



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
527 S.W. HALL ST., PORTLAND, OR. 97201 • 503/221-1646

February 2, 1983

Bob Oleson
COUNCILOR
DISTRICT 1

7668B/D5 - Merge List
7667B/D5 - Merge Letter

Dear :

I would personally like to thank you for your time and efforts spent in applying for the District 2 position on the Metro Council.

Choosing a representative from the Beaverton area was one of the most difficult decisions we have had to make because of the number of highly qualified candidates. Each could bring valuable strengths to the Council.

I am sorry that you were not appointed to serve on the Council at this time, but please accept this invitation to stay involved in the work of your regional government. Please contact Ray Barker at the Metro office if you would like a list of our advisory committees.

Again, thank you for your interest and efforts. I look forward to hearing from you when you have ideas, concerns or suggestions regarding regional issues.

Sincerely,

Bob Oleson
District 1

BO/srb

Mr. Charles J. Benard
5800 S. W. Arrowood Lane
Portland, Oregon 97225
Charles

Mr. Paul Herman
7025 S. W. 84th Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97223
Paul

Mr. David G. Bishop
6905 S. W. King Blvd.
Beaverton, Oregon 97005
Dave

Mr. Henry Kane
P. O. Box 518
Beaverton, Oregon 97075
Henry

Mr. Gary Blackburn
8517 S. W. Crystal Street
Portland, Oregon 97223
Gary

Mr. Robert Tenner
7695 S. W. Wilson Avenue
Beaverton, Oregon 97005
Bob

Mr. David McBride
P. O. Box 128
Beaverton, Oregon 97075
Dave

7668B/D5 - Merge List
7667B/D5 - Merge Letter



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
527 S.W. HALL ST., PORTLAND, OR. 97201 - 503/221-1646

February 2, 1983

Bob Oleson
COUNCILOR
DISTRICT 1

Mr. David G. Bishop
6905 S. W. King Blvd.
Beaverton, Oregon 97005

Dear Dave:

I would personally like to thank you for your time and efforts spent in applying for the District 2 position on the Metro Council.

Choosing a representative from the Beaverton area was one of the most difficult decisions we have had to make because of the number of highly qualified candidates. Each could bring valuable strengths to the Council.

I am sorry that you were not appointed to serve on the Council at this time, but please accept this invitation to stay involved in the work of your regional government. Please contact Ray Barker at the Metro office if you would like a list of our advisory committees.

Again, thank you for your interest and efforts. I look forward to hearing from you when you have ideas, concerns or suggestions regarding regional issues.

Sincerely,

Bob Oleson
District 1

BO/srb



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

Providing Zoo, Transportation, Solid Waste and
other Regional Services

April 20, 1983

Rick Gustafson
Executive Officer

Metro Council

Cindy Banzer
Presiding Officer
District 9

Bob Oleson
Deputy Presiding
Officer
District 1

Richard Waker
District 2

Charlie Williamson
District 3

Corky Kirkpatrick
District 4

Jack Deines
District 5

George Van Bergen
District 6

Sharron Kelley
District 7

Ernie Bonner
District 8

Bruce Etlinger
District 10

Marge Kafoury
District 11

Gary Hansen
District 12

527 SW Hall St.
Portland, OR
97201
503/221-1646

Mr. Art Tarlow, President
Beaverton Area Chamber of Commerce
12955 S. W. Farmington
Beaverton, Oregon 97005

Dear Art:

I understand that you are searching for topics of concern to Beaverton area businesses and, thus, worthy of consideration by a Chamber task force. I would suggest that the need to improve Washington County's solid waste system is such a topic.

By 1984 those facilities currently serving the County will reach capacity and be closed. The Clackamas Transfer & Recycling Center (CTRC) in Oregon City, and the St. Johns Landfill in north Portland, will be the only facilities available for Washington County businesses and residents to use for trash disposal.

There are a number of suggestions on how to best proceed on this problem. At Metro, we have been working with the county and the cities to evaluate a full-line transfer and recycling center, similar to CTRC, for Washington County. A second alternative suggested is a much smaller version of CTRC, which would serve only the general public, not commercial haulers. The Chamber might also consider promoting a scaled-down version of an energy recovery (burner) facility within our county. The County's solid waste advisory committee has suggested another longshot approach--that the County Board proceed to site a general purpose landfill somewhere in the County.

Clearly, there are a number of unresolved questions, effecting Beaverton area businesses, associated with the solid waste issue. Just as clearly, siting a solid waste facility is a difficult and disagreeable process filled with suspicion and recrimination.

April 20, 1983
Page 2

In my opinion, solid waste disposal and collection are issues which would benefit from the scrutiny of a Chamber task force. Please let me know if you would like to discuss this suggestion further.

Sincerely,

Bob Oleson

Bob Oleson
District 1

BO/PF/srb
8351B/D4

cc: Metro Council
Rick Gustafson
Emily Knupp
Frank Figg



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

Providing Zoo, Transportation, Solid Waste and
other Regional Services

Rick Gustafson
Executive Officer

Metro Council

Cindy Banzer
Presiding Officer
District 9

Bob Oleson
Deputy Presiding
Officer
District 1

Richard Waker
District 2

Charlie Williamson
District 3

Corky Kirkpatrick
District 4

Jack Deines
District 5

George Van Bergen
District 6

Sharon Kelley
District 7

Ernie Bonner
District 8

Bruce Etlinger
District 10

Marge Kafoury
District 11

Gary Hansen
District 12

527 SW Hall St.
Portland, OR
97201
503/221-1646

April 20, 1983

The Honorable Wes Myllenbeck
Washington County Board of Commissioners
150 N. First Avenue
Hillsboro, Oregon 97123

Dear Wes:

I understand that you are planning to appoint at least one new member to the County's Solid Waste Advisory Committee. I am writing to call to your attention the application of Mr. Norm Wietting, Jr.

Since obtaining his Bachelor of Science degree in 1973, Norm has worked in many aspects of the solid waste industry. From 1973 to 1979 he worked in the private sector holding progressively more responsible positions in both the collection and disposal areas of solid waste management. One of his major accomplishments during this period occurred when he brought into compliance and back on line a landfill which had been closed by the Illinois EPA. In 1980 Norm came to Metro where he currently holds the position of Operations Manager for Metro disposal sites. It was under Norm's tenure that the St. Johns Landfill received a glowing review from the State DEQ. Time and again he has proven to be a hard worker who is always knowledgeable about his subject areas.

The Committee is going to be taking on issues of major concern to the County in the coming months. The current Committee is well represented by the general public and the collection industry, but not by persons directly responsible for disposal.

Clearly, Washington County will be grappling with issues involving disposal as well as collection questions. The Committee can ill afford to be without the insight of a

April 20, 1983
Page 2

representative from the disposal side of the industry. I think you will be hard pressed to find a candidate close to being as qualified as Norm Wietting. Please let me know if I can provide more information on his behalf.

Cordially,

Bob Oleson

Bob Oleson
District 1

BO/PF/srb
8352B/D4

cc: Councilor Waker ✓
Dan Durig
Norm Wietting, Jr.
Bonnie Hays



METRO

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

527 S.W. HALL ST., PORTLAND, OR. 97201, 503/221-1646

M E M O R A N D U M

Date: August 23, 1983
To: Councilor George Van Bergen
From: Bob Oleson
Regarding: Guidelines for Councilors' Expenses

Having read your letter of August 16 regarding Council expense money, I wanted to let you know that it is my feeling that how these Council-allocated funds are utilized should--to the greatest degree possible --be left to the individual judgment of Councilors.

As you may remember, before voting to table this issue on August 15, I twice asked Councilor Kirkpatrick to explain her philosophy on expenses and to state any specific problems we should be trying to resolve. My vote was based in part on the lack of an adequate response to these inquiries. There is a lot to be said for the favorite expression of our Governor that "if it ain't broken, don't fix it!" This is especially true if we engage in a masochistic exercise like regulating the spending practices of Councilors.

Nonetheless, an ultra-liberal person (like you?) could make the case that we have some responsibility for the actions of our fellow man (or councilors).

It is my position that we already have good expense guidelines. Yet I am willing (even at the risk of shooting myself in the foot) to discuss any concerns or specific problems relating to Councilor expense reimbursements. However, it should now be obvious that I do not favor starting any such discussion with a multiple choice exercise like the one we were presented on August 15. Furthermore, I believe that if this matter has the importance which you attach to it I should have been contacted ahead of time by the Committee Chair.

George, if you and others on the Council think that further action needs to be taken on this issue it would be my suggestion that we formally adopt the so-called Deines guidelines. In the meantime I will be asking Don Carlson to give me his personal evaluation of this matter and make recommendations so that we can begin future deliberations with the benefit of his public sector experience.

Regardless of the outcome, I want to thank you for your ongoing efforts to hold our noses to the grindstone on issues you deem to be significant.

cc: Coordinating Committee

BO:tj



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

527 S.W. HALL ST., PORTLAND, OR. 97201, 503/221-1646

MEMORANDUM

Date: August 23, 1983

To: Councilor George Van Bergen

From: Bob Oleson, ~~Deputy Presiding Officer~~

Regarding: Guidelines for Councilors' Expenses

Having read your letter of August 16 regarding Council expense money, I wanted to let you know that it is my feeling that how these Council-allocated funds are utilized should -to the greatest degree possible- be left to the individual judgment of Councilors.

As you may remember, before voting to table this issue on August 15, I twice asked Councilor ~~Corby~~ Kirkpatrick to explain her philosophy on expenses and to state any specific problems we should be trying to resolve. My vote was based in part on the lack of an adequate response to these inquiries. There is a lot to be said for the favorite expression of our Governor that "if it ^{isn't} broken, don't fix it!" This is especially true if we engage in a masochistic exercise like regulating the spending practices of Councilors.

Nonetheless, an ultra-liberal person (like you) could make the case that we have some responsibility for the actions of our fellow man (or councilors).

It is my position that we already have good expense guidelines. Yet I am willing (even at the risk of shooting myself in the foot) to discuss any concerns or specific problems relating to Councilor expense reimbursements. However, it should now be obvious that I do not favor starting any such discussion with a multiple choice exercise like the one we were presented on August 15. Furthermore, I believe that if this matter has the importance which you attach to it I should have been contacted ahead of time by the Committee Chair.

George, if you and others on the Council think that further action needs to be taken on this issue it would be my suggestion that we formally adopt the so-called Deines guidelines. In the meantime I will be

page 2

asking Don Carlson to give me his personal evaluation
of this matter. ^{and to make recommendations} ^{so that we can} ^{future} ^{deliberations} ^{the} ^{benefit of} ^{public} ^{sector} ^{experience} ~~Regardless~~ of the outcome, I want to
thank you for your ongoing efforts to hold our noses
to the grindstone on issues you deem to be significant.

BO:tj

Metro speakers question need of Portland-Beaverton light-rail

By HARRY BODINE
of The Oregonian staff

West Metro
10-11-83 MW4
BEAVERTON — Changing conditions in Washington County have cast serious doubt on the need for a light-rail system linking downtown Portland to the Beaverton area, a Metropolitan Service District Council member said Monday.

Richard Waker, a Beaverton based civil engineer who has served as a consultant on a number of development projects in Washington County, said he and others, including spokesmen for the electronics industry, are questioning the feasibility of building a multimillion-dollar west side transit system similar to the one under construction along the Banfield freeway between Portland and Gresham.

Waker and fellow Metro Councilman Robert Oleson, also a county resident, spoke to the Washington County Public Affairs Forum at North's Chuckwagon Restaurant in Beaverton.

Substantial changes have occurred since a regional transportation plan relying on a west-side transit corridor to carry commuter traffic over Portland's West Hills was first prepared, Waker said.

The county's new comprehensive plan commits what had been a "no man's land" west of 185th Avenue to development, he pointed out. Noting recent trends where Washington County electronics firms are drawing a higher percentage of their work forces from county residents, Waker said, "We're not going to send as many people over the hill" as anticipated earlier.

The current Metro budget contains money for a "major update" of the regional transportation plan, he said.

Waker added, "It's hard to reverse direction when 16 local governments say 'go forward'" on a west side corridor light-rail or bus system.

But, he added, an increasing number of people are questioning investing major amounts of public money in moving people "east and west" to and from downtown Portland when more "north and south" bus service within the county may be a greater need.

On another matter, Waker said Metro would not take over the Portland regional bus system unless it also could continue to levy a payroll tax paid by employers, which generates a large part of Tri-Met's revenues.

The Oregon Legislature would have to decide the matter, Waker said.

Oleson told the forum the district is trying to develop a unique arrangement for the management of a new solid waste transfer station within the county.

The private sector will be involved as Metro develops the new center, Oleson said. A location for the transfer station has not been determined.

6/22/83



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
527 S.W. HALL ST., PORTLAND, OR. 97201, 503/221-1646

ACCOMPLISHMENTS -- 1983
(Banzer/Oleson team)

fiscal

- excellent recommendations from task force of experts on fiscal reform
- Council audit committee
- Council Investment Advisory Committee
- Council Contract Review Committee
- accurate monthly financial reports
- good audit
- positive "ending cash balance" in all operations including General Fund

budget

- painful, but appropriate budget reductions in administrative overhead and support services
- major reduction in solid waste fund (imagine where we would be now if we had allowed that \$1 million of ERF money to be kept in)
- awareness of magnitude of debt level in solid waste
- awareness of impact of our actions on private haulers
- real discussion and deliberations of budget issues

council

- smooth transition to having successful professional staff person (everyone brought in on process)
- every Council member has special leadership position
- special "swearing-in" ceremony
- special "good bye" for four retiring councilors
- development of replacement process for vacant position

solid waste decision-making process

- Council developed and managed its own process, including
 - appointment of task force
 - hiring of technical consultant
 - holding of public workshops
 - addressing unexpected passage of Oregon City anti-burner measure
- maintaining neutrality on the issue and asserting the Council's responsibility to make ultimate decision on solid waste
- regrouping quickly after stunning, unexpected defeat--

diversity of opinion on Council, but done civilly--
it played out well.

elections

--everyone rallied round to help those in trouble
(namely, Rick Gustafson and Ernie Bonner) (personally
I helped Rick Gustafson every way he thought was
helpful, including public and private efforts)

general

--followed up on individual councilor's interests/
concerns (i.e., finish of ERF decision, drainage)
--follow-up with committee chair on assignments to
committees
--Big Fir issue
--council agenda meetings
--meetings (regular) with Rick Gustafson
--legislative briefing meetings/legislative reception
--future of local governments-Multnomah County
--smooth transition to bringing on three new members
--self-evaluation by Banzer/Oleson/development of
"unofficial" agenda
--establishment of professional attitude about the
Council and its role
--centralize communications with Executive Officer/
monitor projects
--kept in touch with all Council members

CB:tj
1//11/83

MEMO TO COUNCILORS FROM BOB OLESON
REGARDING UNOFFICIAL DISCUSSION AGENDA

DECEMBER 13

The following rough draft notes are a product of my recent conversations with a few of you about potential short-term and long-term items for the Metro agenda. If you have other ideas or wish to expand upon any of these issues please drop me a note via Ray Barker. It is my intention to turn these notes and any responses over to Ray by December 28 so that he can polish up an unofficial report for me.

1. Early identification of major discretionary allocations and key policy issues within the next budget. At the same time look for better ways to supplement future general funds.

2. Review and suggest public affairs program changes which will result in a more comprehensive and understandable mission for this department.

3. Initiate outside review of our council processes and immediately try to strengthen/streamline our council and committee meetings. (Some of Mr. Berkman's complaints about us spending too much time spinning our wheels have validity.) I personally hope that early in 1983 we can tell the new councilors that they are expected to attend three meetings a month with each lasting no longer than 2 or 2 1/2 hours--one formal council meeting which will include less junky detail and more concise information items, one informal council meeting for emergency action items and substantive discussion issues, and one assigned committee. Besides further strengthening council leadership, this means that staff and councilors will need to be better prepared.

4. Seek ways to beef up such regionally significant activities as criminal justice, drainage management, and land use coordination (including studying the future of Boundary Commission). I am especially interested in helping to finance a regional minimum or medium corrections facility.

5. Rethink solid waste system plans (emphasizing recycling, wildwood, and transfer stations) and at the same time continue to press the staff to provide information on alternative burner sites.

6. Other items: Increase involvement with tri-met, strategy for road improvement financing, new governmental futures project, assess future of St. Johns, survey unmet social service needs, etc/

Guidelines offered for lobbying efforts

As the 1983 Legislature swings into action early this year, we in the public affairs program of the state bar hope you are gearing up to take advantage of the opportunity to help shape public policy. And when it comes to lobbying for either your own legislation or state bar positions, following a few common-sense guidelines can make your efforts more productive and less frustrating.

- *Spend time preparing* — In making decisions, legislators rely heavily upon information they receive through correspondence and committee hearings.

If you are well-prepared you will be well-received. When appearing in person take extra copies of written testimony with you.

- *Know the players* — Developing a relationship with the key parties who have interest in your issues is helpful. Also get acquainted with the legislators who represent your community — they can often provide invaluable entrees and guidance when you get stuck in the legislative maze. A few probing telephone conversations with legislative observers can secure useful information.

- *Maintain your credibility* — Be candid and avoid making demands or overstating the truth. An effective witness is regarded as a valuable resource. Most

legislators respond best to well-reasoned arguments.

- *Be concise* — At the Legislature be direct and to the point as you help the decision-makers fill the information gap with facts. Even a beautifully packaged pitch will fail to get off the ground if it lacks substance. So focus on your primary message and leave your shotgun at home.

- *Demonstrate positive advocacy* — Whether you are supporting or opposing legislation, remember that a positive approach works best because legislators are trying to solve problems. There is little tolerance of unconstructive naysayers. In fact, taking a posture of total opposition may even arouse hostility.

- *Persistence pays off* — Not giving up is frequently what makes the difference. The outputs of the legislative process can almost always be traced to tenacious advocates.

- *Cultivate constituencies* — Try to attract a variety of supporters for your legislative proposal or position. Key legislative staff members and interested citizen groups should be courted. Bills are often torpedoed by interested groups which feel like they were excluded from the formulation of the policy. When appropriate, try to recruit bar committees to your cause.

- *Monitor the status of bills* — Closely and continually follow the progress of your legislation. Do not lose sight of your

legislation until it has actually been signed into law by the governor. The legislative process is founded upon the principle of incrementalism and numerous pitfalls await the unwary participant. And as Les Rawls would say, always be aware of deadlines.

- *Consider fiscal implications* — Neglecting any fiscal considerations is one of the quickest ways to put legislation on a dead-end path. (This advice is more pertinent than ever given the budget deficits projected for this year's legislative session.)

- *Recognize the art of compromise* — Be willing to compromise because getting part of what you want is better than getting nothing.

Please accept this invitation to grab the ears of your lawmakers and try out some of the techniques outlined above. Our public decision-makers are usually anxious to obtain the kind of insightful data which often can be best provided by lawyers.

Members of the bar should feel an overriding interest in a well briefed and informed Legislature. Even if you and your clients also have less selfless reasons for trying to influence legislation, your skilled participation in legislative affairs will indirectly also benefit the bar.

Bob Oleson
Director of Public Affairs

CTIONS

Professional
Investigations
for the Attorney

Oregon State Bar Bulletin/January 1983

THE NEW OREGON
EVIDENCE CODE
A Commentary & Analysis

MEASURE SUMMARY

Increase membership of governing body of metropolitan service district from 12 to 13.

Provides that executive officer of metropolitan service district becomes 13th member and chair of district council.

Changes title of such officer from executive officer to chair of council.

Provides that chair of council may vote on matters before council only in case of the vote among other councilors.

A BILL FOR AN ACT

Relating to metropolitan service districts; creating new provisions; and amending ORS 249.002, 249.035, 249.056, 249.088, 251.065, 251.075, 254.005, 268.060, 268.150, 268.160, 268.180 and 268.190.

Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

SECTION 1. ORS 268.060 is amended to read:

268.060. (1) The cost of elections to nominate or elect councilors [or the executive officer] shall be paid by the district.

(2) When a district election is held on a district measure, the election shall be conducted under ORS chapter 255.

SECTION 2. ORS 268.150 is amended to read:

268.150. (1) The governing body of a district shall be a council consisting of [12] 13 members, of whom 12 members shall be part-time councilors, each elected on a nonpartisan basis from a single subdistrict within the boundaries of the metropolitan service district, and one member shall be the full-time chair of the council. Each part-time councilor shall be a resident and elector of the subdistrict from which the councilor is elected and shall not be an elected official of any other public body. Each part-time councilor shall be a resident of the subdistrict from

1 which the councilor is elected for not less than one year before
2 taking office. The chair of the council shall be elected from the
3 district-at-large on a nonpartisan basis. The term of office for a
4 councilor shall be four years beginning on the first Monday in
5 January of the year next following the election. A vacancy in
6 office shall be filled by a majority of the remaining members of
7 the council. The councilor, before taking office, shall take an
8 oath to support the Constitution of the United States, and the
9 Constitution and laws of this state. Candidates for councilor
10 positions shall be nominated and elected at the primary and general
11 elections as provided in subsection (3) of this section.

12 (2) The Secretary of State shall reapportion the subdistricts
13 after the data of each United States decennial census are compiled
14 and released. The reapportionment shall provide for substantially
15 equal population in each subdistrict. Area within each subdistrict
16 shall be contiguous. In apportioning subdistricts the Secretary of
17 State shall give consideration to existent precincts, maintaining
18 historic and traditional communities and counties as opposed to
19 following existent city or special district boundaries or the
20 political boundaries of state representative or state senate
21 election districts except when these political boundaries coincide
22 with natural boundaries.

23 (3) ORS chapters 249 and 254, relating to the nomination and
24 election of nonpartisan candidates for office, apply to the
25 nomination and election of councilors except as provided in
26 subsection (1) of this section and except for the following:

27 (a) Notwithstanding ORS 249.031, the nominating petition or a
28 declaration of candidacy shall contain no statement other than the
29 name of the subdistrict in which the candidate resides and a

1 declaration by the candidate that, if nominated and elected, the
2 candidate will qualify for the office.

3 (b) A candidate for an office other than chair of the council
4 shall be nominated from the subdistrict in which the candidate
5 resides. The number of signatures within the subdistrict required
6 for a nomination is that required under ORS 249.072 (2), but the
7 requirement that the petition contain signatures of persons
8 residing in a number of precincts shall not apply.

9 SECTION 3. ORS 268.160 is amended to read:

10 268.160. The council may adopt and enforce rules of procedure
11 governing its proceedings in accordance with this chapter. [At its
12 first meeting after January 1 of each year, one councilor shall be
13 elected by the council to serve as its presiding officer for the
14 ensuing year.] The chair of the council shall serve as
15 presiding officer at meetings of the council. The council shall
16 meet upon the request of the presiding officer or that of a
17 majority of the council. Notwithstanding the provisions of ORS
18 198.190, part-time councilors shall receive no other compensation
19 for their office than a per diem for meetings, plus necessary
20 meals, travel and other expenses as determined by the council.

21 SECTION 4. ORS 268.180 is amended to read:

22 268.180. (1) District business shall be administered, and
23 district rules and ordinances shall be enforced, by [an executive
24 officer] the chair of the council.

25 (2) The [executive officer] chair of the council shall be elected
26 in the same manner provided under ORS 268.150[, but the officer shall
27 be elected from the district-at-large on a nonpartisan basis].

28 The number of signatures within the district required for
29 nomination is that required under ORS 249.072 (2), but the

1 requirement that the petition contain signatures of persons
2 residing in a number of precincts shall not apply. The [executive
3 officer] chair of the council shall be a resident and
4 elector of the district and shall not be an elected official of any
5 other public body. The [executive officer] chair of the council shall
6 be a resident in the district for not less than one year before
7 taking office. The term of office for [an executive officer] the
8 chair of the council shall be four years beginning on the first
9 Monday in January on the next year following the election. A
10 vacancy in office shall be filled by appointment by a majority of
11 the council. The [executive officer] chair of the council, before
12 taking office, shall take an oath to support the Constitution of
13 the United States and the Constitution and laws of this state.

14 (3) The [executive officer] chair of the council shall serve full
15 time and shall not be employed by any other person or governmental
16 body while serving the district. The [executive officer] chair of the
17 council shall [not] serve as a member of the council, but may vote
18 on matters before the council only in the case of a tie vote among
19 the other councilors.

20 (4) The salary and employment benefits of the [executive officer]
21 chair of the council shall be set by the council upon the
22 recommendation of a salary commission to be appointed by the
23 council, but shall not be less than that of a district court judge
24 of this state.

25 (5) The [executive officer] chair of the council may hire any
26 personnel and contract with any person or governmental agency to
27 assist in carrying out the duties and powers under ORS 268.015 to
28 268.030, 268.060, 268.125 to 268.190, 268.310, 268.312, 268.320,
29 268.335, 268.342, 268.360, 268.380 to 268.390, 268.505, 268.512,

1 268.513 and 268.517, subject to personnel rules adopted by the
2 council.

3 SECTION 5. ORS 268.190 is amended to read:

4 268.190. (1) The [executive officer] chair of the council shall
5 present to the council plans, studies and reports prepared for
6 district purposes and may propose to the council for adoption such
7 measures as deemed necessary to enforce or carry out the powers and
8 duties of the district, or to the efficient administration of the
9 affairs of the district.

10 (2) The [executive officer] chair of the council shall keep the
11 council fully advised as to its financial condition, and shall
12 prepare and submit to the council the district's annual budget for
13 its approval, and any other financial information the council
14 requests.

15 (3) The [executive officer] chair of the council shall perform all
16 other duties as may be prescribed by the council.

17 SECTION 6. ORS 249.035 is amended to read:

18 249.035. A nominating petition or declaration of candidacy
19 relating to a candidate for:

20 (1) State office, United States Senator, Representative in
21 Congress or [executive officer or] councilor of a metropolitan service
22 district under ORS chapter 268 shall be filed with the Secretary of
23 State.

24 (2) County office or precinct committeeperson shall be filed
25 with the county clerk.

26 (3) City office shall be filed with the chief city elections
27 officer.

28 (4) Any other office shall be filed under ORS chapter 255.

29 SECTION 7. ORS 249.056 is amended to read:

1 249.056. (1) At the time of filing a declaration of candidacy
2 a candidate for the following offices shall pay to the officer with
3 whom the declaration is filed the following fee:

4 (a) United States Senator, \$150.

5 (b) Governor, Secretary of State, State Treasurer, Attorney
6 General, Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor and Industries,
7 Superintendent of Public Instruction, Representative in Congress,
8 judge of the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals or Oregon Tax Court,
9 or [executive officer] chair of the council of a metropolitan service
10 district under ORS chapter 268, \$100.

11 (c) County office, district attorney, circuit court judge or
12 district court judge, \$50.

13 (d) State Senator or Representative or councilor, other than
14 the chair of the council, of a metropolitan service district under
15 ORS chapter 268, \$25.

16 (2) No filing fee shall be required of persons filing a
17 declaration of candidacy for precinct committeeperson or justice of
18 the peace.

19 SECTION 8. ORS 249.088 is amended to read:

20 249.088. (1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this
21 section, at the nominating election held on the date of the primary
22 election, two candidates shall be nominated for the nonpartisan
23 office. However, when a candidate receives a majority of the votes
24 cast for the office at the nominating election, that candidate
25 alone is nominated.

26 (2) If a candidate for the office of judge of the Supreme
27 Court, Court of Appeals, circuit or district court, the Oregon Tax
28 Court or [executive director or] councilor of a metropolitan service
29 district under ORS chapter 268, other than a candidate to fill a

1 vacancy, receives a majority of the votes cast for the office at
2 the nominating election, that candidate is elected.

3 SECTION 9. ORS 249.002 is amended to read:

4 249.002. As used in this chapter:

5 (1) "County clerk" means the county clerk or the county
6 official in charge of elections.

7 (2) "Elector" means an individual qualified to vote under
8 section 2, Article II, Oregon Constitution.

9 (3) "Judge" means judge of the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals,
10 circuit or district court or the Oregon Tax Court.

11 (4) "Member" means an individual who is registered as being
12 affiliated with the political party.

13 (5) "Nonpartisan office" means the office of judge,
14 Superintendent of Public Instruction[, executive officer] or councilor
15 of a metropolitan service district under ORS chapter 268, justice
16 of the peace or district attorney.

17 (6) "Prospective petition" means the information, except
18 signatures and other identification of petition signers, required
19 to be contained in a completed petition.

20 (7) "Public office" means any national, state, county, city or
21 district office or position, except a political party office,
22 filled by the electors.

23 (8) "State office" means Governor, Secretary of State, State
24 Treasurer, Attorney General, Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor
25 and Industries, Superintendent of Public Instruction, judge, state
26 Senator, state Representative or district attorney.

27 SECTION 10. ORS 251.065 is amended to read:

28 251.065. (1) Not later than the 68th day before the primary
29 election and the 70th day before the general election, any

1 candidate for nomination or election at the next primary or general
2 election to the office of President or Vice President of the United
3 States, United States Senator, Representative in Congress, or any
4 state, county, city or legislative office, or councilor [or executive
5 officer] of a metropolitan service district organized
6 under ORS chapter 268, or an agent on behalf of the candidate, may
7 file with the Secretary of State a portrait of the candidate and a
8 typewritten statement of the reasons the candidate should be
9 nominated or elected.

10 (2) The Secretary of State by rule shall establish the format
11 and length of the statement permitted under this section.

12 SECTION 11. ORS 251.075 is amended to read:

13 251.075. (1) A candidate shall not submit for inclusion in the
14 voters' pamphlet a portrait that was taken more than one year
15 before the date the portrait is filed with the Secretary of State. .

16 (2) A portrait submitted for inclusion in the voters' pamphlet
17 shall:

18 (a) Be a conventional photograph with a plain background;

19 (b) Show the face or the head, neck and shoulders of the
20 candidate; and

21 (c) Be prepared and processed for printing as prescribed by the
22 Secretary of State.

23 (3) A portrait submitted for inclusion in the voters' pamphlet
24 shall not:

25 (a) Include the hands or anything held in the hands of the
26 candidate;

27 (b) Show the candidate wearing a judicial robe, a hat or a
28 military, police or fraternal uniform; and

29 (c) Show the uniform or insignia of any organization.

1 (4) The portrait of a candidate for nomination as councilor [or
2 executive officer] of a metropolitan service district,
3 when included in the primary election voters' pamphlet, shall be
4 one and one-half inches high and one inch wide. Any other portrait
5 shall be three inches high and two inches wide. Each portrait
6 shall be placed in the upper left corner of the candidate's
7 allotted space.

8 SECTION 12. ORS 254.005 is amended to read:

9 254.005. As used in this chapter:

10 (1) "Ballot" means any material on which votes may be cast for
11 candidates or measures.

12 (2) "Ballot label" means the material containing the names of
13 candidates or the measures to be voted on.

14 (3) "Chief elections officer" means the:

15 (a) Secretary of State, regarding a candidate for a state
16 office or an office to be voted on in the state at large or in a
17 congressional district, or a measure to be voted on in the state at
18 large.

19 (b) County clerk, regarding a candidate for a county office, or
20 a measure to be voted on in a county only.

21 (c) City clerk, auditor or recorder, regarding a candidate for
22 a city office, or a measure to be voted on in a city only.

23 (4) "County clerk" means the county clerk or the county
24 official in charge of elections.

25 (5) "Elector" means an individual qualified to vote under
26 section 2, Article II, Oregon Constitution.

27 (6) "Major political party" means a political party that has
28 qualified as a major political party under ORS 248.006.

1 (7) "Measure" includes any of the following submitted to the
2 people for their approval or rejection at an election:

3 (a) A proposed law.

4 (b) An Act or part of an Act of the Legislative Assembly.

5 (c) A revision of or amendment to the Oregon Constitution.

6 (d) Local, special or municipal legislation.

7 (e) A proposition or question.

8 (8) "Minor political party" means a political party that has
9 qualified as a minor political party under ORS 248.008.

10 (9) "Nonpartisan office" means the office of judge of the
11 Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, circuit or district court or the
12 Oregon Tax Court, Superintendent of Public Instruction[, executive
13 officer or counselor] or councilor of a metropolitan
14 service district under ORS chapter 268, justice of the peace or
15 district attorney.

16 (10) "Prospective petition" means the information, except
17 signatures and other identification of petition signers, required
18 to be contained in a completed petition.

19 (11) "Voting machine" means:

20 (a) Any device which will record every vote cast on candidates
21 and measures and which will either internally or externally total
22 all votes cast on that device.

23 (b) Any device into which a ballot may be inserted and which is
24 so designed and constructed that the vote for any candidate or
25 measure may be indicated by punching or marking the ballot.

26 (12) "Vote tally system" means one or more pieces of equipment
27 necessary to examine and tally automatically the marked or punched
28 ballots.

1 SECTION 13. This Act does not affect the tenure of office of a
2 person serving as the executive officer of a metropolitan service
3 district on the effective date of this Act. The executive officer
4 of a metropolitan service district shall become the chair of the
5 council for the district on the effective date of this Act, and all
6 the duties, functions and powers of the chair of the council
7 created under this Act are transferred to and vested in such
8 executive officer.

SUNSET CORRIDOR

You are cordially invited to
The Sunset Corridor Association
Annual Meeting

featuring
"The Entrepreneurial Explosion"
with guest speakers
from high-technology
firms located in the
Sunset Corridor.

An overview of the growth in the
corridor will be presented. A
short business meeting will follow.

February 23, 1984

4:00 p.m.

Tanasbourne Town Center, Suite 2000

RSVP: 242-0070

A cocktail hour will follow
provided by
First American Title Company.



Toby -

Who can we beat up
About the fact that
I received both of these
invitations after the event occurred.
Can't you or Ray anticipate these
things or open mail, etc. I'm very disappointed
Oleson



Memo

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT 527 S.W. HALL ST., PORTLAND, OREGON 97201 503 221-1646
Providing Zoo, Transportation, Solid Waste and other Regional Services

Date: March 7, 1984

To: Bob Oleson

From: Steve Siegel

Regarding: Draft Objectives for Goal 4

Goal 4: To identify regional service needs and analyze options for their provision in cooperation with constituency groups.

Objectives

1. Create intergovernmental elected officials forum to provide a mechanism for mutual problem identification and analysis.
2. Establish and gain consensus on an orderly process for accomplishing Goal 4, within realistic resource limits.
3. Build a better working relationship between Metro councilors and their local government counterparts.
4. Have Council better establish specific priorities on service delivery issues so that resources are not spread so thin as to eliminate any hope of accomplishing anything.
5. Meet other four goals so as to produce a credibility base which is needed to accomplish this goal.

SS:lz

cc: Rick Gustafson
Sonnie Russill



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
527 SW. HALL ST., PORTLAND, OR. 97201 • 503/221-1646

Memo to Don Carlson from Bob Oleson

June 5

Bob Oleson
COUNCILOR
DISTRICT 1

I just received your recent reimbursement request memo. As I told Rick in early April, I consider the January 24 dinner reimbursement issue to be dead and my major complaint involves the way in which you handled the matter.

In my opinion, each councilor should be able to use his/her own judgment when it comes to using the funds in the personal account and in determining what constitutes a necessary expense. You can be assured that I will continue to exercise this philosophy as much as possible within existing guidelines.

(I also believe that council expense procedures is another area of policy in which you have personally attempted, with some success, to both initiate and implement council rules. It seems to me that you have tried to build in cumbersome restraints without appreciating that some of us on the council do not come close to breaking even on expenses.)

Accordingly, with your interest in further regulating the limited prerogatives of elected officials, you can expect to be involved with other questions on expense guideline interpretations and I would hope that in those cases you won't take so many months to respond.

cc Corky and Ernie



MULTNOMAH COUNTY OREGON

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE
ROOM 1500 THE PORTLAND BUILDING
PORTLAND, OREGON 97204
(503) 248-3308

DENNIS BUCHANAN
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

August 27, 1984

Mr. Bob Oleson
Counselor
Metro
427 S.W. Hall
Portland, OR 97201

Dear Bob:

This letter is to request your assistance with a very important project underway in Multnomah County and jointly sponsored by the Oregon Division of Corrections and the Multnomah County Department of Justice Services. The project, which will last two years, is called the "Probation Development Project." It is being conducted by Rutgers University under private funds from the Edna McConnell-Clark Foundation, with the purpose of upgrading probation practices here.

Your role on the project would be to participate as a member of the Community Advisory Board. This group of about 15 carefully selected members will play an unusually important part in developing broad project policies and monitoring project progress. We are asking you to serve because we think your position in Multnomah County will enable you to make an invaluable contribution to the success of the project.

The Community Advisory Board will be a unique opportunity to influence correctional practice in Multnomah County. The general aim of the project is to strengthen probation as an alternative to incarceration. To do this, we will be implementing a series of innovative practices designed to enable probation to supervise offenders who ordinarily are sentenced to prison. Obviously, this is a significant goal to attain, and members of the community such as you should be participants in the process.

Probation Development Project

Page 2

The first meeting of the Community Advisory Board will be on September 23-25, 1984, at a retreat site to be selected. Rutgers Project staff (Carol Shapiro, Director, School of Criminal Justice, Program Resources Center and Dr. Todd Clear, Professor) will contact you very soon with more information about the project. They selected Multnomah County after a national search for a project site partly because of the tradition of community involvement in correctional affairs.

We urge you to work with us on this important effort. Your participation is critical to the success of the project. If you have any questions about the project before Rutgers contacts you, please call Deke Olmsted at 248-3701.

Sincerely yours,

Dennis Buchanan /cd

Dennis Buchanan

DB:vh

F R O M

Toby Janus
Council Secretary

22 October 1984

STEVE,

Bob Oleson asked me to give you the attached sheet with the request that you send someone, from your large staff, to attend the meeting of October 23.



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
Providing Zoo, Transportation, Solid Waste
and other Regional Services

527 S.W. Hall St., Portland, OR 97201 • 503/221-1646
100% Recycled Paper

You're Invited to CRIME TALKS

NOON - 1:30 P.M. : 2ND FLOOR AUDITORIUM
PORTLAND BUILDING
NO CHARGE

October 23rd

✓ OREGON'S PRISONS

"Demand Exceeds Supply"

HOYT CUPP - Assistant Administrator, Corrections Division

GEORGE SULLIVAN - Superintendent, Ore. St. Correctional Institution

October 30th

Criminal Sanctions

"You Be The Judge"

DEKE OLMSTED - Director, Mult. Co. Dept. of Justice Services

November 6th

Detained Children

"Locking Up The Future"

JUDGE STEPHEN HERRELL - Chief Juvenile Court Judge

DAVID FUKS - Development Director, Morrison Center

THE FORUMS ARE CO-SPONSORED BY THE OREGON COUNCIL ON CRIME
AND DELINQUENCY AND THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE SERVICES

F R O M

Corky Kirkpatrick

Presiding Officer

2251 Fernwood Circle
Lake Oswego, OR 97034
244-6111 (work)
636-9484 (home)

5 December 1984

to: Metro Councilors &
Councilors-elect

Thursday, January 3, 1985 has been selected
as the best date to hold both our Swearing-
in Ceremony and Farewell Party for the three
retiring Councilors.

4:00 PM Swearing-in Ceremony in
Metro Council Chamber

to be followed
by

5:00 PM Farewell to Retiring
to Councilors at the
7:00 PM Coos Bay Room
Lincoln--Red Lion

Please give Toby Janus, Council Secretary,
an address list of your family and friends
who may want to share this proud moment with
you. We hope to send out the invitations by
Friday, December 14, 1984.



METRO

METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

Providing Zoo, Transportation, Solid Waste and
other Regional Services

527 SW Hall St., Portland, OR 97201-5287 • 503/221-1646

Ray-

this will conflict
with the New Years

travel plans of
some of us. Don't
you think such an
important date should have
been set after circulating
a preference sheet
to councilors?

[Signature]



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
Providing Zoo, Solid Waste and Local Government
Services

527 S.W. Hall Street, Portland, Oregon 97201-5287

11 December 1984

Rick Gustafson
Executive Officer

Metro Council

Corky Kirkpatrick
Presiding Officer
District 4

Ernie Bonner
Deputy Presiding
Officer
District 8

Bob Oleson
District 1

Richard Waker
District 2

Charlie Williamson
District 3

Jack Deines
District 5

George Van Bergen
District 6

Sharron Kelley
District 7

Cindy Banzer
District 9

Larry Cooper
District 10

Marge Kafoury
District 11

Gary Hansen
District 12

Hyatt Regency Washington
400 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Attn: Registration Clerk

Kindly cancel the reservation for 2 Queen-size beds
at your hotel made for George Van Bergen to attend
the Federal Briefing February 2 thru February 7, 1985.

The deposit check of \$90.00 was mailed to your hotel
November 30. Please refund our office, as soon as
possible, the above sum. Check should be made out to
"Metropolitan Service District."

Sorry for the inconvenience.

Sincerely,

Toby

Toby Janus
Council Secretary

cc: Accounting Dept.



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
Providing Zoo, Solid Waste and Local Government
Services

527 S.W. Hall Street, Portland, Oregon 97201-5287

11 December 1984

Rick Gustafson
Executive Officer

Metro Council

Corky Kirkpatrick
Presiding Officer
District 4

Ernie Bonner
Deputy Presiding
Officer
District 8

Bob Oleson
District 1

Richard Waker
District 2

Charlie Williamson
District 3

Jack Deines
District 5

George Van Bergen
District 6

Sharron Kelley
District 7

Cindy Banzer
District 9

Larry Cooper
District 10

Marge Kafoury
District 11

Gary Hansen
District 12

Nancy Peacock
Secretary
NARC
1700 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Dear Nancy:

After speaking to Patsy Chappelle at your office this morning, I wish to formalize my request in writing that Councilor George Van Bergen's registration for the Federal Briefing Conference February 3-6, 1985 be canceled immediately.

Kindly return or reimburse the prepayment of \$125 registration made to your office November 30.*

Sorry about the cancellation, your attention to this matter will be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Toby Janus
Council Secretary

* The reimbursement check should be made out to
"Metropolitan Service District.

cc: Accounting Dept.

January 22, 1985

Bob Oleson
District 1
Metropolitan Service District

Dear Bob;

There are political, economic, and logistical factors that affect M.S.D.'s options in solid waste disposal. Columbia County Commissioner Mike Sykes has letters from all seven cities within his county endorsing the plan to build an Energy Recovery Facility at P.G.E.'s Beaver Power Plant large enough to dispose of all of the garbage produced by the Portland metropolitan area. Oregon City still needs to be considered as an E.R.F. site because of the investment that Metro has already made there and because the site is adjacent to an existing transfer station. Clark County will soon need another facility to dispose of their solid waste and they will be meeting in February to discuss their options. Unless Multnomah County changes its mind, Metro will not be allowed to site a land fill at Wildwood. There will be more pressure in the future from north Portland to close the St. Johns land fill.

Because of these and other factors, I believe that the Metro Council needs to take action that will give it and the Metro staff the flexibility needed to take advantage of the opportunities that are available.

It may be appropriate for the Council to pass a resolution that would insure that funds and staff time are allocated to;

1. Analyze what M.S.D.'s cost would be for transporting garbage to an E.R.F. site in either Oregon City, P.G.E.'s Beaver site, a site in north Portland in the Rivergate area, or possible sites in Clark County. Transportation should be considered by truck, rail, and barge.

2. Analyze the difference in all related costs between the concepts of one large plant and two or three small plants. During this analysis, consideration should be given to the additional siting problems that would occur with more than one plant.
3. Re-open negotiations with Signal Resco in order that M.S.D. might determine what rate it would have to pay to have garbage disposed of at an E.R.F. plant.

These are only the basic issues that the Council and Staff need to be considering. Each of these considerations would cause consideration of numerous other issues related to them. Because of this the Metro Council needs to act as soon as possible.

I will be available to help in whatever way I can.

Thank you for your interest.

Sincerely

Douglas P. Francescon

Douglas P. Francescon
President

Steamfitters Local 235

8111 N.E. Holman

Portland, Oregon 97218

cc. Ernie Bonner
Gary Hansen
Dick Waker
Ray Barker
Dan Durig

FROM THE DESK OF

Bob Oleson

COUNCILOR/DISTRICT 1

17522 Shorewood N.W.
Beaverton, OR. 97006
224-4280 (work)
645-0770 (home)

Ray ✓ and Ernie ✓ —

Here is a proposal
I helped inspire
which should be
discussed Thursday night.
Rick also initiated
legislation to separate
legislative & executive functions at Metro.
The Council should be
aware and consider
taking a position.



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
527 S.W. HALL STREET, PORTLAND, OREGON 97201 503.221-1646

MEASURE SUMMARY

Provides that executive officer of metropolitan service district shall be appointed to office rather than elected.

Requires council of district to appoint executive officer.

Allows person currently holding office of executive officer to retain position until end of term to which elected.

A BILL FOR AN ACT

Relating to metropolitan service districts; creating new provisions; and amending ORS 249.002, 249.035, 249.056, 249.088, 251.065, 251.075, 254.005, 268.060 and 268.180.

Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

SECTION 1. ORS 268.180 is amended to read:

268.180. (1) District business shall be administered, and district rules and ordinances shall be enforced, by an executive officer.

(2) [The executive officer shall be elected in the same manner provided under ORS 268.150, but the officer shall be elected from the district-at-large on a nonpartisan basis. The number of signatures within the district required for nomination is that required under ORS 249.072 (2), but the requirement that the petition contain signatures of persons residing in a number of precincts shall not apply.] The council shall appoint the executive officer of the district. The executive officer shall be a resident and elector of the district and shall not be an elected official of any other public body. The executive officer shall be a resident in the district for not less than one year before taking office. The term of office for an executive officer shall be four years beginning on the [first Monday in January on the next year following the election] date determined by the council. However, the executive officer serves at the pleasure of the council and may be removed

from office by an affirmative vote of a majority of the councilors.

A vacancy in office shall be filled by appointment by a majority of the council. The executive officer, before taking office, shall take an oath to support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution and laws of this state.

(3) The executive officer shall serve full time and shall not be employed by any other person or governmental body while serving the district. The executive officer shall not serve as a member of the council.

(4) The salary and employment benefits of the executive officer shall be set by the council upon the recommendation of a salary commission to be appointed by the council, but shall not be less than that of a district court judge of this state.

(5) The executive officer may hire any personnel and contract with any person or governmental agency to assist in carrying out the duties and powers under ORS 268.015 to 268.030, 268.060, 268.125 to 268.190, 268.310, 268.312, 268.320, 268.335, 268.342, 268.360, 268.380 to 268.390, 268.505, 268.512, 268.513 and 268.517, subject to personnel rules adopted by the council.

SECTION 2. ORS 249.002 is amended to read:

249.002. As used in this chapter:

(1) "County clerk" means the county clerk or the county official in charge of elections.

(2) "Elector" means an individual qualified to vote under section 2, Article II, Oregon Constitution.

(3) "Judge" means judge of the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, circuit or district court or the Oregon Tax Court.

(4) "Member" means an individual who is registered as being affiliated with the political party.



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
Providing Zoo, Solid Waste and Local Government Services

28 May 1985

527 S.W. Hall St.
Portland, Oregon
97201-5287
(503) 221-1646

Rick Gustafson
Executive Officer

Metro Council

Ernie Bonner
Presiding Officer
District 8

Richard Waker
Deputy Presiding
Officer
District 2

Bob Oleson
District 1

Jim Gardner
District 3

Corky Kirkpatrick
District 4

Tom DeJardin
District 5

George Van Bergen
District 6

Sharron Kelley
District 7

Hardy Myers
District 9

Larry Cooper
District 10

Marge Kafoury
District 11

Gary Hansen
District 12

Lyle Stanley
3950 S.W. 102nd, Apt. 44
Beaverton, OR 97005

Dear Mr. Stanley:

The Council appreciates your active interest in the solid waste planning process and has taken note of your concern that we consider fuel generation as an alternative technology.

I am confident that the planning process will give careful consideration to those technologies. I would ask that you work with staff within that process since that is the best method to achieve your objectives. The Council is not prepared to respond to specific proposals such as yours outside of that process.

I will be attentive to our consideration of your concerns.

Sincerely,

Bob Oleson
Councilor
District 1

P.S. Let me reiterate to you that I agree that it is necessary for politicians to arouse the bureaucrats in order to get results and I will continue to stay involved as your proposal, for our region, is developed and given substance. I would like to meet with you and Mr. Drennen shortly after the Legislative session (early July) for the purpose of delineating the status of the staff's analysis of the power alcohol process. If I'm not able to attend, please let me know after the June 5th presentation.



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
Providing Zoo, Solid Waste and Local Government Services

527 S.W. Hall St.
Portland, Oregon
97201-5287
(503) 221-1646

Rick Gustafson
Executive Officer

Metro Council

Ernie Bonner
Presiding Officer
District 8

Richard Waker
Deputy Presiding
Officer
District 2

Bob Oleson
District 1

Jim Gardner
District 3

Corky Kirkpatrick
District 4

Tom DeJardin
District 5

George Van Bergen
District 6

Sharon Kelley
District 7

Hardy Myers
District 9

Larry Cooper
District 10

Marge Kafoury
District 11

Gary Hansen
District 12

October 21, 1985

BO/gl
4471C/D2-1
10/17/85

Dear :

Thank you for your postcard expressing your opinion about the proposed Washington Transfer & Recycling Center (WTRC) sites. As you may well imagine, both residents and business owners in the vicinity of all the semi-final sites have expressed concerns similar to yours.

The Council of the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) adopted the WTRC Advisory Group's revised recommendation and authorized the Executive Officer to try to negotiate to acquire property for Site 56 on T.V. Highway, and Site 59 on Highway 26. To date, there is a consensus that these are the two top sites of all the ones which have been fully screened.

At the conclusion of our September 26 public hearing in Beaverton, I stated that the Metro staff and other interested parties should continue to search for a better site and a willing seller. Although it is hard to be more specific at this point in the process, it is my intention to vote for the best proposed transfer station site which is presented to us.

It will probably be many weeks before a final decision is reached and, in the meantime, we would appreciate hearing from you if you know of any better site(s) in our community for this much-needed public facility.

If you will, please share this letter with your neighbors because many of them did not put their return address on their cards.

Cordially,

Bob Oleson, District 1 (Washington County)

gl/4470C/D2

Resident
4775 S. W. 110th
Beaverton, OR 97006
Resident_

Resident
7805 S. W. 184th Avenue
Aloha, OR 97007
Resident_

Resident
14310 S. W. Domino
Beaverton, OR 97005
Resident_

Resident
1060 N. W. Dale Avenue
Portland, OR 97229
Resident_

Mr. Michael C. Cole
3335 S. W. 187
Aloha, OR 97006
Mr. Cole_

Ms. Betsy B. Beebe
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Beebe_

Ms. Linda Berger
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Berger_

Ms. Marilyn Cooper
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Cooper_

Ms. Mary Ellen Cox
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Cox_

Ms. Angie Miller
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Miller_

Mr. Scott Page
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Mr. Page_

Mr. Riggs
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Mr. Riggs_

Resident
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Resident_

Sr. M. Cecilia Smith
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Sister_

Ms. Sandy Stratton
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Stratton_

Mr. Bruce Andersen
1415 S. W. 199th Court
Aloha, OR 97006
Mr. Andersen_

Mr. Boyd E. Arnett
4845 S. W. 160th
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Arnett_

L. Wesley Aplanalp, O.D.
17065 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Mr. Aplanalp_

Resident
16240 S. W. Blanton
Aloha, OR 97007
Resident_

Mr. and Mrs. Roger Berg
Ice Cream Saloon
3815 S. W. Murray
Beaverton, OR 97005
Mr. and Mrs. Berg_

Mr. Peter Bradshaw
16205 S. W. Vincent
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Bradshaw_

Ms. Patricia Brice
16235 S. W. Vincent
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Brice_

Ms. Laura L. Brown
17325 S. W. Blanton
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Brown_

Ms. Carol C. Burns
11925 S. W. 12
Beaverton, OR 97005
Ms. Burns_

Ms. Betsy Campbell
16625 S. W. Blanton
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Campbell_

Mr. Clyde R. Campbell
16625 S. W. Blanton
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Campbell_

Mr. C. J. Carlile
17460 S. W. Blanton Street
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Carlile_

Mr. Mike Cawley
4600 S. W. 160
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Cawley_

Ms. Doris Clements
4185 S. W. 205th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Clements_

Resident
16260 S. W. Ludwig
Beaverton, OR 97006
Resident_

Mr. Richard J. Cole
15780 S. W. Village Circle
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Cole_

Ms. Harriett M. Davis
4875 S. W. 165th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Davis_

Mr. Jerry M. Davis
4875 S. W. 165th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Davis_

Ms. Joan Durban
16318 S. W. Wright
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Durban_

Mr. and Mrs. Jeff Enger
17755 S. W. Florence St.
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. and Mrs. Enger_

Ms. Wendelin Fettig
4670 S. W. 160th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Fettig_

Ms. Lori L. Fohl
4590 S. W. 160th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Fohl_

Sr. M. Francesca
4440 S. W. 148th Avenue
Beaverton, OR 97007
Sister_

Mr. Robert Fritz, Vice President
Cross Creek Homeowners
20410 S. W. Avon Court
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Fritz_

Ms. Doris Fuegy
18265 S. W. Blanton
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Fuegy_

Mr. and Mrs. M. Hickox
4825 S. W. 160th Avenue
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. and Mrs. Hickox_

Ms. Paula Harrell
12760 S. W. Washington
Beaverton, OR 97005
Ms. Harrell_

Ms. Julie Horting
16080 S. W. Rosa
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Horting_

Mr. Jeff Johnson
4564 S. W. 160th
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Johnson_

Resident
6165 S. W. 171
Aloha, OR 97007
Resident_

Mr. Dale Kimmel
5125 S. W. 163 Avenue
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Kimmel_

Resident
7172 S. W. 171st
Aloha, OR 97006
Resident_

Ms. Judy Lee
16145 S. W. Vincent
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Lee_

Mr. Jim Leskela
7890 S. W. 184th
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Leskela_

Mr. John Lehman
4070 S. W. 173rd
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Lehman_

Mr. Chris Loftin
3130 S. W. 185th
Aloha, OR 97006
Mr. Loftin_

Ms. Barbara Lutheran
2547 S. E. Mariposa Court
Hillsboro, OR 97123
Ms. Lutheran_

Ms. Nancy Mars
16380 S. W. Shaw
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Mars_

Ms. Ida Martyn
20492 S. W. Rosa Drive
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Martyn_

Resident
5120 S. W. 163 Avenue
Beaverton, OR 97007
Resident_

Mr. and Mrs. Richard Montgomery
16235 S. W. Blanton
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. and Mrs. Montgomery_

Gerry & Helen Morelli
17095 S. W. Vincent Court
Aloha, OR 97007
Gerry & Helen_

L. V. O'Halloran
16035 S. W. Farmington
Beaverton, OR 97007
Sir/Madam_

Mr. Randall Orem
1710 S. W. 194
Aloha, OR 97006
Mr. Orem_

Ms. Evelyn L. Orr
4760 S. W. 160th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Orr_

Resident
5105 S. W. 204th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Resident_

Ms. Barbara J. Pearcy
16295 S. W. Vincent
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Pearcy_

Mr. Mike Pessl
5750 S. W. 167th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Pessl_

Ms. Evelyn M. Pollard
17047 S. W. Blanton
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Pollard_

Resident
16145 S. W. Vincent
Aloha, OR 97007
Resident_

Mr. Timothy Roberts
16535 S. W. T.V. Hwy.
Beaverton, OR 97006
Mr. Roberts_

Ms. Marjorie A. Rohrer
8600 S. W. Fannowood Lane
Beaverton, OR 97005
Ms. Rohrer_

Ms. Debbie Rouches
6965 S. W. 173rd Avenue
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Rouches_

Ms. Lois Rosenau
4140 S. W. 203
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Rosenau_

Ms. Karen Sabative
4595 S. W. 182
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Sabative_

Mr. Robert Schuman
16140 S. W. Shaw
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Schuman_

Resident
16090 S. W. Ludwig
Beaverton, OR 97007
Resident_

Ms. Kathy Smith
4325 S. W. 160
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Smith_

Ms. Naomi M. Steward
Ernest Steward, Jr.
4850 S. W. 160th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ernest and Naomi_

Mr. Donald E. Taylor
20825 S. W. Regal Court
Aloha, OR 97006
Mr. Taylor_

Ms. Naomi B. Taylor
5065 S. W. 163rd
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Taylor_

Mr. Steve R. Tidrick
16120 S. W. Westwind
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Tidrick_

Ms. Sue A. Watts
20595 S. W. Castle Drive
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Watts_

Carey & Cindy Williams
6860 S. W. 206th Place
Aloha, OR 97007
Carey & Cindy_

Chuck and Nancy Harding
Route 5, Box 553
Hillsboro, OR 97123
Chuck and Nancy_

Mr. Greg Mildrexle
19355 S. W. Rosedale Court
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Mildrexle_

Mr. John Warmus
2400 S. E. Brookwood
Hillsboro, OR 97123
Mr. Warmus_

Mr. D. Whitesett
16155 S. W. Blanton
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Whitesett_

Timothy and Selene Wynne
13775 S. W. Larch #6
Beaverton, OR 97005
Timothy and Selene_

BO/gl
4471C/D2-1
10/17/85



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
Providing Zoo, Solid Waste and Local Government Services

527 S.W. Hall St.
Portland, Oregon
97201-5287
(503) 221-1646

November 18, 1985

Rick Gustafson
Executive Officer

Metro Council

Ernie Bonner
Presiding Officer
District 8

Richard Waker
Deputy Presiding
Officer
District 2

Bob Oleson
District 1

Jim Gardner
District 3

Corky Kirkpatrick
District 4

Tom DeJardin
District 5

George Van Bergen
District 6

Sharron Kelley
District 7

Hardy Myers
District 9

Larry Cooper
District 10

Marge Kafoury
District 11

Gary Hansen
District 12

Mr. Donald Joe Willis
Schwabe, Williamson, Wyatt, Moore & Roberts
Attorneys at Law
Suites 1600-1800, PacWest Center
1211 S.W. 5th Avenue
Portland, OR 97204-3795

Dear Joe:

I would like to respond to your concerns regarding the proposed Washington Transfer & Recycling Center (WTRC) and offer some additional information which I hope you will share with your client.

On September 12, 1985, at a public hearing the Council of the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) passed a Resolution approving the Advisory Group's recommendation:

- 56 - T.V. Highway and Millikan Way, Beaverton
- 59 - Highway 26 and Cornelius Pass Road, Washington County

Metro staff is continuing negotiations on the recommended sites. In addition, new sites are begin explored.

Sites in Tigard on Hunziker Street between Hall and 72nd Street will be discussed at a public meeting in Tigard on December 3.

Metro and the Advisory Group have been working for over a year to find a suitable location for this needed public facility. The landfills in Newberg and Clackamas County have closed. The Hillsboro Landfill and the St. Johns Landfill are both nearing capacity, just as Washington County population is projected to double in the next 20 years. Any new landfill will need to be sited far from the urban area, due to lack of available land. This distance factor creates the need for urban transfer centers to accept waste and recyclables. As disposal sites close, each area will eventually need their own transfer center. This type of balanced waste disposal

Mr. Donald Joe Willis
November 18, 1985
Page 2

system provides the solution to the growing refuse problem at the local level, where waste is created.

One important criteria in siting a transfer station is distance from the center of waste generation. This criteria is important for several reasons:

- convenience for public and commercial haulers;
- financial considerations for collection costs and transfer haul costs; and
- transportation travel time.

Only 600 additional trips per day will be generated by WTRC (300 in/300 out) -- of these, 185 will be sanitation trucks, 30 transfer trucks and 80 public vehicles. A six-acre office complex would generate 1,440 trips; more than double that of WTRC.

Transfer centers built in Beverly Hills, California, Seattle, Washington, and other communities are completely compatible with their surroundings. There is no evidence of lower property values at transfer centers in other regions. Metro's Clackamas Transfer & Recycling Center (CTRC) in Oregon City has been operating successfully since April 1983, and we invite you to visit the facility.

Two potential options are available for development of Site 56. The site is comprised of two separate parcels. The north parcel would require a zone change from Campus Industrial to Light Industrial or Industrial Park. Use of both parcels would put the gatehouse facility on the south parcel and the main building on the north parcel. The south parcel is zoned Light Industrial and is suitable for a transfer station.

The second available option calls for only developing the property to be owned by the Beaverton Urban Renewal Agency (south of T.V. Highway). Traffic generated at the site is projected to be, on average, 300 vehicles per day. Vehicles will access the site from 160th north of the railroad tracks off of T.V. Highway. Currently 160th is posted for no through trucks and could not be used to access the site from the south.

As you know, Joe, it is my intention to support the best site we can find and at this time Site 56 remains very high on the Advisory Committee recommended list. However, I have been one of the individuals insisting that the process be kept open as long as possible so that our good

Mr. Donald Joe Willis
November 18, 1985
Page 3

corporate citizens can help us do a thorough search for the best possible site. I encourage you to actively participate in that process and to keep me posted on your efforts.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Bob", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Bob Oleson
District No. 1

BO/gl
4720C/D4

cc: State Representative Randy Miller
Peter Gray, Beaverton Chamber
Chuck Frost, Tektronix

DRAFT to Joe Willis

Dear Joe

I would like to respond to your concerns regarding the proposed Washington Transfer & Recycling Center (WTRC).

On September 12, 1985, at a public hearing the Council of the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) passed a Resolution approving the Advisory Group's recommendation:

- 56 - T.V. Highway and Millikan Way, Beaverton
- 59 - Highway 26 and Cornelius Pass Road, Washington County

Metro staff is continueing negotiations on the recommended sites. In addition, new sites are being explored.

Sites in Tigard on Hunziker^{St.} between Hall and 72nd Street will be discussed at a public meeting in Tigard on December 3.

Metro and the Advisory Group have been working for over a year to find a suitable location for this needed public facility. The landfills in Newberg and Clackamas County have closed. The Hillsboro Landfill and the St. Johns Landfill are both nearing capacity, just as Washington County population is projected to double in the next 20 years. Any new landfill will need to be sited far from the urban area, due to lack of available land. This distance factor creates the need for urban transfer

centers to accept waste and recyclables. As disposal sites close, each area will eventually need their own transfer center. This type of balanced waste disposal system provides the solution to the growing refuse problem at the local level, where waste is created.

One important criteria in siting a transfer station is distance from the center of waste generation. This criteria is important for several reasons:

- convenience for public and commercial haulers;
- financial considerations for collection costs and transfer haul costs; and
- transportation travel time.

Only 600 additional trips per day will be generated by WTRC (300 in/300 out) -- of these, 185 will be sanitation trucks, 30 transfer trucks and 80 public vehicles. A six-acre office complex would generate 1,440 trips; more than double that of WTRC.

CC Rep Randy Miller
CC Chuck Frost
BC Son Rylee
Rep Calhoun
Waken
and me
and Peg
offer
some
additional
information
which I
hope you
will share
with
Your
Client.

insert 1

Transfer centers built in Beverly Hills, California, Seattle, Washington, and other communities are completely compatible with their surroundings. There is no evidence of lower property values at transfer centers in other regions. Metro's Clackamas Transfer & Recycling Center (CTRC) in Oregon City has been operating successfully since April 1983, and we invite you to visit the facility.

insert 2

Two potential options are available for development of site 56. The site is comprised of two separate parcels. The north parcel would require a zone change from campus industrial to light industrial or industrial park. Use of both parcels would put the gatehouse facility on the south parcel and the main building on the north parcel. The south parcel is zoned light industrial and is suitable for a transfer station.

The second available option calls for only developing the property to be owned by the Beaverton Urban Renewal Agency (south of T.V. Highway). Traffic generated at the site is projected to be, on average, 300 vehicles per day. Vehicles will access the site from 160th north of the railroad tracks off of T.V. Highway. Currently 160th is posted for no through trucks and could not be used to access the site from the south.

~~Bob - you will need some
sort of closure~~

~~¶~~

As you know, Joe, it is my intention to support the best

site we can find and at this time site #56 remains very high on the recommended list. However, I have been one of the individuals insisting that the process be kept open as long as possible so that our good corporate citizens can help us do a thorough search for the best possible site. I encourage you to actively participate in that process and to keep me posted on your efforts. - Bob

Advisory Committee



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
Providing Zoo, Solid Waste and Local Government Services

527 S.W. Hall St.
Portland, Oregon
97201-5287
(503) 221-1646

Rick Gustafson
Executive Officer

Metro Council

Ernie Bonner
Presiding Officer
District 8

Richard Waker
Deputy Presiding
Officer
District 2

Bob Oleson
District 1

Jim Gardner
District 3

Corky Kirkpatrick
District 4

Tom DeJardin
District 5

George Van Bergen
District 6

Sharron Kelley
District 7

Hardy Myers
District 9

Larry Cooper
District 10

Marge Kafoury
District 11

Gary Hansen
District 12

October 10, 1985

*Peter Mraz
Beaverton Chamber
of Commerce
4800 SW Millikan Dr
Beav. 97005*

Sister Anne Marie, O.S.F. Superior
Our Lady of Peace Retreat House
3600 S.W. 170th
Beaverton, OR 97006

Dear Sister Anne Marie:

I would like to respond to your concerns regarding the proposed Washington Transfer & Recycling Center (WTRC).

On September 12, 1985, at a public hearing the Council of the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) passed a Resolution approving the Advisory Group's recommendation:

- 56 - T.V. Highway and Millikan Way, Beaverton
- 59 - Highway 26 and Cornelius Pass Road, Washington County

deleted!
Site N, Allen and Western, Beaverton, was dropped from further consideration since the Council was advised that the business intends to operate at that location.

During the next few weeks Metro staff will continue negotiations on the recommended sites. In addition, new sites will be explored. The Metro Council decision is expected in early November.

Metro has received resolutions of support for a facility in Washington County from Washington County, and the cities of Hillsboro, Beaverton, Tigard, Forest Grove, Tualatin and Cornelius.

Metro and the Advisory Group have been working for over a year to find a suitable location for this needed public facility. The landfills in Newberg and Clackamas County have closed. The Hillsboro Landfill and the St. Johns Landfill are both nearing capacity, just as Washington County population is projected to double in the next 20 years. Any new landfill will need to be sited far from the urban area, due to lack of available land. This distance factor creates the need for urban transfer

October 10, 1985

Page 2

centers to accept waste and recyclables. As disposal sites close, each area will eventually need their own transfer center. This type of balanced waste disposal system provides the solution to the growing refuse problem at the local level, where waste is created.

One important criteria in siting a transfer station is distance from the center of waste generation. This criteria is important for several reasons:

- convenience for public and commercial haulers;
- financial considerations for collection costs and transfer haul costs; and
- transportation travel time.

Only 600 additional trips per day will be generated by WTRC (300 in/300 out) -- of these, 185 will be sanitation trucks, 30 transfer trucks and 80 public vehicles. A six-acre office complex would generate 1,440 trips; more than double that of WTRC.

Transfer centers built in Beverly Hills, California, Seattle, Washington, and other communities are completely compatible with their surroundings. There is no evidence of lower property values at transfer centers in other regions. Metro's Clackamas Transfer & Recycling Center (CTRC) in Oregon City has been operating successfully since April 1983, and we invite you to visit the facility.

insert 2
Leakage is not a problem since the garbage is dumped into a self contained pit before it is loaded into transfer trucks. Hazardous waste is not permitted at the transfer center. Companies that create hazardous waste must make special arrangements for disposal.


Litter will be handled by requiring all loads to be covered or secured to prevent material from blowing off trucks and causing roadside litter. To enforce this, uncovered vehicles will be charged double unless they buy a tarp. Transfer trucks have built-in screens to cover their loads. As part of the operations contract, WTRC management will be required to patrol the area to reduce litter. Current contracts at both the St. Johns Landfill and CTRC operate with litter control programs.

Throughout the siting process, Metro and the Advisory Group has welcomed public comments at its meetings and has used this advice in evaluating alternative sites.

October 10, 1985
Page 3

I would hope that residents and businesses would understand and support the need for a transfer center to serve them in Washington County.

Sincerely,


Rick Gustafson,
Executive Officer

RG/PH/gl
4412C/D1-3

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON, WYATT, MOORE & ROBERTS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SUITES 1600-1800, PACWEST CENTER
1211 S. W. FIFTH AVENUE
PORTLAND, OREGON 97204-3795
TELEPHONE (503) 222-9981

DONALD JOE WILLIS
OREGON AND WASHINGTON
STATE BARS

CABLE ADDRESS "ROBCAL"
TELEX-151563
TELECOPIER (503) 796-2900

October 28, 1985

Mr. Robert Oleson
Metropolitan Service District
527 S. W. Hall Street
Portland, Oregon 97201-5287

Re: Metropolitan Service District Proposal for
Acquisition of Site 56, TV Highway and SW 160th

Dear Bob:

As you know, this office has been asked to assist the Archdiocese of Portland on this matter. I appeared at Metro's September 12 hearing on this matter at the Highland Park School Auditorium. My remarks were very abbreviated because of the short time limits and the tremendous number of opponents to this site. I did inform Metro that Archdiocese was opposed to this site, and that it did not want to willingly sell its property to Metro for this purpose. I also pointed out that of the sites narrowed for final consideration, this was the only site that required a zone change, and the Archdiocese was prepared to resist that zone change.

After I left the meeting, there was apparently some suggestion that there would be little or no opposition if Metro contained its facility on a portion of the site south of TV Highway. I was not aware that a portion of a site was under consideration. Had I been made aware of that, I would not have left the meeting after making my presentation.

Please be advised that the Archdiocese opposes the location of a solid waste and transfer system on that portion of the site as well.

Mr. Thomas Manz, Business Manager of the Archdiocese, has already responded to Mr. Drennen's letter of September 30,

Mr. Robert Oleson
October 28, 1985
Page 2

1985. In addition, the Archdiocese has asked me to set forth in more detail some of its concerns that form the basis of its resistance to the Metro proposal.

What I set forth applies to Metro's consideration of using only the portion of site 56 that lies south of TV Highway.

First, I invite you to obtain aerial photographs of the Oregon City facility and the site in question, and compare them carefully. No one can seriously argue that the existing and contemplated uses, adjacent to the site south of TV Highway, are in any way comparable to those at the Oregon City site. The Oregon City site has, for neighboring uses, a methane gas recovery unit in the middle of a solid waste landfill, a swampy area next to the railroad track, a sawmill and its cold deck, and a gravel crushing operation across a major freeway from the site. The TV Highway site is quite close to a first-class Campus Industrial development, which will include retail shops and services for the employees working there, and is close to both a high school and grade school.

I also invite you to obtain both a plan map and zoning map of the Oregon City site and adjacent properties, and the same for site 56. Again, no one can seriously argue that the two are at all comparable. The planned use of the property, immediately south of where you propose to place a solid waste transfer station, is for residential uses. Across TV Highway to the north is the Campus Industrial designation. In fact, I suspect that the only reason the property south of TV Highway contains an Industrial designation different than Campus Industrial is because of the realignment of the highway, rather than a conscious planning decision. We are looking into that matter further.

As I informed you at the September 12 meeting, the proposed use of a solid waste transfer station in Campus Industrial is not allowed. The Archdiocese and its neighbors have all made substantial commitments in reliance upon the Campus Industrial designation, and the type of uses that will be made on those properties. The very heart of protections given landowners, under Oregon's land use system, is the right to rely on such a designation. See, Fasano v. Board County Com'rs of Washington County, 7 Or. App. 176, 489 P.2d 693, aff'd 264 Or. 574, 507 P.2d 23. (1973).

Mr. Robert Oleson
October 28, 1985
Page 3

It is not one hundred percent clear to me that a solid waste transfer station is an outright allowable use on the southerly portion of the property. I am continuing to look into that matter. We have been informed that Metro will need at least a conditional use permit for the contemplated transfer station, even on the southerly parcel. The Archdiocese will oppose the granting of any such permit.

The standards that must be met to obtain a conditional use permit are set forth in the Development Code of the City of Beaverton at § 99.3, which states:

"99.3 In order to grant a Conditional Use Permit, the Planning Commission shall make findings of fact to support the following conclusions:

"A. The proposed conditional use will comply with the purpose of this section and with all of the applicable provisions of this ordinance.

"B. The proposed development will comply with the Comprehensive Plan.

"C. That the location, size, design, and functional characteristics of the proposed use are such that it can be made reasonably compatible with and have a minimum impact on the livability and appropriate development of other properties in the surrounding neighborhood."

You will note that this section requires the conditional use to comply with the other provisions of the Development Code and the Comprehensive Plan, and that the location, size, design, and functional characteristics of the proposed use are such that it can be made reasonably compatible with and have a minimum impact on the livability and appropriate development of other properties in the surrounding neighborhood.

I most sincerely suggest that Metro cannot and will not be able to satisfy that burden at this site. I again invite you to review carefully the Campus Industrial sections of the Development Code of the City of Beaverton within § 52 of the Code. We also ask you to review carefully Ordinance Guideline No. 21, a Memorandum dated December 23, 1983, dealing

Mr. Robert Oleson
October 28, 1985
Page 4

with allowed uses in the Campus Industrial zones. We call to your attention the focus of such a zone, as providing uses that are not directly serving the general public. We ask you to consider carefully the concerns relating to traffic problems that are avoided by the restrictions.

It is reasonably clear to me that the contemplated use by Metro, even if it is restricted to being south of TV Highway, is not compatible with the Campus Industrial uses contemplated right across the street. It is incompatible beyond question with the other uses and planned uses to the immediate south and west. These include residential and grade schools and high schools. It is inconceivable that the increased truck traffic and use of equipment at this site can be justified in the immediate proximity of a residential use. We believe by now that Metro has been advised of a substantial amount of resistance by those persons whose homes are in the areas close by. We ask Metro to abide by its claim that "compatibility of a transfer station with other land uses has been a major concern throughout the process". (See Staff Report on Agenda Item No. 7.1, Meeting Date September 12, 1985).

We also ask you to note that conditional use permits will require conformance with the comprehensive plan. We do not believe that can be done, and we invite your attention to the following provisions and limitations in the Beaverton Area Comp Plan:

1. "Requiring new developments in established areas to recognize and respect the particular character of the area in which they locate and not be so at variance with surrounding developments so as to cause the nature of the local environment to materially depreciate in character, appearance, value or residential privacy."
(p. 13)
2. "Office industry * * * will find industrial parks as attractive areas in which to locate.
* * *. Many of these businesses are compatible with light, employment-intensive industry, such as Tektronix, and find it advantageous to locate in the same park-like atmosphere with these industries. Research and development, electronic and electrical equipment manufacturing, and

office industry are similar in their building design needs, parking and employee service requirements. And because the activities of these industries are contained almost totally within enclosed buildings and generate very little heavy truck or rail traffic, they are compatible near residential areas.

"* * *

"The most important industrial use in the area is Tektronix which has set an excellent example for future developments." (p. 56)

3. "* * *. The St. Mary's property * * * does have a unique opportunity to provide additional employment in this area of the county, augmenting Tektronix, and perhaps creating some diversity. New employment opportunity in this area may make it possible to curtail the ever-increasing growth of work-related travel through Beaverton on its way to Portland. The site also has the benefit of containing some unique physical assets which again can contribute to the park-like atmosphere which light industry and office industry find so attractive. This includes the possibility of a large publicly-owned park which may extend into this industrial area along Beaverton Creek.

"* * *

"* * *. Restaurants and sandwich shops, personal services, banking, some limited retail and recreational facilities will make the area attractive, diverse, and allow employees to stay on-site during lunch hours, as well as prevent these areas from being totally inactive during off-business hours. The net effect will be to reduce automobile usage, thereby decreasing fuel consumption and air quality problems, and increase the desirability of alternatives to the automobile.

"* * *

"A continuing effort should be made by the community to attract high quality industrial development to the area. The present industrial uses are a credit to the community and, in most cases, make a positive contribution to the economy and livability of the city. Opportunities to lower standards to encourage growth for the sake of growth should be avoided in the future as they have been in the past. If Beaverton is to become an outstanding city in the west, new industrial growth must at least equal the quality of present developments." (p. 57).

4. "* * *. New industrial development in the community should recognize and respect the character of surrounding development." (p. 58).
5. "* * *. Another area which provides an opportunity to encourage the preservation of a natural environment in the planning area is the Bonneville Power Administration transmission line. * * *. Only a few minor use permits have been issued in the portion still under BPA control. * * *. It is recommended that open space land use permits be obtained from BPA to increase natural areas in the community." (p. 64).

We are also looking into the restrictions placed on BURA, which we understand owns a portion of the property, and has definite limitations on what it can be used for.

Finally, we ask you to consider carefully the restrictions on the parcel that your staff has already noted. The staff report notes that the area south of TV Highway, "* * *" should be considered only as a last recourse * * *", because even with preliminary layouts, it was demonstrated that the size extremely limited the type of operation, and is very inflexible considering future processing and recycling.

Mr. Robert Oleson
October 28, 1985
Page 7

We add to that some information we obtained from Portland General Electric at a neighborhood meeting of concerned citizens to discuss this matter. We believe the high voltage conductors that currently exist over the parcel, and PGE's right and plan to ultimately place more of them there, together with the slopes of the highway overpass, will provide not only insurmountable obstacles to a useable facility, but create actual safety hazards by placing a great deal of human activity involving heavy equipment under high voltage conductors.

Although the Archdiocese is mindful of your responsibilities, its leadership most sincerely believes that designation of this site for the use in question is highly inappropriate. It will resist the location of that use at that site because of its sincere and firm belief that it simply does not belong there, and cannot be placed there consistent with the rights of the neighbors, and the numerous other more appropriate locations for such a use.

On behalf of the Archdiocese, I again ask you to formally take this site off of the list of consideration.

Respectfully yours,



Donald Joe Willis

JW/ag

cc: Mr. Thomas Manz
Mr. Al McInnis
Charles D. Ruttan, Esq.



METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT
Providing Zoo, Solid Waste and Local Government Services

527 S.W. Hall St.
Portland, Oregon
97201-5287
(503) 221-1646

Rick Gustafson
Executive Officer

Metro Council

Ernie Bonner
Presiding Officer
District 8

Richard Waker
Deputy Presiding
Officer
District 2

Bob Oleson
District 1

Jim Gardner
District 3

Corky Kirkpatrick
District 4

Tom DeJardin
District 5

George Van Bergen
District 6

Sharron Kelley
District 7

Hardy Myers
District 9

Larry Cooper
District 10

Marge Kafoury
District 11

Gary Hansen
District 12

November 21, 1985

BO/srs
4471C/D2-1
11/21/85

Dear :

Thank you for your postcard expressing your opinion about the proposed Washington Transfer & Recycling Center (WTRC) sites. As you may well imagine, both residents and business owners in the vicinity of all the semi-final sites have expressed concerns similar to yours.

The Council of the Metropolitan Service District (Metro) adopted the WTRC Advisory Group's revised recommendation and authorized the Executive Officer to try to negotiate to acquire property for Site 56 on T.V. Highway, and Site 59 on Highway 26. To date, there is a consensus that these are the two top sites of all the ones which have been fully screened.

At the conclusion of our September 26 public hearing in Beaverton, I stated that the Metro staff and other interested parties should continue to search for a better site and a willing seller. Although it is hard to be more specific at this point in the process, it is my intention to vote for the best proposed transfer station site which is presented to us.

It will probably be many weeks before a final decision is reached and, in the meantime, we would appreciate hearing from you if you know of any better site(s) in our community for this much-needed public facility.

If you will, please share this letter with your neighbors because many of them did not put their return address on their cards.

Cordially,

Bob Oleson, District 1 (Washington County)

srs/4470C/D2

Resident
4775 S. W. 110th
Beaverton, OR 97006
Resident_

Resident
7805 S. W. 184th Avenue
Aloha, OR 97007
Resident_

Resident
14310 S. W. Domino
Beaverton, OR 97005
Resident_

Resident
1060 N. W. Dale Avenue
Portland, OR 97229
Resident_

Mr. Michael C. Cole
3335 S. W. 187
Aloha, OR 97006
Mr. Cole_

Ms. Betsy B. Beebe
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Beebe_

Ms. Linda Berger
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Berger_

Ms. Marilyn Cooper
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Cooper_

Ms. Mary Ellen Cox
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Cox_

Ms. Angie Miller
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Miller_

Mr. Scott Page
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Mr. Page_

Mr. Riggs
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Mr. Riggs_

Resident
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Resident_

Sr. M. Cecilia Smith
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Sister_

Ms. Sandy Stratton
St. Mary's Boys Home
16535 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Stratton_

Mr. Bruce Andersen
1415 S. W. 199th Court
Aloha, OR 97006
Mr. Andersen_

Mr. Boyd E. Arnett
4845 S. W. 160th
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Arnett_

L. Wesley Aplanalp, O.D.
17065 S. W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Mr. Aplanalp_

Resident
16240 S. W. Blanton
Aloha, OR 97007
Resident_

Mr. and Mrs. Roger Berg
Ice Cream Saloon
3815 S. W. Murray
Beaverton, OR 97005
Mr. and Mrs. Berg_

Mr. Peter Bradshaw
16205 S. W. Vincent
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Bradshaw_

Ms. Patricia Brice
16235 S. W. Vincent
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Brice_

Ms. Laura L. Brown
17325 S. W. Blanton
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Brown_

Ms. Carol C. Burns
11925 S. W. 12
Beaverton, OR 97005
Ms. Burns_

Ms. Betsy Campbell
16625 S. W. Blanton
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Campbell_

Mr. Clyde R. Campbell
16625 S. W. Blanton
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Campbell_

Mr. C. J. Carlile
17460 S. W. Blanton Street
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Carlile_

Mr. Mike Cawley
4600 S. W. 160
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Cawley_

Ms. Doris Clements
4185 S. W. 205th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Clements_

Resident
16260 S. W. Ludwig
Beaverton, OR 97006
Resident_

Mr. Richard J. Cole
15780 S. W. Village Circle
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Cole_

Ms. Harriett M. Davis
4875 S. W. 165th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Davis_

Mr. Jerry M. Davis
4875 S. W. 165th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Davis_

Ms. Joan Durban
16318 S. W. Wright
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Durban_

Mr. and Mrs. Jeff Enger
17755 S. W. Florence St.
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. and Mrs. Enger_

Ms. Wendelin Fettig
4670 S. W. 160th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Fettig_

Ms. Lori L. Fohl
4590 S. W. 160th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Fohl_

Sr. M. Francesca
4440 S. W. 148th Avenue
Beaverton, OR 97007
Sister_

Mr. Robert Fritz, Vice President
Cross Creek Homeowners
20410 S. W. Avon Court
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Fritz_

Ms. Doris Fuegy
18265 S. W. Blanton
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Fuegy_

Mr. and Mrs. M. Hickox
4825 S. W. 160th Avenue
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. and Mrs. Hickox_

Ms. Paula Harrell
12760 S. W. Washington
Beaverton, OR 97005
Ms. Harrell_

Ms. Julie Horting
16080 S. W. Rosa
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Horting_

Mr. Jeff Johnson
4564 S. W. 160th
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Johnson_

Resident
6165 S. W. 171
Aloha, OR 97007
Resident_

Mr. Dale Kimmel
5125 S. W. 163 Avenue
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Kimmel_

Resident
7172 S. W. 171st
Aloha, OR 97006
Resident_

Ms. Judy Lee
16145 S. W. Vincent
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Lee_

Mr. Jim Leskela
7890 S. W. 184th
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Leskela_

Mr. John Lehman
4070 S. W. 173rd
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Lehman_

Mr. Chris Loftin
3130 S. W. 185th
Aloha, OR 97006
Mr. Loftin_

Ms. Barbara Lutheran
2547 S. E. Mariposa Court
Hillsboro, OR 97123
Ms. Lutheran_

Ms. Nancy Mars
16380 S. W. Shaw
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Mars_

Ms. Ida Martyn
20492 S. W. Rosa Drive
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Martyn_

Resident
5120 S. W. 163 Avenue
Beaverton, OR 97007
Resident_

Mr. and Mrs. Richard Montgomery
16235 S. W. Blanton
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. and Mrs. Montgomery_

Gerry & Helen Morelli
17095 S. W. Vincent Court
Aloha, OR 97007
Gerry & Helen_

L. V. O'Halloran
16035 S. W. Farmington
Beaverton, OR 97007
Sir/Madam_

Mr. Randall Orem
1710 S. W. 194
Aloha, OR 97006
Mr. Orem_

Ms. Evelyn L. Orr
4760 S. W. 160th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Orr_

Resident
5105 S. W. 204th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Resident_

Ms. Barbara J. Percy
16295 S. W. Vincent
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Percy_

Mr. Mike Pessl
5750 S. W. 167th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Pessl_

Ms. Evelyn M. Pollard
17047 S. W. Blanton
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Pollard_

Resident
16145 S. W. Vincent
Aloha, OR 97007
Resident_

Mr. Timothy Roberts
16535 S. W. T.V. Hwy.
Beaverton, OR 97006
Mr. Roberts_

Ms. Marjorie A. Rohrer
8600 S. W. Fannowood Lane
Beaverton, OR 97005
Ms. Rohrer_

Ms. Debbie Rouches
6965 S. W. 173rd Avenue
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Rouches_

Ms. Lois Rosenau
4140 S. W. 203
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Rosenau_

Ms. Karen Sabative
4595 S. W. 182
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Sabative_

Mr. Robert Schuman
16140 S. W. Shaw
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. Schuman_

Resident
16090 S. W. Ludwig
Beaverton, OR 97007
Resident_

Ms. Kathy Smith
4325 S. W. 160
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Smith_

Ms. Naomi M. Steward
Ernest Steward, Jr.
4850 S. W. 160th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ernest and Naomi_

Mr. Donald E. Taylor
20825 S. W. Regal Court
Aloha, OR 97006
Mr. Taylor_

Ms. Naomi B. Taylor
5065 S. W. 163rd
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Taylor_

Mr. Steve R. Tidrick
16120 S. W. Westwind
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Tidrick_

Ms. Sue A. Watts
20595 S. W. Castle Drive
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Watts_

Carey & Cindy Williams
6860 S. W. 206th Place
Aloha, OR 97007
Carey & Cindy_

Chuck and Nancy Harding
Route 5, Box 553
Hillsboro, OR 97123
Chuck and Nancy_

Mr. Greg Mildrexle
19355 S. W. Rosedale Court
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Mildrexle_

Mr. John Warmus
2400 S. E. Brookwood
Hillsboro, OR 97123
Mr. Warmus_

Mr. D. Whitesett
16155 S. W. Blanton
Aloha, OR 97007
Mr. Whitesett_

Timothy and Selene Wynne
13775 S. W. Larch #6
Beaverton, OR 97005
Timothy and Selene_

Ms. Merry Alton
1306 N.E. Lincoln
Hillsboro, OR 97124
Ms. Alton_

Ms. Adriana Amador
18395 S.W. Almonte Court
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Amador_

Ms. Susan Albright and
Mr. Mark Becker
16240 S.W. Westwind Drive
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Albright and Mr. Becker_

Ms. Maurine Conzatti
16160 S.W. Ludwig Street
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Conzatti_

Mr. and Mrs. Ed Brown
6995 S.W. 158th Street
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. and Mrs. Brown_

Mrs. L. M. Cunningham
20420 S.W. Kinnaman Road
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mrs. Cunningham_

Mrs. K. Seinande
Route 2, Box 339L
Gaston, OR 97119
Mrs. Seinande_

Sister Mary Fidelis
4440 S.W. 148
Beaverton, OR 97007
Sister Fidelis_

Mr. and Mrs. R. P. Hackl
11855 S.W. Hillcrest Court
Beaverton, OR 97005
Mr. and Mrs. Hackl_

Mr. and Mrs. Rod Hoff
16140 S.W. Vincent
Beaverton, OR 97007
Mr. and Mrs. Hoff_

Ms. Julie Knudsen
4485 S.W. 160th
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Knudsen_

Ms. Anne Matson
5990 S.W. 174th Avenue
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Matson_

Ms. Tracy McDonald
7000 S.W. 63rd
Portland, OR 97219
Ms. McDonald_

Ms. Lillian M. Merth
14645 S.W. Farmington Road
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Merth_

Ms. Leah Narcio
1850 N.W. Albion Court
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Narcio_

Ms. Michelle Paxton
13500 S.W. Fircrest Court
Beaverton, OR 97005
Ms. Paxton_

Resident
16536 S.W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Resident_

Ms. Ellen Olson
St. Mary's Home For Boys
16535 S.W. T.V. Highway
Beaverton, OR 97006
Ms. Olson_

Ms. Mary Swindle
20585 S.W. Kinnaman Road
Aloha, OR 97007
Ms. Swindle_

Ms. Teresa Talmich
4440 S.W. Westwind Court
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Talmich_

Ms. Irene M. Winn
6170 S.W. 179th Avenue
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Winn_

Resident
21560 S.W. Farmington
Aloha, OR 97007
Resident_

Ms. Frances J. Zenner
14645 S.W. Farmington Road
Beaverton, OR 97007
Ms. Zenner_

BO/srs
4471C/D2-1
11/21/85

April 3, 1986

The Valley Times

to the Editor

For over two years I have tried to contribute to the Metro Service District's plan to establish a solid waste transfer and recycling center in eastern Washington County. Let me share a couple points about our struggle to site this important public facility.

First, nobody wants any type of refuse project in their neighborhood. This reality involves both human nature and the existence of mental images. It is also true that such a waste station could be successfully integrated into almost any suburban community if local residents work with siting authorities to address specific use and transportation problems. This message needs to be told and retold to our neighbors. Of course, the best statement is the attractive running waste transfer station Metro now operates in Oregon City.

Second, our problems have been compounded by the failure of local officials to help solve the garbage crisis. For example, Beaverton is both the biggest single part of the problem and the centerpoint for waste generation. Rather than opposing all of the sites within its boundaries which were identified by an advisory panel of experts, the City should have worked with Metro Executive Rick Gustafson and his competent staff to find a mutually acceptable location.

For the record, all of my Council votes to date have reflected the position that the transfer facility should be built on the suitable site nearest to the center of waste.

The lack of cooperation from local governments has made it necessary to impose on other communities which are not centrally located in eastern Washington County. The residents of Rock Creek and Reedville are now being asked to carry the extra (garbage) load for Beaverton and there appears to be no way out of this quandry.

(continued on next page)

The Valley Times
to the Editor
April 3, 1986
Page 2

The third point involves Governor Atiyeh. Even though pitting one neighborhood against another has caused intercommunity relations to deteriorate, the Governor's Task Force may be able to make a case for picking the Reedville site because they seem to have found a willing land seller. (And Chair Bill Young has a solid reputation for completing tough assignments.) Yet on this siting issue the Governor owes a lot to county residents. We should not forget that he pulled the plug on Standard Insurance Company's gracious but tentative offer to sell Metro property in an undeveloped industrial park in the center of the County. In my opinion, the site would have been near perfect because it is removed from local residents and industrial/commercial businesses could have compatibly developed around the transfer and recycling center. Apparently the emotional reactions of foreign business interests have overshadowed local neighborhood concerns.

I want to share my perspective and frustration on siting this much needed public facility before the Metro Council picks between the Reedville and Rock Creek sites on April 10, 1986.

Bob Oleson
Metro Councilor
District 1

BO:tj

FROM:

Toby Janus

Council Secretary

June 19, 1986

Bob,

Tues. 24/8

Bob Alexander*has scheduled you at 1:20-1:30

Location: Tuality Hospital Educational

Center - Auditorium

3rd & Baseline in Hillsboro

(nx. to Tuality Hospital)

his phone #357-6366

METRO

2000 S.W. First Avenue
Portland, OR 97201-5398
503/221-1646

My name is Bob Oleson, I am the Metro Councilor for District 1 which includes Forest Grove, Cornelius and Hillsboro. I'm sure that all Washington County residents are aware that Metro is responsible for solid waste disposal in the metropolitan region; fewer people are aware of Metro's Data Resource Center.

As part of our planning responsibilities, Metro gathers enormous amounts of data from within the region. This data is compiled from a variety of sources including: on-site traffic surveys; census data; building permit analysis and other sources too numerous to mention. The data is broken down into basic categories which allow us to determine what's really going on with regard to various aspects of metropolitan activity. Two categories which are particularly relevant for today's hearing are individual trips: from home to work and from home to other (i.e., shopping and recreation).

Although the zones upon which we have based our analysis do not precisely overlap the areas of phone service with which you are concerned, they are similar enough to allow us to compare apples to apples.

There are approximately 4,750 daily work trips originating in the Forest Grove/Cornelius zone. The Hillsboro/Aloha area is the destination for 32% of these trips. Nearly half of the total number of trips are directed to Beaverton/Tigard/Tualatin or other parts of the metropolitan area. Nor is the home-work traffic all one-way. There are over 1600 daily work trips into zone 1 from the east; approximately 65% of these trips originate east of Hillsboro.

An analysis of shopping/entertainment travel behavior reveals a similar pattern. Over half of these trips have other metropolitan area zones as their destination. Of the trips to zone 1 for shopping/entertainment purposes, over 50% originate elsewhere in the metropolitan region.

The federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provides a context for this information. OMB is charged with defining metropolitan areas for statistical purposes. That agency relies primarily upon the commuting patterns of the local labor force to determine if a jurisdiction should be considered as part of a metropolitan area. If more than 15% of the jurisdiction's labor force commutes to a metropolitan area for employment, OMB and the federal government consider that jurisdiction to be part of the metropolitan area. As the data indicates, approximately 82% of the zone 1 labor force commutes to jobs elsewhere in the Portland metropolitan region.

When we consider the origin-destination data for shopping and entertainment purposes, it is clear that by all commonly used criteria, the Forest Grove/Cornelius area is as much a part of the metropolitan region as other eastern Washington County communities. It should be served on the same basis which they are.



METRO

2000 S.W. First Avenue
Portland, OR 97201-5398
503/221-1646

August 13, 1986

Metro Council

Richard Waker
Presiding Officer
District 2

Jim Gardner
Deputy Presiding
Officer
District 3

Bob Oleson
District 1

Corky Kirkpatrick
District 4

Tom DeJardin
District 5

George Van Bergen
District 6

Sharon Kelley
District 7

John Frewing
District 8

Hardy Myers
District 9

Larry Cooper
District 10

Marge Kafoury
District 11

Gary Hansen
District 12

Executive Officer
Rick Gustafson

Richard Waker
Metropolitan Service District
2000 S.W. First Avenue
Portland, OR 97201

Dear Dick:

The Board for which I work is meeting in John Day Thursday night and Friday and my presence is required there.

As you know, I am in the minority group of Councilors who support the 216th transfer station site as being our best option. Besides having a negative impact on very few county residents, this site is surrounded by yet to be developed industrial land and it is relatively close to the freeway. Unlike the situation with the neighboring site on Cornell, there is ample evidence to show that the dominant interest groups of the Sunset Corridor could live with the 216th site.

All three of the sites now before the Council are technically adequate and workable. (If the 209th site is selected I hope the Council makes an immediate commitment to work with local residents to fully resolve traffic and drainage problems.)

I believe it is in the best interests of all parties concerned to finalize the siting decision as soon as possible.

Cordially,

Bob Oleson
Councilor
District 1

cc: All Councilors
Executive Officer

August 15, 1986

Bob Oleson, Councilor
Metropolitan Service District
2000 SW First Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97201

Mr. Oleson:

This is a letter from two of your unfortunate constituents in western Washington County. We feel a great deal of rancor and disgust with you and your cowardly choice to remain absent from the Council vote last night which resulted in the artificially-persuaded majority voting to site the WTRC in Aloha/Reedville.

We are all too well aware of the "inside" information behind your choice. It is not you who will have to "live with it, make the best of it" so much as those who live in the directly adjoining residential area. We believe we have accurately comprehended the implications of the location of Shorewood.

Why didn't you have the guts to go to that meeting and follow your conscience as did four other, honorable, Councilors? We recall to you this direct quotation of your comments from the April 8 public hearing at Brown Jr. High School, of which we have a full tape-recording:

"...I appreciate your efforts, Bill, even though I don't agree with the recommendations of your report."

(This was your parting comment to the head of the Governor's Task Force whose report to the Governor fingered the 209th/T.V. Hwy. site)

AT LEAST you could have shown up to dead-lock the vote Thursday night, hopefully sending the process back to some authentic semblance of its valid starting point. Failing even that, you could have voted with the majority for the specific purpose of moving to reconsider the resolution at the next meeting when Councilor Kelley might then have, herself, been a little more visible.

Neither Dick Waker nor Rick Gustafson are "god" (no matter their own opinions to the contrary) and no one is MORE responsible for your sorry display of absenteeism than YOU!

Please do not do yourself the embarrassment of running for any public office in this state again, as we fully intend to see to it that the albatross with which you have hung yourself in this matter is loudly proclaimed at the outset of any such future venture.

Citizens elect representatives to protect those citizens' interests and property, or is this NEWS to you?? We in Aloha/Reedville especially depend on the integrity, courage and determination of our representatives in various governing bodies because we do not have big monied interests threatening everybody away, nor do we have the protection afforded by incorporation because Portland and its agents in Beaverton don't want the competition, preferring instead to feed off of the unincorporated area's defenselessness.

We demand that you resign RIGHT NOW from your position on this Council, action which we know you have intended for some time. Get it over with. Make it easier still for the "slice-of-the-pie" Waker-Ragsdale-Gustafson "philosophy" to manipulate MSD totally.

Actually we would welcome Mr. Ragsdale's official presence as then we could more easily instigate investigations and complaints against him with the question removed of whether or not he was actually committing unethical acts or merely operating from loop-hole to loop-hole.

What an irony Thursday night...your glaring absence and Mr. Ragsdale's overdressed presence.

Ours and others considerable personal funds have gone and will continue to go into private investigation of the "rat-pack" deals that undercut MSD's credible operation. The '87 legislature will have some good reading.

Adamantly,

Mr & Mrs C. K. Ragsdale
19920 SW Oak Ct.
Aloha, OR. 97007



METRO

2000 S.W. First Avenue
Portland, OR 97201-5398
503/221-1646

September 22, 1986

Richard Waker
Presiding Officer
2000 S.W. First Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97201

Dear Dick:

For personal and professional reasons I must accelerate my scheduled departure from the Metro Council. Having survived six years of challenging work, I am anxious to give the Council the opportunity to appoint my elected successor so it may begin to utilize his services.

I hereby resign my District 1 position on the Metro Council effective October 1, 1986.

During recent years the Metro organization and its Council process have greatly matured. Even though Metro will probably continue to be offered only the most difficult public sector assignments, we now have in place the foundation for a broad-based and effective regional government. Please don't let these gains be eroded.

It has been a genuine privilege for me to contribute during Metro's formative years. I wish the very best to you, the other members of the Council, Executive Officer Gustafson and the first-rate Metro Staff.

Cordially,

Bob Oleson
Councilor
District 1

Metro Council

Richard Waker
Presiding Officer
District 2

Jim Gardner
Deputy Presiding
Officer
District 3

Bob Oleson
District 1

Corky Kirkpatrick
District 4

Tom DeJardin
District 5

George Van Bergen
District 6

Sharon Kelley
District 7

John Frewing
District 8

Tanya Collier
District 9

Larry Cooper
District 10

Marge Kafoury
District 11

Gary Hansen
District 12

Executive Officer
Rick Gustafson

cc: Secretary of State
Metro Council & Executive Officer

9/23/86

FROM:

Don Cox

Manager of Accounting

Toby:

After reviewing the entire nature of the expenditure and the type of supporting documentation currently available. I feel it is in everyone's best interest to have more documentary support for the expenses claimed. I suggest that Bob Olson complete a "Meals & meetings" voucher stating who was present and what business was discussed. A copy of the visa (card) slip would also need to be attached. In any case - it must be stated that no alcohol could have been purchased with Metro money - and only Metro staff /councilor expenses can be claimed (ie - no expenses for spouses, etc. are allowable). It may be best to explain fully to Don Carlson and, if need be, have him talk to Bob Olson.

Thanks,

Don

METRO

2000 S.W. First Avenue
Portland, OR 97201-5398
503/221-1646

FROM:

Toby Janus
Council Secretary

October 13, 1986

Bob,

Since I need to give Mike R. your tray, Council book & eventually your file drawer, I sending some stuff via the mail today.

I forgot to ask you whether you would like to receive the full Agenda or just the agenda notice for Council meetings? Also, would you like the Mgm. mtg. notice?

I don't know whether Dick told you but in case it was just too busy the night you were here . . . we will have some kind of farewell reignation lunch, dinner or? sometime in early Dec. for you, Marge and John Frewing.

Please call me as soon as you can re the agenda mailing and how we shall take care of the material in your file drawer, i.e. recycle or return it to departments after you check it or ???

I spoke to the circulation lady at the Valley Times on Friday and asked that she send you future newspapers at your Oregon Bar Assoc. office and to just have your name on it rather than mine as when it came to Metro.

Do you feel you have more spare time now that Metro isn't calling you?

METRO

Be speaking to you,

Toby

2000 S.W. First Avenue
Portland, OR 97201-5398
503/221-1646

EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT TO THE METRO COUNCIL

discussion draft

KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS REQUIRED

Knowledge of Metro and regional issues

Ability to work with diverse group of councilors, staff, government officials, concerned citizens, etc.

Experience working with local governments and a legislative body is valuable

Communication and analytical skills, plus ability to synthesize

PRINCIPAL DUTIES

A top priority involves helping councilors to be knowledgeable about and responsive to local officials

There will be an emphasis on monitoring solid waste and zoo activities and keeping the council informed about important developments

Serve as a liasion between councilors and metro staff (especially the management team) in areas of policy development; this work will be done primarily in conjunction with the individuals chairing council committees

Supervisory responsibilities over council support staff

ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

The individual in this position can expect to spend up to one-third of his or her time on constituency work for individual councilors; The need to apportion time and have self-initiative will require the ability to assert ones-self and to exercise good judgment; The Executive Assistant to the Metro Council will be supervised by the Presiding Officer.

Don - Let me know what you think
and how this should be handled.
It is not our intent to
channel all contacts through the assistant
X Bob O.

METRO IS WORKING TO CLEAR UP PROBLEMS

by

Bob Oleson

I believe as a that Metro Councilor, I have a responsibility to answer the concerns being expressed by constituents regarding the bookkeeping tangle at Metro.

This newspaper and others have given the details of the situation in numerous stories. However, I think it would be helpful to explain what led us to the point where we had to cut \$320,000 from our General Fund budget this year.

First, it is important to understand the problem which created the budget shortfall occurred in the last fiscal year--that is, the fiscal year which ended June 30, 1981. The budget cuts were not made until recently, however, because we did not know the extent of the problem until we were halfway through the current fiscal year, which began July 1. We certainly would have acted sooner if we had known we no longer had the \$600,000 surplus carried over from the last fiscal year.

The problems we experienced last year were explainable at the time and resulted from these factors:

- Personnel problems and high staff turnover in the Accounting Department.
- Higher expenses than budgeted, in large part because of the cost of trying to get the new computerized accounting system to operate properly.
- Lower revenues than anticipated due to cuts in federal grants.

The portion of our problem involving rapid and severe belt-tightening is now being experienced by many public bodies. Citizens have a duty to understand that such actions will result in lower levels of service.

The personnel and computer problems at Metro forced us to spend more money to get the computer working and to hire an outside auditor to help straighten out the problem. As a result, we did not have an accurate picture of last year's fund balance until we received preliminary audit figures earlier this year.

Imagine the effect on your household budget if you did not have accurate and timely information on your income and expenses!

After personnel changes were made in the Accounting Department, and we received the preliminary audit figures, the reality of our financial situation came to light. The Executive Officer and Council immediately took strong and decisive action to bring the problem under control. The revised fiscal 1982 budget presented to the Council projects a balanced budget at the end of this fiscal year on June 20 with a carryover of \$23,000.

The Council also appointed an outside financial review task force made up of accountants and business people in the community, and that group has examined Metro's finances and made recommendations for improvements. Please let me know if you would like to receive a copy of the recommendations of the Special Task Force on Metro Fiscal Management.

The Council is taking steps to tighten up Metro's contract and budget control procedures. One of my new duties will be to chair Metro's Contract Review Committee. These actions are aimed at making sure there will be no repeat of the financial problems in the future.

I want to emphasize that even though our budget problems represent a serious situation, they are now in the process of being resolved. Furthermore, the independent audit suggests that there has been no embezzlement or fraud--all money is accounted for.

I also want to stress that this problem affects only our central support services--the services provided through the General Fund. Our programs at the zoo, in garbage disposal, and in transportation and criminal justice are not directly affected by the budget shortfall.

While no member of the Council or staff at Metro is taking this situation lightly, I have been informed by a number of people in accounting and in business that this is not an unusual situation when changing over to a computerized accounting system. In addition, most governments are facing budgetary shortfalls--many on a much larger scale--and I am confident that Metro will, as we must, have a balanced budget at the end of this fiscal year.

And, finally, some people have interpreted the Council action on additional controls as attacks on the Management staff and Executive Officer. I believe the Council should have been involved earlier. I fault the Council for that lack of involvement. It is my goal to stay closely involved as the situation is remedied. However, it is my intention that this be done in a cooperative effort with the Executive Officer and staff--not as adversaries, but as partners working together to ensure that Metro is a government which meets all its obligations to the citizens of this region.

Each member of the Metro Council is a non-paid, part-time elected official. From the outset of my position on the Council, I

made an effort to recognize the difference between Management and Administration versus Policy-making. As an elected Councilor, I am on the policy-making side, and I made every effort to respect that line. However, when the financial problems continued and the Council continued not to receive complete information, we should have exercised our responsibility and halted business until answers to our questions were available.

In my opinion, the current shakeup at Metro will have the positive benefits of strengthening the Council process and increasing citizen awareness.

If you have any questions or comments, I would be happy to answer them. My phone number is: 645-0770 or 221-1646 (for messages).

=====

Bob Oleson is Vice Presiding Officer of Metro, your regional government. He is the elected Councilor from District 1, which includes central Washington County.

BO/gl
5608B/D4

Public affairs and legislation news

Changes emerge from legislative session

July signals the end of the legislative session. As of *Bulletin* deadline in June, it can be predicted that the following items will emerge from the State Capitol after the dust and chaos subside:

- The Council on Court Procedures will have additional rules of civil procedure;
- A new evidence code will become final;
- The state bankruptcy exemptions will be increased and the state will "opt out" of the federal bankruptcy exemptions;
- There will be substantive changes in the area of court structure, admin-

istration and finance;

- A new diversion program for the first-time DUI offender will have passed in an effort to reduce district court congestion. This will be coupled with recriminalization of first offense of DUI;

- In the area of juvenile law there will be additional standards and procedures for detention of juveniles and certain juvenile records concerning delinquency and emancipation proceedings will be public record;

- There will be a major package of corrections and parole bills, including bills shortening the length and conditions of supervised parole and a

bill providing for a bond issue to build new state and regional correctional facilities;

- The domestic abuse prevention act will be revised and expanded. Persons will be able to use forms to file for restraining orders without the assistance of a lawyer;

- Proceedings of the Commission on Judicial Fitness will be more open under SB 873. If a hearing to inquire into the conduct of a judge is to be held, the hearing must be public and all testimony and evidence given and received will be public record.

Bob Oleson
Public affairs specialist

Sunset review bill will become law

By the time you read this, the bar's sunset review bill re-enacting ORS Chapter 9 is expected to be signed into law by the Governor.

Besides continuing the Oregon State Bar — subject to "sunset" review in eight years — the bill spells out several procedural changes, including:

- Adding three public members to the board of governors;
- Authorizing the board to designate the person to preside at meetings of the bar;
- Increasing the number necessary to make up a quorum;
- Requiring the Supreme Court to appoint 12 attorney members to the board of bar examiners;
- Adding two public members appointed by the court to the examiners board;
- Adding negligence, incompetence and refusal to attend an information interview with the board to grounds for discipline;

- Fixing procedure for an informal interview where negligence, incompetence, incapacity or conduct injurious to the public may exist;

- Providing that no rule shall limit the number of times an applicant may take the bar exam.

The major difference between the House and Senate versions of the bill involved the definition of moral character.

For purposes of the 1981 act, "good moral character" was finally described as "conduct not restricted to those acts that reflect moral turpitude, but rather extending to acts and conduct which would cause a reasonable person to have substantial doubts about the individual's honesty, fairness and respect for the rights of others and for the laws of the state and the nation. The conduct in question should be rationally connected to the applicant's fitness to practice law."

The board of governors successfully argued that an

integrated bar gives many more opportunities for program excellence and public involvement. They contended that the bar's unique status as a public corporation and an instrumentality of the state judiciary should continue to be recognized by statute. Besides keeping the various functions under one umbrella, integration supplies consistency and support services which are needed for progress.

Because its activities advance the quality of legal services available to the citizens of Oregon, the bar represents proper public function. The bar's experience to date is the best evidence to support continuing the present structure — an effective formula which allows lawyers to meet their public service responsibilities. But there is room for improvement in any organization and the sunset review process has inspired some important changes in the bar and its procedures.