two and a half years before the Regional Transportation Plan update is due (and for about 12 months of the process), Metro works with decision-makers, partners, interested parties and the public to identify key trends and challenges in transportation; update or reaffirm the vision, goals and policies for the region's transportation system, monitor existing conditions and forecast future growth using the outcomes-based evaluation framework to identify current and future transportation needs; and update the forecast of funding that is reasonably expected to be available in the region.

Engagement opportunities: online surveys, discussion groups or forums focused on marginalized and underserved communities, updates to interested persons contact list, online stories of the transportation challenges facing residents and how the current transportation system is working for people, public comment at committee meetings on plan vision, goals and policies that will guide development of the investment strategy.

Questions for public involvement: What are the transportation challenges that need to be addressed? What is working in the transportation system? What has changed (or is

changing) in jobs, education, housing, moving goods, accessing services, and the environment that needs to be considered when planning for the future of the transportation system? What kind of system do we want to see in the future? How much funding do we anticipate will be available?

Phase 3: Building the investment strategy

About a year and a half before the Regional Transportation Plan update is due, (and about 12 months of the process), Metro works with decision-makers, Tribes, jurisdictional partners, interested parties and the public to begin updating the project lists; assess and report on how the proposed investments in the transportation system will impact achievement of the plan's performance goals across safety, equity, climate, mobility and economic outcomes; and refine the project lists as an investment strategy to better achieve priority outcomes, particularly in the near-term.

Engagement opportunities: online surveys, discussion groups or forums focused on marginalized communities, work groups convened to address specific policy topics, updates to interested persons contact list, online stories of the transportation challenges facing residents and how the current transportation system is working for people, review and comment (letter, email, public communication at committee and council meetings) of draft project lists and the assessment of draft investment strategy.

Questions for public involvement: (Confirm at the beginning of this phase:) Are these the right goals and policies to lead the project list? (As the project lists are coming together:) Does this draft list get us to our priority outcomes, meet our goals and align with our shared values?

Prioritizing projects for the Regional Transportation Plan

Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties and cities within each county recommend priority projects for their jurisdictions in partnership with county coordinating committees. Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), the Port of Portland, TriMet, SMART and other agencies work with the county coordinating committees and the City of Portland to recommend priority projects. The City of Portland recommends projects after reviewing priorities with its community advisory committees.

The project lists are separated into two categories:

1. **Constrained Project List:** the projects that fit within a constrained budget of federal, state and local funds can reasonably expect over 25 years under current funding trends.

2. Unconstrained Strategic Project List: additional priority investments (not constrained to the budget based on current funding trends) that could be built with additional resources.

To qualify for federal or state transportation funding, a project must be included on the “constrained” project list of the most recent Regional Transportation Plan. Prior to approval, these lists are assessed to determine how well they are meeting the policy priorities identified in the policy section of the plan.

Cities and counties periodically update local transportation plans to be consistent with the policies of the RTP, to address new state or federal requirements and to reflect changes in local needs and priorities. Not all projects from local plans move into the RTP because transportation investments relying on local funding sources are not required to be in the RTP unless they may have a significant regional impact.

ODOT, Port of Portland, TriMet and SMART periodically update their system plans for both capital (new, expanded or major improvements to facilities) and operational and maintenance improvements. On occasion, there are cross-agency plans that may lead to projects that could leverage or benefit from other identified investments. These would qualify for inclusion in the RTP project lists.

Each responsible jurisdiction or agency has its own timing and develops its own process for engaging the public through the update of its transportation system plan. To influence the transportation projects that are included in the Regional Transportation Plan – and built – the first step is to be involved in these plans.

Questions for public involvement: What are the transportation challenges that need to be addressed? What are the investment priorities to meet transportation needs?

Phase 4: Finalizing and adopting the plan

Starting about nine months before the update is due, Metro works with decision- makers, partners, interested parties and the public to prepare a public review draft of the Regional Transportation Plan that will be taken through the final public review and decision-making process. The adoption process typically identifies a number of changes to the public review draft plan in response to comments received.

Engagement opportunities: updates to interested persons contact list, minimum 45-day review and comment (letter, email, phone, public comment at committee and council meetings) of the draft Regional Transportation Plan, testimony at hearings, online survey on the key themes and results of the draft plan. Ads are placed in local newspapers to announce the formal comment period and scheduled hearings associated with the adoption of the plan.

Questions for public involvement: When implemented, will this (list or policy) get us to our priority outcomes, meet our goals and align with our shared values? What needs to be considered on the local and regional levels when moving forward with these projects and policies? What policies need further refinement (ahead of or as part of a future update)?

Amending the plan

Occasionally, the Regional Transportation Plan is amended prior to a scheduled update. This may be necessary if an individual project is listed as being in a planning phase in the plan but now is moving to an implementation phase, or if funds are unexpectedly available for a project that was listed as an additional strategic priority investment (and must now move to the constrained project list to qualify for those funds). Amendments are also sometimes needed in response to new state or federal requirements that must be addressed prior to the next scheduled update. Amendments to the plan follow the same decision-making process as updates to the plan.

Engagement opportunities: updates to interested persons contact list, minimum 45-day review and comment (letter, email, phone, public comment at committee and council meetings) of the draft Regional Transportation Plan amendment, testimony at hearings.

Regional Transportation Plan: Stay informed

Information about the Regional Transportation Plan – as well as other stories about the greater Portland region’s transportation system and how it affects our quality of life – are published periodically on Metro News (oregonmetro.gov/news). These stories are also promoted on Metro’s social media feeds.

UPDATES → Required every 5 years → Next update is in 2028

The plan is updated with input from community members, business, community leaders, transportation agencies and governments.

Information about the updates are sent via email to subscribers.

The engagement process for the 2028 update will begin in 2025.

Regional Transportation Plan: Decision making structure

Decisions for the Regional Transportation Plan are shared between the Metro Council and the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation, or JPACT. Metro conducts its work through various committees that provide planning, policy and funding recommendations to JPACT and the Metro Council.


JPACT – comprising 17 members that serve as elected officials or representatives of transportation agencies across the region – shares joint responsibility for the direction and details of the plan, and the Metro Council either approves the plan as recommended by JPACT without changes or refers the plan back to JPACT.

To aid with technical details of the plan, JPACT is advised by the Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee, or TPAC. TPAC’s 21 members consist of technical staff from the same governments and agencies as JPACT, plus a representative from the Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council and six community members appointed by the Metro Council.

Since the Regional Transportation Plan has implications on the region’s land use (how we develop and expand for future jobs and housing), the Metro Council is also advised on the plan by the Metro Policy Advisory Committee, or MPAC. MPAC comprises 21 voting members representing cities, counties, special districts and the public through three community representatives, as well as six non-voting members. MPAC advises the Metro Council on growth management and land use issues at the policy level, and the Metro Technical Advisory Committee, or MTAC, provides input to MPAC at the technical level.

All meetings are open to the public. A complete calendar of meetings is available on the Metro website: www.oregonmetro.gov/calendar/month.

Additionally, people can receive email updates about individual committee meetings by contacting transportation@oregonmetro.gov and requesting to be added to a committee’s distribution list. Members of the public can watch meetings on zoom, or attend in-person when that is an option. Each meeting includes an opportunity for public comment. Feedback from engagement activities is summarized and provided to the Metro Council and regional advisory committees prior to key milestones in the process.



Be involved in local transportation system plans

As mentioned, projects submitted to the Regional Transportation Plan are from local, regional or state planning efforts that included opportunities for public input. The first step in influencing what transportation projects will be in the Regional Transportation Plan is to be involved in these plans.

State transportation planning rules adopted in 2022 include new requirements for equity-centered public engagement during transportation system planning and other new and expanded requirements for preparing local transportation plans that must be addressed through future plan updates, primarily between 2024 and 2029 or an agreed upon alternate schedule.

The following is a list of cities and counties in greater Portland with the date of their current transportation system plan and when the city or county is anticipated to next update their plan. Three cities – Durham, Maywood Park and Rivergrove are currently exempt by the state from preparing a transportation system plan through 2033 after considering five factors, including anticipated growth and adequacy of the existing transportation system. Find the cities and counties where you live, work and travel and consider getting involved in the next transportation system plan update.

- Beaverton, 2010 (update anticipated in 2025)
- Clackamas County, 2022 (amendment) (update anticipated in 2026)
- Cornelius, 2022 (amendment) (update anticipated in 2028)
- *Durham, exempt through 2033*
- Fairview, 2022 (amendment) (update anticipated in 2031)
- Forest Grove, 2014 (update anticipated in 2027)
- Gladstone, 2017 (update anticipated in 2027)
- Gresham, 2013 (update anticipated in 2026)
- Happy Valley, 2023 (update anticipated in 2024)
- Hillsboro, 2022 (update anticipated in 2035)
- Johnson City, exempt King City, 2024 (update anticipated in 2035)
- Lake Oswego, 2017 (amendment) (update anticipated in 2026)
- Maywood Park, *exempt through 2033*
- Milwaukie, 2018 (amendment) (update anticipated in 2025)
- Multnomah County, 2016 (not scheduled)
- Oregon City, 2022 (amendment) (update anticipated in 2027)
- Portland, 2018 (update anticipated in 2028)
- Rivergrove, *exempt through 2033*

- Sherwood, 2014 (update anticipated in 2026)
- Tigard, 2022 (update anticipated in 2027)
- Troutdale, 2022 (amendment) (update anticipated in 2029)
- Tualatin, 2014 (update anticipated in 2024)
- Washington County, 2019 (update anticipated in 2028)
- West Linn, 2016 (update anticipated in 2029)
- Wilsonville, 2016 (amendment) (update anticipated in 2028)
- Wood Village, 2017 (update anticipated in 2027)

Projects are also identified in other plans and studies that are prepared by Oregon Department of Transportation, TriMet, SMART, the Port of Portland, Tribal governments, and Metro through their respective planning processes that provide opportunities for public input. Metro's investment planning is addressed in the **Be involved in investment area planning** section.

Questions for public involvement: What are the transportation needs and challenges that need to be addressed? What should be the priority investments to meet the transportation needs on the local (or highway or transit system) level?



Stay informed →

oregonmetro.gov/mtip

Subscribe for updates →

oregonmetro.gov/subscribe

Questions?

transportation@oregonmetro.gov

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, or MTIP, documents how all federal transportation money is to be spent in the greater Portland region over the next four years. The MTIP also documents state- and locally-funded projects deemed regionally significant. In order to qualify for some state and federal funds, the projects listed in the MTIP must be included in the Regional Transportation Plan. Thus, the MTIP is often referred to as the document that puts the Regional Transportation Plan into action.

As the designated metropolitan planning organization for the greater Portland region, Metro is required to prepare and administer the MTIP. Metro works in cooperation with all of the region's local agencies – including the cities, counties and the Port of Portland, the regional transit agencies (TriMet and SMART) and ODOT to incorporate and implement all federally funded and regionally significant projects into the MTIP.

The most recent MTIP was adopted in 2023 for federal fiscal years 2024-27. The next MTIP is planned for adoption in 2026. The MTIP is incorporated without change into the State Transportation Improvement Program, or STIP, Oregon's statewide transportation capital improvement program. Like the MTIP, Oregon's STIP covers a four-year period, and is updated every three years.

Be involved in the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program

The development of Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program is a multi-year process, since the beginning policy conversations guide how the several jurisdictions and agencies program and document their portions of the larger MTIP.

The jurisdictions and agencies are responsible for any necessary public engagement to inform decisions on their programming. For instance, Metro funds regional programs and local projects through funding from specific federal programs and engages the public in these decisions. This process, currently referred to as the regional flexible funds allocation process, is addressed

However, the development of the MTIP itself is primarily a technical exercise to ensure the projects that are scheduled and funded over the next four years are consistent with local and regional plans, are consistent with state and federal requirements, have funding that is reasonably expected to be available and are able to advance in a timely, logical fashion.

This means that public engagement for the MTIP is designed more towards promoting governmental coordination, transparency and accountability towards those goals than to help shape a plan on a local or regional level.

Phase 1: MTIP initiation

About two years before the MTIP is due, Metro works with decision-makers and partners to update the MTIP policies, building an agreement on how jurisdictions and agencies are expected to demonstrate how MTIP projects are consistent with local and regional plans, are consistent with state and federal requirements, have funding that is reasonably expected to be available and are able to advance in a timely, logical fashion.

Engagement opportunities: Review and comment (letter, email, phone, public comment at committee and council meetings) of the draft MTIP policies.

Phase 2: Adopting the MTIP

Starting about nine months before the MTIP is due, Metro works with decision-makers and partners to create a legislative draft of the MTIP that will be taken through the final decision-making process. The draft MTIP is published about five months before JPACT and the Metro Council are scheduled to take legislative action.

Engagement opportunities: minimum 30-day review and comment (letter, email, phone, public comment at committee and council meetings) of the draft MTIP, testimony at hearings, online survey on high level performance analysis of the draft MTIP.

Amending the MTIP

Occasionally, the active MTIP is amended. This may be necessary if additional funding becomes available or if conditions on the ground lead jurisdictions to delay one project in favor of another.

Engagement opportunities: minimum 30-day review and comment (letter, email, phone, public comment at committee and council meetings) of the MTIP amendment

MTIP: Stay informed

Information about the development of the MTIP – as well as other stories about the greater Portland region’s transportation system and how it affects our quality of life – are published periodically on Metro News (oregonmetro.gov/news). These stories are also promoted on Metro’s Facebook and Twitter feeds.

During key comment opportunities for the MTIP, notices with requests to share are sent to neighborhood association/ CPO, local agency newsletter, transportation management association and community based organization partners and contacts (see page 6).

In addition to the methods above, ads are placed in local newspapers to announce the formal comment period and scheduled hearings associated with the adoption of the MTIP.

Notices with requests to share

During key comment opportunities, notices with requests to share are sent to neighborhood associations, citizen participation organizations, and community planning organizations. Because of the number of neighborhood associations and CPOs in the region, Metro employs a “phone tree” technique, sending the notice to city and county contacts and asking them to share with associations and organizations in their jurisdictions. Currently, Metro sends notices to:

- City of Beaverton Neighborhood Program manager
- City of Cornelius city manager
- City of Forest Grove Community Development director
- City of Gresham Office of Neighborhoods and Community Engagement
- City of Happy Valley Community Services & Public Safety director
- City of Lake Oswego Long Range Planning
- City of Milwaukie Community Programs coordinator
- City of Portland Office of Neighborhood Involvement
- City of Oregon City Community Development director
- City of Tigard Neighborhood Program coordinator
- City of Tualatin Office of the City Manager
- City of West Linn Citizen Engagement coordinator
- City of Wilsonville Community Development director
- Clackamas County Public and Government Affairs manager
- Multnomah County Office of Citizen Involvement
- Washington County Citizen Participation Organization Program coordinator
- In addition, Metro sends notices to local agency newsletter and transportation management association partners and contacts:
 - Ride Connection, Inc.
 - TriMet
 - Westside Transportation Alliance
 - Intertwine Alliance
 - GoLloyd

- Washington County Land Use and Transportation Department
- Explore Washington Park
- South Waterfront Community Relations

Metro also sends notices to community-based organization partners, usually through individual relationships built through ongoing partnerships and other engagement activities, asking them to distribute to their organization and constituencies as they see fit. These often include but are not limited to:

- 1000 Friends of Oregon
- AARP
- Asian Pacific American Network of Oregon
- Bike Portland
- Building Trades
- Center for Intercultural Organizing
- Centro Cultural
- Coalition of Communities of Color
- Community Cycling Center
- EcoDistricts
- Immigrant & Refugee Community Organization
- Latino Leadership Network of Washington County
- Latino Network
- Metropolitan Alliance for Workforce Equity
- Momentum Alliance
- Native American Youth and Family Center
- OPAL
- Oregon Environmental Council
- Oregon League of Conservation Voters
- Oregon Sierra Club
- Oregon Tradeswomen, Inc.
- Oregon Walks
- Rosewood Initiative
- Safe Route to Schools
- Self Enhancement, Inc.
- The Street Trust
- Transportation for America
- Urban League of Portland
- Unite Oregon
- Washington County Citizen Action Network
- Verde



Stay informed →

oregonmetro.gov/82ndtransit

oregonmetro.gov/tvhighwaytransit

Subscribe for updates →

oregonmetro.gov/subscribe

Questions?

transportation@oregonmetro.gov

INVESTMENT AREAS

Metro's Investment Areas program guides development of high capacity transit (MAX, rapid bus, rapid streetcar) projects and supporting walking, biking and roadway investments in major transportation corridors. With partners, the program develops shared investment strategies that align local, regional, state, federal, community and private interests to leverage resources and maximize benefits.

Metro partners with cities, counties, the state, TriMet and the federal government to plan land use and transportation investments to connect downtowns, main streets and employment areas around the region. Some of these plans have resulted in new high capacity transit like the Orange Line MAX connecting Portland and Milwaukie and Division FX, the high-capacity bus service along Division Street in Southeast Portland.

Metro leads the planning, analysis and much of the public engagement for investment areas. State and local transportation agencies are responsible for construction of roadways, walking and biking facilities. TriMet and/or other transit agencies are responsible for construction and operation of transit system improvements.

Metro's Investment Areas program also guides land use implementation projects such as brownfields assessment, economic development analysis and industrial site readiness. Public engagement for these types of projects is tailored for the partnerships, scope and goals for each project. The information below expresses the typical project and public engagement phases of a transportation corridor plan that may lead to a high capacity transit investment.

Equitable development strategies

Improved access to transit is often a great benefit to the surrounding neighborhoods. When new infrastructure such as light rail comes in, property values rise. This benefits some people, but rents and other housing costs also increase, hurting other members of the community. Many are pushed out and displaced; small businesses close; the character of the neighborhood is irreparably altered.

When initiating investment area plans, Metro convenes government and community members, employers, affordable housing providers, business leaders, philanthropic organizations and educational institutions to create equitable development strategies that support community development and improve the quality of life for people of all incomes and backgrounds.

Be involved in investment area planning

The development of an Investment Areas plan – especially a plan that may have a high capacity transit investment – is a multi-year process, with multiple opportunities to be involved.

Phase 1: Prioritizing investment areas in the Regional Transportation Plan

The Regional Transportation Plan prioritizes areas to study for high capacity transit investment. For instance, the Powell-Division transit and development study led to enhanced bus service on Division Street from Portland to Gresham and the Southwest Corridor Plan led to a local preferred alternative for a MAX line from Portland to Tigard and Bridgeport Village and the project is now seeking funding for construction. These two areas were included in the Regional Transportation Plan project list.

Phase 2: Local land use planning

Depending on the project, there may be a step of examining and updating the local land use plans (e.g., zoning, focused investment) to identify ways to leverage a potential transit investment to further meet local goals for growth, housing and jobs.

For the Southwest Corridor Plan, for instance, the study and planning project led by Metro was preceded by efforts by the City of Portland (with the Barbur Concept Plan), the City of Tigard (Tigard High Capacity Transit Land Use Plan) and the City of Tualatin (Linking Tualatin). Each of these plans had their own public process to examine how the cities would like to develop or redevelop in key areas that could be connected with and supported by an investment in transit infrastructure.

Phase 3: Investment strategy - project initiation

At the least, one goal of an Investment Areas project is to develop, with partners and the public, an investment strategy – a coordinated set of infrastructure and other investments across jurisdictions that together provide more benefit and better outcomes than if the investments were made separately without a critical eye to the goals, scheduling and effects of the other improvements.

Metro first works with partners, other interested parties and the public to determine the scope of the study and planning project, determining the breadth of the study – both in physical area as well as in the issues that it will address. Often referred to as “scoping,” this phase determines the goals for the project, including defining the problem the project is

meant to solve. Later phases of the project determine potential solutions to study further, though ideas for what is needed are collected from the partners, other interested parties and the public. Note that there may be an additional scoping process as part of the NEPA review, described in The National Environmental Policy Act section.

Engagement opportunities: interviews with interested parties, neighborhood association/CPO and community based organization discussions, online surveys, canvassing at community events, focus groups, discussion groups focused on historically marginalized communities, development of interested persons contact list, online stories of the transportation challenges facing residents in the area, public comment at committee meetings.

Phase 4: Investment strategy - wide range of options and screening criteria

Once the project has a clear scope, Metro works with partners, other interested parties and the public to determine what potential solutions could work together to solve the defined problem. This can include assessing local, regional and state transportation plans to determine what infrastructure and other investments have been identified as needed to meet community and transportation goals as well as identifying new ideas that can meet those needs.

Either as part of this phase or as its own phase, engagement activities also ask, “Given that we can’t afford all solutions, how should we prioritize?” This means asking the public to help the project team and decision-makers determine what criteria will be used to decide which solutions should move forward as a part of a final investment package.

Answers to this may focus on environmental impact, community development opportunities, transportation safety, transportation options, reduction in traffic through a certain area, or cost and availability of funding.

Engagement opportunities: online surveys, canvassing at community events, open house or community forum, online stories of the transportation challenges facing residents in the area, public comment at committee meetings.

Phase 5: Defining the investment strategy

Using information from the partners, interested parties and the public, the project team develops a draft investment strategy and recommends next steps for further project development for any major transportation investment (such as a high capacity transit line or major roadway project). Metro then works with decision-makers, partners, other interested parties and the public to refine the investment strategy to be used by partners to prioritize investments and any additional planning efforts to leverage local, regional, state and federal dollars.

Engagement opportunities: online surveys, neighborhood association/CPO and community based organization discussions, open house or community forum, public comment at committee meetings

Step 6: High capacity transit refinement

If it is determined through the investment strategy that the investment area is a viable candidate for a high capacity transit investment to meet local and regional transportation goals, the project may go through a phase of refining what high capacity transit options (MAX, rapid bus, streetcar – and to/from where) should be further pursued. For the Southwest Corridor Plan, for instance, previous work had determined that streetcar would be unable to meet the transportation goals of the corridor connecting Portland, Tigard and Tualatin, and the refinement phase determined that rapid bus service would not be feasible to meet the future transit demand of the corridor, leading to further study for a new MAX line.

Engagement opportunities: online surveys, canvassing at community events, neighborhood association/CPO and community based organization discussions, open house or community forum, public comment at committee meetings

NEPA

With almost any high capacity transit investment, the greater Portland region must rely on a combination of local, regional, state and federal funding. Due to the federal component of any financing plan, Metro must comply with the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA, to identify impacts to the human and natural environment.

Jurisdictions planning major transportation projects must adhere to NEPA when using or expecting to use federal dollars. When a major transit project is being considered within the greater Portland region, Metro is the lead agency during planning, and TriMet takes the lead on engineering and construction. Learn about the many layers of NEPA and about the opportunities to be involved in projects that affect you and the future of the region.

For more information about the NEPA processes and how public engagement affects those decisions, read [The National Environmental Policy Act](#) section of this guide., which was adapted from A Citizen's Guide to the NEPA from the Council on Environmental Quality. For more detailed information, visit ceq.doe.gov.

A NEPA Environmental Impact Statement process (from Scoping through the Final Environmental Impact Statement) can take 2 to 4 years.

Engagement opportunities: online surveys, canvassing at community events, neighborhood association/CPO and community based organization discussions, open houses or community forums, online stories of the transportation challenges facing residents in the area, public comment at committee meetings, testimony at hearings.

Investment Areas: Stay informed

Information about Investment Areas projects – as well as other stories about the greater Portland region's transportation system and how it affects our quality of life – are

published periodically on Metro News (oregonmetro.gov/news). These stories are also promoted on Metro's Facebook and Twitter feeds.

Throughout the project, information and notices are sent via email to members of the interested persons lists. People can sign up for these lists by visiting the project page (visit oregonmetro.gov/participate to see active Investment Areas projects – like the 82nd Avenue Transit Project– as well as other things that Metro is working on).

During key comment opportunities, notices with requests to share are sent to area neighborhood association/CPO, local agency newsletter, transportation management association and community based organization partners and contacts (see page 6).

In addition to the methods above, ads are placed in local newspapers to announce the formal comment period and scheduled hearings associated with the adoption of any significant plan or decision, including decisions coming from NEPA processes that come out of the Investment Areas work.

Investments areas: Decision making structure

Decision-making for Investment Area projects are tailored for each project's partnerships, scope and goals. If there are both regional land use and transportation components, the project will ultimately follow the structure that the Regional Transportation Plan follows (see page 3), with MTAC advising MPAC, who advises the Metro Council on land use issues, and TPAC advising JPACT, who advises (with some co- authority) the Metro Council on transportation issues.

To provide the project team direction through the development of the investment strategy and, if applicable, the NEPA process, there is typically a steering committee appointed by the Metro Council. The steering committee usually comprises elected and other officials representing the jurisdictional partners. The steering committee's recommendations hold weight with MPAC, JPACT, the Metro Council and the partner jurisdictions, who often need to take their own legislative action on the investment strategy and high capacity transit decisions.

Emerging practices call for direct community representatives to also be included on the steering committee to represent historically marginalized communities during these decisions. Through some parts of the process – especially when community leaders are not members of the steering committee – a community advisory committee may be appointed to consult on issues that may affect different community interest.

THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT

Understanding the environmental impact assessment process helps your voice be heard.

The National Environmental Policy Act was signed into law on Jan. 1, 1970. NEPA established a process to assess the environmental effects of proposed government funded projects. If federal funds are likely to be used, this assessment must be undertaken before decisions are made to ensure citizen involvement and to make better informed decisions.


The environmental impact assessment process required by NEPA also serves as a framework to ensure compliance with environmental requirements such as the Endangered Species Act, the National Historic Preservation Act, the Environmental Justice Executive Order, and other federal, tribal, state and local laws and regulations.

For this reason, the assessment process covers not only effects to the natural environment but also the effects to all aspects of the human environment, including aesthetic, historic, cultural, economic, social and health, whether adverse or beneficial. NEPA does not require the selection of the environmentally preferable alternative, nor does it prohibit adverse environmental effects, but it does require decision-makers and the public to be informed of the environmental consequences of a proposed project. Possible steps to mitigate any environmental effects are also identified and defined during the assessment process.

If a project has gone through a Planning and Environment Linkages (PEL) process, agencies can use information, analysis, and products developed during planning to inform environmental review process. For major projects, PEL helps transportation agencies incorporate environmental and community values into transportation decisions early in planning and carry these considerations through project development and delivery. [Learn more about PEL.](#)

The NEPA process

Once a government agency identifies a need for action and develops a proposal for a solution, it must determine if the action would create significant environmental effects. If the agency determines that the actions would not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment, then it may proceed with the action under an existing Categorical Exclusion. If the agency is uncertain but finds it unlikely that the action would have significant effect on environmental quality, or if the action is not covered by a Categorical Exclusion, it can complete an Environmental Assessment. If the agency is aware that the action may cause significant environmental effects, the agency would proceed to prepare for an Environmental Impact Statement.



Determining the right NEPA process

Categorical Exclusion

A Categorical Exclusion is based on an agency's previous experience with the environmental effects of a type of action; examples include making minor renovations to facilities and reconstructing trails on public lands

Previous Environmental Assessments (see below) may have shown no significant negative impact to the environment, so the agency may amend their regulations to include the action as a Categorical Exclusion to streamline the process of repeating the action.

Federal rules specify what can be a Categorical Exclusion and what must follow another NEPA process. Additionally, the agency must ensure there are no extraordinary circumstances that may cause the action to have significant environmental effects to proceed with a proposed action under a Categorical Exclusion.

Public input

For an agency to create a Categorical Exclusion, a draft of the procedure or procedures is published in the Federal Register (accessible at [federalregister.gov](https://www.federalregister.gov)), and a public comment period is required.

Environmental Assessment

The agency develops an Environmental Assessment under any of the following conditions:

- the agency does not have a Categorical Exclusion that covers the proposed action.
- there would be extraordinary circumstances that may cause the action to have environmental effects beyond would be covered by the Categorical Exclusion
- the agency is uncertain of the environmental effects of the proposed action.
- The Environmental Assessment determines the significance of the environmental effects of the proposed action and examines alternative means to meet the need for action. The assessment provides evidence and analysis to determine whether the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement is needed.

Finding of No Significant Impact

The Environmental Assessment concludes with either a Finding of No Significant Impact or a determination to prepare an EIS. A Finding of No Significant Impact gives the reasons why it was determined that there would be no significant environmental impacts in the implementation of the action. Examples include an urban streetcar project that travels in existing roadways or a short light rail extension through vacant land that is not environmentally sensitive.

Public input

In preparing the Environmental Assessment, the agency is required to involve regulatory agencies and the public to the extent practicable. An agency may choose to mirror the Scoping and/or Environmental Impact Statement public input processes (see next page), notify identified interested parties on the status of the assessment or make available the assessment and a draft Finding of No Significant Impact to interested members of the public. If the type of proposed action hasn't been done before by a particular agency or if the action is something that would typically require an Environmental Impact Statement, the agency is required to make the draft Finding of No Significant Impact available for public review and comment.

Environmental Impact Statement

If the proposed action will, or even may, significantly affect the human or natural environment, the agency must prepare an Environmental Impact Statement. The EIS process is more detailed than a Categorical Exclusion or Environmental Assessment, with specific stages that have their own requirements.

Notice of Intent

The EIS process begins with the publication of a Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS for the proposed action. The notice is published in the Federal Register and gives a brief description of the action as well as possible alternatives. It also describes the scoping process and how the public can participate.

Stage 1: Scoping

Scoping defines the purpose of and need for the project and the alternatives being considered for additional study. During Scoping, the agency determines the range and extent of issues to be addressed in the analyses, identifying issues, project contacts, interested parties and recommendations for the potential solution. Scoping also works to identify issues that will not be significant, or those that have been adequately covered in prior environmental review, and eliminates them from detailed review in the EIS.

Public input

As part of the 30-day Scoping process, the agency identifies interested persons and invites them to participate in the EIS process. Public participation helps identify a fuller range of issues and reasonable alternatives that the agency can evaluate through the EIS process. The agency may conduct public meetings or hearings and will request comments from federal, tribal, state and local agencies that may have interests in the matter.

Stage 2 (if needed): Refinement

The Scoping process is intended to explore and narrow the potential solutions and range of issues to be addressed in the EIS. The agency may choose to continue to refine the results of or resolve issues raised during those processes with a Refinement study.

Public input

During the Refinement study, the agency may choose to mirror or expand the public input process used during the Scoping process.

Stage 3: Draft Environmental Impact Statement

A Draft Environmental Impact Statement includes a Purpose and Need Statement that articulates the problem that the proposed action is meant to resolve. The DEIS objectively evaluates the alternatives and addresses the reasons for eliminating alternatives that are not included for detailed study. All reasonable alternatives that meet the purpose and need of the project and a no-action alternative are evaluated in enough detail that a reader can compare and contrast the environmental effects of the alternatives. The analysis includes the full range of direct, indirect and cumulative effects of the alternatives.

Public input

A notice in the Federal Register and other local and regional public notices announce the availability of the DEIS for review and comment. The comment period for a DEIS is anywhere from 45 to 60 days. During the comment period, the agency may conduct public meetings or hearings and will request comments from federal, tribal, state and local agencies that may have an interest in the matter. The agency analyzes all comments and conducts further environmental analysis as necessary in order to prepare the Final Environmental Impact Statement.

Stage 4: Final Environmental Impact Statement

The Final Environmental Impact Statement responds to the comments received from the public and other government agencies during the DEIS public comment period as well as defines and commits the agency to specific mitigation of specific impacts. The responses can be in the form of changes from the Draft to the Final EIS, factual corrections, modifications to the analyses or alternatives or consideration of new alternatives. A copy or summary of the comments and their responses are included in the FEIS.

Public input When the agency publishes the FEIS, the Environmental Protection Agency publishes a notice in the Federal Register. The notice begins the waiting period of at least 30 days, during which decision-makers consider the Purpose and Need, weigh the alternatives, balance objectives and make a decision. During the 30-day period, the FEIS is available for public review.

Record of Decision

The final step in the process is the Record of Decision, which:

- documents the final decision identifies alternatives considered, including the environmentally preferred
- alternative discusses mitigation plans, including enforcement and monitoring commitments addresses all factors that were contemplated in reaching the decision defines how to proceed with the proposed action serves as the decision from the federal government that the project is allowed under NEPA.

Supplemental statements

An agency may be required to prepare a Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement or Supplemental Final Environmental Impact Statement. These may be used to address a substantial change in the proposed action, new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns, or specific environmental concerns that may have not been fully realized in the DEIS or FEIS. The comment process and time periods for an SDEIS or Supplemental FEIS would be same as those of the DEIS or FEIS, respectively.

Building the project

Preliminary engineering

Concurrent with the FEIS, preliminary engineering advances the project's design from approximately 5 percent to 30 percent engineering in order to establish the cost for the final project.

Final design

After the Record of Decision is issued, final design work brings design from 30 percent to 100 percent complete, finalizes the finance plan, purchases property, and begins advance construction (the relocation of utilities in conflict with construction areas, etc.). Final construction follows, and then operations can begin.

This information is mainly adapted from A Citizen's Guide to the NEPA from the Council on Environmental Quality. For more detailed information, visit ceq.doe.gov



Stay informed →

oregonmetro.gov/rto

Subscribe for updates →

oregonmetro.gov/subscribe

Questions?

transportation@oregonmetro.gov

RESOURCES FOR COMMUNITIES

Regional Travel Options grants

oregonmetro.gov/rto/grants

It's not enough to build a transportation system with options for walking, biking and taking transit or ride share. People need to know how to access those options and understand the benefits. Regional Travel Options grants fund projects that increase the use and understanding of travel options.

Eligible applicants include government agencies, educational institutions and nonprofit organizations, and projects must be carried out within the urbanized areas of Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties. Grants are funded through federal dollars, allocated through what is currently referred to as the Regional flexible funds.

Every two years, Regional Travel Options elicits project proposals. Visit the [Regional Travel Options webpage](#) to learn about grant opportunities.

About the Regional Travel Options program

The program funds and supports transportation demand management strategies to increase the use of travel options, reduce pollution, and improve mobility. Travel options include anything besides driving alone – carpooling, vanpooling, riding transit, bicycling, walking, and telecommuting. The program includes:

- a coordinated education and outreach effort to efficiently use public dollars to reach key audiences an employer outreach program to save employers and employees money
- a regional Safe Routes to School effort that supports local education programs in schools to teach kids how to walk and bicycle to school safely

- a regional rideshare program that makes carpooling safer and easier and helps people with limited transit access have options to get around
- funding for bicycle racks, wayfinding signage and other tools that help people to walk and bicycle
- funding for pilot projects to test new ways to reach the public through technology or innovative engagement methods.

Regional Travel Options Strategy update

To update the strategy, Metro works with interested parties, including cities, counties and previous grantees, applicants and potential applicants. The Regional Travel Options Strategy is expected to be updated in 2024.

Engagement opportunities: updates to interested persons contact list, workshop series to address the elements of the strategy, review and comment (letter, email, phone, public comment at committee and council meetings) of the draft Regional Travel Options Strategy

Regional Travel Options: Stay informed

Information about Regional Travel Options program, projects, sponsorships and grants – as well as other stories about the greater Portland region’s transportation system and how it affects our quality of life – are published periodically on Metro News (oregonmetro.gov/news). These stories are also promoted on Metro’s social media feeds. To be notified for the next round of Regional Travel Options grants or any updates to the strategy, join the interested persons list by emailing rto@oregonmetro.gov.

Regional Travel Options program: Decision making structure

The program is guided by the 10-year strategy, adopted by JPACT and the Metro Council. The strategy defines the mission, goals and objectives for the program. Metro manages the program, with input provided by TPAC on the grantmaking process and other major program elements. Grant applications are reviewed by a committee of TPAC members and community members for recommendation to JPACT and the Metro Council. For more information about TPAC and JPACT, read [Regional Transportation Plan: Decision making structure](#).

Transportation System Management and Operations

oregonmetro.gov/tsmo

Transportation System Management and Operations strategies provide money-saving, multimodal solutions that relieve congestion, optimize infrastructure investments, promote travel options and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Using a relatively small award from what are currently referred to as regional flexible funds, the program improves the efficiency of the transportation system, providing funding to public- agency partners with regionally prioritized projects to make the system flow more smoothly and safely –without the huge costs of road construction.

These projects include information used by TripCheck. org and relied on by third parties such as Google Maps for current traffic, variable travel information signs and better synced traffic lights. For instance, a project to better coordinate traffic signals in downtown Beaverton improved travel time through the heavily congested area by as much as 12 percent on major arterials like Canyon Road and Farmington Road – and made walking easier by adding more opportunities for someone to get a walk light to cross these busy roads.

Program funding is typically awarded to city and county governments, though prior grantees have included institutions such as Portland State University for data collection, processing and visualization projects.

Visit the [Transportation System Management and Operations webpage](#) to learn about grant opportunities.

Strategy update

The Regional Transportation System Management and Operations Strategy was updated in 2021 and will guide decisions in transportation system management until 2031. To update the strategy, Metro works with interested parties, including cities, counties, the state and transit agencies.

Engagement opportunities: typically includes a series of workshops to address the elements of the strategy, learning opportunities to consider national best practices and emerging needs, plus public review and comment opportunities (letter, email, phone, public comment at committee and council meetings) of the draft Regional Transportation Systems Management and Operations Strategy

Regional Transportation Systems Management and Operations program: Decision-making structure

The program is guided by the 10-year strategy, adopted by JPACT and the Metro Council. The strategy defines the vision, goals, objectives and policies for the program. Metro manages the program, with input provided by TPAC on the project funding process and

other major program elements. Project proposals are prioritized by TransPort, a subcommittee of TPAC, for recommendations to TPAC, JPACT and the Metro Council. For more information about TPAC and JPACT, read [Regional Transportation Plan: Decision making structure](#).

Regional flexible funds

oregonmetro.gov/rffa

Almost everyone can point to an improvement they'd like to see on a roadway or street, a trail connection or sidewalk gap they'd like to see filled. Every few years, Metro has an opportunity to work with residents, businesses and local governments to help make fixes like these around greater Portland.

Funding is provided to the development and construction of local transportation projects.

Recent allocations have prioritized projects that make it safe and convenient to walk, bicycle or access transit, and projects that support economic development and the efficient movement of freight.

Regional flexible funds come from three federal grant programs: the Surface Transportation Program, the Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality Program and the Transportation Alternatives Program. These programs allow greater discretion on how the monies are spent – hence the term “flexible” – which allows for greater focus on local priorities and innovative solutions to transportation challenges.

The development of the regional flexible funds allocation is a multi-year process, since the beginning policy conversations guide how the funds will be appropriated.

Be involved in Regional Flexible Funds

Phase 1: Policy update

About two years before the funds are awarded, Metro works with partners, interested parties and the public to update the policies guiding the allocation process. This will set the stage to determine how much of these funds go to things like bond payments for transit projects, how much will go to support regional programs and grants – such as the Regional Travel Options and Regional Transportation Systems Management and Operations grants addressed on pages 16 and 17 – and how much will go to support local jurisdictions to build key projects.

Engagement opportunities: online survey on key themes, review and comment (letter, email, phone, public comment at committee and council meetings) of the draft policies

Phase 2: Allocation process

About six months after the policy update, Metro begins discussions with partners to finalize the how the funds will be allocated. Once it is determined how much will go to local jurisdictions for their key projects, Metro solicits applications from the jurisdictions. These are evaluated by a technical panel and put forth for public comment.

Engagement opportunities: online survey showing where the applicant projects are and what they would achieve, minimum 30-day review and comment (letter, email, phone, public comment at committee and council meetings) of the projects and technical evaluation, testimony at hearings.

Stay informed

Information about the development of the regional flexible funds allocation process– as well as other stories about the greater Portland region’s transportation system and how it affects our quality of life – are published periodically on Metro News (oregonmetro.gov/news). These stories are also promoted on Metro’s social media feeds.

During the allocation for these funds, there is a public comment period with notices and requests to share are sent to neighborhood association/CPO, local agency newsletter, transportation management association and community based organization partners and contacts.

Regional flexible funds: Decision-making structure

Decisions for regional flexible funds is shared between the Metro Council and JPACT. To aid with technical details of the program, JPACT is advised by TPAC. For more information on JPACT and TPAC, see the decision-making structure for the Regional Transportation Plan section.

A technical panel of city, county, agency and community partners independently evaluate the allocation applications to produce technical scores based on criteria drawn from the policy direction provided by JPACT and Metro Council. These scores are offered as part of the comment opportunity and considered as TPAC makes its recommendation to JPACT and the Metro Council. For more information about TPAC and JPACT, read [Regional Transportation Plan: Decision making structure](#).

So, hello. We're Metro – nice to meet you.

In a metropolitan area as big as Portland, we can do a lot of things better together. Join us to help the region prepare for a happy, healthy future.

Stay in touch with news, stories, and things to do.

oregonmetro.gov/news

Follow oregonmetro



Metro Council President

Lynn Peterson

Metro Councilors

Ashton Simpson, District 1

Christine Lewis, District 2

Gerritt Rosenthal, District 3

Juan Carlos González, District 4

Mary Nolan, District 5

Duncan Hwang, District 6

Auditor

Brian Evans

600 NE Grand Ave.

Portland, OR 97232-2736

503-797-1700



APPENDIX E – Tribal Government Consultation for Regional Transportation Planning

Formal consultation process for the Regional
Transportation Plan and Metropolitan
Transportation Improvement Program

Projects and processes implicated by this process

Metro updates the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) every 5 years. The RTP is a blueprint to guide investments for all forms of travel – motor vehicle, transit, bicycle and walking – and the movement of goods and freight throughout the greater Portland region. The Plan identifies current and future transportation needs, investments needed to meet those needs and what funds the region expects to have available to over the next 25 years to make those investments a reality.

Metro issues the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) every 3 years. The MTIP records how all Federal transportation money is spent in the Portland metropolitan area. It also monitors and records state- and locally-funded projects that may significantly affect travel in the region.

Formal consultation process

During the scoping phase of the RTP and in advance of the adoption of the MTIP, Metro connects with agencies and Tribes to determine when and how the agencies and Tribes want to consult. Metro offers consultation orientation meetings and discusses the phases of the RTP process. Group meetings are offered for both agencies and Tribes; “one-on-one” meetings are also offered if the agency or Tribe is unable to attend one of the group meetings. Metro also invites agencies and Tribes to submit comment letters following consultation meetings or in lieu of participating in meetings.

During the orientation meetings, Metro describes the project phases and their expected time frames. Agencies and Tribes are asked to identify the phases in which they want to be informed, involved and/or consulted. Attachment A is the “questionnaire” provided to agencies and Tribes. Note that since the RTP and MTIP are on different cycles, both the RTP and MTIP are described. Responses to the questionnaire are documented.

Consultation roles

Metro extends invitations to consult, convenes consultation meetings, provides information on the purpose, scope, potential impacts and project schedule for the RTP and MTIP and answers questions from agencies and Tribes both when they are determining their level of consultation and throughout the consultation processes. Metro develops the RTP and MTIP with due consideration of related planning activities within the Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) as well as issues, concerns or recommendations made through the consultation process.

Consulting agencies provide guidance on when and how – through the RTP or MTIP process – they would like to be consulted and advocate for their agency’s values and goals to provide feedback and raise issues, concerns and recommendations to improve the RTP and MTIP processes and final documents.

Tribes provide guidance on when and how – through the RTP or MTIP process – they would like to be consulted; advocate for their respective Tribe's priorities, values and goals to provide feedback and raise issues, concerns and recommendations to improve the RTP and MTIP processes and final documents.

Responsibilities

Metro consults with agencies and officials responsible for other planning activities within the MPA that are affected by transportation (including state and local planned growth, economic development, tourism, natural disaster risk reduction, environmental protection, airport operations, or freight movements) and coordinates its planning process (to the maximum extent practicable) with such planning activities. Metro develops the RTP and MTIP with due consideration of related planning activities within the MPA.

Consulting agencies understand, at a high level, the purpose, scope and potential impact of the RTP or MTIP and relay planning activities under their purview within the MPA that are affected by transportation and identify opportunities for coordinated planning process where practicable.

Tribes determine whether to engage in consultation with Metro as the MPO lead in developing the MTIP and RTP. Metro staff make good faith efforts to share at a high level the purpose, scope and potential impact of the RTP or MTIP and the planning process and key decision points so Tribes can determine their interest to consult and engage with Metro. Tribes express to Metro how it can best remove barriers to ongoing and future consultation.

Key phases and decision points

Regional Transportation Plan

1. Scoping – interested party and partner identification, work plan development, engagement plan development.
2. Framework development – key trends and challenges identification, transportation system vision refinement, outcomes prioritization.
3. Building the investment strategy – defining policy changes, project list updates, draft project list assessment, project list refinement.
4. Adopting the plan – review and comment and adoption process.

MTIP

1. Adopting the MTIP – review and comment and adoption process.
2. Amending the MTIP – review and comment and adoption of amendments (The MTIP is typically amended when additional funding becomes available or conditions on the ground lead agencies to modify, delay, or advance a project.)

Other MPO processes

Metro's regional flexible funds allocation is a process for distributing the small amount of federal funds provided directly to Metro for distribution (decisions fund regional programs and local projects that meet regional priorities). There is no formal consultation process for regional flexible fund allocations.

Agencies and Tribes invited to consult

Agencies

The following agencies are invited to consult.

Resource agencies

- Clackamas County Water Environment Services
- Clean Water Services
- Metro Parks and Nature
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA)
- National Park Service (Pacific West Region)
- Oregon Department of Agriculture
- Oregon Water Resources Department
- Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board
- Oregon Department of State Lands
- Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Oregon Department of Forestry
- Portland Bureau of Environmental Services
- United States Environmental Protection Agency
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service
- United States Forest Service
- United States Bureau of Land Management

Federal, state and local transportation agencies

- Bonneville Power Administration
- Federal Aviation Administration
- Federal Highway Administration
- Federal Railroad Administration
- Federal Transit Administration
- Federal Transit Administration
- United States Army Corps of Engineers
- United States Department of Labor
- United States Department of V
- Oregon Bureau of Labor and Industries
- Oregon Department of Energy
- Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
- Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development
- Oregon Department of Transportation
- Oregon Department of Veterans Affairs
- Oregon Parks and Recreation Department
- Oregon State Historic Preservation Office
- Portland of Portland

- Port of Vancouver
- TriMet
- South Metro Area Regional Transit (SMART)
- C-TRAN
- Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council (RTC)

Tribes

The greater Portland MPA does not include Indian Tribal lands as defined by 25 CFR § 502.12. The greater Portland MPA does however include multiple properties which are owned in fee title by several Tribes. In addition, the lands now known as the greater Portland area are part of the aboriginal homelands, traditional use areas and trade networks of numerous Tribes. Each Tribe's interests are distinct and these interests may overlap and intersect with the static boundaries of the metropolitan planning area in various ways. Metro is currently developing its Tribal Affairs Program. This program is intended to establish mutually beneficial relationships with interested Tribes to inform the agency's work across the region that is now known as the greater Portland metropolitan area. Invitations to consult in Metro's RTP and MTIP planning processes are extended in coordination with the Tribal Affairs Program.

The following Tribes are invited to consult, listed in alphabetical order:

- Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation
- Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde
- Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians
- Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation
- Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon
- Cowlitz Indian Tribe
- Nez Perce Tribe

Federal, tribal and state consultation with Metro preferences - survey

Metro is asking for your input on how and when we should reach out to your agency or tribe when conducting planning processes for greater Portland's transportation system.

Please select the level of notification or involvement that would work best for the key stages of the Regional Transportation Plan and the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program.

Agency or Tribe

Best contact for Metro to coordinate with (name and title)

Email address for contact

Regional Transportation Plan

The Regional Transportation Plan is the 25-year blueprint for greater Portland's transportation system, setting the vision, goals and policies. It also brings together transportation projects from multiple jurisdictions, prioritizing them based on the amount of federal, state and local funds we can expect over the life of the plan.

Metro updates the plan every five years. The update process typically takes about three years.

1. Scoping – stakeholder identification, work plan development, engagement plan development

- ☐ Formal notification via letter
- ☐ Individual briefing and discussion (Metro staff and your staff)
- ☐ Invitation to a “group” briefing and discussion (multiple agencies invited)
- ☐ Interested persons list notification (email to all parties signed up for updates; includes comment opportunities)
- ☐ Direct notification via email
- ☐ Invitation and coordination to comment to decision-making bodies (Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation, Metro Council)
- ☐ Other (please specify)

2. Framework development – key trends and challenges identification, transportation system vision refinement, outcomes prioritization

- ☐ Direct notification via email
- ☐ Formal notification via letter

Metro's formal consultation process for the RTP and MTIP - Attachment A

- ☐ Individual briefing and discussion
- ☐ Invitation to a "group" briefing and discussion
- ☐ Interested persons list notification
- ☐ Invitation and coordination to comment to decision-making bodies
- ☐ Other (please specify)

3. Building the investment strategy – defining policy changes, project list updates, draft project list assessment, project list refinement

- ☐ Formal notification via letter
- ☐ Individual briefing and discussion
- ☐ Invitation to a "group" briefing and discussion
- ☐ Interested persons list notification
- ☐ Direct notification via email
- ☐ Invitation and coordination to comment to decision-making bodies
- ☐ Other (please specify)

4. Adopting the plan –refinement and adoption of the plan

- ☐ Individual briefing and discussion
- ☐ Interested persons list notification
- ☐ Invitation to a "group" briefing and discussion
- ☐ Direct notification via email
- ☐ Formal notification via letter
- ☐ Invitation and coordination to comment to decision-making bodies
- ☐ Other (please specify)

Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program

The Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program is the four-year schedule of investments in greater Portland that will use federal and state transportation funds. It also documents local investments that may impact the region's air quality.

Metro updates the plan every four years. The update process typically takes about three years, including policy updates and the process to allocate the small amount of federal funds provided directly to Metro for distribution.

1. Initiation – policy update and partner agreements

Metro's formal consultation process for the RTP and MTIP - Attachment A

- ☐ Formal notification via letter
- ☐ Invitation to a "group" briefing and discussion (multiple agencies invited)
- ☐ Direct notification via email
- ☐ Interested persons list notification (email to all parties signed up for updates; includes comment opportunities)
- ☐ Individual briefing and discussion (Metro staff and your staff)
- ☐ Invitation and coordination to comment to decision-making bodies (Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation, Metro Council)
- ☐ Other (please specify)

2. Metro's regional flexible funds allocation – process for distributing the small amount of federal funds provided directly to Metro for distribution (decisions fund regional programs and local projects that meet regional priorities)

- ☐ Direct notification via email
- ☐ Interested persons list notification
- ☐ Invitation to a "group" briefing and discussion
- ☐ Individual briefing and discussion
- ☐ Formal notification via letter
- ☐ Invitation and coordination to comment to decision-making bodies
- ☐ Other (please specify)

3. Adopting the MTIP – review and comment and adoption process

- ☐ Individual briefing and discussion
- ☐ Interested persons list notification
- ☐ Direct notification via email
- ☐ Invitation to a "group" briefing and discussion
- ☐ Formal notification via letter
- ☐ Invitation and coordination to comment to decision-making bodies
- ☐ Other (please specify)

4. Amending the MTIP – review and comment and adoption of amendments (additional funding becomes available, conditions on the ground lead jurisdictions to delay one project in favor of another)

- ☐ Direct notification via email

Metro's formal consultation process for the RTP and MTIP - Attachment A

- ☐ Individual briefing and discussion
- ☐ Interested persons list notification
- ☐ Invitation to a "group" briefing and discussion
- ☐ Formal notification via letter
- ☐ Invitation and coordination to comment to decision-making bodies
- ☐ Other (please specify)

Other Metro work

Metro is also responsible for other efforts, such as managing the urban growth boundary and preserving natural areas. Would you be interested in consulting on these other topics?

Additional areas of interest

- ☐ Natural areas preservation program
- ☐ Specific parks, trails and natural areas planning
- ☐ Urban growth boundary decisions
- ☐ Garbage and recycling policies
- ☐ Regional transportation studies and investment areas planning

Do you have any comments or suggestions regarding consulting with Metro?

STAFF REPORT FOR COUNCIL MEETING

IN CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO 24-5385

Date: February 12, 2024
Department: Communications
Meeting Date: February 29, 2024

Prepared by: Gloria Pinzon-Marin,
gloria.pinzon@oregonmetro.gov

Presenter(s):
Gloria Pinzón Marin, she/they, Principal
Community Engagement Lead.
Lia Waiwaiole, she/her, Director of
Communications;
Kimberlee Ables, she/her, Strategic
Communications and Crisis manager
Public Engagement Review Committee
Representative(s).
Length: 8 pages

ISSUE STATEMENT

This Public Engagement Guide establishes consistent guidelines to ensure people have meaningful opportunities to be involved in the regional planning process. Metro staff seeks to update and replace the Public Engagement Guide last adopted in 2013 by Resolution No. 13-4476. The update of the guide brings the guidance into alignment with Metro values, Metro's Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, and the Guiding Principles for Meaningful Public Engagement adopted since 2013. The update of the guide also satisfies Metro's charge as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) responsible for producing or updating a *Public Participation Plan* every four (4) years in preparation for its duties to implement the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) while meaningfully involving and informing the public as consistent with federal transportation guidelines.

ACTION REQUESTED

Adopt the *Public Engagement Guide* as Metro's Public Participation Plan as required by Federal transportation guidelines and the Code of Federal Regulations, 23 CFR 450.316.

The *Public Engagement Guide* is included as Attachment 1 and has been undergone revisions in concurrence with the following:

- a) Metro has collaborated with Public Engagement Review Committee (PERC), the Committee on Racial Equity (CORE), the Committee on Disability Inclusion (CODI), Metro's Tribal liaisons, and staff across Metro departments to create a revised Public Participation Plan, referred to as Metro's *Public Engagement Guide*, to set forth Metro's public engagement processes and responsibilities in compliance with federal public participation requirements; and
- b) A 52-day comment period, Dec. 19, 2023 – Feb. 9, 2024, wherein the draft Public Engagement Guide was (a) electronically distributed to interested parties including PERC, CORE, CODI committees, community organizations serving the region's underserved communities experiencing limited English proficiency, diverse cultural backgrounds, low income,

disabilities, and senior and youth statuses, (b) posted on Metro’s website and social media in English and Spanish, (c) distributed internally to Metro Senior Leadership, the Community Relations Team, and department leadership, and (d) presented to staff in Metro departments, including: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion, Parks and Nature, Communications, Planning Development Research, Capital Asset Management, Housing, Portland’s Centers for the Arts, Data and Resource Center, and Tribal Policy liaison offices.

- c) Metro councilor briefings and a Council Work Session, Jan. 23, 2024, wherein a partial draft Public Engagement Guide was provided and feedback from Metro Councilors was gathered.

The *Summary of Engagement Input* is included in Attachment 2 reflecting feedback from Metro Council, Staff, Community partners, and public review.

IDENTIFIED POLICY OUTCOMES

Adoption and implementation of the updated Public Engagement Guide will:

- strengthen Metro’s engagement practices and align them with Metro’s Guiding Principles for Meaningful Public Engagement adopted June 15, 2023.
- support Metro Council in making decisions that are well-informed, sustainable and address the needs and priorities of the diverse communities and perspectives in greater Portland.
- Implement Metro Council’s values and direction about public engagement practices.
- Implementation of the guiding principles will support the advancement of goals in Metro’s Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity:

Goal A: Metro convenes and supports regional partners to advance racial equity.

Goal B: Metro meaningfully engages communities of color.

Goal C: Metro hires, trains and promotes a racially diverse workforce.

Goal D: Metro creates safe and welcoming services, programs and destinations.

Goal E: Metro’s resource allocation advances racial equity.

Updates to the draft Public Engagement Guide meet the Federal Highway Administration’s (FHA) requirements in 23 CFR 450.316 and Plan of Corrective Action 3 including:

- Simplifying the Public Participation Plan (Metro’s Public Engagement Guide) document through summaries, visualization, and other techniques to make the document accessible and comprehensible to the widest possible audience.
- Explicit procedures for outreach to be conducted at the identified key decision points.
- Specific outreach strategies to engage traditionally underserved populations.
- Criteria or process to evaluate the effectiveness of outreach processes.
- A minimum public comment period of 45 calendar days shall be provided before the revised participation plan is adopted by the MPO.

Additionally, the FHA has provided direction that the Public Engagement Guide should include information about Metro’s work outside of transportation planning, for the benefit of the public.

POLICY QUESTION(S)

1. Does the *Public Engagement Guide* reflect guidance from Metro Council, staff, community partners, and the public?
2. Does the Metro Council support the adoption of the core Public Engagement Guide?

POLICY OPTIONS FOR COUNCIL TO CONSIDER

Option 1: Adopt the *Public Engagement Guide* as is, with no additional changes.

Pros:

- Meets United States Federal Highway Administration's requirements with a submitted *Public Participation Plan* responding to their Corrective Actions related to transportation work.

Cons:

- Will not include the full guidance desired by staff, including appendices on best practices for engaging with Tribal governments and urban indigenous groups, will delay implementation and awareness of such policies.
- Continues a precedent of Metro Council approval required for any changes to the *Public Engagement Guide*.

Option 2: Adopt the *Public Engagement Guide* with minor updates based on Metro Council, PERC, CODI, and Metro staff input leading up to and including the business meeting on February 29, 2024.

Pros:

- Meets Federal Highway Administration's requirements with a submitted *Public Engagement Guide* that is adopted by Metro Council and meets their requests within a Plan of Corrective Actions related to regional transportation planning.
- Allows additional time to develop meaningful guidance needed for staff to advance Metro's values including the appendixes describing best practices for engaging with Tribal governments and urban indigenous groups, policies on how to reduce barriers, updated language access policy, et cetera.
- Changes to the appendix would not require Metro Council adoption of a new *Public Engagement Guide* prior to the expiration of the guide. Metro Council would be informed and have a chance to review any additional guidance added in the future.
- Allows gradual adjustments to improve internal coordination and apply public participation practices at Metro while maintaining federally mandated practices to update MPO *Public Participation Plans* every five years alongside the MPOs Regional Transportation Plan.
- Establishes a precedent of evaluation and continuous improvement of public participation practices.

Cons:

- Partial guidance included.

Option 3: Reject the *Public Engagement Guide* as is, and request significant additional changes be made.

Pros:

- May meet councilor requests for significant changes made to the guide or its appendixes prior to Councilor approval.
- Grants additional time to include full guidance desired by staff, including appendixes on best practices for engaging with Tribal governments and urban indigenous groups, will delay implementation and awareness of such policies.

Cons:

- Does not comply with the United States Federal Highway Administration’s requirements with a submitted *Public Participation Plan* that is adopted by Metro Council and responds to their requests made with a set of Corrective Actions related to transportation work.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

The staff recommends councilors strongly consider Policy Option #2.

As part of developing the new guidance for public engagement, the project team heard input from Metro staff, Council and community members, that cannot be fully and effectively addressed within the content of the Public Engagement Guide. Some topics or issues, such as compliance with the guide or setting clear decision-making processes, would benefit from having the impacted perspectives to share in the development of solutions.

There are important organizational considerations to support meaningful public engagement practices and advance Metro values and key policies. While the *Public Engagement Guide*, provided in Attachment 1, is a complete and final iteration of Metro’s Public Participation Plan, there remains much potential in the guide and its appendices to advance Metro’s application of its values and commitments to the public and the region’s underserved communities. The staff are ready to continue their work on meeting and exceeding Metro’s expectations for public engagement to increase the impact of Metro’s work in the community and gain public trust.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT & FRAMING COUNCIL DISCUSSION

The Public Engagement Guide will inherently work alongside other Metro programs and initiatives. It is important Metro maintains organizational alignment that ensures consistent implementation of best practices. This guide also provides a way to confirm that our engagement efforts resonate with the community, stakeholders, partners and Metro staff.

Through this update, Metro is identifying how to leverage existing partnerships to enhance the effectiveness of public engagement, establishing clear communication channels facilitate seamless collaboration and guidance to analyze recent public or committee engagement experiences to identify successful strategies and areas for improvement.

The Public Engagement Guide is a complement to the Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion, encourages community and stakeholder feedback, provides transparency in creating clear decision-making processes, and is a major component in how Metro advances its racial equity goals and meets the needs of the public served.

- Stakeholder groups and individuals involved in this update include:
 - External:
 - Public Engagement and Review Committee (PERC)
 - *(Includes community members, organizations and County government staff)*
 - Committee on Racial Equity (CORE)
 - General public through public comment period (Dec. 19, 2023 – Feb. 9, 2024).
 - Internal:
 - Community relations team. This is a community practice group that includes approximately 60 staff from across the agency.
 - Senior Leadership Team

- Department specific staff engagement:
 - Advisors team representative of departments across the agency to involve in the project along the way.
 - Staff presentations were offered to all departments. These are the departments that took the opportunity: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion leadership, Parks and Nature leadership and communications team, Communications all-staff, Planning Development and Transportation leadership, Portland's leadership, WPES leadership, Capital Asset Management leadership, Housing Department, Data and Resource Center, Tribal Affairs Policy Liaison.
- Legal Antecedents
 - *Resolution No. 13-4476, Public Engagement Guide adopted in 2013*
 - *Following Federal Highway Administration rules at 23 CFR 450.316(a), this guide serves as Metro's documented, "process for providing citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of public transportation employees, freight shippers, providers of freight transportation services, private providers of transportation, representatives of users of public transportation, representatives of users of pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities, representatives of the disabled, and other interested parties with reasonable opportunities to be involved in the metropolitan transportation planning process."*
 - *In accordance with the Federal Transit Administration circular, FTA C 4702.1B, this guide serves as Metro's documented, "outreach plan to engage minority and limited English proficient populations, as well as a summary of outreach efforts made since the last Title VI Program submission. A recipient's targeted public participation plan for minority populations may be part of efforts that extend more broadly to include other constituencies that are traditionally underserved, such as people with disabilities, low-income populations, and others."*

Federal requirements

- Code of Federal Regulations – Title 23 – Highways– Chapter I- Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation Subchapter E- Planning and research-, § 450.316 Interested parties, participation, and consultation.
- Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), public Law 112-141 as passed by Congress and signed by President Barack Obama on July 6, 2012.
- The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), (included in Appendix C for more information).
- Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, 23 USC 140, 23 CFR 200, and 49 CFR 21.
- Executive Order 12898 – Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-income Populations, signed Feb. 11, 1994 by President Bill Clinton.
- Executive Order 13166 – Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency, signed Aug. 11, 2000 by President Bill Clinton.
- Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, 42 USC 126 and 49 CFR 27.19.
- Age Discrimination Act of 1975, 42 USC. Sections 6101-6107.

Oregon state requirements

- Administrative Rules of the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development Commission, Oregon Statewide Goal 1 - Citizen Involvement, OAR 660.
- Oregon Revised Statutes Comprehensive Land Use Planning Coordination, ORS 197.175

- Oregon Revised Statutes Local Government Planning Coordination; Coordination Agreements, ORS 195.020 to 195.040.
- Oregon Revised Statutes Opportunity for Public Comment on New Fee or Fee Increase, ORS 294.160.
- Oregon Public Records Act, ORS 192.410 to 192.505.
- Oregon Public Meetings Law, ORS 192.610 to 192.690.

Metro requirements

- Metro Charter, Office of Citizen Involvement.
 - Resolution No. 97-2433, Metro Citizen Involvement Principles.
 - Ordinance No. 12-1275, Establishing the Metro Public Engagement Review Committee.
 - Resolution No.12-4375, Metro Diversity Action Plan.
 - Resolution No. 16-4708, Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion
 - Resolution No. 19-1431, the 2030 Regional Waste Plan
 - Resolution No. 23-5331, Metro's New Guiding Principles for Meaningful Public Engagement
 - Resolution No. 22-5293, Incorporating Inclusive and Plain Language Best Practices
- Anticipated Effects

Metro meets the Federal Highway Association's Plan of Corrective Actions #3 related to to public engagement practices for the Regional Transportation Plan and the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Plan.

Metro's work related to the following guiding policies is advanced with the implementation of the guide related to public involvement:

 - [*The Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity, Diversity and Inclusion*](#)
 - [*The 2030 Regional Waste Plan.*](#)
 - *The Strategic Recovery Framework.*
 - [*The 2018 Affordable Housing Bond*](#)
 - [*The 2019 Parks and Nature Parks Bond.*](#)
 - *The Regional Transportation Plan and the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program.*
 - Financial Implications (current year and ongoing)
 - *There are no direct budget impacts or additional personnel requests related to adopting the Public Engagement Guide in fiscal year 2024-2025. Implementation of guidance or implementation may impact 2025-2026 budgets for department plans and programs to adhere to best practices including stipends, partner contracts and barrier reduction. The changes overall could be minimal and involve a shift in budget line items, or implementation approach rather than a significant increase in budgets.*

BACKGROUND

The Public Engagement Guide is a flexible tool with information to enable meaningful connections and collaboration between individuals and Metro staff, the Metro Council, local governments, local businesses, and non-profit organizations. Metro is committed to advancing racial equity, climate resilience and building shared prosperity for our communities.


The Metro Council, staff, and Metro advisory committees use the guide along with other policies across the organization to plan and carry out engagement activities. The guide will strengthen Metro’s engagement practices. It will help ensure we are supporting policymakers to make informed decisions.

This guide supports Metro’s efforts toward restoration of past harms and accountability to the people we serve. It also offers an opportunity to create shared understanding about basic engagement terminology and best practices for inclusive and meaningful public engagement.

Desired outcomes

- ✓ People have accessible information and meaningful opportunities to participate in programs, services, or decision-making processes at Metro.
- ✓ Metro creates welcoming spaces that encourage civic leadership and connection through community-led activities and capacity building.
- ✓ Support the Metro Council in making decisions that are well-informed and responsive to the needs of the diverse communities and perspectives of greater Portland.

Project timeline and major steps:



Step 1: (COMPLETED) Adopt new Guiding Principles for Meaningful Public Engagement. Completed June 15, 2023. Summarize input received from engagement with Public Engagement Review Committee, Committee on Racial Equity, Metro Council and Metro staff to inform content for the future guide.

Step2: (COMPLETED) Create a draft outline of the future guide using past input from future users of the guide. Convene a group of advisors and collaborators to create, review or research for future content of the new guide. Engage with the Public Engagement Review Committee and Metro staff on the draft outline before creating content.

Step 3: (COMPLETED) Produce a new Public Engagement Guide, continue engagement, open a 45-day public comment period and get feedback on a draft guide by future users before adoption. In compliance with Corrective Action 3 and 23 CFR 450.316.

Step 4: (IN PROGRESS) Adopt a basic guide to meet minimal requirements of Federal Highway Association. Continue to develop additional tools and guidance to add to the appendix in the future. Begin to explore ways to enhance user experience through web-tools, engagements, or other processes.

Step 5: Complete the guide with additional guidance, tools or resources in appendix. This could result in minimal changes to the adopted version of the guide. This last step will be

followed by the development of a website meant to support users in navigating guidance without having to use one PDF document every time.

Keeping the guidance up to date: Once the 2024 Public Engagement Guide is adopted and completed with appendices, the guide will be ready to be used and is expected to be reviewed every three to five years starting in 2027. During the update review process user feedback and evaluation will be sought out to keep the document guidance updated and information for the public current.

ATTACHMENTS

- Exhibit A -Public Engagement Guide and partial Appendices.
 - Note that Appendix C, D and E – are significant pieces to meet federal requirements.

[For work session:]

- Is legislation required for Council action? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- If yes, is draft legislation attached? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- What other materials are you presenting today? [POWER POINT]

**Ordinance No. 24-1506 For the Purpose of Annexing to
the Metro District Approximately 2.02 Acres Located in
Wilsonville at the Northwest Corner of SW Frog Pond
Lane and SW Stafford Road 1**
Ordinances

Metro Council Meeting
Thursday, February 29, 2024

BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

FOR THE PURPOSE OF ANNEXING TO THE)	ORDINANCE NO. 24-1506
METRO DISTRICT BOUNDARY)	
APPROXIMATELY 2.02 ACRES LOCATED IN)	Introduced by Chief Operating Officer
WILSONVILLE AT THE NW CORNER OF SW)	Marissa Madrigal with the Concurrence of
FROG POND LN AND SW STAFFORD RD)	Council President Lynn Peterson

WHEREAS, Petras Homes, LLC has submitted a complete application for annexation of 2.02 acres of Wilsonville (“the territory”) to the Metro District; and

WHEREAS, the Metro Council added the territory to the urban growth boundary (UGB) by Ordinance No. 02-969B adopted on December 5, 2002; and

WHEREAS, Title 11 (Planning for New Urban Areas) of the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan requires annexation to the district prior to application of land use regulations intended to allow urbanization of the territory; and

WHEREAS, Metro has received consent to the annexation from the owners of the land in the territory; and

WHEREAS, the proposed annexation complies with Metro Code 3.09.070; and

WHEREAS, the Council held a public hearing on the proposed amendment on February 8, 2024; now, therefore,

THE METRO COUNCIL ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Metro District Boundary Map is hereby amended, as indicated in Exhibit A, attached and incorporated into this ordinance.
2. The proposed annexation meets the criteria in section 3.09.070 of the Metro Code, as demonstrated in the Staff Report dated January 22, 2024, attached and incorporated into this ordinance.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this 8th day of February 2024.


Lynn Peterson, Council President

Attest:




Approved as to form:

Connor Ayers, Recording Secretary


Carrie MacLaren, Metro Attorney



Research Center
600 NE Grand Ave
Portland, OR 97232-2736
(503) 797-1742
<http://www.oregonmetro.gov/drc>

-  Taxlots
-  Urban growth boundary
-  Metro district boundary

Proposal No. AN0823



The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's GIS. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors will be appreciated.

STAFF REPORT

IN CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 24-1506, FOR THE PURPOSE OF ANNEXING TO THE METRO BOUNDARY APPROXIMATELY 2.02 ACRES LOCATED IN WILSONVILLE AT THE NW CORNER OF SW FROG POND LN AND SW STAFFORD RD

Date: January 22, 2024
Department: Planning, Development & Research

Prepared by: Glen Hamburg
Associate Regional Planner

BACKGROUND

CASE: AN-0823, Annexation to Metro District Boundary

PETITIONER: Petras Homes, LLC
3673 SW Homesteader Rd, West Linn, OR 97068

PROPOSAL: The petitioner requests annexation of territory in Wilsonville to the Metro District Boundary.

LOCATION: The subject territory is a single tax lot located at the northwest corner of SW Frog Pond Ln and SW Stafford Rd, is approximately 2.02 acres in area, and can be seen in Attachment 1.

ZONING: The territory is zoned Residential Neighborhood (RN) by the City of Wilsonville.

The territory was added to the urban growth boundary (UGB) in 2002 and is part of the Frog Pond West Master Plan. The territory must be annexed into the Metro District for urbanization to occur.

APPLICABLE REVIEW CRITERIA

The criteria for an expedited annexation to the Metro District Boundary are contained in Metro Code (MC) Section 3.09.070.

3.09.070 Changes to Metro's Boundary

(E) The following criteria shall apply in lieu of the criteria set forth in subsection (d) of section 3.09.050. The Metro Council's final decision on a boundary change shall include findings and conclusions to demonstrate that:

- 1. The affected territory lies within the UGB;*

Staff Response:

The territory was brought into the UGB in 2002 through the Metro Council's adoption of Ordinance No. 02-969B. Therefore, the affected territory is within the UGB and the application meets the criteria of MC Subsection 3.09.070(E)(1).

2. *The territory is subject to measures that prevent urbanization until the territory is annexed to a city or to service districts that will provide necessary urban services; and*

Staff Response:

The subject territory was annexed to the City of Wilsonville by Ordinance No. 884 enacted by the Wilsonville City Council on January 4, 2024. Therefore, the application meets the criteria in MC Subsection 3.09.070(E)(2).

3. *The proposed change is consistent with any applicable cooperative or urban service agreements adopted pursuant to ORS Chapter 195 and any concept plan.*

Staff Response:

The subject territory is included in the Frog Pond West Master Plan (Master Plan) adopted by the Wilsonville City Council in 2017 for an area that is approximately one third of the area addressed in the Frog Pond Area Plan adopted in 2015. The Master Plan is a “supporting document” of the City’s adopted Comprehensive Plan. The Master Plan calls for urban residential development of the subject territory. Consistent with the Master Plan, the subject territory has a Comprehensive Plan Map designation and Zoning Map designation of Residential Neighborhood (RN). The proposed boundary change would allow for the prospective urban residential development of the subject territory. The subject territory is already within the UGB and the corporate limits of the City of Wilsonville; it is not in an urban reserve with a concept plan or in the land use jurisdiction of a county (i.e., Clackamas County), and urban services will be provided by the City of Wilsonville. The application meets the criteria in MC Subsection 3.09.070(E)(3).

ANALYSIS/INFORMATION

Known Opposition: There is no known opposition to this application.


Legal Antecedents: Metro Code 3.09.070 allows for annexation to the Metro District boundary.

Anticipated Effects: This amendment will add approximately 2.02 acres to the Metro District. The territory is currently within the UGB and approval of this request will allow for the urbanization of the territory to occur consistent with the Frog Pond West Master Plan.




Budget Impacts: The applicant was required to file an application fee to cover all costs of processing this annexation request. Therefore, there is no budget impact.

RECOMMENDED ACTION


Staff recommends adoption of Ordinance No. 24-1506.




Research Center
600 NE Grand Ave
Portland, OR 97232-2736
(503) 797-1742
<http://www.oregonmetro.gov/drc>

-  Taxlots
-  Urban growth boundary
-  Metro district boundary




Proposal No. AN0823




The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's GIS. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors will be appreciated.




Research Center
600 NE Grand Ave
Portland, OR 97232-2736
(503) 797-1742
<http://www.oregonmetro.gov/drc>

-  Taxlots
-  Urban growth boundary
-  Metro district boundary




Proposal No. AN0823




The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's GIS. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors will be appreciated.



Research Center
600 NE Grand Ave
Portland, OR 97232-2736
(503) 797-1742
<http://www.oregonmetro.gov/drc>

-  Taxlots
-  Urban growth boundary
-  Metro district boundary

Proposal No. AN0823



The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's GIS. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors will be appreciated.

**Ordinance No. 24-1507 For the Purpose of Annexing to
the Metro District Approximately 5.39 Acres Located in
Wilsonville on the South Side of SW Frog Pond Lane, as
well as a Portion of the SW Frog Pond Lane Right-Of-
Way, West of SW Stafford Road**

Ordinances

Metro Council Meeting
Thursday, February 29, 2024

BEFORE THE METRO COUNCIL

FOR THE PURPOSE OF ANNEXING TO THE)	ORDINANCE NO. 24-1507
METRO DISTRICT BOUNDARY)	
APPROXIMATELY 5.39 ACRES LOCATED IN)	Introduced by Chief Operating Officer
WILSONVILLE ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF SW)	Marissa Madrigal with the Concurrence of
FROG POND LN AND A PORTION OF THE SW)	Council President Lynn Peterson
FROG POND LN RIGHT-OF-WAY)	

WHEREAS, Sullivan Homes, LLC has submitted a complete application for annexation of 5.39 acres of Wilsonville (“the territory”) to the Metro District; and

WHEREAS, the Metro Council added the territory to the urban growth boundary (UGB) by Ordinance No. 02-969B adopted on December 5, 2002; and

WHEREAS, Title 11 (Planning for New Urban Areas) of the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan requires annexation to the district prior to application of land use regulations intended to allow urbanization of the territory; and

WHEREAS, Metro has received consent to the annexation from the owners of the land in the territory; and

WHEREAS, the proposed annexation complies with Metro Code 3.09.070; and

WHEREAS, the Council held a public hearing on the proposed amendment on February 8, 2024; now, therefore,

THE METRO COUNCIL ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Metro District Boundary Map is hereby amended, as indicated in Exhibit A, attached and incorporated into this ordinance.
2. The proposed annexation meets the criteria in section 3.09.070 of the Metro Code, as demonstrated in the Staff Report dated January 22, 2024, attached and incorporated into this ordinance.

ADOPTED by the Metro Council this 8th day of February 2024.


Lynn Peterson, Council President

Attest:




Approved as to form:

Connor Ayers, Recording Secretary

Carrie MacLaren, Metro Attorney



Research Center
600 NE Grand Ave
Portland, OR 97232-2736
(503) 797-1742
<http://www.oregonmetro.gov/drc>

-  Taxlots
-  Urban growth boundary
-  Metro district boundary

Proposal No. AN0923



1:5,000

0 500 1,000 Feet

The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's GIS. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors will be appreciated.

STAFF REPORT

IN CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 24-1507, FOR THE PURPOSE OF ANNEXING TO THE METRO BOUNDARY APPROXIMATELY 5.39 ACRES LOCATED IN WILSONVILLE ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF SW FROG POND LN, AS WELL AS A PORTION OF THE SW FROG POND LN RIGHT-OF-WAY, WEST OF SW STAFFORD RD

Date: January 22, 2024
Department: Planning, Development & Research

Prepared by: Glen Hamburg
Associate Regional Planner

BACKGROUND

CASE: AN-0923, Annexation to Metro District Boundary

PETITIONER: Sullivan Homes, LLC
5832 Firestone Court, San Jose, CA 95138

PROPOSAL: The petitioner requests annexation of territory in Wilsonville to the Metro District Boundary.

LOCATION: The subject territory, totaling approximately 5.39 acres in area, includes two tax lots south of SW Frog Pond Ln and a portion of the SW Frog Pond Ln right-of-way, and can be seen in Attachment 1.

ZONING: The territory is zoned Residential Neighborhood (RN) by the City of Wilsonville.

The territory was added to the urban growth boundary (UGB) in 2002 and is part of the Frog Pond West Master Plan. The territory must be annexed into the Metro District for urbanization to occur.

APPLICABLE REVIEW CRITERIA

The criteria for an expedited annexation to the Metro District Boundary are contained in Metro Code (MC) Section 3.09.070.

3.09.070 Changes to Metro's Boundary

(E) The following criteria shall apply in lieu of the criteria set forth in subsection (d) of section 3.09.050. The Metro Council's final decision on a boundary change shall include findings and conclusions to demonstrate that:

- 1. The affected territory lies within the UGB;*

Staff Response:

The territory was brought into the UGB in 2002 through the Metro Council's adoption of Ordinance No. 02-969B. Therefore, the affected territory is within the UGB and the application meets the criteria of MC Subsection 3.09.070(E)(1).

2. *The territory is subject to measures that prevent urbanization until the territory is annexed to a city or to service districts that will provide necessary urban services; and*

Staff Response:

The subject territory is proposed for annexation to the City of Wilsonville in City File No. ANNX23-0001 and for urban re-zoning in City File No. ZONE23-001. Urbanization cannot occur until both of these files are approved by the City. Therefore, the application meets the criteria in MC Subsection 3.09.070(E)(2).

3. *The proposed change is consistent with any applicable cooperative or urban service agreements adopted pursuant to ORS Chapter 195 and any concept plan.*

Staff Response:

The subject territory is included in the Frog Pond West Master Plan (Master Plan) adopted by the Wilsonville City Council in 2017 for an area that is approximately one third of the area addressed in the Frog Pond Area Plan adopted in 2015. The Master Plan is a “supporting document” of the City’s adopted Comprehensive Plan. The Master Plan calls for urban residential development of the subject territory. Consistent with the Master Plan, the subject territory has a Comprehensive Plan Map designation and a pending Zoning Map designation of Residential Neighborhood (RN). The proposed Metro District Boundary change would allow for the prospective urban residential development of the subject territory. The subject territory is already within the UGB and is pending annexation to the corporate limits of the City of Wilsonville; it is not in an urban reserve with a concept plan or in the land use jurisdiction of a county (i.e., Clackamas County), and urban services will, if annexed as proposed to the City of Wilsonville, be provided by the City. The application meets the criteria in MC Subsection 3.09.070(E)(3).

ANALYSIS/INFORMATION

Known Opposition: There is no known opposition to this application.

Legal Antecedents: Metro Code 3.09.070 allows for annexation to the Metro District boundary.

Anticipated Effects: This amendment will add approximately 5.39 acres to the Metro District. The territory is currently within the UGB and approval of this request will allow for the urbanization of the territory to occur consistent with the Frog Pond West Master Plan.

Budget Impacts: The applicant was required to file an application fee to cover all costs of processing this annexation request. Therefore, there is no budget impact.

RECOMMENDED ACTION


Staff recommends adoption of Ordinance No. 24-1507.

Proposal No. AN0923




3S1W12

Annexation to the Metro Service District

Clackamas County



Research Center
600 NE Grand Ave
Portland, OR 97232-2736
(503) 797-1742
<http://www.oregonmetro.gov/drc>

-  Taxlots
-  Urban growth boundary
-  Metro district boundary

Proposal No. AN0923



1:5,000
0 500 1,000 Feet

The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's GIS. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors will be appreciated.

Materials following this page were distributed at the meeting.



Metro

Public Engagement Guide

2024 project update

Metro Council Work Session

February 29, 2024



Metro Council touchpoints

2023

Spring

- ✓ *Reviewed* drafts of guiding principles

Summer

- ✓ *Feedback* at Council Work Session
- ✓ *Adopted* eight new Guiding Principles for meaningful Public Engagement
- ✓ *Visited* PERC - Councilor Simpson visits the Public Engagement Review Committee (PERC)

Winter

- ✓ *Briefing* project updates
- ✓ *Review* draft content for Public Engagement Guide

2024

Spring

- ✓ *Feedback* at council work session
- ☒ **Adopt core Public Engagement Guide**
- ☐ *Review and feedback* on appendices, tools and guidance
- ☐ *Feedback* during implementation on-going



Sections of the new guide

Public Engagement Guide 2024 update



Core Guide
(Adoption)

SECTION 1 - Introduction

SECTION 2 - Information for community
members, businesses, partners

SECTION 3 - Core guidance for staff

No adoption
required

APPENDIX

Tools | Resources

(Partial appendices included)



Racial equity framework and budget tool

Existing project planning tool

Step 1: Convene an Internal Stakeholder Team

Step 2: Set Racial Equity Goals and Outcomes

Step 3: Determine Most Impacted Communities of Color

Step 4: Engage with Most Impacted Communities

Step 5: Apply a Racial Equity Lens to Recommend Decision and Implementation

Step 6: Communicate Decision and Ensure Accountability

*Included in
Appendix C*





Pre-planning questions

- FUTURE COMMUNICATIONS: *What should people know about this? Will you need to do education about the topic? What languages are spoken in the project's geographic area?*
- AUDIENCES: *Who may be impacted by or interested in this project? Are there vulnerable populations in the geographic area of impact? Could this be of interest to Tribal governments? Which Metro council districts are impacted?*



Going further than the table

Audience maps and acknowledging the work doesn't end when the project ends



What we've heard - public comments

Public Engagement Guide, public comment period 2023-2024

Build trust through accountability

Improve awareness about Metro

Be transparent

Engage early, listen first

Avoid overburdening groups

Include impacted communities

Evaluation should include the community

Address barriers to participation to “level the playing field”

How can Metro support civic participation?

Offer trainings

- How to testify
- How government works
- budget setting

Ongoing outreach and relationship building

Easy to find information



What we've heard – expected changes to draft

Public Engagement Guide, update 2024

- Council feedback
- PERC feedback
- CODI feedback
- Staff feedback
- Federal Highway Administration feedback



Seeking adoption from Metro Council

- Adoption of content, noting few key changes
- Document is going through an accessibility and design review



Public Engagement Guide
2023 update

Questions?
Gloria.pinzon@oregonmetro.gov

oregonmetro.gov



What we've heard from future guide users

Public Engagement Guide, public comment period 2023-2024


"Communities
are not a
monolith"
- PERC member

"Evaluation should
include the
community"
- PERC member

"Ask the public first
what problems they
have..."
- Public comment

Who is "the
public"?
- CORE member

Share what
we've already
heard.
- Staff




Metro's new guiding principles for meaningful public engagement

Adopted June 15, 2023

1. Public participation is an essential part of **Metro's decision-making**.
2. **Transparency** about decision-making is fundamental to successful public service and includes communicating about project outcomes and the impact of community contributions to the process.
3. Meaningful public engagement processes apply equity, diversity and inclusion strategies and tools to help identify and **address the needs** of impacted, underserved, and historically excluded communities.
4. **Engagement and communications are accessible**, informative, timely and inclusive of a rich diversity of lived experiences and perspectives.
5. Collaboration and **capacity-building** efforts with community-based organizations, programs, initiatives and individuals that are impacted, underserved, or historically excluded are essential to address regional issues equitably.
6. Intergovernmental **collaboration**, coordination, and consultation are essential to address regional issues holistically and efficiently.
7. **Evaluation** of engagement activities during and after a project encourages responsiveness, growth and process improvement.
8. **Adaptive project timelines** allow for meaningful engagement with the most impacted audiences early and throughout the project.

Project Timeline

Public Engagement Guide, update 2024



Project Phases

Phase I Adopt Core Guidance

Phase II Add supplemental tools, resources, develop website



Public Engagement Guide Update 2024



| Members of the public



| Metro staff



| Metro Council



Meaningful engagement matters

Public Engagement Guide, update 2024



Gloria Pinzon volunteering with Momentum Alliance and Metro staff in 2015

**Our words matter.
Our actions matter
more.**

Meaningful public engagement has the power to create lasting benefits to present and future generations.

