



**JOINT MPAC/JPACT MEETING**  
Meeting Minutes  
May 30, 2014  
World Forestry Center, Cheatham Hall

JPACT MEMBERS PRESENT

Jack Burkman  
Carlotta Collette  
Shirley Craddick, Vice Chair  
Craig Dirksen, Chair  
Nina DeConcini  
Denny Doyle  
Donna Jordan  
Neil McFarlane  
Diane McKeel  
Steve Novick  
Paul Savas

AFFILIATION

City of Vancouver  
Metro Council  
Metro Council  
Metro Council  
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality  
City of Beaverton, representing Cities of Washington County  
City of Lake Oswego, representing Cities of Clackamas Co.  
TriMet  
Multnomah County  
City of Portland  
Clackamas County

JPACT MEMBERS EXCUSED

Shane Bemis  
Heath Henderson  
Roy Rogers  
Jason Tell  
Don Wagner  
Bill Wyatt

AFFILIATION

City of Gresham, representing Cities of Multnomah Co.  
Clark County  
Washington County  
Oregon Department of Transportation  
Washington State Department of Transportation  
Port of Portland

JPACT ALTERNATES PRESENT

David Collier  
Jef Dalin  
Andy Duyck  
Tim Knapp  
Matt Ransom  
Rian Windsheimer

AFFILIATION

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality  
City of Cornelius, representing Cities of Washington County  
Washington County  
City of Wilsonville  
City of Vancouver  
Oregon Department of Transportation

MPAC MEMBERS PRESENT

Ruth Adkins  
Jody Carson, Chair  
Sam Chase  
Tim Clark

AFFILIATION

PPS, Governing Body of School Districts  
City of West Linn, Clackamas Co. Other Cities  
Metro Council  
City of Wood Village, representing Multnomah Co. other

Denny Doyle	cities
Andy Duyck	City of Beaverton, representing Cities of Washington County
Lise Glancy	Washington County
Jerry Hinton	Port of Portland
Dick Jones	City of Gresham
Anne McEnerny-Ogle	Oak Lodge Water District
Marilyn McWilliams	City of Vancouver
	Tualatin Valley Water District, Washington Co. Special Districts
Doug Neely	City of Oregon City, Clackamas Co. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Largest City
Wilda Parks	Citizen, Clackamas Co. Citizen
Craig Prosser	TriMet
Martha Schrader	Clackamas County
Loretta Smith	Multnomah County
Bob Stacey	Metro Council
Jerry Willey	City of Hillsboro, Washington Co. Largest City

MPAC MEMBERS EXCUSED

Maxine Fitzpatrick  
Kathryn Harrington  
Keith Mays  
Charlynn Newton  
Jim Rue  
Steve Stuart  
Kent Studebaker  
Peter Truax

AFFILIATION

Multnomah Co. Citizen  
Metro Council  
Sherwood Chamber of Commerce  
City of North Plains  
Oregon Dept. of Land Conservation and Development  
Clark County  
City of Lake Oswego  
City of Forest Grove, Washington Co. Other Cities

MPAC ALTERNATES PRESENT

Jim Bernard  
Gretchen Buehner  
Jennifer Donnely  
Terry Gibson  
Jeff Gudman

AFFILIATION

Clackamas County  
City of Tigard  
Oregon Dept. of Land Conservation and Development  
Oak Lodge Sanitary District  
City of Lake Oswego

STAFF: Taylor Allen, John Williams, Troy Rayburn, Jessica Rojas, Jill Schmidt, Andy Cotugno, Kim Ellis, Tom Kloster, Grace Cho, Randy Tucker, Beth Cohen, Ramona Perrault, Nick Christensen, Martha Bennett, Caleb Winter, Dan Kaempff, Valerie Cuevas, Lake McTighe, Peggy Morell, Patty Unfred, C.J. Doxsee, Lake McTighe, John Mermin and Chris Myers.

FACILITATOR: Sam Imperati, Oregon Consensus.

The joint policy advisory committee meeting on the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project convened at 8:00 a.m.

**1. WELCOME AND AGENDA REVIEW**

Meeting Facilitator, Sam Imperati of Oregon Consensus welcomed the members and alternates of the Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) and Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) as well as staff and interested parties.

Mr. Imperati gave an overview of the joint committee meeting agenda and goals of the meeting:

1. Review meeting outcomes and today's action
2. Consider public input, cost, climate benefit and the six desired outcomes
3. Take a poll and committee action on a draft approach to determine the basis for the Recommendation to the Metro Council

Mr. Imperati highlighted that from the six desired policy outcomes, transit has been split into two areas, capital expenditures and infrastructure to provide for a more refined recommendation. He explained that committee members would take action to make a recommendation on a draft approach. He directed committee members to the materials provided in the meeting packet and provided an overview of the voting process for the formal poll. Among the materials provided were color-coded voting cards (green, yellow and red) determining three levels of support to recommend a level of investment to test.

## **2. CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS**

MPAC Chair Carson and JPACT Chair Dirksen began by declaring a quorum for both Committees. JPACT Chair and Metro Councilor Craig Dirksen acknowledged the presence of Jerry Lidz, a commissioner with the Land Conservation and Development Commission and liaison to the Climate Smart Communities Scenario Project.

### **CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES FROM THE JOINT JPACT/MPAC APRIL 11 MEETING**

JPACT

**MOTION:** Donna Jordan moved, Jack Burkman seconded to approve the minutes from the Joint JPACT/MPAC April 11th meeting with the following amendments:

- Jack Burkman of the city of Vancouver was present at the April 11th Joint JPACT/MPAC meeting.

**ACTION:** With all in favor, the motion passed.

MPAC

**MOTION:** Ruth Adkins moved, Tim Clark seconded to approve the minutes from the Joint JPACT/MPAC April 11th meeting with the following amendments:

- Jack Burkman of the city of Vancouver was present at the April 11th Joint JPACT/MPAC meeting.

**ACTION:** With all in favor, the motion passed.

Chair Carson explained that the two committees would consider the information received on the six policy areas as well as the recommendations received from Metro Technical Advisory Committee (MTAC) and Transportation Policy Advisory Committee (TPAC). The meeting is anticipated to result in JPACT and TPAC recommending a draft approach to the Metro Council to test during the summer of 2014. She stated that this work develops the basis for developing the draft approach to reduce greenhouse gas emissions while creating great communities through adopted local and regional plans. In depth discussion will be initiated regarding the six policy areas with new information relating to cost, public input and committee recommendations. She emphasized that members bring forward perspective and priorities of the individuals they represent to the discussion.

Chair Dirksen reviewed the next steps in the process of shaping the draft approach. Councilor Dirksen provided historical context in relation to the work members are engaged in as a part of the 2040 Growth Concept. He emphasized that the potential action taken today is not a decision on the scenario. He identified one key purpose of the meeting as identifying the level of investment needed to reach the state mandated target by 2035 that provide Metro staff with sufficient direction to move forward with testing the draft approach, which will be subject to further discussion and potential refinement during the fall of 2014.

Chair Dirksen introduced Metro Deputy Director of Planning John Williams.

### **3. SETTING THE STAGE FOR SHAPING A DRAFT APPROACH TO TEST**

Mr. John Williams, Metro Deputy Planning Director, presented an overview of the straw poll results, local examples, cost information, community input and MTAC and TPAC recommendations for each policy area. Mr. Williams directs committee members to [SHAPING THE PREFERRED APPROACH: A DISCUSSION GUIDE FOR POLICY MAKERS] referenced by page number in the PowerPoint presentation.

- Regional context and what we learned so far (pp.7-15)
- Policy questions for 2014 (pp. 18 -19)
- Overview of policy areas (pp. 21- 48)
- Supplemental information (pp. 53 - 60)

MTAC & TPAC recommendations can be found in [MEMO: CLIMATE SMART COMMUNITIES SCENARIOS PROJECT DRAFT APPROACH TO TEST].

- Recommendation 1 (pp. 5)
- Recommendation 2 (pp.5)
- Recommendation 3 (pp.5)
- Recommendations 4-7 (pp. 8-1)

Members Comments Included:

- Members expressed concerns regarding parking management.
- Members encouraged that the draft approach reflect the distribution of dollars and funds unique to the individual needs and aspirations of the citizens and communities that make up each part of the Metropolitan region.

- Neil McFarlane of TriMet highlighted operation costs as well as maintenance and preservations costs for streets and highways across the three scenarios.
- Members emphasized the significant change in federal transportation funding for long term capital projects.
- Members asked clarifying questions regarding household costs and benefits across the three scenarios. Ms. Kim Ellis of Metro explained that the numbers reported for household savings only account for vehicle capital costs and during the summer of 2014 the evaluation will bring forward more information regarding transit and cost benefits.
- Members expressed interest in a cost benefit analysis of the price on carbon for people within the metropolitan region across the three scenarios.
- Metro Councilor Bob Stacey recognized the ways in which everyone benefits from transit and highlighted having a transportation funding strategy that addresses all needs and all benefits of a transportation system. He encouraged the region to explore funding strategies for transportation modes excluded from the Federal Highway Trust Fund budget.
- Mayor Charlie Hales emphasized the need to rely on state and local resources for transportation funding.
- Members emphasized the benefits from greenhouse gas emissions reduction within local communities such as access, mobility and jobs.

#### 4. **BREAK**

#### 5. **SHAPING A DRAFT APPROACH TO TEST DISCUSSION**

Mr. Imperati facilitated a discussion reviewing each of the six policy areas for members to consider input received and new information presented to recommend a level of investment to test:

- Transit: Capital & Operations (pp. 5 of memo)
- Technology (pp.6 of memo)
- Travel Information (pp.7 of memo)
- Active Transportation (pp. 7)
- Streets and highways (pp. 7)
- Parking (pp.8)

Members Comments Included:

Transit: Capital & Operations

- Members asked clarifying questions about the Columbia River Crossing LRT extension and how it impacts the 2.2 billion dollar estimated investment in the next twenty years. Ms. Ellis explained that the analysis for the draft approach will take into account the assumptions included in the draft Regional Transportation Plan.
- Neil McFarlane of TriMet expressed concern in regards to capital rehabilitation expenses.
- Members expressed interest in resources needed to meet transit service growth targets.
- Members expressed interest in the service enhancement plans and the impact on employment access across the three scenarios.
- Members asked about how the increased maintenance, improvements and construction costs on sidewalks and street ramps regarding accessibility and mobility standards has been accounted for within the scenario assumptions. Ms. Ellis explained the cost

assumptions used within the analysis were created by local governments, TriMet and the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) for project cost estimates. The engineer developed a cost estimation methodology that may account for some of those standards.

- Chair Dirksen asked about the cost required to purchase and maintain more buses. Mr. McFarlane confirmed the bus maintenance cost as capital.
- Members asked clarifying questions about the ultimate objective in terms of high capacity transit and light rail in the Metropolitan region. Mr. Williams of Metro directed members to the Regional HCT Transit Plan developed by Metro which details the HCT vision of the region.
- Members highlighted that transit service enhancements require equal street accessibility and mobility enhancements.
- Members asked clarifying questions about transit affordability in Scenario C and the cost implications.

#### Technology

- Metro Councilor Carlotta Collette recognized the returned investment on technology in terms of project funding for Scenario C.
- Members expressed interest in selecting a level of investment greater than Scenario C.

#### Travel Information Incentives

- Members emphasized the small investment in travel information incentives relative to project results and localized outcomes.

#### Active Transportation

- Mr. McFarlane reinforced the connection between active transportation and transit strategy in terms of safety and comfort.
- Chair Dirksen highlighted the Regional Opinion Poll which confirmed that people support active transportation projects that are safe and provide access to transit.
- Members asked clarifying questions about the way in which the investments would be spent for active transportation. Mr. Williams explained that the money would be used for implementing the active transportation systems and priorities identified by local counties and cities throughout the metropolitan region.

#### Streets and Highways

- There were none

#### Parking

- Members expressed interest in increased parking in areas where transit service is less complete and accessible.
- Members asked about whether the funding for “park and rides” is incorporated as transit or parking investments. Mr. Eric Hesse of TriMet explained that “park and rides” are identified in transit capital investments. Ms. Ellis also explained that “park and rides” are included in the range of approaches within the scenarios.
- Chair Dirksen emphasized the ways in which parking reduces greenhouse gas emissions in each community differently providing localized context.

#### Final Comments

- Nina DeConcini from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) explained that DEQ as an agency is interested in the outcomes and objectives for air quality, public health and greenhouse gas emission reduction in the final deliberations of the preferred approach and she decided to abstain from the formal vote.

#### 6. **POLL AND BREAK**

#### 7. **JOINT RECOMMENDATION TO METRO COUNCIL ON A DRAFT APPROACH TO TEST-ACTION REQUESTED**

Mr. Imperati presented the poll results and facilitated a group discussion on the results. Detailed graphs of the poll results can be accessed in the PowerPoint presentation entitled [CLIMATE SMART COMMUNITIES SCENARIOS PROJECT SHAPING THE DRAFT APPROACH FOR TESTING, SLIDES 32-33] as a part of the electronic record.

#### MPAC

**MOTION:** Dick Jones moved, Marilyn McWilliams seconded to forward today's poll results to the Metro Council as the recommended draft approach for staff testing during Summer of 2014.

**ACTION:** With all in favor, the motion passed.

#### JPACT

**MOTION:** Neil McFarlane moved, Donna Jordan seconded to forward today's poll results to the Metro Council as the recommended draft approach for staff testing during Summer of 2014.

**ACTION:** With all in favor and Nina DeConcini abstaining, the motion passed.

#### 8. **GETTING TO A FINAL RECOMMENDATION IN DECEMBER- WHAT'S NEXT**

Mr. Imperati emphasized that the recommendation does not serve as an endorsement but instead, it will be utilized by Metro staff over the summer as a model to further test and analyze. Chair Carson and Chair Dirksen thanked both committees for the effort and time put forth in developing a joint recommendation.

June 2014 – Council action on draft approach to test

June–August – Metro staff works with TPAC and MTAC to evaluate draft approach & develop implementation recommendations.


September – Report results

September-December – Public review of draft preferred approach, identify refinements & final adoption

**9. ADJOURN**

Chair Dirksen and Chair Carson adjourned the meeting at 12:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Taylor Allen, Council Policy Assistant

**ATTACHMENTS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR THE MEETING OF MAY. 30, 2014**

<b>DOCUMENT TYPE</b>	<b>DOC DATE</b>	<b>DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>DOCUMENT No.</b>
Handout	05/30/2014	JPACT/MPAC Meeting Agenda	53014-01
Handout	05/30/2014	Joint MPAC/JPACT April 11 Draft Meeting Minutes	53014-02
Memo	05/23/2014	Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project: Draft Approach to Test	53014-03
Presentation	05/23/2014	Straw Poll Results from April 11 Joint JPACT/MPAC Meeting	53014-04
Handout	N/A	Guide to Key Takeaways from Stakeholder and Public Input in Six Policy Areas	53014-05
Discussion Guide	April 2014	Shaping the Preferred Approach: A Discussion Guide for Policymakers	53014-06
Presentation	05/30/2014	Shaping the Draft Approach for Testing	53014-07
Handout	05/30/2014	Poll: Shaping the Preferred Approach	53014-08
Letter	05/27/2014	Letter from City of Portland Bureau of Planning and Sustainability	53014-09
Handout	05/30/2014	Metro Comment Form	53014-10