

# HOFFMAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY OF OREGON

SEP 1 7 Rech

### METRO HEADQUARTERS

### PROJECT MEETING MINUTES

Our Job No. 9214

Meeting No:

Date:

Location:

Attendees:

39

September 15, 1992 HCCO Field Office

Berit Stevenson Glenn Taylor

Maurice Neyman Pat Merkle Bob Thompson

Paul Thimm
Cade Lawrence
Bill Stotts
Don Nail
Carol Reed

Metro

Metro Metro

Metro

Thompson Vaivoda & Associates Thompson Vaivoda & Associates Hoffman Construction Company Hoffman Construction Company Hoffman Construction Company

Mayor Reed

#### 39-1-0 ACTION ITEMS

Metro A.C. Unit Location: HCCO questioned how the existing Metro A.C. unit should be anchored at the roof. Metro and TVA will review the existing unit present installation and come up with a design.

Action: Metro/TVA Date: 9/22/92

Plaza Planter Drains & Soil: Mayor Reed questioned whether the planters will drain properly. TVA, HCCO and Mayor Reed will review the drainage issues following the meeting. Metro also proposed using a recycled soil mix at the planters, if possible. Mayor Reed voiced concern about the recycled soil being compatible with the planting, drainage rock and

waterproofing. Metro will issue a proposal and sample to TVA on the proposed recycled soils from which Mayor Reed can run compatibility tests.

Action: M

Metro/Mayor Reed

Date:

9/22/92

USE BROKEN GLASS BEHIND RETAINING WALLS

**39-1-3** 

Parking Garage Upper Peck: Metro explained they had found the proposed Conideck system for the parking garage top deck had only been installed for several weeks at the latest project references. Metro requested proposals using 3M or Sonneborn products. HCCO will obtain the pricing.

Action:

HCCO

PRICE COMING TODAY FROM PARDUE

Date: 9/22/92

### 39-2-0 RESOLVED ITEMS

22-2-3

1% Art Selection: Metro explained they had received the art submissions and the committee is in the process of making a selection. Metro explained one of the favored art options would be special brick pavers at the Plaza level. TVA and HCCO voiced concern about how the art brick work would interface with the base brick at the Plaza. Metro and TVA will continue to expedite the artwork selection.

Action: Metro/TVA Date: 5/26/92

See previous meeting minutes for prior status.

As of September 1, 1992: A meeting was held with the mirror artist and the artist will get TVA a layout drawing for necessary backing. TVA will expedite so backing can be incorporated. Metro will also expedite a mock-up from the plaza artist so the actual construction sequence can be finalized.

As of September 8, 1992: TVA explained most of the mirrors are located on the paneling so they do not believe any backing is required. TVA will coordinate anchorage during the shop

drawing phase. HCCO received the Plaza artist layout drawings and will forward to miscellaneous parties for review. The Plaza artist is still working on a tile mock-up. TVA will review the concrete mock-up by HCCO.

As of September 15, 1992: TVA reviewed HCCO's mockup of the plaza exposed aggregate sample and requested a new sample with pea gravel aggregate. HCCO will put together a new concrete sample with pea gravel. See item 36-3-4 for tracking of this issue.

Parking Garage Walls: HCCO requested that Metro review how they desired the parking garage shear walls to be sequenced with the actual car parking. Metro will review the issue with the garage manager and get back with HCCO.

Action: Metro Date: 9/1/92

As of September 1, 1992: TVA tabled a sketch of proposed blockouts in the parking garage walls to allow for sight lines. TVA will have KPFF review how big the openings can be without revising the structural design. HCCO will start enclosures and excavation on September 8, 1992.

As of September 8, 1992: TVA has KPFF reviewing the opening sizes and they will get KPFF's design fee submitted.

As of September 15, 1992: Metro approved KPFF's fee of \$950 and KPFF is in the process of redesigning the walls. HCCO will track the revisions through the submittal process.

38-2-2 Coffered Ceiling: HCCO questioned Metro on whether they should proceed with the coffered ceiling design since the cost would be well over \$70,000. TVA explained they are looking at reducing the quantity of coffered columns to reduce costs. HCCO again emphasized there is also a (3) week schedule issue which must be reviewed. TVA will expedite their revised design.

Action: TVA
Date: 9/10/92

As of September 15, 1992: Metro decided to delete the coffered column areas of the ceiling. TVA will issue an ASI to show the coffered columns deleted and Metro will issue a directive letter.

38-2-3 Medallion Reuse: Metro questioned TVA on where the small salvaged medallions were to be used in the building. TVA will review the present design for a reasonable area to use the medallions. HCCO warned the exterior is being buttoned up so they had better expedite their design work.

Action: TVA
Date: 9/15/92

As of September 15, 1992: TVA tabled a proposed layout for utilizing the existing medallions at the building. Metro gave preliminary approval so TVA will proceed with final drawings which HCCO could work from.

#### 39-3-0 UNRESOLVED ITEMS

2-3-5 PP&L Energy Analysis: Metro reported they have a meeting with Glumac January 10, 1992 at 10:00 at Glumac's office to review the PP&L energy analysis issues. Any parties interested will attend the meeting.

Action: Metro

Date: January 10, 1992

See previous meeting minutes for prior status.

As of September 1, 1992: HCCO and Metro met with the garage manager and decided the lighting work would need to be completed on weekends and by floor. HCCO will have Grasle update their pricing to include the weekend work sequence. HCCO explained there will need to be a temporary service at some time to allow the building power switchgear and still keep the garage lights on.

As of September 8, 1992: HCCO will get Metro a copy of the

As of September 8, 1992: HCCO will get Metro a copy of the latest pricing from Grasle for the parking garage lighting. HCCO will also proceed with a change order for the portions of the energy study which are already approved. As of September 15, 1992: Same as above.

Paging System: HCCO questioned the status of a paging system for the project. Metro explained they do want a paging system included in the T.I. design. TVA will review what is necessary to provide a paging system.

Action: TVA
Date: 3/10/92

See previous meeting minutes for prior status.

As of September 1, 1992: HCCO explained Cliff Sroka was presently reviewing the Council Chamber's mechanical system versus the expected N.C. rating. HCCO expects the sound analysis to be complete by September 3, 1992. From the study, all parties will decide which measures above must be implemented.

As of September 8, 1992: HCCO received the sound study by

Cliff Sroka and forwarded to all parties for review. Glumac issued a memo on additional mechanical sound traps, isolation, etc., which would respond to the sound study. HCCO has it being priced. Metro informed all parties there is a followup meeting with ACSI (ALTA) to review paging and A/V with the Metro user groups. The meeting will be at Metro's office. As of September 15, 1992: The meeting with ACSI was held and Metro explained they had decided on the final construction design. ASCI will get HCCO final construction drawings for the council chamber A/V in 3-4 weeks (107/92). ACSI will contact Cliff Sroka about some questions he had on the noise study issued by Cliff Sroka.

CONDUIT TO MEDIA NEEDS TO BE PESOLVED

29-3-1 Police Space Planning: Metro explained they are presently working on having a local police department lease a portion of the 5,000 s.f. of tenant space at the 1st floor level. Metro explained they would like TVA and HCCO to do the work if the lease proposal works out.

Action: Metro Date: 7/14/92

RESOLUED

See previous meeting minutes for prior status.

As of September 1, 1992: Metro approved TVA's design fees and TVA will finalize police drawings by September 12, 1992.

Metro requested TVA incorporate some signage on the building exterior in their final design. HCCO will submit a price after they receive the final design documents.

As of September 8, 1992: TVA is working on the final construction drawings and are scheduled to issue to HCCO in 2 weeks.

As of September 15, 1992: TVA is still on schedule to issue "For Construction" drawings by 9/22/92. Metro is to get with Glumac on adding a separate exhaust system for the breakroom in case smokers use the room.

32-3-2 Spire Lighting: TVA explained they are still looking at options to light the tower spires and delete the large spot lights. TVA will continue to push on Glumac for lighting options.

Action: TVA
Date: 8/4/92

See previous meeting minutes for prior status.

As of September 1, 1992: TVA explained that Glumac will have the spire lighting drawings issued by this afternoon.

As of September 8, 1992: Same as above.

As of September 15, 1992: TVA tabled a preliminary light layout for the revised tower spire lighting which HCCO approved. TVA will finalize the design and issue an ASI by 9/17/92.

Daycare Range Venting: Metro questioned whether the present Daycare kitchen will allow full cooking processes as they had heard the State office Daycare kitchen could not have full cooking. TVA will check with Glumac on the Daycare kitchen capabilities and report back.

Action: TVA
Date: 8/11/92

See previous meeting minutes for prior status.

As of September 1, 1992: Metro issued drawings to the Health Department and received a form/list of design and construction issues which must be addressed. TVA will review the document and revise the design to meet any of their requirements. Metro also requested the range be revised to a commercial quality range. TVA will get the range revised.

As of September 8, 1992: TVA will get HCCO revised specifications on the Daycare range and other equipment so HCCO can rebid. TVA will also revised the documents to indicate action of the 2 hour duct enclosure, cleanouts, etc. As of September 15, 1992: TVA is working up a revised Daycare kitchen layout and will get the new layout to Glumac by 9/18/92.

ASI 25 NEEDS PENISION
155UED NEXT THES. 9/29/92

Date:

Site Sidewalk Bump: Metro voiced concern that kpff had 33-3-2 allowed the City to add a road modification at the corner of 7th and Lloyd which would increase the site costs. Metro explained they had never been informed that the City was requiring the modification. TVA will check with the City to determine exactly why the road revision was occurring. Action: TVA 8/7/92

> See previous meeting minutes for prior status. As of September 1, 1992: HCCO explained they had talked with Ron Kleinschmidt (City) but he was holding firm on having the bump. Ron recommended HCCO review further with Kittleson so HCCO is going to proceed in that direction. HCCO emphasized again they felt the revisions at the corner of Grand and Lloyd had been their coverage of costs since the signal was not required.

As of September 8, 1992: Same as above. As of September 15, 1992: HCCO committed to getting the overall site and parking garage design costs to Metro by 9/18/92. HCCO and Metro will discuss the roadway bump costs at that time.

T.I. Bid Analysis: HCCO explained they did receive the T.I. 34-3-2 bids on 8/6/92 and are in the process of analyzing the bids to come up with the correct low bidders. HCCO did report the initial bid review indicated the T.I. work is substantially over budget. HCCO will attempt to have bid and budget information ready for review by next week.

> **HCCO** Action: 8/18/92 Date:

As of September 1, 1992: All parties will meet at 9:30 a.m. this morning to review HCCO's latest breakdown. As of September 8, 1992: Metro approved awarding the mechanical and plumbing scope of work. See the attached HCCO breakdown of costs reviewed at the meeting following this project meeting. See Attachment #2. As of September 15, 1992: HCCO tabled a new T.I. cost breakdown which reduced T.I. costs another \$42,000 to a total for approximately \$2,687,000. See Attachment, Figure No. 2. Metro will get HCCO the list of casework which should be

charged against the furniture budget.

Youryen

Reception Desk Design: HCCO questioned the status of the reception desk design by TVA. TVA explained they are working on it this week and hope to have it issued by next week. HCCO informed TVA that the reception desk design is showing negative on the schedule.

Action: TVA
Date: 8/18/92

As of September 1, 1992: TVA tabled a preliminary design and HCCO will forward to the casework low bidder for pricing. TVA committed to having the Council Chamber's main desk issued to HCCO for pricing by September 11, 1992. HCCO explained how the Syndicrete supplier was not cooperating with the low casework bidder to get pricing. Metro will contact Syndicrete about the issue. Metro also requested that TVA check the reception desk countertop height to insure it meets the ADA requirements.

As of September 8, 1992: TVA reviewed the reception desk height against the new ADA requirements and will finalize the design with a section lowered. HCCO submitted a budget price to Metro which showed the costs at approximately \$23,000. TVA committed to issuing the final reception desk and council chambers' desk by 9/11/92.

As of September 15, 1992: TVA explained they will have the reception desk final drawings and council chambers preliminary drawing ready by this afternoon. HCCO requested they expedite the drawings so buyout could begin.

35-3-3 Blind Selection: HCCO questioned the status of TVA and Metro reviewing options for the window blinds. TVA will get Metro some window blind samples they were looking at.

Action: HCCO
Date: 8/25/92

As of September 1, 1992: TVA and Metro explained they have another window blind option they would like priced. TVA will get the information to HCCO for pricing.

As of September 8, 1992: HCCO received the additional window

As of September 8, 1992: HCCO received the additional window blind information and has the low window blind bidder pricing. As of September 15, 1992: HCCO received the new pricing and sent to Metro for review. TVA explained they are having Peter Hall price and supply new samples. HCCO explained they will rebid the blinds if Metro requests once the blind selection is made.

T.I. Lighting: Glumac explained the present design utilizes three lamp fixtures which can have the middle light fixtures turned off. Metro will get with PP&L to discuss affects to the energy study since the three lamp fixtures will use more than one watt/s.f. but the three lamp fixture is necessary to meet the RFP footcandle requirements. Glumac will review how many light fixtures should be required as part of the core and shell vs. T.I. area.

Action: Metro/Glumac

Date: 9/1/92

As of September 1, 1992: Metro has PP&L reviewing the present lighting design to determine whether they can finance the lighting as designed. Metro will pursue the issue with PP&L. As of September 8, 1992: Same as above. TVA is going to send a drawing over with a new proposed lighting layout which would reduce the wattage per square foot.

As of September 15, 1992: HCCO informed all parties the minimum budget light credit due to TVA's revised layout would be \$25,000. A meeting with HCCO, Grasle, Glumac and TVA was set to occur on 9/17/92 at 11:00 to review how best to revise the light layout.

MEETING @ GLUMAC WED 9/22/92 @ 1:00 AM

36-3-4 Plaza Concrete Mockup: Metro explained the Plaza area artist would like to see a sample of the Plaza exposed aggregate finish and color. HCCO will get a sample together but warned the color could vary due to the concrete being supplied by Lone Star.

Action: HCCO
Date: 9/1/92

As of September 1, 1992: TVA will talk to Lone Star about the concrete color which could be expected. HCCO will pour a 2'x2' concrete sample by September 2, 1992 for TVA's review. As of September 8, 1992: HCCO explained they have a Plaza concrete mock-up ready for TVA review. TVA will review following the meeting.

As of September 15, 1992: TVA reviewed and rejected the exposed aggregate sample. HCCO will put together a new mock-up with pea gravel.

37-3-3 Security Meeting: Metro requested a meeting with the security subcontractor to review the latest tenant improvement design information and the Fire/Life/Safety issues. HCCO will contact Selectron and set-up a meeting for all parties.

Action: HCCO

Date: September 8, 1992

As of September 8, 1992: The follow-up security meeting was set to occur at 9:45 following this meeting.

As of September 15, 1992: A meeting with Selectron, Metro, TVA and HCCO was held last week and Metro requested pricing for a double card reader system, additional door monitoring and additional panic buttons. HCCO received the additional pricing and sent to Metro for review.

38-3-1 Site Work Meeting: All parties agreed a meeting should be held with the City (Ron Kleinschmidt) to review the site concrete joint layout and the site lighting issues. HCCO will get a meeting set up for later this week.

Action: HCCO
Date: 9/11/92

As of September 15, 1992: A meeting with the City was setup to occur at 9:30 this morning to review the street design and construction sequence.

### 39-4-0 QUALITY/SAFETY ITEMS

- 39-4-1 Quality Issues (Window Alignment): No quality issues were brought up at the meeting this week.
- 39-4-2 Safety Manhours: HCCO reported they had presently worked approximately 50,000 manhours without a lost time accident as of 8/31/92.

### 39-5-0 INFORMATION ITEMS

39-5-1 Next Meeting: The next Project Review meeting is scheduled for September 22, 1992 at 8:00 a.m. at the jobsite office.

39-5-2 Schedule: See attachment, Fig. #1 for a copy of the HCCO three-week schedule.

Submitted by:

Cade Lawrence

Assistant Operations Manager

CL:gac

Attachments

cc: Attendees
Wayne Drinkward, HCCO
Don Nail, HCCO

LIGHTING LAYOUT MEETING WED @ 1:00

Hoffman Construction Job Short Interval Schedule METRO HEADQUARTERS		•••	•••	•••	SEP	TEM	BER	• • • •		***	***	**		***			111	: 2 2 :				BER	Page	
MOITITI DECOURT TEAT	Subcont.	14	_		17		19									27	28	29	30	1				
FRP ROOF TERRACE CURBS	HSI	2	=	X		=			•	=	=	=	=	=									FINISH CURB IN	
WYGGI DUMBULLER.	HSI			X	X	X				X													FOR NEW STEEL	BEAMS
	HSI																							
PREP FOR GARAGE WALLS	HSI .	χ	X						X	X	X	X	X	•			X	X	X	X		X		
AT TRANSFORMER PAD	HSI		X																					
FRP STEPS AT LOADING DOCK AND STAIR #4			X	X	X	X		.•				X	X											
INSTALL ELEVATOR. 4 AND 5		χ	X	X								X	y	l l	٠									
DEMO EXISTING FREIGHT ELEVATOR	SOUND	X	X	X																				
MODIFY SPRINKLER SYSTEM	BASIC FIRE	X	X														X	X	X	X	ζ	X	INTERMITTENT	
INSTALL WEST ELEVATION BRICK THEN NORTH ELEVATION	ON																X	X	X	}	ζ	X	WAITING ON ST	RUCTURAL S
FAB AND INSTALL DEAD WIND LOAD SUPPORTS		X	X	X						X													WEST ELEVATION	
INSTALL FRAMES AND GLAZE WINDOWS EAST ELEVATION	HARMON	X	X	X																				
INSTALL SOUTH ELEVATION CURTAINWALL	HARMON			X	X	X																		NocKup
FIREPROOFING	PERFORMANCE																						INTERMITTENT	
SCREENWALL AND DRYVIT									X	X	 X	: :	· ·	 X			X	X	 X		X	X		
ELECTRICAL ROUGHIN	JWP Grasle	X	X	X	X	X	) )		X	X	X		ζ :	X			X	X	X		X	X	MISC	
PLUMBING ROUGHIN		X	 X	X	 X	X			х	 X	X		 X	 X			X	X	X		 X	X	2ND AND 3RD	FLOOR

Hoffman Construction Job # 9214 **OCTOBER** Short Interval Schedule Page 1 SEPTEMBER METRO HEADQUARTERS ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION Subcont. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 1 2 INSTALL DUCT INSTALL STEEL FOR CARR WAITING ON DECKING X X X X XROTUNDA AND DECKING CRANE ON 9/14 INSTALL STAIR #3 STEEL CARR INSTALL STRUCTURAL INCLUDES SLAB EXTENSION SKIN SUPPORTS/AWNINGS CARR X X X BRACKETS AT WEST SIDE X X X X X X X X INSTALL STRUCTURAL STEEL CARR NORTH ELEVATION INSTALL TRELLIS ON MAIN CARR ROOF ERECT STRUCTURAL STEEL AT MAIN ENTRY CARR E.I.F.S. SCREEN WALLS CARR X X X X XINSTALL BEAMS AT ROOF CARR AND FLOOR SLAB OPENINGS INSTALL INSULATION AND ROOFING BUCKAROO CRITICAL INSTALL PLATES AT ROOF CARR X X X X XJOINTS SITE CONCRETE GOLDIE GRADING AND PAVING GENTLE EXTERIOR WINDOW AND HARMON PARDUE BRICK CAULKING

A Hackment, Fig. #2

# HOFFMAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

NEW

ORIGINAL

			NEW	0.010111111	.a. u. 074/
BUILDING: METRO HEADQUARTERS	•	T.I. BASE	76,345 SF	54,550 SI	
LOCATION: PORTLAND, OR	1	DAYCARE S.F.	6,745 SF	4,035 S	00/4//07
ARCHITECT: TVA ARCH.		FITNESS	2,425 SF	800 S	
SUBJECT: TENANT AREA BID SUMMAR	RY	ANNEX	3,600 SF	3,600 S	F
		TOTAL	89,115 SF	62,985 S	F 41.49%INCREASE
		TENANT AF	EA II	BUDGET	I MISC.
	HCCO 4/15/92	LOW BIDDE	11	VARIANCE	·
ACCT DESCRIPTION OF WORK	ESTIMATE	COM BIDDE			
018 Prem Overtime	· I	ALLOWANCE	7777	\$0	!
026 Plans & Prints	i i	ALLOWANCE	???? []	\$0	!
030 Safety	į į	ALLOWANCE	????	\$0	!
042 Temp. Sanitation		ALLOWANCE	\$15,000	\$0	!
052 Power Bills		ALLOWANCE	???? ]]	\$0	!
054 Water Bills	į l	ALLOWANCE	????	\$0	<u> </u>
060 Eq Rent Hoffman	į 1	ALLOWANCE	????	\$0   \$0	1
061 Eq Rent Others	į į	ALLOWANCE	7777	\$0	} i
062 Concrete Pumping	1	ALLOWANCE	\$1,000	(\$1,000)   \$0	i i
063 Gas, Oil, Maint.		ALLOWANCE	????	\$0	i
070 Test & Samples	1	METRO	\$0	\$0	i i
075 Arch./Engr. Fees			e44 250 H	\$0	il i
090 Permits & Fees		ALLOWANCE	\$11,250	\$0	i i
093 Bonds For Subs	•	ALLOWANCE	\$10,000	(\$3,500)	<b>11</b>
390 Buy Conc. Materials	1	HOFFMAN	\$3,500    \$20,250	\$0	: :
590 Final Clean Up	\$20,250			(\$15,000)	: :
706 Demolition	1	HOFFMAN	\$15,000	(\$2,000)	: i
720 Reinforcement Steel	l I	PRECISION	\$2,000	(\$5,400)	: i
723 Concrete (HSI Work)		HOFFMAN	\$5,400    \$0	\$136,487	THE OVERALL BID WAS \$145,622
725 Struct. Stl/Misc. Iron	\$136,487	CARR(F & I)	\$0    \$0		INCLDED W/ DRYWALL
Low Partition Steel	!!	CARR(F & I)	\$76,974	(\$76,974)	::
Decorative Guardrail	[]	CARR(F & I)	\$5,009	(\$5,009)	::
Terrace Guardrail	Į.	CARR(F & I)	\$20,429	(\$20,429)	i i
Stair #6 Work		CARR(F & I)	\$2,276	(\$2,276)	
Counter Brackets	!!	CARR(F)  CARR(F & I)	\$6,678	(\$6,678)	
Tank Framing	!!	CARR(F & 1)	\$3,716	(\$3,716)	· · ·
Council Chambers Steel	<u> </u>	CARR(F & I)	\$8,233	(\$8,233)	
Stair 5 Rails		CARR(F & I)	\$366	(\$366)	]
Ramp Handrail a 3/9	<u> </u>	CARR(F & I)	\$0 jj	\$0	DELETED BY ADDENDA #1
Decorative Tube Steel	!!	CARR(F)	\$9,500	(\$9,500)	DELETE IF FRMS/RELITES ARE H.M.
Relite Steel Plate	!!	CARR(F & I)	\$5,602	(\$5,602)	]]
O/H Door Support	11	WEST. PART.	W/ DRYWALL		
750 Fireproof/EIFS	    \$222,321	WEST. PART.	\$309,520	(\$87,199)	1
755 Drywall/Insul.	11 \$222,321	WEST. PART.	\$0	\$0	SHIFTED TO FURNITURE (\$35,076)
Low Partition Drywall	11	WEST. PART.	\$0	\$0	SHIFTED TO FURNITURE (\$5,480)
Low Partition Tube Stl	11	WEST. PART.	\$14,629	(\$14,629)	DELETED REVEALS EXCEPT & SPINE
Reveals a Full Ht Walls		WEST. PART.	\$0		
Use Domtar Gypboard	    •43 257	REICHLE	\$85,145	(\$21,888)	)
760 Painting Recycled Paint Alt.	11 305,251	REICHLE	SEE LETTER	\$0	
		INNOV. WATER	\$6,438	(\$6,438)	APPROVED AS ITEM 4
765 Deck Coating/Roofing 770 Genl Sh Metal	H	ALLOWANCE	\$7,000	(\$7,000)	
770 Gent Sn Metat 775 Windows & Glass	\$40,068	HARMON	\$27,376		
775 Windows & Glass 777 Caulk/Sealant		ALLOWANCE	\$5,000	\$0	11
785 Hollow Metal	11	i	ļ	\$0	
Furnish Frames/Doors	\$86,209	D & D	\$6,824	•	NAWARDED ON 9/9/92
Install Doors/Hdwre	li	GIBSON	\$8,020	(\$8,020	)

## HOFFMAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

			NEW	ORIGINAL	
BUILDING: METRO HEADQUARTERS		T.I. BASE	76,345 S		
LOCATION: PORTLAND, OR		DAYCARE S.F.	6,745 S	F 4,035 S	
ARCHITECT: TVA ARCH.		FITNESS	2,425 S	F 800 S	SF DATE: 09/14/92
SUBJECT: TENANT AREA BID SUMMA	ARY	ANNEX	3,600 S	F 3,600 S	SF .
		TOTAL	89,115 S	F 62,985 S	SF 41.49%INCREASE
	HCCO 4/15/92	TENANT /	AREA	BUDGET	MISC.
ACCT DESCRIPTION OF WORK	ESTIMATE	LOW BIDE	DER j	VARIANCE	REMARKS
ACCI DESCRIPTION OF WORK					
H.M. In Lieu Of Wood(F)	11	D & D	\$54,611	(\$54,611)	AWARDED ON 9/9/92
H.M. In Lieu Of Wood(I)		W. PARTITIONS	\$12,572	(\$12,572)	
800 Tile Work	ii	i	İ	\$0	l1
T.I. Ceramic Tile	\$0	ROEDEL TILE	\$7,500	(\$7,500)	APPROVED AS ITEM ?
Recycled Tile Prem(GTE)	! !	ROEDEL TILE	\$1,548		METRO APPROVED GTE TILE
·	!!	ROEDEL TILE	>		NOT SELECTED BY METRO
Recycle Tile Prem(Traff Slate Tile		PERFORMANCE	\$20,240		APPROVED AS ITEM ?
		LANDRYS	SEE BELOW	\$5,062	::
803 Resilient Floor	11 \$169,285	:	\$176,485	'	GET A LABOR/MAT'L BREAKDOWN
805 Carpeting/Base (28 0Z)	#107,203	LANDRYS	(\$8,500)		: :
Go to "Doral" 28 oz		I	W/ DRYWALL	\$39,421	::
806 Acoustical	\$39,421 	  W. PARTITION	\$13,342		SEE TOTAL COST BREAKDOWN
Coffered Clg. Premium	[]	W. PARTITION	\$19,938	:	I I
Room 284 & 384 Ceiling	!!	!	\$22,232		::
Council Chambers Clg	!!	W. PARTITION	\$10,440		11
Tower Ceiling Work	!!	W. PARTITION	\$10,440		DELETED BY ADDENDA #1 (\$4,309)
Spine Tube Steel Work	[]	W. PARTITION			APPROVED AS ITEM 1
808 Finish Hdwre	\$33,759	CHOMM	\$26,950	\$0,007	::
809 Millwork/Casework	!!		4247 7/7	(\$84,325)	11
Basic T.I. Work	\$129,038		\$213,363		•
· Reception Desk		DESCH	\$22,000		11
Council Chamber Desk	\$23,400	•	\$42,000		::
Council Chambers Doors	11	DESCH	\$11,081	::	
Low Wall Face Paneling	11	DESCH	\$0	!!	METRO APPROVED \$12,987 DELETION
Delete Wood Door Frames	11	DESCH	(\$38,000)		11
Delete Wood Relites	11	DESCH	(\$65,000)		II II DEVELOPE TO UNI DEVELOPE
Finishing of Millwork		DESCH	\$23,423	• •	67% OF \$34960 DUE TO H.M. REV.
Recycled Mat'l Alt.	11	1	????	\$0	!!
810 Specialties	\$64,364	1		\$64,364	!!
Residential Equipment	\$0	MISC. BIDS	\$8,737	(\$8,737)	
Folding Partitions	\$12,000	INT. TECH.	\$6,591	!!	APPROVED AS ITEM 2
817 O/H Security Grilles	jj \$0	INT. TECH.	\$12,336		APPROVED AS ITEM 3
837 Window Blinds Upgrade	11	1	\$0	11 \$0	11
860 Mechanical	\$231,000	ĺ		\$231,000	
Plumbing	ii	PEN-NOR	\$71,530		MCKINSTRY BID \$60,377
HVAC	ii	MCKINSTRY	\$149,290	(\$149,290)	
865 Fire Protection	\$48,750	BASIC FIRE	\$73,386	(\$24,636)	FACTORY MUTUAL PREMIUM
Factory Mutual Premium		BASIC FIRE	\$15,031	(\$15,031)	) <b>  </b>
870 Electrical	\$230,250	•	\$404,880	(\$174,630)	WAITING FOR GLUMAC ANALYSIS
871 Site Elec.	11	i	W/ PLAZA	11	•••
872 Data/Phone Work	ii	i	SEE REMARKS	\$0	\$72,680 COCHRAN BID
	H	i	\$0	jj \$0	1
873 Security	\$25,000	METRO	\$0	\$25,000	ii I
874 Audio/Visual/Paging	\$231,000			!!	(\$84,866)VARIANCE TOTAL
900 Daycare Allowance	SEE ABOVE		\$7,460	!!	ojj i
Skylight	SEE ABOVE		\$3,343	• •	::
Skylight Steel	SEE ABOVE		\$10,538		
Carpet	• •	i	\$6,700		
Resilient Flooring	SEE ABOVE	ı	20,.00	11	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

## HOFFMAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

BUILDING: METRO HEADQUARTERS . LOCATION: PORTLAND, OR ARCHITECT: TVA ARCH. SUBJECT: TENANT AREA BID SUMMARY

. .

NEW ORIGINAL
T.I. BASE 76,345 SF 54,550 SF
DAYCARE S.F. 6,745 SF 4,035 SF
FITNESS 2,425 SF 800 SF
ANNEX 3,600 SF 3,600 SF

JOB NO. 9214
ESTIMATOR:CLL
DATE: 09/14/92

SUBJECT: TENANT AREA BID SUMMAR	Y	ANNEX	3,600	31	3,000 31	
		TOTAL	89,115	SF	62,985 SF	41.49%INCREASE
		TOURNE !	INCA	 	BUDGET	MISC.
	HCCO 4/15/92				VARIANCE	REMARKS
ACCT DESCRIPTION OF WORK	ESTIMATE	LOW BIDE	/EK 	11		
	SEE AROVE	W. PARTITIONS	\$48,510	11	(\$48,510)	
Drywall	SEE ABOVE	W. PARTITIONS	\$4,704	II	(\$4,704)	
Skylight Drywall    Painting	SEE ABOVE		\$4,809	H	(\$4,809)	
Paint Mural		ALLOWANCE	\$5,000	11	(\$5,000)	
Casework	SEE ABOVE	DESCH	\$69,413	11	(\$69,413)	
Specialties	SEE ABOVE		\$14,517	11	(\$14,517)	•
Mechanical(HVAC)	SEE ABOVE		\$49,144	11	(\$49,144)	
Mechanical(Plumbing)	SEE ABOVE		\$39,534	11		MCKINSTRY BID \$51984
Fire Protection		BASIC FIRE	\$8,627	11	(\$8,627)	
Factory Mutual Prem.		BASIC FIRE	\$1,767	Ш	(\$1,767)	<del>-</del>
Electrical		GRASLE	\$41,800	11	(\$41,800)	
201 Tower Tank Allowance	\$30,000	i	W/ PAINTING	11	\$30,000	
• I	\$0	i	\$0	11	\$0	TOTA
004 Paging System	\$0	\$75,780	< TOTAL	11	\$0	(\$75,780)VARIANCE TOTA
Carpeting		LANDRYS	\$2,801	11	(\$2,801)	
Gym Flooring		LANDRYS	\$9,588	11	(\$9,588)	DOOR DESCRIPTION
Recycled Gym Floor Alt.		LANDRYS	(\$5,748)	11		METRO APPRVD RECYCLED PRODUCT
Drywall	i	W. PARTITION	\$3,121	11	(\$3,121)	<u> </u>
Painting	i	REICHLE	\$1,632	11	(\$1,632)	DARTITIONS
Toilet Partitions	i	W.H. CRESS	\$1,315	-11	(\$1,315)	METRO TOOK RECYCLED PARTITIONS
Recycled Toilet Part.	i	i	\$2,665	11	(\$2,665)	APPROVED AS ITEM 6
Toilet Access.	i <sup>.</sup>	W.H. CRESS	\$1,735		(\$1,735)	APPROVED AS ITEM 6
Lockers/Benches	i	CASCO	\$6,344	Ш	(\$6,344)	APPROVED AS ITEM 5
Mechanical(Plumbing)		PEN NOR	\$26,797	11		MCKINSTRY BID \$40,286
Mechanical (HVAC)	i	MCKINSTRY	\$14,144	- []	(\$14,144)	
Fire Protection	i	BASIC FIRE	\$1,914	- 11	(\$1,914)	
Factory Mutual Prem.	i	BASIC FIRE	\$392	Ш	(\$392)	· ·
Electrical	i	GRASLE	\$9,080	Щ	(\$9,080)	i ADZIVIANTAVAN TAT
Annex T.I.	\$0	\$86,926	< TOTAL	Щ	\$0	:
Carpeting	i	LANDRYS	\$8,962	: [[	(\$8,962)	•
Resilient Flooring	i	LANDRYS	\$225	- 11	(\$225)	I I
Drywall/Ceiling	i	W. PARTITION	\$26,047	' !!	(\$26,047)	
Low Wall Partitions	i	W. PARTITION	\$0			OBTAIN BREAKDOWN
Add Acoustical Ceiling	i .	W. PARTITION	\$0			METRO DID NOT TAKE
Hardware	i	CHOWN	\$2,510			
Painting	i	REICHLE	\$7,836	- : :		PRICE PAINTING OF STRUCT. ONL
Sanblast (\$7,138)	i	REICHLE		ij	\$0	I I DESCRIPTION HAD NO PREAKROUN
Mechanical(Plumbing)	i	PEN NOR	\$3,902			MCKINSTRY HAD NO BREAKDOWN
Mechanical (HVAC)	i <b>i</b>	MCKINSTRY	\$12,696		(\$12,696)	
Fire Protection	i	BASIC FIRE	\$3,997		(\$3,997)	I I
Factory Mutual Prem.	ii .	BASIC FIRE	\$819		<b></b> .	   WAITING FOR GLUMAC ANALYSIS
Electrical	ij	GRASLE	\$19,937			: I
Special Lights	ii		\$9,618			1 1
eparter aronte	İİ	ļ		0	•	• •
	İİ	1		0		
	ii	1	\$1	ן ס	\$0	11

## HOFFMAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

BUILDING: METRO HEADQUARTER LOCATION: PORTLAND, OR ARCHITECT: TVA ARCH. SUBJECT: TENANT AREA BID SUN	T.I. BASE DAYCARE S.F. FITNESS ANNEX	76,345 SF 6,745 SF 2,425 SF 3,600 SF	4,035 800	SF SF	JOB NO. 9214 ESTIMATOR:CLL DATE: 09/14/92		
		TOTAL	89,115 SF	62,985	SF	41.49%INCREASE	
ACCT DESCRIPTION OF WORK	HCCO 4/15/92	TENANT AREA		BUDGET VARIANCE	    	MISC. REMARKS	
SUBTOTAL FEE (8%)	\$1,960,771		\$2,488,331 \$199,066	(\$758,560	)		
TOTAL			\$2,687,397				