

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE  
METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING METRO ) ORDINANCE NO. 90-351  
CODE CHAPTER 5.02, SECTION 5.02.045, )  
REGARDING USER FEES FOR SELF-HAULERS ) Introduced by Rena Cusma,  
 ) Executive Officer

THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT HEREBY ORDAINS:


SECTION 1.

Chapter 5, Section 5.02.045 (a) is hereby amended as follows:

(a) Tier One User Fee

- (1) For noncompacted commercial solid waste, \$0.75 per cubic yard delivered, or \$7.00 per ton delivered.
- (2) For compacted commercial solid waste \$2.25 per cubic yard delivered; or \$7.00 per ton delivered.
- (3) For Self-Haul solid waste, \$0.75 per cubic yard delivered at franchised or contracted facilities that are not otherwise exempt from such charge, excluding waste delivered to St. John's Landfill, Metro South Station, Metro East Station and the Metro-Riedel Compost facility.
- (4) The User Fee provided for in (3), Section 1 of this ordinance shall be effective from and after July 1, 1990.

Adopted by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this 14th day of June, 1990.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Tanya Collier,  
Presiding Officer

STAFF REPORT

IN CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 90-351 FOR THE  
PURPOSE OF AMENDING CHAPTER 5, SECTION 5.02.045,  
REGARDING USER FEES FOR SELF-HAULERS

-----  
May 11, 1990

Presented by Bob Martin  
Phil North

PROPOSED ACTION

Pass Ordinance No. 90-351 which clarifies and confirms the application of Metro User Fees to Self-Haul loads of solid waste delivered to facilities franchised by Metro or accepting Metro waste under agreements with Metro.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Section 5.02.045 of the Metro Code was amended by action of the Council on March 22, 1990. This was done in conjunction with the general consideration of the solid waste rate ordinance for FY 1990-91. Self-Haul users of the St. Johns Landfill, Metro South Station, and the Metro East Station pay a flat fee for disposal. The User Fee is built into the flat fee.

For Self-Haul users of franchised facilities or facilities accepting Metro waste under a contractual relationship with Metro, it is necessary to specifically provide for the User Fee as a discrete component of their rate. The general language of Section 5.02.045 establishes the User Fee obligations for the operators of solid waste disposal facilities. The proposed ordinance amendment clarifies and confirms the application of the User Fee to Self-Haul users. The effective date of this clarifying ordinance is July 1, 1990.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends adoption of Ordinance No. 90-351.

SOLID WASTE COMMITTEE REPORT

ORDINANCE NO. 90-351, FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING CHAPTER 5,  
SECTION 5.02.045, REGARDING USER FEES FOR SELF-HAULERS

Date: June 6, 1990

Presented by: Councilor  
Gary Hansen

Committee Recommendation: The Solid Waste Committee voted 3 to 0 to recommend Council adoption of Ordinance No. 90-351. Voting: Councilors Hansen, Buchanan and DeJardin. Absent: Councilors Bauer and Wyers. This action was taken June 5, 1990.

Committee Discussion/Issues: Bob Martin, Solid Waste Director, presented the staff report. The purpose of Ordinance No. 90-351 is to clarify and confirm the application of Metro User Fees to self-haul loads of solid waste delivered to facilities franchised by Metro or accepting Metro waste under agreements with Metro.

Self-haul users of the St. Johns Landfill, Metro South Station and the Metro East Station pay a flat fee for disposal. The user fee is built into the flat fee. For self-haul users of franchised facilities or facilities accepting Metro waste under a contractual relationship, it is necessary to specifically provide for the User Fee as a discrete component of their rate.

Section 5.02.045 of the Metro Code establishes the User Fee obligations for the operators of solid waste disposal facilities. The proposed ordinance amendment clarifies and confirms the application of the User Fee to self-haul users.

The Solid Waste Committee held a public hearing on Ordinance No. 90-351, but no testimony was received.

There were no questions or issues raised by the Committee and the Committee voted unanimously to recommend Council adoption of Ordinance No. 90-351.

GH:RRB:pa

RRB.188