BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT

FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPROVING)	ORDINANCE NO. 92-468
AN INCREASE IN THE TRANSFER RATE)	
FOR THE FOREST GROVE TRANSFER)	Introduced by Rena Cusma,
STATION)	Executive Officer

WHEREAS, A. C. Trucking dba the Forest Grove Transfer Station, Solid Waste Franchise No. 4, has requested an increase in the maximum transfer rate presently authorized under its franchise agreement with Metro; and

WHEREAS, The present Forest Grove Transfer Station maximum transfer rate is \$22.75 per ton under a franchise amendment adopted by the Council on July 25 1991; and

WHEREAS, A. C. Trucking's current request is for an amendment to its franchise to permit a maximum transfer rate of up to \$25.50 per ton; and

WHEREAS, The Rate Review Committee met and considered the rate increase request from A. C. Trucking; and

WHEREAS, The Rate Review Committee considers the request to increase the transfer rate cap for the Forest Grove Transfer Station to be reasonable, having taken into consideration the criteria under Metro Code Section 5.01.080 and financial information provided by the applicant; now therefore,

The Council of the Metropolitan Service District Hereby Ordains,

- The increase in the transfer rate to \$25.50 per ton for the Forest Grove Transfer
 Station operated by A. C. Trucking is hereby approved.
- The A.C. Trucking franchise agreement shall be amended as shown in Exhibit A
 attached to, and made part of this Ordinance by reference.

3. This Ordinance being necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health, safety, and welfare, an emergency is declared to exist, and this Ordinance takes effect upon passage.

Jim Gardner, Presiding Officer

ADOPTED by the Council of the Metropolitan Service District this 13th day of August, 1992.

ATTEST:

Clerk of the Council

PN:clk July 15, 1992 FGTS\SW92-468.ord

EXHIBIT A

SCHEDULE E TRANSFER RATES

- SE-1 The Franchise Holder shall collect a Transfer Fee, a Disposal Fee and a Metro Fee on each ton of waste delivered to the facility by commercial haulers for transfer. All wastes delivered shall be charged the same rates. The Franchise Holder shall maintain accounts on wastes received and amounts billed to each commercial hauler as required by Metro Code Section 5.01.130.
- SE-2 In the event that the scales for weighing incoming waste cannot be used, tonnage rates are to be converted to yardage rates on the assumption that compacted waste has a density of 600 pounds per cubic yard and that non-compacted waste has a density of 250 pounds per cubic yard.
- SE-3 Fees for disposal (including any fees assessed by local jurisdictions in which the disposal facility is located) and Metro Fees shall be collected by the Franchise Holder on all waste received and shall be paid to the disposal site or Metro as required. In calculating the total charges to be paid on each load or each account, the amount of the charge which is passed through to Metro or to the disposal site shall be itemized. Any changes in the amount of fees for disposal or in Metro Fees to be collected shall result in appropriate adjustments to the total charges collected.
- SE-4 As set forth in SB-3, required User Fee and Regional Transfer Charges shall be paid to Metro. As allowed by Metro Code Section 5.02.050(d), the Franchise Holder may be exempted from collecting and paying the Regional Transfer Charge when a written authorization to do so has been issued by the Solid Waste Director.
- SE-5 As of _____, the Franchisee is authorized to charge a transfer fee of \$22.75 \$25.50 per ton for mixed solid waste received from commercial haulers.
- SE-6 The Franchise Holder is authorized to charge a minimum Transfer Fee of \$15.00 per load for public self-haulers, provided however that if a self-hauler shall bring in one-half (½) cubic yard of recyclable material (as defined in ORS 459.005) they shall receive a \$3.00 credit toward their disposal charge.
- SE-7 A surcharge shall be levied against a person who disposes of waste at the transfer station, if when entering the facility any portion of the waste is visible to facility scalehouse personnel, unless the waste is only visible through a secure covering. The surcharge shall be \$100.00 for a load delivered by a vehicle greater than three-quarter ton capacity, and \$25.00 for a load delivered by a vehicle of three-quarter ton capacity or less, and shall be collected in the same manner as other disposal fees are collected at the facility.

- SE-8 Included in the fees referred to in SE-3 shall be a Community Enhancement Fee not to exceed \$.50 per ton (less a set-off for real estate taxes paid to the City of Forest Grove) to be paid to the City of Forest Grove per an agreement to be established between Metro and the City of Forest Grove. The Franchisee shall receive thirty (30) days' notice of the effective date upon which to commence collecting this fee and paying it as directed by Metro.
- SE-9 The transfer fee shall not increase the amount set forth in SE-5 without a detailed rate request from the Franchisee and the approval of the Metro Council.
- SE-10 The transfer fee may be adjusted so long as it remains below the amount set forth in SE-5 or the rate currently approved. The Franchisee must notify, in writing, the Solid Waste Director of his intent to adjust the transfer rate at least ten (10) days before he implements plans to adjust the rate. The adjusted transfer rate shall take effect if the Solid Waste Director has not notified, in writing, the Franchisee of his/her disapproval of the proposed adjustment prior to its scheduled effective date. Adjustments in the transfer fee shall not be requested more frequently than once every ninety (90) days. Customers of the Forest Grove Transfer Station shall be provided with sixty (60) days notice prior to any increases in the transfer fee. The purpose in allowing for an administrative adjustment in the approved rate is to allow the operator an opportunity to respond to market conditions and attract needed waste in a timely manner.

PN:clk FGTSNEWRATE.EXA

SOLID WASTE COMMITTEE REPORT

CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 92-468, FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPROVING AN INCREASE IN THE TRANSFER RATE FOR THE FOREST GROVE TRANSFER STATION

Date: August 5, 1992 Presented by: Councilor McFarland

Committee Recommendation: At the August 4 meeting, the Committee voted unanimously to recommend Council adoption of Ordinance No. 92-468. Voting in favor: Councilors Buchanan, Hansen, McFarland, Van Bergen and Wyers.

<u>Committee Issues/Discussion:</u> Roosevelt Carter, Solid Waste Finance Manager, explained that the purpose of the ordinance was to grant an increase of \$2.75/ton in the transfer rate charged at the Forest Grove Transfer Station. The station operator, A.C. Trucking, requested the rate increase.

rationale for the increase included: 1) increased administrative costs, 2) a state PUC ruling that reduced the size of truck payloads transfering material from the station to the Riverbend landfill, and 3) reduced operating hours at the Riverbend landfill. Carter noted that the total rate at the transfer station would now be only \$.05/ton lower than the rate at Metro facilities.

Councilor McFarland noted that the rate review committee had considered the proposed increase on two occasions. At the initial review, the committee found the rationale for the increase to be insufficient. A.C. Trucking then returned to the committee with additional justification. The rate review committee determined that the requested increase was appropriate and unanimously recommended approval.

Councilor Van Bergen asked if Metro operates the gatehouse. Carter indicated no. Van Bergen further asked about the number of audits performed at the transfer station during the past two years. Carter indicated that one such audit had been performed. Van Bergen indicated that he felt it was time for a new audit.

Councilor Gardner asked about the increase in the proposed rate of return from 5.4% requested in 1991 and 8.25% requested this year. Carter and Charles Marshall, representing A.C. Trucking, both indicated that Riverbend Landfill will likely be increasing its disposal fee prior to the end of the year and that such an increase will effectively reduce the rate of return at the transfer station. Gardner questioned whether there would be another request for a rate increase when Riverbend increases its fees. explained that such a request would not be made because market factors would make it difficult for A.C. Trucking to charge a higher overall rate than the rate at Metro facilities.

Councilor Van Bergen asked if Metro uses its flow control ordinance with regard to the material received at the Forest Grove Transfer Station. Marshall indicated that we do not apply the ordinance to Forest Grove.

Councilor Wyers asked about the ongoing problems related to the payment and collection of certain excise taxes at the transfer station. Marshall indicated that that a recent issue involving the due date for the payment of excise taxes by A.C. Trucking had been resolved. He noted that an ordinance to clarify the due date had been adopted by the Council.

Marshall noted that a second issue involving the continuing failure to pay excise taxes by a hauler that brings material from outside the Metro district to the transfer station has not been resolved. Since A.C. Trucking is liable for the payment of these taxes under the Metro Code, legal counsel for the company and Metro have been discussing options for ultimately collecting the tax from the hauler. Councilors Van Bergen and Wyers requested that staff obtain a written response from the solid waste, finance, and legal staff concerning the resolution of this issue.



METRO

Memorandum

2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 503/221-1646

To: Solid Waste Committee Members

From: John Houser, Council Analyst

Date: July 28, 1992

Re: Ordinance No. 92-468, For the Purpose of Approving an

Increase in the Transfer Rate for the Forest Grove Transfer

Station

Ordinance No. 92-468 is scheduled to be considered by the committee at the August 4 meeting.

Background

The purpose of this ordinance is to provide for a 12% increase in the transfer fee charged by Ambrose Calcagno, the operator of the Forest Grove Transfer Station. The fee would increase from \$22.75/ton to \$25.50/ton. This proposed increase, coupled with increases in the Metro tipping fee, the Metro excise tax and various DEQ fees, will result in an increase in the overall rate charged at the facility from the current \$65.66 to \$74.95. The tip fee at Metro facilities is \$75/ton.

Mr. Calcagno is requesting this increase for three principal reasons, including: 1) increased administrative costs, particularly in the cost of insurance; 2) decreased payload size of materials sent to Riverbend Landfill to comply with state PUC requirements; and 3) decreased hours of operation at the Riverbend Landfill.

Issues and Questions

The committee may wish to address the following questions in its consideration of this proposed ordinance:

- 1) Mr. Calcagno's rate increase in 1991 projected a 5.4% pre-tax rate of return on revenue. The current request would provide an 8.25% rate of return. Why is the proposed rate of return scheduled to increase by over 50%?
- 2) During FY 91-92, the differential between Mr. Calcagno's overall rate and that charged at Metro facilities was \$2.34 in Mr. Calcagno's favor. As proposed, this differential would shrink to \$.05/ton. Do staff or Mr. Calcagno believe that this will affect the amount of material received at the Forest Grove Station?
- 3) Why do the number of hours of operation at the Riverbend Landfill affect costs at the Forest Grove Transfer Station?

STAFF REPORT

CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO.92-468 FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPROVING AN INCREASE IN THE TRANSFER RATE FOR THE FOREST GROVE TRANSFER STATION

July 15, 1992

Presented by: Roosevelt Carter

Phil North

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Ambrose Calcagno, dba A.C. Trucking, filed a request for an increase in the transfer fee rate cap for the Forest Grove Transfer Station (FGTS). The present cap is \$22.75 per ton. The requested cap is \$25.50. The present cap was approved by a franchise amendment adopted by the Council on July 25 1991.

The Rate Review Committee met on July 6, 1992 to consider the rate request under the provisions of Metro Code Section 5.01.180. The principal reasons for the rate increase request are:

- 1. Increased costs to the franchise holder will add between five and six percent to overall operating and administrative expenses, with insurance rates increasing by more than twelve percent and other costs about five percent;
- 2. Average payloads will decrease more than fourteen percent in response to recent notification from the public Utility Commission that the spread-axle trailers of the franchise holder exceed the length allowed on State Highway 47;
- 3. Access to the Riverbend Landfill will decline from fifteen hours per day to eleven hours per day as a result of changes instituted by the landfill's new owners, Sanifill Corporation:

(For complete background, see Attachment No. 1)

The components of the applicant's total tip fee at the facility are expected to be as follows:

FGTS - Transfer and transportation	\$25.5	50
Riverbend Landfill*	20.8	32
Yamhill County Surcharge	4.8	30
Metro User Fee	19.0	0
DEQ fees	1.2	25
City of Forest Grove Community Enhancement Fee	.4	50
Metro Excise Tax	3.0	<u>)7</u>
TOTAL	\$74.9)4

This total is contrasted with the tip fees at Metro Central Station, and Metro South Station, which were raised to \$75.00 per ton as of July 1, 1992. The applicant has stated that the requested rate adjustment will yield an 8.25 percent pre-tax return on revenue.

Presentations to the rate review committee were made by Mr. Charles Marshall on behalf of Mr. Ambrose Calcagno, owner of the Forest Grove Transfer Station. Following the Rate Review Committee consideration of the applicant's rate request, the committee chair, Ruth McFarland, requested that Solid Waste staff and Council staff consult on preparation of a staff report.

In rate revision applications, the Metro Code provides that a public hearing shall be held before final approval of such rate by the Council. At the hearing, the applicant will be available to address such other issues and concerns that the Council may have as to the requested rate amendment.

In order for this Ordinance to take effect immediately upon passage, an emergency clause has been added.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Officer recommends adoption of Ordinance No. 92-465

PEN:gbc FGTS\STAF0715.RPT July 15, 1992

ORDINANCE NO. 92-468 Staff Report Attachment

CHARLES MARSHALL

222 S.W Columbia, Suite 1800 Portland, Oregon 97201

> (503) 226-1191 FAX (503) 226-0079

RECEIVED

June 15, 1992

JUN 1 6 1992

Phil North Metropolitan Service District 2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, Oregon 97201 METRO SOLID WASTE DEPT.

Re AC TRUCKING: TRANSFER AND TRANSPORTATION FEES

Dear Mr. North:

Ambrose Calcagno, Jr. respectfully requests that the Metropolitan Service District authorize a \$2.75 per ton increase (from \$22.75 to \$25.50) in the transfer and transportation component of fees charged to commercial haulers by the Forest Grove Transfer Station, which facility is owned and operated by Mr. Calcagno dba AC Trucking.

This increase is required to cover increases in operating expenses brought about by three factors, two of which were not anticipated on May 6, 1992 when we submitted our earlier request:

- First (previously anticipated), increased price levels will add between five and six percent to overall operating and administrative expenses with insurance rates increasing more than 12 percent and other costs about five percent (see attached Schedules 5B and 5C);
- Second (not anticipated), average payloads will decrease more than 14 percent in response to recent notification from the Public Utility Commission (PUC) that our spread-axle trailers exceed the length allowed on state highway 47 (see attached Schedule 6); and
- Third (not anticipated), access to the Riverbend Landfill will decline from 15 hours per day to 11 hours as a result of changes instituted by the landfill's new owners, Sanifill Corporation.

These reductions in payload and access hours require that ACT haul more tonnage in less elapsed time with smaller trailers. Reduced payloads will cause the number of trailer loads, mileage, transportation hours and, along with them, variable operating expenses to increase 18 percent (see Schedules 5B and 6). Reduced accessibility exacerbates the situation by giving ACT less time to deliver more loads, which can be accomplished only by adding two tractors and a trailer.

The suggested rate passes both cost and market tests. It will yield a 8.25 percent pre-tax return on revenue and will leave total disposal costs at Forest Grove (\$74.95) slightly less than those available at other transfer stations in the Metropolitan Service District (\$75.00).

These market conditions are likely to worsen in late 1991 or early 1992 when Riverbend increases its dump fees. When that happens, competitive pressure will compel ACT to make a countervailing reduction in its fees. (Because our projections do not reflect this probable but indefinite change in rate structure, they overstate profits by an undetermined amount.) These real-market forces have effectively controlled ACT's pricing since it opened in 1986. We ask that METRO approve our request as quickly as possible so that the company can take advantage of the brief opportunity now available.

To assist METRO in its analysis, we have enclosed copies of our analysis of actual and pro forma financial results. Financial Statements accompanied our May 6 request.

We look forward to meeting with you and the Solid Waste Advisory Committee as quickly as possible. Please advise me of the approval process and calendar. Thanks.

Sincerely,

Charles Marshall

cc Ambrose Calcagno, Jr.

SCHEDULE 1

BOOK VALUE OF CAPITAL ASSETS EMPLOYED 1990, 1991, & 1992 (PRO FORMA)

				1990 ACTUAL	1991 ACTUAL	07/01/92- 06/30/93 PRO FORMA
1 2 3 4	-	Beginn: Addition Dispose Deprec:	ons als	\$914,567 \$564,847 \$146,367	\$1,333,047 \$7,675 \$29,884 \$159,528	\$1,151,310 \$238,000 \$0 \$167,711
5	=	Ending		 \$1,333,047 _/	\$1,151,310	\$1,221,599
7 8	AVG	ASSETS	EMPLOYED	\$1,123,807	\$1,242,179	\$1,186,455
						<i>y</i>

SCHEDULE 2

COMPARATIVE INCOME STATEMENTS
1990, 1991, & JULY 92 - JUNE 93 (PRO FORMA)

		1990 ACTUAL	1991 ACTUAL	07/01/92- 06/30/93 PRO FORMA
1 2	REVENUE	\$3,412,805	\$3,946,344	\$5,050,363
3	COST OF SERVICES	\$2,239,128	\$2,612,160	\$3,291,113
5 6	GROSS MARGIN	\$1,173,677	\$1,334,184	\$1,759,249
7 8	OPERATING & ADMIN EXP	\$1,036,025	\$1,155,748	\$1,122,334
9	INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	\$137,652	\$178,436	\$636,916
10 11 12 13 14	Misc income Interest expense	\$2,930 \$190,642	\$53,123 \$200,261	\$10,000 \$230,261
	INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TX	(\$50,060)	\$31,298	\$416,655

SCHEDULE 3
FINANCIAL RATIOS
1990, 1991, & JULY 92 - JUNE 93 (PRO FORMA)

		1990 ACTUAL	1991 ACTUAL	07/01/92- 06/30/93 PRO FORMA	
1	OP RETURN ON ASSETS	12.25%	14.36%	53.68%	
2	OP RETURN ON REVENUE	4.03%	4.52%	12.61%	
3	PRE-TX RETURN ON ASSETS	-4.45%	2.52%	35.12%	
4	PRE-TX RETURN ON REV	-1.47%	0.79%	8.25%	

SCHEDULE 4A
DISPOSAL RATES
1990, 1991, & JULY 92 - JUNE 93 (PRO FORMA)

		========	========	========	=====	
9	DISPOSAL RATE PER TON	\$53.25	\$58.77	\$74.94	65.26	
7 8	Franchise fees	0.46	0.50	0.50	0.50	
6	MSD fees	7.04	9.67	19.00	13.00	
5	Excise taxes	0.00	2.69	3.07	2.54	3.07
4	DEQ fees			1.25	0.85	
3	Yamhill County fees			4.80	4.80	
2	Dump fees	27.66	26.34	20.82	20.82	
1	Transfer & transport	\$18.09	\$19.57	\$25.50	22.75	
		ACTUAL	ACTUAL	PRO FORMA	06/92	
		1990	1991	06/30/93	06/00	
				07/01/92-		

SCHEDULE 4B

COST OF SERVICES (DISPOSAL FEES)
1990, 1991, & JULY 92 - JUNE 93 (PRO FORMA)

			========	========
-	COST OF SERVICES	\$2,239,128	\$2,612,160	\$3,291,113
6 7	Franchise fees	29,689	34,128	34,499
5	MSD fees	414,721	598,892	1,190,809
4	Excise taxes		183,335	211,824
3	DEQ fees			86,248
2	-	. , - , -	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	331,191
1	Dump fees	\$1,794,718	\$1,795,805	\$1,436,542
		ACTUAL	ACTUAL	PRO FORMA
		1990	1991	06/30/93
				07/01/92-

SCHEDULE 5A

OPERATING AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES
1990, 1991, & JULY 92 - JUNE 93 (PRO FORMA)

		1990 ACTUAL	1991 ACTUAL	07/01/92- 06/30/93 PRO FORMA
1	Operating expenses Admin expenses	\$428,474 \$607,551	\$382,135 \$773,613	\$453,851 \$668,483
3 4	EXPENSES	\$1,036,025	\$1,155,748	\$1,122,334

SCHEDULE 5B

OPERATING EXPENSES
1990, 1991, & JULY 92 - JUNE 93 (PRO FORMA)

				07/01/92-		COST VA	RIANCES	
		1990	1991	06/30/93	INFLA-			
		ACTUAL	ACTUAL	PRO FORMA	TION	AOL	EFF	TOTAL
1	Salaries	\$183,222	\$161,895	\$186,191	5.0%	0.7%	8.8%	15.0%
2	Deferred compensation	\$5,624	\$2,510	\$2,887	5.0%	0.7%	8.8%	15.0%
3	Health & welfare	\$50,760	\$45,880	\$56,434	12.3%	0.7%	8.8%	23.0%
4	Payroll taxes	\$27,028	\$22,540	\$25,923	5.0%	0.7%	8.8%	15.0%
5	Outside repairs	\$3,422	\$876	\$1,086	5.0%	1.2%	16.7%	24.0%
6	Inhouse repairs	\$20,339	\$18,269	\$22,648	5.0%	1.2%	16.7%	24.0%
7	PUC tax	\$27,720	\$32,502	\$40,293	5.0%	1.2%	16.7%	24.0%
8	Scale expenses	\$2,632	\$4,180	\$4,389	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
9	Fuel	\$41,906	\$40,156	\$49,781	5.0%	1.2%	16.7%	24.0%
10	Oil, grease, tires	\$19,606	\$12,695	\$15,738	5.0%	1.2%	16.7%	24.0%
11	Vehicle cleaning		\$4,301	\$5,332	5.0%	1.2%	16.7%	24.0%
12	Oth equip parts & rep	\$5,783	\$9,403	\$11,657	5.0%	1.2%	16.7%	24.0%
13	Penalties -	\$1,939	\$4,737	\$5,872	5.0%	1.2%	16.7%	24.0%
14	Other equip leases	\$19,052	\$6,698	\$7,033	5.0%	0.0왕	0.0왕	5.0%
15	Shop supplies	\$12,768	\$12,194	\$15,117	5.0%	1.2%	16.7%	24.0%
16	Recycling	\$87	\$494	\$525	5.0%	1.2%	0.0%	6.3%
17	Building repairs	\$6,586	\$2,805	\$2,945	5.0%	0.0왕	0.0%	5.0%
18								
19	OPERATING EXPENSES	\$428,474	\$382,135	\$453,851	5.4%	0.9%	10.9%	18.8%
		=========		========	=====	=====	=====	=====

SCHEDULE 5C

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES
1990, 1991, & JULY 92 - JUNE 93 (PRO FORMA)

		. 1990 ACTUAL	1991 ACTUAL	07/01/92- 06/30/93 PRO FORMA	COST
	<u>.</u>				
1	Salaries	\$179,597	\$160,987	\$169,036	5.0%
2	Health & welfare	\$47,948	\$38,085	\$42,769	12.3%
3	Payroll taxes	\$26,493	\$22,413	\$23,534	5.0%
4	Data processing	\$12,033	\$5,955	\$6,253	5.0%
	. Computer rent	N/A	\$15,054	\$15,807	5.0%
5	Rent	\$51,700	\$50 , 729	\$53 , 265	5.0%
6	Office supplies	\$3,962	\$3 , 577	\$3,756	5.0%
7	Postage & permits	\$1,602	\$1 , 906	\$2,001	5.0%
8	Telephone	\$7,217	\$6 , 515	\$6,841	5.0%
9	Utilities	\$7,660	\$6 , 809	\$7,149	5.0%
10	Consulting	\$12,703	\$12,377	\$12,996	5.0%
11	Accounting	\$11,613	\$23 , 551	\$12,774	N/A
12	Legal	\$14,869	\$47 , 460	\$16,356	N/A
13	Engineering	\$13,657	\$148,916	\$15,023	N/A
14	Insurance	\$30,993	\$37 , 660	\$40,485	7.5%
15	Taxes & licenses	\$13,661	\$7 , 882	\$8,276	5.0%
16	Leases	\$3,366	\$0	\$0	5.0%
17	Bad debts	\$596	\$625	\$688	10.0%
18	Advertising	\$5,605	\$7,026	\$7,377	5.0%
19	Contributions	\$2,306	\$2,617	\$2,748	5.0%
20	Travel & ent	\$4,715	\$5,549	\$5,826	5.0%
21	Dues & subscriptions	\$3,061	\$2,831	\$2,973	5.0%
22	Miscellaneous	\$5,827	\$5,561	\$5,839	5.0%
23	Depreciation & amort	\$146,367	\$159,528	\$167,711	5.1%
24	Environmental inspecti		• •	\$15,000	N/A
25	Refinancing costs			\$24,000	n/A
26				. ,	
27	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	\$607,551	\$773,613	\$668,483	-13.6%
		=========	=========	========	=====

SCHEDULE 6
OPERATING DATA AND
EFFICIENCY AND VOLUME VARIANCES

		1990 ACTUAL	1991 ACTUAL		ARIANCE				07/01/92- 06/30/93 PRO FORMA
1 2 3	Inside MSD Outside MSD	5,947	61,931	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	61,931 6,249	75	1.2%	
4	TONS RECEIVED			N/A					68,998
5 6 7 8	TRIPS TO LANDFILL					3,409			3,450
9 10 11 12	TONS PER TRIP					20.0			20
13 14 15					16 50	======	=====	. =====	
16 17	Driver Equip maintenance		5,398 990	900 165	16.78 16.78	6,297 1,154	14	1.2%	6,373 1,168
18 19 20	Variable		6,387	1,065	16.7%	7,452	89	1.2%	7,541
21 22	Station operation Grounds maintenance		2,227 1,700	0	0.0% 0.0%	2,227 1,700		0.0% 0.0%	
23	Self-haul		1,033	0	0.0%	1,033	0	0.0%	1,033
24 25	Supervision/o'head		761		0.0%	761	0	0.0%	761
26 27	Non-variable		5,720	0	0.0%	5,720	0	0.0%	5,720
	DIRECT OPERATING WORK HRS		12,107	1,065	8.8%	13,172 ======	89 =====	0.7%	13,261



METRO

Memorandum

2000 S.W. First Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5398 503/221-1646

DATE:

August 17, 1992

TO:

Rena Cusma, Executive Officer

FROM:

Paulette Allen, Clerk of the Council

RE:

TRANSMITTAL OF ORDINANCE NO. 92-468

Attached for your consideration is a true copy of Ordinance No. 92-468 adopted by the Council on August 13, 1992.

If you wish to veto this ordinance, I must receive a signed and dated written veto message from you no later than 5:00 p.m., Thursday, August 20, 1992. The veto message, if submitted, will become part of the permanent record. If no veto message is received by the time and date stated above, this ordinance will be considered finally adopted.

I, Meta Diviley, received this memo and a true copy of Ordinance No. 92-468 from the Clerk of the Council on \$-17-92