

Deke Olster -

What is corrections - ^{beneficial} limited effect
adult extremely costly

juvenile - \$80,000,000

Good adults in built C. correction
system (in jail or otherwise away from
public. -

Liability -

Decision makers - Public does to some
agree affect S.B. 710 - Drunk Driving
Legislation

Ballot Measures - request for certain
elements -


Fed. Dist Judge - Final determination
"Driving Force"

Send information - interest survey sheet
to Elmer Miller - willing to volunteer -


Table 1: Public Assessment of Four Correctional Goals


Goal Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Public Assessment		Strongly Disagree
			Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	
Rehabilitation should be a main goal of corrections	51.6%	35.6%	4.1%	8.7%	3.0%
Punishment should be a main goal of corrections	37.4%	34.4%	5.3%	16.2%	6.3%
Incubation should be a main goal of corrections	40.9%	35.8%	4.9%	12.1%	3.3%
Detention should be a main goal of corrections	47.1%	39.1%	3.3%	8.2%	2.4%

Note: N=601



RELATION BETWEEN PUBLIC AND POLICYMAKER
OPINIONS OF FOUR CORRECTIONAL GOALS

 POLICYMAKER PERCEPTION
OF PUBLIC OPINION
 PUBLIC OPINION




RELATION BETWEEN PUBLIC OPINION OF FOUR CORRECTIONAL
GOALS AND POLICYMAKER PERCEPTIONS OF PUBLIC OPINION


Figure 5: Policymaker Attitudes, Public Opinion, and Policymaker Perception of Public Opinion on Key Correctional Issues

3


A. "Abolishing Parole is a Good Idea"



B. "Shifting Prisoners from State to Local Facilities is a Good Idea"





D. "Not Building Any Large New State Facilities is a Good Idea"




4


What is the Most Important Purpose
of Correctional Institutions?



Source: OLEC Serious Crime Survey,
1982 Update.



 POLICYMAKER OPINION
 PUBLIC OPINION



RELATION BETWEEN PUBLIC AND POLICYMAKER
OPINIONS OF FOUR CORRECTIONAL GOALS

1 capsule - Future Preliminary

Societies determine what is "right" & "wrong"

70's = decade of change in criminal justice (ad-hoc) in 50's = same in 1950's - 1960's - 1970's - 1980's - 1990's - 2000's - 2010's - 2020's - 2030's - 2040's - 2050's - 2060's - 2070's - 2080's - 2090's - 2100's

Ann Schmitt

Public - 5 yrs ahead of Policy makers

Incarceration } Philosophies -
Rehab. }

Evidence - strong public alienation

Lack of public understanding/support -

Does - "bads"

Disavows - Regional approach due to "young"
"young" regional govt. Favors - individ.

County approach -

Was h. C. uses - 198. (21 member
corrections board - diverse membership)

Restitution Ctr - (WA County)

Working well -

Terry Gassaway

Fewer - "Class C's" - criminals
per capita than
other counties in the state

Public Access / Admin Board / corrections -
Input to System } Community Corrections

2-22-96
M. Martin
Overlaid
of
Board Minutes

HIGHEST PRIORITY 1

2

3

LOWEST PRIORITY 4

INCAPACITATION

PUNISHMENT

DETERRENCE

REHABILITATION

Incapacitation

*What policymaker thinks is
the*



POLICYMAKER PERCEPTION
OF PUBLIC OPINION



PUBLIC OPINION


②

RELATION BETWEEN PUBLIC OPINION OF FOUR CORRECTIONAL
GOALS AND POLICYMAKER PERCEPTIONS OF PUBLIC OPINION


Figure 5: Policymaker Attitudes, Public Opinion, and Policymaker Perception of Public Opinion on Key Correctional Issues

3


A. "Abolishing Parole is a Good Idea"



Policymaker Attitude
(1)




Public Opinion
(2)




Policymaker Per-
ception of Public
Opinion
(3)


B. "Shifting Prisoners from State to Local Facilities is a Good Idea"



Policymaker Attitude
(1)




Public Opinion
(2)




Policymaker Per-
ception of Public
Opinion
(3)


D. "Not Building Any Large New State Facilities is a Good Idea"



Policymaker Attitude
(1)





Public Opinion
(2)




Policymaker Per-
ception of Public
Opinion
(3)

What is the Most Important Purpose
of Correctional Institutions?



Source: OLEC Serious Crime Survey,
1982 Update.



Kaplan (2)

Research - wis-a-vis - Public opinion. not

Leadership - greatest used

Public = goals perceived - not always the same

- ex. 3 dept stores -

indiv. perceptions of "public"
not same K-Mart, Nordstrom,
Norm Thompson

Public - re politicians -

= Voting public.

Opinions - small range - shallow depth - of
information -

Crisis = salience of interests -

ex. state of economy

Rarity well founded - based on low levels
of information

Dangers - generalizations (drawn from
last person one has talked to)

Media does not solely shape public
opinion - Family, friends, co-workers

Equally strong -

Opinion's change - drastically, rapidly -

ex. Edsel - Strongly marketed -

researched - but opinion change
led to \$230m. loss

Polls / Public opinion - not the same -

statistically invalid

Public opinion - not a "given" - opportunity -
not an independent

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

MULTNOMAH, CLACKAMAS & WASHINGTON COUNTIES



A REGIONAL SUMMARY

february 1984

the criminal justice system

POPULATION 1,070,000

ADULTS 773,000 (72%)
(18 yrs+)

3 COUNTIES/20 CITIES

1982 TOTAL REPORTED OFFENSES	154,500
1982 TOTAL ADULT ARRESTS	33,800
CRIME RATE - PER 1000 POPULATION	144.4

law enforcement

32 AGENCIES

FY 83-84 COST: \$84,000,000

TOTAL PERSONNEL: 2006

SHERIFF	-	611	(30%)
CITY	-	1274	(64%)
STATE	-	121	(6%)

district attorney

3 OFFICES

FY 83-84 COST: \$6,500,000

TOTAL PERSONNEL: 192

1983 - NUMBER OF FELONY COMPLAINTS ISSUED:	7,000
1983 - NUMBER OF MISDEMEANOR COMPLAINTS ISSUED:	23,000

courts

21 DISTRICT JUDGES

30 CIRCUIT JUDGES

TOTAL OPERATIONS COSTS:	\$21,000,000
CIRCUIT:	\$12,500,000
DISTRICT:	\$ 8,500,000

corrections facilities

3 JAILS: 903 BEDS

63% USED FOR PRETRIAL DEFENDANTS	(566)
37% USED FOR SENTENCED PRISONERS	(337)

OF THE SENTENCED PRISONERS:

71% - SENTENCED FELONS	(239)
29% - SENTENCED MISDEMEANANTS	(98)

RESTITUTION CENTER BEDS	80
WORK RELEASE BEDS - IN JAIL	78
WORK RELEASE BEDS - OUT OF JAIL	30

TOTAL JAIL STAFF	322
------------------	-----

COST OF JAIL OPERATION	\$10,700,000
------------------------	--------------

TOTAL JAIL BOOKINGS	36,500
---------------------	--------

probation,parole & community corrections

NUMBER OF PROBATION AND PAROLE
OFFICERS - STATE AND COUNTY: 135

NUMBER OF PRESENTENCE
INVESTIGATION STAFF: 22

TOTAL FY 83-84 COST OF PROBATION,
PAROLE, COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS: \$10,000,000

NUMBER OF ADULTS UNDER
SUPERVISION - JANUARY, 1984: 11,000 (1.4% of the
adult population)

penitentiary commitments

COUNTY	A & B FELONS		C FELONS		TOTAL		PER 1000 POPULATION
	1981	1982	1981	1982	1981	1982	1982
WASHINGTON	54	40	18	45	72	85	.33
MULTNOMAH	247	294	226	304	573	598	1.06
CLACKAMAS	55	33	14	21	69	54	.22
TOTAL	356	367	258	370	714	737	.70

criminal justice system

regional summary

SYSTEM COMPONENT	83-84 TOTAL COST	TOTAL PERSONNEL
LAW ENFORCEMENT	\$ 84,000,000	2,006
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	\$ 6,500,000	192
COURTS	\$ 21,000,000	51 JUDGES 406 STAFF
JAILS	\$ 10,700,000	322
PROBATION, PAROLE, COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS	\$ 10,000,000	177
TOTAL	\$132,200,000	3,154

the criminal justice system

cost to residents

	<u>PER ADULT</u>	<u>PER CAPITA</u>
LAW ENFORCEMENT	\$ 84	\$60.30
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	\$ 6	\$ 4.30
JAILS	\$ 10	\$ 7.00
COURTS	\$ 21	\$ 1.40
CORRECTIONS	\$ 10	\$ 7.00
TOTAL	\$131	\$80.00

For Additional Information Contact:

Deke Olmsted
Multnomah County
Department of Justice Services
1500 Portland Building
Portland, Oregon 97204
248-3701